

INTRODUCTION

TO THE

LITERATURE OF EUROPE,

IN THE

FIFTEENTH SIXTEENTH, AND SEVENTEENTH
CENTURES.

Βì

HENRY HALLAM, FRAS

LADITION DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF MARKET AND PROPERTY AND PROPER

De node anten inframed histories courchendes, Bust Dayrhais monescer, et neutries et code (etc., see texticus b skindtis) et criticis printer verus schin per tilequies supporten centrales, set éties enhorse historials, setables libri procipul, qui de temporte spatio conscripti sant, in condition shiftheaster ; et ex serons son perfections (til sales infinitions quidelen restri) and desputations, et observations arguments, styll, methods, genthes illus temports librarius, veloti inconquiene quaken, a sortical seveneur. B of ond st. dayes, admini

Arman Stilion.

IN THREE VOLUMES.

VOL. III

LONDON: JOHN MURRAY, ALBEMARLE STREET 1843

CONTENTS

O¥

THE THIRD VOLUME.

PART III - continued.

CHAP V

HISTORY OF POSTRY FROM 1600 TO 1650.

	Pege		Page
Low Estimation of the Scicentisti	3	Sarrasin	- 21
Not quite so great as formerly	8	Low State of German Literature	22
Praise of them by Rubbi	4	Literary Societies	22
Also by Salfi -	4	Oplits	23
Adone of Marini -	5	His Followers	24
Its Character -	6	Dutch Poetry -	25
And Popularity -	7	Splegel	25
Secchia Rapita of Tassoni -	8	Hooft, Cats, Vondel	26
Chiabrera	9 1	Danish Poetry	27
His Followers -	11	English Poets numerous in this	
The Styles of Spanish Poetry	11	Age	27
The Romances	12	Phiness Fletcher	27
The Brothers Argensola -	18	Glies Fletcher	28
Villegas	18	Philosophical Poetry	29
Quevedo	14	Lord Brooke	29
Defects of Taste in Spanish Verse	15	Denham s Cooper's Hill	30
Pedantry and far fetched Allusions	16	Poets called Metaphysical	81
Gongora	17	Donne -	82
The Schools formed by him	17	Crashaw -	33
Malherbe	19	Cowley	99
Criticisms upon his Poetry	20	Johnson s Character of him	34
Satires of Reguler	20	Natrative Poets - Daniel	34
Racan Maynard	21	Drayton a Polyolbion	35
Volture	21	Browne s Britannia s Pastorals	30-

					Page			Page
Sır John Beni	mont	_	-	-	36	Allegro and Penseroso -	-	48
Davenant's G			_	_	37	Ode on the Nativity -	-	48
Sonnets of Sh			-	_	37	His Sonnets	-	49
The Person v	diom i	thev a	nddres	s -	39	Anonymous Poetry	-	49
Sonnets of D	rumma	nd a	nd oth	iers	41	Latin Poets of France -	-	19
Carew -	_	-	-	_	42	In Germany and Italy -	-	. 50
Ben Jonson	_	_	_	_	43	In Holland - Heineius -	-	- 51
Wither -	_	-	-	_	43	Casimir Sarbievius	-	- 51
Habington	_	_	_	_	44	Barlœus	-	. 52
Earl of Peml	broke	_	_	-	41	Balde -Greek Poem of He	ınsıus	53
Suckling	-	_	_	_	41	Latin Poets of Scotland -	-Jon-	
Lovelace	_	_	_	_	45	ston's Psalms	-	- 53
Herrick	_	-	_	-	45	Owen's Epigrams		- 51
Milton -	_	_	_	_	46	Alabaster's Rosana		- 51
His Comus	_	_	_	-	46	May's Supplement to Lucan		- 51
Lycidas	_	_	_	_	4.7	Milton's Latin Poems -		- 57

CHAP VI

HISTORY OF DRAMATIC LITERATURE FROM 1600 to 1650

Decline of the Italian Theatre -	57	Number of Theatres	77
Filli di Sciro	58	Encouraged by James	77
Translations of Spanish Dramas -	59	General Taste for the Stage -	
Extemporaneous Comedy	59	Theatres closed by the Parliament	
Spanish Stage	60	Shakspeare's Twelfth Night -	80
Calderon Number of his Pieces -	61	Merry Wives of Windsor	80
His Comedies	61	Measure for Measure	82
La Vida es Sueno	62	Lear	81
A Secreto agravio secreta vengança	64	Timon of Athens	81
Style of Calderon	64	Pericles	86
His Merits sometimes overrated -	66	His Roman Tragedies Julius	
Plays of Hardy	67	Cresar	87
The Cid	69	Antony and Cleopatra	4
Style of Corneille	70	Corrolanus	88
Les Horaces	71	His Retirement and Death	89
Cinna	72	Greatness of his Genius -	89
Polyeucte	72	His Judgment	90
Rodogune	73	His Obscurity	92
Pompey	73	His Popularity	0.0
Herachus	74	Crities on Slinkspeare	93
Nicomède	74	Ben Jonson -	94
Faults and Beauties of Corneille -	74	The Alchemist	
Le Menteur	75	Volpone, or The Fox	96
Other French Tragedies	75	The Silent Woman	90
Wenceslas of Rotrou		Sad Shepherd -	
Popularity of the Stage under		Beaumont and Fletcher	97
Ehzabeth	77	Corrupt State of their Text -	98
	•	- 1791 Heart of their 164f	98

CONTENTS

T I	age:	1.480	3
The Maid's Tragedy	99 I	Their Tragedies - 111	
	100	Inferior to their Comedies - 112	
	301 L	Their Female Characters - 113	3
The Elder Brother	102	Massinger General Nature of his	
	102	Dramas 114	
The Custom of the Country	103	His Delineations of Character - 112	3
The Loyal Subject	103	His Subjects 116	5
Beggar a Bush	104	Beauty of his Style 110	8
	104	Inferiority of his comic Powers 117	7
Valentinian	105	Some of his Tragedies particu	
The Two Noble Kinsmen	106	larised 117	7
The Faithful Shepherdess	107	And of his other Plays - 118	8
Rule a Wife and Have a Wife	108	Ford 110	8
Some other Plays	108	Shirley - 11	9
	109	Heywood 120	
Defects of their Plots	110	Webster 12	
Their Sentiments and Style dra-	110	His Duchess of Maify - 12	
matie -	110	Vittoria Corombona 12	
Their Characters -	111	Victiz Colombia	•
and one process		•	
	CHAI	vii	
HINTORY OF POLITE LITER	CATUR	E EN PROME PROM 1600 TO 1650	
Decline of Taste in Italy	124	Legal Speeches of Patru 14	
Style of Gallleo -	126	And of Le Malatre - 14	
Bentivoglio	126	Improvement in English Style 14	
Boccalini s News from Parnassus	146	Earl of Essex 14	
His Pietra del Paragone	128	knolles a History of the Turks 14	
Farrante Pallavieino	128	Raleigh a History of the World - 14	8
Dictionary Della Crusca,	129	Daniel a History of England 14	9
Grammatical Works. Buonmatte		Bacon 14	19
Bartoli	129	Milton - 15	90
Tassoni s Remarks on Petrarch	130	Clarendon 15	50
Galileo's Remarks on Tasso	130	The 1con Busilice - 15	51
Biorga Pallavicino	150	Burton a Anatomy of Melancholy 15	52
And other critical Writers	131	Earle s Characters 15	52
Prolusiones of Strada	131	Overbury a Characters - 15	52
Spanish Proce. Gradan	132	Jonson a Discoveries - 15	53
French Prose. Du Vair -	133	Publication of Don Quixote 15	14
Balrac	133	Its Reputation 15	54
Character of his Writings	184	New Views of its Design 15	55
His Letters	135	Probably erroneous 15	36
Voiture. Hôtel Rambouillet	136	Difference between the two Parts 15	57
Establishment of French Academy	7 137	Excellence of this Romance 13	
Its Objects and Constitution	139	Minor Novels of Cervantes 16	
It publishes a Critique on the Ci-		Other Novels — Spanish 16	50
Vaugelas s Remarks on the French		And Italian _ 16	50
Language	141	French Romances - Astrée 16	50
La Mothe le Vayer	142	Herole Romances - Gomberville - 16	31

VI		CONTI	2N 15:	
Calprenède - Scuder: - Argenis of Barclay His Euphormio Campanella's City of	the Sun	Page - 161 - 162 - 164 - 165 - 165	Few Books of Fiction in Engl Mundus Alter et Idem of Hall Godwin's Journey to the Moon Howell's Dodona's Grove Adventures of Baron de Fæne	- 167 - 167 - 168
		CHAP.	VIII	
HISTORY OF MATI	HEMATICAL	, AND PH	VEICAL ECIENOF PROM 1600 TO	1650.
State of Science in 11	Sth Centur	v 170 l	Other Discoveries by him	- 187

State of Science in 16th Century 170	Other Discoveries by him - 187
Tediousness of Calculations - 171	Spots of the Sun discovered - 188
Napier's Invention of Logarithms 171	Copernican System held by Galileo 188
Their Nature 171	His Dialogues, and Persecution - 189
Property of Numbers discovered	Deseartes alarmed by this 190
by Stifelius 171	Progress of Copernican System - 191
Extended to Magnitudes - 172	Descartes demes general Gravita-
By Napier 173	tion 191
Tables of Napier and Briggs - 173	Cartesian Theory of the World - 192
Kepler's new Geometry 174	Transits of Mercury and Venus - 191
Its Difference from the Ancient - 175	Laws of Mechanics 191
Adopted by Galileo 176	Statics of Galileo 194
Extended by Cavalieri 176	His Dynamics 195
Applied to the Ratios of Solids - 177	Mechanics of Descartes 197
Problem of the Cycloid 178	Law of Motion laid down by Des-
Progress of Algebra - 179	cartes 198
Briggs Girard 179	Also those of compound Forces - 199
Harriott 179	Other Discoveries in Mechanics 199
Harriott 179 Descartes 181	In Hydrostatics and Pneumatics 199
His Application of Algebra to	Opties - Discoveries of Kepler - 201
Curves 181	Invention of the Telescope - 201
Suspected Plagiarism from Harriott 182	Of the Microscope 202
Fermat 183	Antonio de Dominis 202
Algebraic Geometry not successful	Dioptrics of Descartes Law of
at first 184	Refraction 203
Astronomy — Kepler 184	Disputed by Fermat - 201
Conjectures as to Comets 186	Curves of Descartes 201
Galileo's Discovery of Jupiter's	Theory of the Rambow 205
Satellites 186	•

CHAP IX

HISTORY OF SOME OTHER PROVINCES OF LITERATURE FROM 1600 to 1650

	Aldrovandus Clusius - Rio and Mai Jonston Fabricius o Brutes	regraf on the		- 206 - 207 - 207 of	Botany — Columna John and Gaspar Baulin - Parkinson Valves of the Veins discovered Theory of the Blood's Circulation Sometimes ascribed to Servetus	m 212
--	--	------------------	--	-------------------------------	---	-------

	Page		Page
To Columbas	214 1	Porchas a Pilgrim	226
And to Cesalpin	215	Olearius and Pietro della Valle	226
Generally unknown before Harvey	216	Lexicon of Ferrari -	227
His Discovery -	216	Mans of Blacw -	227
Unjustly doubted to be original	216	Davila and Bentivoglio	228
Harvey's Treatise on Generation	218	Mendosa s Wars of Granada	228
Lacteals discovered by Asellius	218	Mezeray -	228
Optical discoveries of Scheiner	219	English Historians -	229
Medicine - Van Helmont	219	English Histories	229
Diffusion of Hebrew	220	Universities	229
Language not studied in the best			230
Method -	221	Casanbon s Account of Oxford	230
The Buxtorfs -	221	Catalogue of Bodleian Library -	232
Vowel points rejected by Cappel	222	Continental Libraries	232
Hebrew Scholars	993	Italian Academica -	233
Chaldee and Syriac -	224	The Lincel	234
Arabic -	224	Prejudice for Antiquity diminished	235
Erpenius -	224	Browne s Vulgar Errors	236
Golius -	224	Life and Character of Pelrese	237
Other Eastern Languages	225	T	

PART IV

ON THE LITERATURE OF THE SECOND HALF OF THE SEVENTEENTH CENTURY

CHAP 1

metory of ancient literature is europe from 1650 to 1700

James Frederic Gronovius	243	Stanley s Rachylus	250
James Gronovius	- 244		251
Gravius	244	Bentley -	251
Isaac Vondus	244	His Epistle to Mill	251
Decline of German Learning	244	Dissertation on Phalaria	251
Spanhelm -	245	Disadvantages of Scholars in that	
	- 245	Age	253
Port Royal Writers. Lancelot	245	Thesauri of Gravius and of Gro-	
Latin Grammars. Perizonius	246	novius	254
Delphin Editions	246		254
Le Fevre and the Dadlers	247	Numismatica. Spanheim — Vail-	
	of	lant -	255
	- 248	Chronology Usher	256
	- 248	Pearon	256
	- 249	Maraham	257
Gataker s Cinnus and Antoninus	- 250		

CHAP II HISTORY OF THFOLOGICAL LITERATURE FROM 1650 to 1700

Decline of Papal Influence - 258 Dispute of Louis XIV with Innocent XI 259 Four Articles of 1682 - 259 Dupin on the Ancient Discipline 260 Dupin's Ecclesiastical Library - 261 Fleury's Ecclesiastical History - 262 His Dissertations 262 Protestant Controversy in France 263 Bossuet's Exposition of Catholic Faith 263 His Conference with Claude - 265 Correspondence with Molanus and Leibnitz 266 His Variations of Protestant Churches 267 Anglican Writings against Popery 268 Taylor's Dissuasive - 268 Barrow — Stillingfleet - 269 Jansenius 269 Condemnation of his Augustinus in France 271 The Jansenists take a Distinction 271 And are persecuted 272 Progress of Arminianism - 273 Courcelles 273 Limborch 273 Limborch 274	Sancroft's Fur Prædestinatus - 275 Arminianism in England - 275 Bull's Harmonia Apostolica - 276 Hammond — Locke — Wilkins - 277 Socinians in England - 277 Bull's Defensio Fidei Nicenæ - 278 Not satisfactory to all - 279 Mystics 279 Fenelon - 280 Change in the Character of Theological Literature - 280 Freedom of many Writings - 281 Thoughts of Pascal - 282 Vindications of Christianity - 287 Progress of tolerant Principles - 288 Bayle's Philosophical Commentary 289 Locke's Letter on Toleration - 289 French Sermons - 291 Bourdaloue - 291 Compared with Bossuet - 292 Funeral Discourses of Bossuet - 292 Fléchier 294 English Sermons — Barrow - 295 South 296 Tillotson - 297 Expository Theology - 297 Pearson on the Creed - 298 Simon's Critical Histories - 298
Le Clerc 274	
СНАЕ	
HISTORY OF SPECULATIVE PHIL	LOSOPHY FROM 1650 TO 1700

HISTORY OF SPECULATIVE PHILOSOPHY FROM 1650 TO 1700

Aristotelian Metaphysics 300	More 308
Their Decline Thomas White - 301	Gassendi 308
Logie 301	His Logic 309
Stanley's History of Philosophy - 303	His Theory of Ideas 309
Gale's Court of Gentiles 303	And of the Nature of the Soul - 310
Cudworth's Intellectual System - 304	Distinguishes Ideas of Reflection - 311
Its Object 304	Also Intellect from Imagination - 312
Sketch of it 305	His Philosophy misunderstood by
His Plastic Nature 305	Stewart 313
His Account of old Philosophy - 306	Bernier's Epitome of Gassendi - 315
His Arguments against Atheism - 306	Process of Cartesian Philosophy - 316

LONTENTS

	Page	G1	Page
	317	Glanvii a Scepala Scientifica	35t
Huet's Censure of Cartesianism -		His Plus Ultra -	360
Port Royal Logic -	320	Dalgarnu -	861
Malebranche	822	William -	361
His Style	823	Locke on Human Understanding	369
Sketch of his Theory	324	Its Merits	369
Character of Malchranche	338	Its Defects	364
Compared with Pascal	838	Origin of Ideas, according to Locke	364
Arnauld on true and false Ideas	889	Vague Use of the Word Idea -	865
Norris ~	840	An Error as to geometrical Figure	3 68
Pascal	340	His Notions as to the Soul	371
Spinosa a Ethics	342	And its Immateriality -	379
	348	His Love of Truth and Originality	378
	344	Defended in two Cases	374
Spinosa . Theory of Action and	}	His View of innate Ideas	375
Passion -	353	General Praise	376
Character of Spinosism	354	Locke a Conduct of Understanding	377
	СПАР	IV	
		LOSOPHY AND OF JURISPHUDINGS !	ROM
1	650 TO	1700.	
Cemistry of the Jesuits	879	Fenelon on Female Education	417
Pascal's Provincial Letters	879	Puffendurf's Theory of Politics	419
Their Truth questioned by some	880	Politics of Spinosa	423
Taylora Ductor Dubitantium	381	His Theory of a Monarchy	425
Its Character and Defects	188	Amelot de la Houssaye	427
Cudworth a immutable Morality	882	Harrington s Oceana	427
Nicole La Placette	388	Patriarcha of Filmer	429
Other Writers	383	fildney a Discourses on Govern	
Moral System of Spinose	384	ment -	430
Cumberland a De Legibus Nature	38"	Locks on Government	480
Analysis of Prolegomens	387	Observations on this Treatuse	438
His Theory expanded afterwards		Avis aux Refuglés perhaps by	
Remarks on Cumberland a Theory	397	Bayle	439
Puffendorf's Law of Nature and	1	Political Beonomists	440
Nations	399	Mun on Foreign Trade	441
Analysis of this Work	400	Child on Trade	449
Puffendorf and Paley compared	406		442
	407		444
La Bruyere -	409	Works of Lelbeits on Roman Law	445
Education Milton a Tractate	410	Civil Jurusta Godefroy Domat	
Locke on Education. Its Ments	410	Noodt on Usury -	447
And Defects	411	Law of Nations Puffendorf	449

CHAP. V.

HISTORY OF POETRY FROM 1650 TO 1700

Page	Page
Improved Tone of Italian Poetry 449	He owes less to Homer than the
Filicaja 450	Tragedians 465
Guidi 451	Compared with Dante 466
Menzini 452	Elevation of his Style 468
Salvator Rosa — Redi - 452	His Blindness 468
Other Poets 453	His Passion for Music 469
Christina's Patronage of Letters - 453	Faults in Paradise Lost - 470
Society of Arcadians 453	Its Progress to Fame 470
La Fontaine 454	Paradise Regained 471
Character of his Fables 455	Samson Agonistes 471
Boileau His Epistles 456	Dryden His earlier Poems - 472
His Art of Poetry 456	Absalom and Achitophel 473
Comparison with Horace 457	Mac Flecknoe 474
The Lutrin 458	The Hind and Panther 475
General Character of his Poetry - 458	Its singular Fable 475
Lyric Poetry lighter than before - 458	Its Reasoning 475
Benserade 459	The Fables 476
Chaulieu 459	His Odes — Alexander's Feast - 477
Pastoral Poetry 459	His Translation of Virgil - 477
Segrais 460	Decline of Poetry from the Re-
Deshoulieres 460	storation 478
Fontenelle 460	Some minor Poets enumerated - 478
Bad epic Poems 461	Latin Poets of Italy 480
German Poetry 461	Ceva 480
Waller 461	Sergardi 481
Butler's Hudibras 462	Of France — Quillet 481
Paradise Lost—Choice of Subject 463	Menage 481
Open to some Difficulties 463	Rapin on Gardens 481
Its Arrangement 464	Santeul
Characters of Adam and Eve - 465	Latin Poetry in England - 483
- Indiana de Langua de La Lord	2 200 2 000 j in 20 gianti = 2 400

CHAP VI

HISTORY OF DRAMATIC LITERATURE FROM 1650 to 1700

Drama	ì	- 485	Athalie	_	493
ies	_	- 485	Racine's Female Characters		494
-	_	- 486		_	405
_	_	- 488	Beauty of his Style -		495
_	_	- 489	Thomas Corneille - His Ariane	_	406
_	_	- 489			497
_	_	- 490			498
-	_	- 491	T. Arrono		498
_	_	- 492			499
-	-	- 493	Le Misanthrope		1 99
	les - - - -		res - 485 486 488 489 490 491 492	Racine's Female Characters Racine compared with Corneille Beauty of his Style - 489 Thomas Corneille His Ariane Manlius of La Fosse - 490 Moliere - - 491 L'Avare - 492 L'Ecole des Femmes -	Racine's Female Characters Racine compared with Corneille Beauty of his Style

CONTENTS

ותר	T-6-
Les Femmes Savantes 500	Heroic Tragedies of Dryden 510
Fartuffe 501	His later Tragedies 510
Bourgeois Gentilhomme. George	Don Sebastian - 511
Dandin 502	Spanish Friar - 512
Character of Molière - 503	Otway ~ 518
Les Plaideurs of Racine 504	Southern 513
Regnard — Le Joueur 504	Lee 514
His other Plays - 505	Congrete 514
Quinault. Boursault 505	Comedies of Charles II. s Reign 514
Dancourt 506	Wycherley 516
Brueys 507	Improvement after the Revolution 516
Operas of Quainsult 507	Congrete 516
Revival of the English Theatre - 508	Lore for Love - 517
Change of public Taste 509	His other Comedies 517
Its Causes = 509	Farquhar Vanbrugh 518
CHAI	VII
	# PEOPE PROF 1650 pp 1700
	н и реме, гам 1650 то 1700
Low State of Literature in Italy - 519	And Le Clere - 588
Crescimbeni 519	Lelpulo Acta - 538
Age of Louis XIV in France 520	Bayle's Thoughts on the Comet 539
Fontenelle - his Character - 521	His Dictionary 589
His Dialogues of the Dead - 522	Baillet, Morhof 54.
Those of Fenelon 522	The Ans 84
Fontenelle's Plurality of Worlds 522	English Style in this Period - 549
His History of Oracles 523	Hobbes 54:
St. Evremend 524	Cowley 54:
Madame de Sevigné - 524	Evelyn 54
The French Academy 525	Dryden - 54
French Grammara - 526	His Essay on Dramatic Poesy 541
Bonhours Entretiens d'Ariste et	Improvements in his Style - 54
d Engène - 527	His critical Character 54
Attacked by Barbler d Aucour 529	Rymer on Tragedy 54
La Manière de Bien Penser - 580	Sir William Temple's Essays 54
Rapin's Reflections on Eloquence and Poetry - 580	Style of Locke - 54
and Poetry - 580 His Parallels of Great Men - 581	Bir George Mackenzie a Essaya 54
Bossu on Epic Poetry 532	Andrew Fletcher - 544 Walton's Complete Angler - 556
Fontenelle's critical Writings - 532	Times as Tree 12
Preference of French Language to	
Latin - 532	
General Superiority of Ancients	
disputed 533	French Heroic Romances 55.
Charles Perrault 583	
Fontenelle 554	
Boilean & Defence of Antiquity - 535	
First Reviews - Journal des Scavans 535	
Berland actabilished by Barla 507	

Télémaque of Fenelon 557 Deficiency of English Romances - 558	Turkish Spy 550 Chiefly of English Origin - 560 Swift's Tale of a Tub - 563)
Pilgrim's Progress 558		

CHAP VIII

HISTORY OF PHYSICAL AND OTHER LITERATURE FROM 1650 to 1700

	-0.	<u> </u>		
Reasons for omitting Mathematic		Camerarius confirms this -		581
	- 564	Predecessors of Grew -		582
	- 565	Malpiglii		582
Academy of Sciences at Paris	- 566	Early Notions of Geology -	-	<i>583</i>
	- 567	Burnet's Theory of Earth -	_	584
	- 567	Other Geologists	_	584
Boyle	- 568	Protogma of Leibnitz -	_	58 5
His Metaphysical Works -	- 568	Circulation of Blood established	-	586
	- 569	Willis Vicussens	_	587
His Merits in Physics and Che	_	Malpiglii		587
mistry	- 569	Other Anatomists	_	587
_ T	- 570	Medical Theories		588
	- 570	Polyglott of Walton		590
	- 571	Hottinger		590
Slow Progress of Zoology -	- 572	Spencer		590
	- 572	Bochart Pococke		590
	- 573	Pococke		591
	- 573	D'Herbelot		591
	- 574	Hyde		591
	- 575	Maps of the Sansons -		592
	- 575	De Lisle's Map of the World		592
_	- 575	Voyages and Travels -		593
Botany	- 576	Historians		594
Jungius	- 576	De Solis		594
	- 576	Memoirs of De Retz -		594
Ray	- 578	Bossuet on Universal History		595
Rivinus	- 578	English Historical Works -		595
Tournefort	- 579	Burnet		595
Vegetable Physiology -	- 580	General Character of 17th Cer	1_	030
	- 580	tury		596
	- 580	tury Conclusion		<i>5</i> 90
He discovers the Sexual System	- 581		_	090

PART III - continued

ON THE LITERATURE OF THE FIRST HALF OF THE SEVENTEENTH CENTURY

CHAPTER V

HISTORY OF POETRY, FROM 1600 TO 1650

SECT I

ON ITALIAN POETRY

Characters of the Poets of the Seconteenth Contury — Sometimes too much depreciated — Marui — Tanoni — Chustrera

1 At the close of the sixteenth century, few reinfined in Italy to whom posterity has assigned a considerable repintuon for their poetry. But the ensuing period between this setood lower, for the most part, in the opinion of later ages than any other since the revival of letters. The seizentisti, the writers of the seventeenth century were stigmatised in modern criticism, till the word has been associated with nothing but false taste and every thing that should be shinned and despised. Those who had most influence in leading the literary judgment of Italy went back, some plinost exclusively to the admiration of Petrarch and his contemporaries, some to the various writers who cultivated their notive poetry in the sixteenth century. Solvin is of the former class, Muratori of the latter.

2 The last age, that is, the concluding twenty years of the eighteenth century, brought with it, in many respects, a change of public sentiment in Italy A respects, and the conclusion of thought, an expanded grasp of philosophy in thirst, ardent to excess, for great exploits and

Muraton, Della Parfetta Poeda, is are contained some remarks by Salvini, one of the best hooks of criticism in the a bigotted Florentine Italian language; in the second volume

noble praise, has distinguished the Italian people of the last fifty years from their progenitors of several preceding generations. It is possible that the enhanced relative importance of the Lombards in their national literature may have not been without its influence in rendering the public taste less fastidious as to purity of language, less fine in that part of æsthetic discernment which relates to the grace and felicity of expression, while it became also more apt to demand originality, nervousness, and the power of exciting emotion. The writers of the seventeenth century may, in some cases, have gained by this revolution, but those of the preceding ages, especially the Petrarchists whom Bembo had led, have certainly lost ground in national admiration.

Rubbi, editor of the voluminous collection, called Parnaso Italiano, had the comage to extol the "seicentisti" for their genius and fancy, and even to place them, in all but style, above their predecessors. "Give them," he says, "but grace and purity, take from them then capicious exaggerations, their perpetual and forced metaphors, you will think Marini the first poet of Italy, and his followers, with their fulness of imagery and personification, will make you forget their monotonous predecessors. I do not advise you to make a study of the seicentisti, it would spoil your style, perhaps your imagination, I only tell you that they were the true Italian poets, they wanted a good style, it is admitted, but they were so far from wanting genius and imagination, that these perhaps tended to impair their style"*

4. It is probable that every native critic would think some parts of this panegyric, and especially the strongly hyperbolical praise of Marini, carried too far. But I am not sure that we should be wrong in agreeing with Rubbi, that there is as much catholic poetry, by which I mean that which is good in all ages and countries, in some of the minor productions of the seventeenth as in those of the sixteenth age. The sonnets, especially, have more individuality and more meaning. In this, however, I should wish to

^{*} Parnaso Italiano, vol vli (Avvertimento) Rubbi, however, gives but century two, out of his long collection in fifty

uclude the latter portion of the seventeenth century Salfi, u writer of more taste and judgment than Ruibi, has recently taken the same side, and remarked the auperior originality, the more determined individuality, the greater variety of subjects, above all, what the Italians univ most value, the more earnest patriotism of the later poets. Those immediately before us, belonging to the first half of the century, are less innerous than in the former age, the someticers especially have produced much less, and in the collections of poetry, even in that of Ruibbi, notwithstanding his eulogy, they take up very little room. Some, however, heve ubtained a durable renuwn, and are better known in Europe than any except the Tassos, that flourished in the last fifty years in the golden age.

5 It must be confessed that the praise of a masculine genius, either in thought or language, cannot be Addoord bestowed in the poet of the seventeenth century whom his contemporaries most udmired, Giovanni Battista Marini He is, on the contrary, more deficient than all the rest in such qualities, and is indebted to the very opposite characteristics for the sunster influence which he exerted on the public taste. He was a Neapolitan by birth and gave to the world his famous Adone in 1623 As he was then fifty four years old it may be presumed, from the character of the poem that it was in great part written long before, and he had already acquired a considerable reputation by his other works The Adone was received with an unbounded and ill judging approbation, ill judging in a critical sense, because the faults of this poem are incapable of defence, but unt un natural, as many parallel instances of the world a enthusiasm have shown No one had before carried the corruption of taste so far, extravegant metaphors, false thoughts, and concerts no equivocal words, are very frequent in the Adone, and its author stands accountable in some measure for his mutaturs, who during more than half a century looked up to Marini with emplois fully, and frequently succeeded in greater deviations from pure taste without his imagination and elegance.

Salf, Hist, Litt, de l'Italie (continuation de Ginguéné), vol. xii, p. 424.

6. The Adone is one of the longest poems in the world, containing more than 45,000 lines. He has shown some ingenuity in filling up the canvas of so slight a story by additional incidents from his own invention, and by long episodes allusive to the times in which he lived. But the subject, expanded so interminably, is essentially destitute of any superior interest, and fit only for an enervated people, bairen of high thoughts and high actions, the Italy, notwithstanding some bright exceptions, of the seventeenth century. If we could overcome this essential source of weariness, the Adone has much to delight our fancy and our ear. is, more than any other poet, the counterpart of Ovid, his fertility of imagination, his ready accumulation of circumstances and expressions, his easy flow of language, his harmonious versification, are in no degree inferior, his faults are also the same, for in Ovid we have all the overstrained figures and the false concerts of Marini. But the Italian poet was incapable of imitating the truth to nature and depth of feeling which appear in many parts of his ancient prototype, not has he as vigotous an expression. Never does Marini rise to any high pitch, few stanzas, perhaps, are remembered by natives for their beauty, but many are graceful and pleasing, all are easy and musical.* "Perhaps," says Salfi, "with the exception of Ariosto, no one has been more a poet by nature than het," a praise, however, which may justly seem hyperbolical to those who recall their attention to the lughest attributes of poetry.

* Five stanzas of the seventh canto, being a choral song of satyrs and bacchanti, are thrown into versi sdruccioli, and have been accounted by the Italians in extraordinary effort of skill, from the difficulty of sustaining a metre which is not strong in rhymes with so much spirit and ease. Each verse also is divided into three parts, themselves separately sdruccioli, though not rhyming. One stanza will make this clear.

Hor d citera's adornino e di pampino I giovani, e il vergini più tenere, i gemine nell'anima si stampino I' inagine di Libero, e di Venere Tutti ardano, s' accendano, ed avampino, Quai Semele, chi al folgore fù cenere, i cartino a Cupidine, ed a Bromio, Con numeri poetici un encomio

Though this metrical skill may not be of the highest merit in poetry, it is no more to be slighted than facility of touch in a painter

† Vol xiv p 147 The character of Marini's poetry which this critic has given, is in general very just, and in good taste Corniani (vii 123) has also done justice, and no more than justice, to Marini. Tiraboschi has hardly said enough in his favour, and as to Muratori, it was his business to restore and maintain a purity of taste, which rendered him severe towards the excesses of such poets as Marini

7 Marini belongs to that very numeroos body of poets who, delighted with the spontaneity of their ideas, And possible never reject any that urise, their parental love for bids all preference, and an impartial law of gavelkind shares their page among all the offspring of their brain Such were Ovid and Lucan, and such have been some of our own poets of great genius and equal fame. Their fertility astonishes the reader, and he enjoys for a time the obundant banquet, bot satisty is too sure a consequence, and he returns with less pleasure to a second perusal Tho censure of criticism falls invariably, and sometimes too harshly, on this sort of poetry, it is one of those cases where the critic and the world are most at variance, but the world is upt, in this instance, to reverse its own jodgment, nod yield to tho tribunal it had rejected. "To Marioi," says on eminent Italian writer, 'we owe the lawlessees of composition the challition of his genius, iocapablo of restraint, burst through every bulwark, endoring no rule but that of his own humour, which was all for sonorous verse, bold ood ingenious thoughts, fantastical sobjects, o phraseology rather Latin than Italiao, and 10 short ounced at pleasing by o false oppearance of beaoty. It would almost pass belief how much this style was odmired, were it oot so cear our own time that we hear as it were the echo of its praise, nor did Dante, or Petrarch, or Tasso, or perhaps any of the nucleot poets, obtain in their lives so much opplause". But Marini, who died to 1625, had not time to enjoy much of this glory The length of this poem, and the diffuseness which produces its length, render it nearly impossible to read through the Adone, and it wants that requality which might secure a preference to detached portions. The story of Psyche 10 the fourth canto may perhaps be as fair n specimen of Marini as could be takeo it is not easy to destroy the beauty of that fable, nor was he unfitted to relate it with grace and interest, but he has displayed ill the ble mishes of his own style †

Crossembend, II, 470.

The Adone has been frequently that no one can read the Adone whose charged with want of decency. It was heart as well as tests in not corrupt; but to the ban of the Roman inquisition and grave writers have decemed it necessarily to protest against its heartfourness.

Andrès even goos so far as to declara, that you on can read the Adone whose the put to the ban of the Roman inquisition that, both for the sake of good morals and grave writers have decemed it necessary to protest against its heartfourness.

8. The Secchia Rapita of Alessandro Tassoni, published at Paris in 1622, is better known in Europe than might have been expected from its local subject, Secchia Rapita of Tassoni idiomatic style, and unintelligible personalities. turns, as the title imports, on one of the petty wars, frequent among the Italian cities as late as the beginning of the fourteenth century, wherein the Bolognese endeavoured to recover the bucket of a well, which the citizens of Modena in a prior incursion had carried off. Tassoni, by a poetical anachronism, mixed this with an earlier contest of rather more dignity between the little republics, wherein Enzio, king of Sardinia, a son of Frederic II., had been made prisoner. He has been reckoned by many the inventor, or at least the reproducer in modern times, of the mock-heroic style.* Pulci, however, had led the way, and when Tassoni claims originality, it must be in a very limited view of the execution of his poem. He has certainly more of parody than Pulci could have attempted; the great poems of Ariosto and Tasso, especially the latter, supply him with abundant opportunities for this ingenious and lively, but not spiteful, exercise of wit, and he has adroitly seized the ridiculous side of his contemporary Marini. The combat of the cities, it may be observed, is serious enough, however trifling the cause, and has its due proportion of slaughter; but Tasson, very much in the manner of the Morgante Maggiore, throws an air of ridicule over the whole. The episodes are generally in a still more comic style A graceful facility and a light humour, which must have been incomparably better understood by his countrymen and contemporaries, make this a very amusing poem. It is exempt from the bad taste of the age, and the few portions where the burlesque tone disappears are versified with

tives, it may seem extraordinary that, though the poem of Marini must by its nature be rather voluptuous, it is by far less open to such an objection than the Orlando Furioso, nor more, I believe, than the Faery Queen No charge is apt to be made so capriciously as this

** Rollagy sooms to gol now ledge have

* Boileau seems to aeknowledge himself indebted to Tassoni for the Lutrin, and Pope may have followed both in the first sketch of the Rape of the Lock, though what he has added is a purely

original eonception But in fact the mock-heroic or burlesque style, in a general sense, is so natural, and moreover so common, that it is idle to talk of its inventor. What else is Rabelais, Don Quivote, or, in Italian, the iomanee of Bertoldo, all older than Tassoni? What else are the popular tales of children, John the Gigantieide, and many more? The poem of Tassoni had a very great reputation. Voltaire did it injustice, though it was much in his own line.

much elegance. Perhaps it has not been observed, that the Count de Culagne, one of his most ludierous characters, bears a certain resemblance to Hadibras, both by his nivkward and dastardly appearance as a kinglit, and by his ridiculous ad dresses to the lady whom he woos.* None, however, will question the originality of Butler

9 But the poet of whom Italy has, in later times, been

far more proud than of Marini or Tassoni was Chipbrera. Of his long life the greater part fell Chipbrera within the auxteenth century, and some of his poems were published before its close, but he has generally been con sidered as belonging to the present period Chiabrera is the founder of n school in the lync poetry of Italy, rendered afterwards more famous by Guidi, which affected the name of Pindaric. It is the Theban lyre which they boast to strike it is from the fountain of Direc that they draw their inspir ation, and these allusions are as frequent in their verse, as those to Valclusa and the Sorga in the followers of Petrarch Chiabrera borrowed from Pindar that grandeur of sound, that pomp of epithets, that rich swell of imager, that unvarying majesty of conception, which distinguish the odes of both poets. Ho is less frequently hursh or targid though the fatter blomish has been sometimes observed in bim, but wants also the masculine condensation of his prototype, nor does he deviate so frequently, or with so much power of imagination, into such digressions as those which generally shade from our eyes, in a skilful profusion of ornament, the victors of the Grecian games whom Pindar professes to celebrate poet of the house of Medici and of other princes of Italy, great at least in their own time, was not so much compelled to desert his immediate subject, os he who was paid for an ode by some wrestler or boxer, who could only become wor thy of heroic soug by attaching his name to the ancient glories of his native city The profuse employment of my thological allusions, frigid as it appears at present, was so castomary, that we can hardly impute to it much blame, and it seemed peculiarly appropriate to a style which was stu

^{*} Canton X, and XI. It was intended as a ridisule on Marini, but represents real personage Saif, xiii, 147 4

diously formed on the Pindaric model." The odes of Chinbrera are often panegyrical, and his manner was well fitted for that style, though sometimes we have ceased to admire those whom he extols. But he is not eminent for purity of taste, nor, I believe, of Tuscan language: he endeavoured to force the idiom, more than it would bear, by constructions and inversions borrowed from the ancient tongues, and these odes, splendid and noble as they are, bear in the estimation of critics some marks of the seventeenth century. The saturcal epistles of Chabrera are praised by Salfi as written in a moral Horatian tone, abounding with his own experience and allusions to his time. ‡ But in no other kind of poetry has he been so highly successful as in the lyine; and, though the Grecian robe is never cast away, he imitated Anacreon with as much skill as Pindar. "His lighter odes," says Crescimbeni, "are most beautiful and elegant, full of grace, vivacity, spirit, and delicacy, adorned with pleasing inventions, and differing in nothing but language from those of Anacreon. His dithyrambics I hold incapable of being excelled, all the qualities required in such compositions being united with a certain nobleness of expression which clevates all it touches upon." §

10. The greatest lyric poet of Greece was not more the model of Chabrera than his Roman competitor was of Testi. "Had he been more attentive to the choice of his expression," says Crescimben, "he might have earned the name of the Tuscan Horace." The faults of his age are said to be frequently discernible in Testi, but there is, to an ordinary reader, an Horatian elegance, a certain charm of grace and ease in his canzom, which render them pleasing. One of these, beginning, Ruscelletto orgoghoso, is liighly admired by Muraton, the best, perhaps, of the Italian critics, and one not slow to censure any defects of taste It apparently alludes to some enemy in the court of Modena. The character of

all that was of classical antiquity might be blended in their sentiments with the memory of Rome

^{*} Salfi justifies the continual introduction of mythology by the Italian poets, on the ground that it was a part of their national inheritance, associated with the monuments and recollections of their glory This would be more to the purpose if this mythology had not been almost exclusively Greck But perhaps

[†] Salfi, vii 250

Id xiii 2012 § Storia della volgar poesia, ii 483 This cauzon is in Mathias, Componimenti Lirici, ii 190

Testi was ninhitious and restless, his life spent in seeking and partly in enjoying public offices, but terminated in prison He had taken, says a later writer, Horace for his model, and perhaps like him he wished to appear sometimes a stoic, sometimes an epicurean, but he knew not like him how to profit by the lessons either of Zeno or Epicurus, so as to lead o trangol and independent life.

11 The unitators of Chiabrera were generally unsuccess fol, they became hyperbolical and exaggerated horizonth translation of Pindar by Alessandro Admara, The translation of Pindar by Alessandro Admara, has been proved for its own beaoty. But these ports ore not to be confounded with the Marinists, to whom they are moch superior. Ciampoh, whose Rime were published in 1628, may perhaps be the best after Chabrera, t. Several obscure epicens, some of which are rather to be decided original commences, are commemorated by the last historian of Italian literature. Among these is the Conquest of Granada by Grazani, published in 1650. Sali justly observes that the subject is truly epic, but the poem itself seems to be nothing but a series of episodical intrigues without unity. The style necording to the same writer, is redundant, the similes too frequent and monotonous, yet he prefers it to oll the heroic poems which had intervened since that of Tosso 1

SECT II - ON STANISH POPTRY

Romanees - The Argensolas - I dlegas - Gonguen and his School

12 THE Spanish poetry of the sixteenth century night be arranged to three classes. In the first we night place that which was formed in the nacient school, though not always preserving its characteristics; the short trochare metres, employed in the song or the ballad,

Sal8 xil, 281 † 1d. p. 303. Tiraboschl, xil, 364. x, 14.1 Ballist, co the entherity of others, † 1d. vol. xiii, p. 04.—109

altogether national, or aspiring to be such, either in their subjects or in their style. In the second would stand that to which the imitation of the Italians had given rise, the school of Boscan and Garcilasso; and with these we might place also the epic poems which do not seem to be essentially different from similar productions of Italy. A third and not inconsiderable division, though less extensive than the others, is composed of the poetry of good sense; the didactic, semi-satirical, Horatian style, of which Mendoza was the founder, and several specimens of which occur in the Parnaso Español of Sedano.

ferred by the most competent judges to the reign of Philip III.* These are by no means among the best of Spanish romances, and we should naturally expect that so artificial a style as the imitation of ancient manners and sentiments by poets in wholly a different state of society, though some men of talent might succeed in it, would soon degenerate into an affected mannerism. The Italian style continued to be cultivated, under Philip III., the decline of Spain in poetry, as in aims and national power, was not so striking as afterwards. Several poets belong to the age of that prince, and even that of Philip IV. was not destitute of men of merited reputation.† Among the best

* Duran, Romançero de romances doctrinales, amatorios, festivos, &c 1829 The Moorish romances, with a few exeeptions, and those of the Cid, are ascribed by this author to the latter part of the sixteenth and the first half of the seventeenth century In the preface to a former publication, Romanees Moriseos, this writer has said, Così todos los romances que publicamos en este libro pertenecen al siglo 16^{mo}, y algunos pocos a principio del 17^{mo} Los autores son desconoscidos, pero sus obras han llegado, y merecido llegar à la posteridad seems manifest from internal evidence, without critical knowledge of the language, that those relating to the Cid are not of the middle ages, though some seem still inclined to give them a high antiquity It is not sufficient to say that the language has been modernised, the whole structure of these ballads is redolent of a low age, and if the Spauish

erities agree in this, I know not why foreigners should strive against them — [It is hardly, perhaps, necessary to warn the reader, that the celebrated long poem on the Cid is not rickoned among these romances—1842]

† Antonio bestows unbounded praise on a poem of the epic class, the Bernardo of Balbuena, published at Madrid in 1624, though he complains that in his own age it lay hid in the corners of booksellers' shops Balbuena, in his opinion, has left all Spanish poets far behind him The subject of his poem is the very common fable of Roncesvalles Dieze, a more judicious and reasonable critic than Antonio, while he demes this absolute pre-emmence of Balbuena, gives him a respectable place among the many epie writers of Spain But I do not find him mentioned in Bouterwek, in fact, most of these poems are very searce, and are treasures for the bibliomanians

were two brothers, Lupercio and Bartholomew Argensola These were chiefly distinguished in what I have called the third or Horatian manner of Spanish poetry, though they by no means confined them selves to any peculiar style. "Lupercio," says Bouterwek,
formed his style after Horace with no less assiduity than Luis de Leon, but he did not possess the soft enthusiasm of that pious poet, who in the religious spirit of his poetry is so totally unlike Horace. An understanding at once solid and jugenions, subject to no extravagant illusion, yet full of true poetic feeling, and an imagination more plastic than creative, impart a more perfect Horatian colouring to the odes, as well as to the cancinues and sonnets of Lupercio He closely imitated Horace in his didactic saures, a style of composition in which no Spanish poet had preceded him But he never succeeded in attaining the bold combination of ideas which characterises the ode style of Horace, and his conceptions have therefore seldom any thing like the Horatian energy On the other hand all his poems express no less precision of language than the models after which he formed his style. His odes, in particular, are characterised by a picturesque tone of expression which he seems to have imbibed from Virgil rather than from Horace The extra vagant metaphors by which some of Herrera's odes are deformed were uniformly avoided by Lipercin'. The genius of Bartholomew Argeneola was very like that of his brother, nor are their writings easily distinguishable, but Bouterwek assigns, on the whole, a higher place to Bartho-Dieze inclines to the same judgment, and thinks the culogy of Nicolas Antonio on these brothers, extravagant as it seems, not beyond their merits.

14 But aunther poet, Manuel Estevan de Villegas, whose poems, written in very early youth entitled Ama turnas in Eriticas, were published in 1620 has attained a still higher reputation especially in other parts in Europe Dieze calls him "inne in the best lyric poets of Spain, excellent in the various styles he has employed, but above all in his odes and songs. His niginal poems are full

of genius, his translations of Horace and Anacreon might often pass for original. Few surpass him in harmony of verse, he is the Spanish Anacreon, the poet of the Graces." # Bouterwek, a more discriminating judge than Dieze, who is perhaps rather valuable for research than for taste, has observed, that "the graceful luxuriance of the poetry of Villegas has no parallel in modern literature; and generally speaking, no modern writer has so well succeeded in blending the spirit of ancient poetry with the modern. But constantly to observe that correctness of ideas, which distinguished the classical compositions of antiquity, was by Villegas, as by most Spanish poets, considered too rigid a requisition, and an unnecessary restraint on genius. accordingly sometimes degenerates into conceits and images, the monstrous absurdity of which are characteristic of the author's nation and age. For instance, in one of his odes in which he entreats Lyda to suffer her tresses to flow, he says that 'agitated by Zephyr, her locks would occasion a thousand deaths, and subdue a thousand lives,' and then he adds, in a strain of extravagance, surpassing that of the Marinists, 'that the sun himself would cease to give light, if he did not snatch beams from her radiant countenance to illumine the East ' But faults of this glaring kind are by no means frequent in the poetry of Villegas, and the fascinating grace with which he emulates his models, operates with so powerful a charm, that the occasional occurrence of some little affectations, from which he could scarcely be expected entirely to abstain, is easily overlooked by the reader."†

15. Quevedo, who having borne the surname of Villegas, has sometimes been confounded with the poet we have just named, is better known in Europe for his prose than his verse, but he is the author of numerous poems both serious and comic or satirical. The latter are by much the more esteemed of the two. He wrote burlesque poetry with success, but it is frequently unintelligible except to natives. In satire he adopted the Juvenalian style ‡ A few more might perhaps be added, especially Espinel, a poet of the classic school, Borja de Esquillace,

† Bouterwek, 1 479

i Id p 468

^{*} Geschichte der Spanischen Dichtkunst, p 210

once viceroy of Peru, who is called by Bouterwik the last representative of that style in Spain, but more worthy of praise for withstanding the bad taste of his contemporaries than for any vigour of genius, and Christopher de la Mean.* No Portuguese poetry about this time seems to be worthy of notice in European literature, though Manuel Faria y Sona and a few more might ritain u local reputation by sonnets and other amatery verse.

16 The original blemish of Spanish writing both in prose and verse had been an excess of effort to say every Defects of thing in an unusual manner, a deviation from the transhipment and language in a wider curve than good taste permits. Taste is the presiding faculty which regulates, in all works within her jurisdiction, the struggling powers of imagination, emotion, and reason Each has its claim to mingle in the composition, each may sometimes be allowed in a great measure to predominato; and a phlegmatic application of what men call common sense in seatheric criticism is almost as repugnant to its principles as a dereliction of all reason for the sake of fantastic absurdity Taste also must determine, by un intuitive sense of right somewhat unalogous to that which regulates the manners of polished life, to what extent the most simple, the most obvious, the most natoral, and therefore, in a popular meaning, the most true, is to be modified by u studious introduction of the new, the striking, and the beautiful, so that neither what is insipid and trivial, nor yet what is forced and affected, may displease us. In Spain, as we have observed, the latter was always the prevailing fault. The public taste had been formed on bad models, on the Oriental poetry, metapliorical beyond all perceptible analogy, and on that of the Provençals, false in sentiment, false in conception, false in image and figure. The national character, proud, swelling, and ceremonious, conspired to give un inflated tone; it was also grave and sententious rather than lively or delicate, and therefore foud of a strained and ambitious style. These vices of writing are carried to excess in romances of chi valry, which became ridiculous in the eyes of sensible men.

but were certainly very popular, they affect also, though in a different manner, much of the Spanish prose of the sixteenth century, and they belong to a great deal of the poetry of that age, though it must be owned that much appears wholly exempt from them, and written in a very pure and classical spirit. Cervantes strove by example and by precept to maintain good taste; and some of his contemporaries took the same line. But they had to fight against the predominant turn of their nation, which soon gave the victory to one of the worst manners of writing that ever disgraced public favour

17. Nothing can be more opposite to what is strictly called a classical style, or one formed upon the best models of Greece and Rome, than pedantry. This was nevertheless the weed that overspread the face of literature in those ages when Greece and Rome were the chief objects of veneration. Without an intimate discernment of then beauty it was easy to copy allusions that were no longer intelligible, to counterfeit trains of thought that belonged to past times, to force reluctant idioms into modern form, as some are said to dress after a lady for whom nature has done more than for themselves. From the revival of letters downwards this had been more or less observable in the learned men of Europe, and after that class grew more extensive, in the current literature of modern languages. Pedantry, which consisted in unnecessary, and perhaps unin-telligible, references to ancient learning, was afterwards com-bined with other artifices to obtain the same end, fai-fetched metaphors and extravagant conceits. The French versifiers of the latter end of the sixteenth century were eminent in both, as the works of Ronsard and Du Bartas attest. might, indeed, take the Creation of Du Baitas more properly than the Euphues of our English Lilly, which though very affected and unpleasing, does hardly such violence to common speech and common sense, for the type of the style which, in the early part of the seventeenth century, became popular in several countries, but especially in Spain, through the misplaced labours of Gongora.

^{*} Cervantes, in his Viage del Parnaso, style, but this, Dieze says, is all ironical praises Gongora, and even imitates his Gesch der Dichtkunst, p 250

18 Lois de Gongora, a min of very considerable talents, ood capable of writing well, os he has shown, in different styles of poetry, was unfortunately led by an ambitious desire of popularity to introduce one which shoold render his name imminitel, as it has done in a mode which he did not design. This was his extilo culto, as it was usually called, or highly polished phraseology, wherein every word seems to have been out of its untural place "In fulfilment of this object," says Booterwick, "he formed for himself, with the most laborious assiduity in style as uncommon as offected, ood opposed to all the ordinary rules of the Spanish language, either in prose or verse. He,particularly eodenyoored to introdoce into his notive tongue the intricato constructions of the Greek and Latin, though such on arrangement of words had never been attempted in Spanish composition. He consequently found it necessary to invest a particular system of punctioning in order to render the sense of his verses intelligible. Not satisfied with this patchwork kind of phraseology, he affected to attach an extraordinary depth of meaning to each word, and to diffuse an nir of soperior dignity over his whole style. In Gongora's poetry the most common words received a totally new signification, and io order to impart perfection to his citilo cullo, he sum mooed all his mythological learning to his aid " " " Gon gorn," says on Loglish writer, " was the founder of a sect in literature. The style called in Castilian cultismo owes its origin to him. This affectation consists in using longuage so pedantic, metaphors so strained, and constructions so in volved, that few readers have the knowledge requisite to noderstand the words, and still fewer ingenuity to discover the allusion, or patience to unravel the sentences These outhors do not avail themselves of the invention of letters for the perpose of cookeying but of concealing their ideas,"†

19 "The Googensts formed a strong party in literature, and carried with them the public voice. If we were to believe some writers of the seventeenth coeury, he was the greatest poet of Spam ‡ The age of

Botterwek, p. 454

+ Lord Holland's Lope de Vegs, to the disgrees of his Judgment, maintains this with the most extravagant

VOL III

C

Cervantes was over, nor was there vitality enough in the criticism of the reign of Philip IV. to resist the contagion. Two sects soon appeared among these cultoristos; one who retained that name, and like their master, affected a certain precision of style; another, called conceptistos, which went still greater lengths in extravagance, desirous only, it might seem, of expressing absurd ideas in unnatural language. The prevalence of such a disease, for no other analogy can so fitly be used, would seem to have been a bad presage for Spain; but in fact, like other diseases, it did but make the tour of Europe, and rage worse in some countries than in others. It had spent itself in France, when it was at its height in Italy and England. I do not perceive the close connexion of the estilo culto of Gongora with that of Marini, whom both Bouterwek and Lord Holland suppose to have formed his own taste on the Spanish school. It seems rather too severe an imputation on that most ingenious and fertile poet, who, as has already been observed, has no fitter parallel than Ovid. The strained metaphors of the Adone are easily collected by critics, and seem extravagant in juxtaposition, but they recur only at intervals, while those of Gongora are studiously forced into every line, and are besides incomparably more refined and obscure. His style, indeed, seems to be like that of Lycophron, without the excuse of that prophetical mystery which breathes a certain awfulness over the symbolic language of the Cassandra. Nor am I convinced that our own metaphysical poetry in the reigns of James and Charles had much to do with either Marmi or Gongora, except as it bore marks of the same vice, a restless ambition to excite wonder by overstepping the boundaries of nature.

eulogy on Gongora, and Baillet copies who practises it, Manuel de Faria y him, but the next age unhesitatingly have, laid claim to the estilo culto as prose their property, and one of their writers

Sousa, gives Don Sebastian the credit of reversed the sentence The Portuguese having been the first who wrote it in

* Bouterwel, p 438

SECT III

Malkerbo - Reguler - Other French Poets

20 MALHERBE, a very few of whose poems belong to the last century, but the greater part to the first twenty, Manusca years of the present, gave n polish and n grace to the lyric poetry of France which has rendered his name celebrated in her criticism The public taste of that country is (or I should rather say, used to be) more intolerant of defects in poetry than rigorous in its demands of excellence. Malberbe, therefore, who substituted a regular and accurate versification, a style pure and generally free from pedantic or colloquial phrases, and a sustained tone of what were reckoned elevated thoughts, for the more unequal strams of the sixteenth cen tury acquired a reputation which may lead some of his readers to disappointment. And this is likely to be increased by a very few lines of great beauty which are known by heart. These stand too much alone in his poems. In general, we find in them neither imagery nor sentiment that yield us delight. He is less mythological less affected, less given to frigid hyperboles than his predecessors, but far too much so for any one accustomed to real poetry In the panegyrical odes Malherbe displays some felicity and skill, the poet of kings and courtiers, he wisely perhaps wrote, even when he could have written better, what kings and conrtiers would understand and reward Polished and elegant, his lines seldom pass the conventional tone of poetry, and while he is never original he is rarely impressive. Malherbe may stand in relation to Horace as Chiabrera does to Pindar the nualogy is not very close, but he is far from deficient in that calm philosophy which forms the charm of the Roman poet, and we are willing to believe that he sacrificed his time reluctantly to the praises of the great. It may be suspected that he wrote verses for others, a practice not unusual, I believe, among these courtly rhymers, at least his Alcandro seems to be Henry IV, Chrysanthe or Oranthe the Princess of Coudé. He seems himself in some passages to have affected

gallantry towards Mary of Medicis, which at that time was not reckoned an impertmence.

21. Bouterwek has criticised Malherbe with some justice, but with greater severity." He deems him no poet, Criticisms which in a certain sense is surely true. But we upon his poetry narrow our definition of poetry too much, when we exclude from it the versification of good sense and select diction. This may probably be ascribed to Malherbe, though Bouhours, an acute and somewhat rigid critic, has pointed out some passages which he deems nonsensical. Another writer of the same age, Rapin, whose own taste was not very glowing, observes that there is much prose in Malherbe; and that, well as he merits to be called correct, he is a little too desirous of appearing so, and often becomes frigid. 1 Boileau has extolled him, perhaps, somewhat too highly, and La Harpe is inclined to the same side; but in the modern state of French criticism, the danger is that the Malherbes will be too much depreciated.

22. The satires of Regnier have been highly praised by Boileau, a competent judge, no doubt, in such matters. Some have preferred Regnier even to himself, and found in this old Juvenal of France a certain stamp of saturcal genius which the more polished critic wanted. \$\\$ These satues are unlike all other French poetry of the age of Henry IV.; the tone is vehement, somewhat rugged and coarse, and reminds us a little of his contemporaries Hall and Donne, whom, however, he will generally and justly be thought much to excel. Some of his satires are borrowed from Ovid or from the Italians § They have been called gross and licentious, but this only applies to one, the rest are unexcep-Regnier, who had probably some quarrel with Malherbe, speaks with contempt of his elaborate polish. But the taste of France, and especially of that highly cultivated nobility who formed the court of Louis XIII. and his son, no

^{*} Vol v p 238

[†] Reflexions sur la Poetique, p 147 Malherbe a esté le premier qui nous a remis dans le bon chemin, joignant la pureté au grand style, mais comme il commença cette mamère, il ne put la porter jusques dans sa perfection, il y a bien de la prose dans ses vers. In an-

other place he says, Malherbe est exact et correct, mais il ne hazarde rien, et par l'envie qu'il a d'être trop sage, il est souvent froid p 209

[‡] Bouterwek, p 246 La Harpe Biogr Univ

[§] Niceron, xi 397

longer endaried the rade, though sometimes animated, versification of the older poets. Next to Malherbe in reputation stood Racan and Maynard; both more or less of his Racan school. Of these it was said by their master that Maynard school. Of these it was said by their master that Maynard school of the two for Racan, and that a good poet might be made out of the two for A foreigner will in general prefer the former, who seems to have possessed more imagination and sensibility, and a keener relish for rural beauty. Maynard's verses, according to Pelisson, have an ease and elegance that few can imitate, which proceeds from his natural and simple construction the had more success in epigram than in his sonnets, which Boilean has treated with little respect. Nor does he speak better of Malleville, who chose no other species of verse, hot seldom produced a finished piece, though not deficient in spirit and delicacy. Viand, more frequeotly known by the name of Theophile, a writer of no great elevation of style, is not destitote of imagination. Soch at least is the opinion of Rapin and Booterwek t

23 The poems of Gombauld were, in general, published before the middle of the century, his epigrams, which are most esteemed, in 1657 These are often lively and neat. But a style of playfulness and gauety had been introduced by Voture French poetry under Ronsard and lus school and even that of Malberbe, had lost the

school and even that of Malberbe, and lost the lively tone of Marot, and became serious almost to severity Votarre, with an apparent case and grace, though without the natural air of the old writers, made it once more amoning In reality, the style of Voture is artificial and elaborate but, like his imitator Prior among us, he has the skill to disguise this from the reader. He must be admitted to have had in verse as well as prose, a considerable influence over the taste of France. He wrote to please women, and women are grateful when they are pleased Sarraziu, says his brographer, though less celebrated than Voture, deserves perhaps to be rated above him, with equal ingenuity,

Pelisson, Hist, de l'Académie, 1 200. Beillet, Jugement des Savans (Poites), n. 1510. Le Harpe, Cours de Littérature, Bouterwek, v 260. † lidem.

[†] Bouterwek 252. Rapin says, Théòphile a l'imagination grande et le sena petit. Il a des hardiesses heurenses à force de se permettre tout. Réflexions sur la Postique, p. 209.

he is far more natural.* The German historian of French literature has spoken less respectfully of Sarrazin, whose verses are the most insipid rhymed prose, such as he not unhappily calls toilet-poetry.† This is a style which finds little mercy on the right bank of the Rhine; but the French are better judges of the merit of Sarrazin.

SECT. IV.

Rise of Poetry in Germany - Opitz and his followers - Dutch Poets

24. The German lauguage had never been more despised by the learned and the noble than at the beginning Low state of the seventeenth century, which seems to be the lowest point in its native literature. The capacity was not wanting; many wrote Latin verse with success; the collection made by Gruter is abundant in these cultivators of a foreign tongue, several of whom belong to the close of the preceding age. But among these it is said that whoever essayed to write their own language did but fail, and the instances adduced are very few. The upper ranks began about this time to speak French in common society; the buighers, as usual, strove to imitate them; and what was far worse, it became the mode to intermingle French words with German, not singly and sparingly, as has happened in other times and countries, but in a jargon affectedly pie-bald and macaronic. Some hope might have been Laterary founded on the literary academies, which, in emulation of Italy, sprung up in this period. The oldest is The Fruitful Society (Die fruchtbringende Gesellschaft), known also as the order of Palms, established at Weimai in 1617. ‡ Five princes enrolled their names at the beginning. It held forth the laudable purpose of purifying and correcting the mother tongue and of promoting its literature, after the

^{*} Biogr Univ Baillet, n 1532
† Bouterwek, v 256 Specimens of all these poets will be found in the collection of Auguis, vol vi and I must own, that, with the exceptions of Mal-

herbe, Regnier, and one or two more, my own acquaintance with them extends little farther

[#] Bouterwel, x 35

manner of the Italian academies. But it is not unusual for literary associations to promise much and full of performance, one man is more easily found to lay down a good plan, than many to co-operate in its execution Probably this was merely the scheme of some more grifted individual, perhaps Werder, who translated Ariosto and Tasso*, for little good was effected by the matitution Nor did several others which at different times in the seventeenth century arose over Ger many deserve more praise. They copied the academies of Italy in their quaint names and titles, in their hy laws, their petty ceremonials and symbolic distinctions, to which, as we always find in these self-elected societies, they attached vast importance, and thought themselves superior to the world by doing nothing for it. "They are gone," exclaims Bouterwek, "and have left no clear vestige of their existence." Such had been the meister-singers before them, and little else in effect were the Academies, in a more genial soil, of their own age Notwithstanding this, though I am compelled to follow the historian of German literature, it must strike us that these societies seem to manifest a public esteem for something in tellectual, which they know not precisely how to attain, and it is to be observed that several of the best poets in the soven teenth century belonged to them

25 A very small number of poets, such as Meckerlin and Spee, in the early part of the seventeenth century, ocital though with many faults in point of taste, have been commemorated by the modern historians of literature. But they were wholly eclipsed by one whom Germany regards as the founder of her poetic literature, Martin Opitz, n native of Siléna, honoured with a laurel grown by the em peror in 1628, and raised to offices of distinction and trust in several courts. The national admiration of Opitz seems to have been almost enthnmastic, yet Opitz was far from being the poet of enthusiasm Had he been such his age might not have understood him. His taste was French and Dutch, two countries of which the poetry was pure end correct, but not imaginative. No great elevation, no energy of genius will be found in this German Heinsius or Malherbe Opitz displayed, however, another kind of excellence

wrote the language with a purity of idiom, in which Luther alone, whom he chose as his model, was superior; he gave more strength to the versification, and paid a regard to the collocation of syllables according to their quantity, or length of time required for articulation, which the earlier poets had neglected. He is, therefore, reckoned the inventor of a rich and harmonious rhythm; and he also rendered the Alexandrine verse much more common than before.* His sense is good; he writes as one conversant with the ancients, and with mankind, if he is too didactic and learned for a poet in the higher import of the word, if his taste appears fettered by the models he took for imitation, if he even retarded, of which we can hardly be sure, the development of a more genuine nationality in German literature, he must still be allowed, in a favourable sense, to have made an epoch in its history.†

26. Opitz is reckoned the founder of what was called the His follow- first Silesian school, rather so denominated from him than as determining the birthplace of its poets. They were chiefly lyric, but more in the line of songs and short effusions in trochaic metre than of the regular ode, and sometimes display much spirit and feeling. The German song always seems to bear a resemblance to the English; the identity of metre and i hythm conspires with what is more essential, a certain analogy of sentiment. Many, however, of Opitz's followers, like himself, took Holland for their Par-

* Bouterwek (p 94) thinks this no advantage, a rhymed prose in Alexandrines overspread the German literature of the seventeenth and first part of the eighteenth century

† Bouterwek, x 89-119, has given an elaborate critique of the poetry of " He is the father, not of German poetry, but of the modern German language of poetry, der neueren deutschen dichtersprache p 93 The fame of Opitz spread beyond his country, little as his language was familiar Non periit Germania, Grotius writes to him, in 1631, Opiti doctissime, que te habet leant. Epist. 272 And afterwards, in 1638, thanking him for the present of his translation of the Psalms Dignus erat

rex poeta interprete Germanorum poetarum rege, niliil enim tilii blandiens dieo, ita sentio à te primum Germanicæ poesi formam datam et habitum quo eum alus gentibus possit contendere Baillet observes, that Opitz passes for the best of German poets, and the first who gave rules to that poetry, and raised it to the state it had since reached, so that he is rather to be accounted its father than its improver Jugemens des Savans (Poctes), n 1436 But reputation is transitory, though ten editions of the poems of Opitz were published within the seventeenth century, which locupletissimum testem, quid lingua Bouterwek thinks much for Germany at Germanica, quid ingenia Germanica va- that time, though it would not be so much in some countries, searce any one, except the lovers of old literature, now asks for these obsolete productions p 90

bonrs.

nassus, and translated their sougs from Dutch. Fleming was distinguished by a genuma feeling for lyric poetry, he made Opitz his model, but had he not thed young, would probably have gone beyond him, being endowed by nature with n more poetical genius Gryph, or Gryphius, who belonged to the Fruitful Society, and bore in that the surnsme of the immortal, with faults that strike the reader in every page, is also superior in fancy and warmth to Opitz But Gryph is better known in German literature by his tragedies The bymns of the Lutheran church are by no meaus the lowest form of German poetry They have been the work of every age since the Reformation, but Dach and Gerhard, who, especially the latter, excelled in these devotional sours. lived about the middle of the seventeenth century The abade of Luther seemed to protect the church from the profanation of bad taste, or, as we should rather say, it was the intense theopathy of the German instiou, and the simple ma jesty of their ecclesiastical music.*

27 It has been the misfortune of the Dotch, a great people, a people fertile of men of various ability been and erudition, a people of scholars, of theologans been and philosophers of mathematicans, of historians, of pain terr, and, we may add, of poets, that these last have been the mere violets of the shade, and have peculiarly suffered by the narrow limits within which their language has been spoken or known. The Flemish dialect of the southern Netherlands might have contributed to make up something like a national literature, extensive enough to be respected in Europe, if those provinces, which now affect the name of Belgium, had been equally fertile of talents with their ueigh

28 The golden age of Dutch literature is this first part of the seventh-entity. Their chief poets are Spiegel Hooft, Cats, and Voudel The first, who has been styled the Dutch Ennius, died in 1612 his prin cipal poem, of an ethical kind is posthamons, but may probably have been written towards the close of the preceding century. "The style is vigorous and concise, it is rich in imagery and powerfully expressed, but is deficient in ele-

^{*} Bouterwek, L. 218. Eichhorn, 1 888.

gance and perspicuity." * Spiegel had rendered much service to his native tongue, and was a member of a literary academy which published a Dutch grammar in 1581. Koornhert and Dousa, with others known to fame, were his colleagues; and be it remembered, to the honour of Holland, that in Germany, or England, or even in France, there was as yet no institution of this kind. But as Holland at the end of the sixteenth century, and for many years afterwards, was pre-emmently the literary country of Europe, it is not surprising that some endeavours were made, though unsuccessfully as to European renown, to cultivate the native language. This language is also more soft, though less sonorous than the German.

29. Spiegel was followed by a more celebrated poet, Peter Hooft, who gave sweetness and harmony to Dutch verse. "The great creative power of poetry," it has been said, "he did not possess, but his language is correct, his style agreeable, and he did much to introduce a better epoch." † His amatory and anacreomic lines have never been excelled in the language; and Hooft is also distinguished both as a dramatist and an historian. He has been called the Tacitus of Holland. But here again Ins praises must by the generality be taken upon trust. Cats is a poet of a different class, case, abundance, simplicity, clearness, and purity are the qualities of his style: his imagination is gay, his morality popular and useful. No one was more read than Father Cats, as the people call lim; but he is often trifling and monotonous. Cats, though he wrote for the multitude, whose descendants still almost know his poems by heart, was a man whom the republic held in high esteem; twice ambassador in England, he died great pensionary of Holland, in 1651. Vondel, a native of Cologne, but the glory, as he is deemed, of Dutch poetry, was best known as a tragedian. In his tragedies, the lyric part, the choruses which he retained after the ancient model, have been called the sublimest of odes. But some have spoken less highly of Vondel. \$\pm\$

^{*} Biogr Univ † Id poets I am indebted to Eichhorn, vol iv † Foreign Quart Rev vol iv p 49 purt 1, and to the Biographic Univer-For this short account of the Dutch

SO Denmark had no Interature in the native language, except a collection of old ballads, full of Scandina- Dealth vian legends, till the present period, and in this it restricted does not appear that sho had more than one poet, in Norwegian bishop, named Arrebo Nothing, I believe, was written in Swedish Sclavonian, that is, Polish and Russian, poets there were, but we know so little of those languages, that they cannot enter, it least during so distant in period, into the history of European Interature

SECT V - ON ENGLISH POFTRY

Instators of Spenser — The Pietchers — Philosophical Poets — Denham —
Danac — Cowley — Historical and Narratine Poets — Shakspente's Souncis —
Lytic Poets — Milion's Lyculus and other Poems

31 THE English poets of these fifty years are very numer one, and though the greater part are not familiar to the general reader, they form a favourte study of those who caltivate our poetry, and are sought by all collectors of scarce and interesting literature.

Many of them have within half a century been reprinted separately, and many more in the usefol and copious collections of Anderson, Chalmers, and other editors. Extracts have also been made by Headley, Ellis, Campbell, and Southey It will be convenient to arrange them rather according to the schools to which they belonged, than in mere order of chronology

S2. Whatever were the misfortunes of Spenser's life, whatever neglect he might have experienced at the paircal hands of a statesman grown old in cares which render a man insensible to song, his spirit might be consoled by the prodigions repitation of the Fairy Queen He was placed at once by his country ubove all the great Italian names, and next to Virgil among the ancients, it was a natural consequence that some should imitate what they so deeply reverenced. An ardent admiration for Spenser in

spired the genius of two young brothers, Phineas and Giles Fletcher. The first, very soon after the Queen's death, as some allusions to Lord Essex seem to denote, composed, though he did not so soon publish, a poem, entitled The Purple Island. By this strange name he expressed a subject more strange; it is a minute and elaborate account of the body and mind of man. Through five cautos the reader is regaled with nothing but allegorical anatomy, in the details of which Phineas seems tolerably skilled, evincing a great deal of ingenuity in diversifying his metaphors, and in presenting the delineation of his imaginary island with as much justice as possible to the allegory without obtruding it on the reader's view. In the sixth canto he rises to the intellectual and moral faculties of the soul, which occupy the rest of the poem. From its nature it is insuperably wearisome; yet his language is often very poetical, his versification harmonious, his invention fertile. But that perpetual monotony of allegorical persons, which sometimes displeases us even Spenser, is seldom relieved in Fletcher, the understanding revolts at the confused crowd of inconceivable beings in a philosophical poem, and the justness of analogy, which had given us some pleasure in the anatomical cantos, is lost in tedious descriptions of all possible moral qualities, each of them personified, which can never co-exist in the Puiple Island of one individual.

33. Giles Fletcher, brother of Phineas, in Christ's Victory and Triumph, though his subject has not all the unity that might be desired, had a manifest superiority in its choice. Each uses a stanza of his own, Phineas one of seven lines, Giles one of eight. This poem was published in 1610. Each brother alludes to the work of the other, which must be owing to the alterations made by Phineas in his Purple Island, written probably the first, but not published, I believe, till 1633. Giles seems to have more vigour than his elder brother, but less sweetness, less smoothness, and more affectation in his style. This, indeed, is deformed by words neither English nor Latin, but simply barbarous; such as elamping, eblazon, deprostrate, purpured, glitterand, and many others. They both bear much resemblance to Spenser: Giles sometimes ventures to cope

with him, even in eclebrated passages, such as the description of the Cave of Despare. And he has had the honour, in turn, of being followed by Milton, especially in the first meeting of our Saviour with Satan in the Paradise Regained Both of these brothers are deserving of much praise, they were endowed with minds eminently poetical, and not inferior in magnitude to any of their contemporaries. But on injudicious taste, and mexcessive fondness for a style which the public was rapidly abandoning, that of allegorical personification, provented their powers from being effectively displayed

31 Notwithstanding the popularity of Spenser, and the general pride in his name, that allegorical and imo ginative school of poetry, of which he was the great est ornament, did not by any means exclude a vory different kind. The English, or such as hy their edocation gave tho tone in literature, had become, in the latter years of the queen, and still more under her successor, a deeply thinking, a learned, a philosophical people A sententions reasoning, grave, anbile and condensed, or the novel and remote analogies of wit, gained praise from mony whom the creations of an excursive fancy could not attract. Hence much of the poetry of James's reign is distinguished from that of Eliza beth, except perhaps her last years, hy partaking of the general character of the age , deficient in simplicity, grace, and feeling, often obscure and pedantic, but impressing us with a respect for the man, where we do not recognize the poet. From this condition of public taste crose two schools of poetry, different in character, if not unequal in merit, but both appealing to the reasoning more than, to the imaginative faculty us their indge.

S5 The first of these may own as its founder Sir John Davies, whose poem on the Immortality of the Soul, published in 1600, has had its due honour in our last volume. Davies is emment for perspicinty, but this cannot be said for another philosophical poet, Sir Fulk Greville, afterwards Lord Brooke, the bosom friend of Sir Philip Sidney, end once the patron of Jordano Bruno. The titles of Lord Brooke s, poems, A Treatise of Human Learning, A Treatise of Monarchy, A Treatise of Religion, An Inquisition

upon Fame and Honour, lead us to anticipate more of sense than fancy. In this we are not deceived; his mind was pregnant with deep reflection upon multifarious learning, but he struggles to give utterance to thoughts which he had not fully endowed with words, and amidst the shackles of rhyme and metre which he had not learned to manage. Hence of all our poets he may be reckoned the most obscure; in aiming at condensation, he becomes elliptical beyond the bounds of the language, and his rhymes, being forced for the sake of sound, leave all meaning behind. Lord Brooke's poetry is chiefly worth notice as an indication of that thinking spirit upon political science, which was to produce the riper speculations of Hobbes, and Hairington, and Locke.

36. This argumentative school of verse was so much in unison with the character of that generation, that Daniel, a poet of a very different temper, adopted it in his panegyric addressed to James soon after his accession, and in some other poems. It had an influence upon others who trod generally in a different track, as is especially perceived in

Giles Fletcher. The Cooper's Hill of Sir John Denham's Denham, published in 1645, belongs in a considerable degree to this reasoning class of poems. It is also descriptive, but the description is made to slide into philosophy. The plan is original, as far as our poetry is concerned, and I do not recollect any exception in other languages. Placing himself upon an eminence not distant from Windsor, he takes a survey of the scene, he finds the tower of St. Paul's on his farthest horizon, the Castle much nearer, and the Thames at his feet. These, with the ruins of an abbey, supply in turn materials for a reflecting rather than imaginative mind, and, with a stag-hunt which he has very well described, fill up the canvas of a poem of no great length, but once of no trifling reputation.

37. The epithet, majestic Denham, conferred by Pope, conveys rather too much; but Cooper's Hill is no ordinary poem. It is nearly the first instance of vigorous and rhythmical couplets, for Denham is incomparably less feeble than Browne, and less prosaic than Beaumont. Close in thought, and nervous in language like Davies, he is less hard and less monotonous; his cadences are animated and various, perhaps

a little beyond the regularity that motro demands, they have been the guide to the finer car of Dryden Those who can not endure the philosophic poetry, must ever be dissatisfied with Cooper's Hill, no personification, no ardent words, few metaphors beyond the common use of speech nothing that warms, or melts, or fascuates the heart. It is rare to find lines of eminent beauty in Denham, and equally so to be struck by uny one as feeble or low His language is always well chosen and perspicuous, free from those strange turns of expression, frequent in our older poets, where the reader is Appt to suspect some error of the press, so preconcilable do they seem with grammar or menning The expletive do, which the best of his predecessors use freely, seldom occurs m Denham, and he has in other respects brushed away the rust of languid and sueffectivo redaudancies which have obstructed the popularity of men with more native genius than butiself •

S3 Another class of poets in the reigns of James and his son were those whom Johnson has called the meta physical, a name rather more applicable, in the ordinary use of the word, to Davies and Brooke. These were such as laboured after concerts, or novel turns of thought, usually false, and resting upon some equivocation of language, or exceedingly remote analogy. This style Johnson supposes to have been derived from Murian. But Donne, its founder, as Johnson imagines, in England, wrote before Mariai. It is, in fact, as we have lately observed, the style which, though Mariai has carned the discreditable requiation of perverting the taste of his country by it, had been gaining ground through the lutter half of the sixteenth cen

The comparison by Deulium between the Thames and his own poetry was once celebrated:—

O could I flow like thee, and make thy stream My bright example, as it is my theme; Though deep; yet clear; i though gundle, yet not dill; Streag without.rage without o'erflowing full.

Johnson, while be highly extols these lines, truly observed, that "most of the words thus sartfully opposed, are to be understood simply on one side of the comparison, and metaphorically on the other; and if there be any language

which does not superess intallectual operations by material inerges, into that language they cannot be translated." Perlays these metaploes are so naturally separate to the solution of the solution of the solution of the solution of objection of the solution object, and that with which turns on a play we solve the solution objection of the solution of the solution objection of the solution o

tury. It was, in a more comprehensive view, one modification of that vitiated taste which sacrificed all ease and naturalness of writing and speaking for the sake of display. The mythological erudition and Grecisms of Ronsard's school, the Euphuism of that of Lilly, the "estilo culto" of Gongora, even the pedantic quotations of Burton and many similar writers, both in England and on the Continent, sprang like the concetti of the Italians, and of their English imitators, from the same source, a dread of being overlooked if they paced on like their neighbours. And when a few writers had set the example of successful faults, a bad style, where no sound principles of criticism had been established, readily gaining ground, it became necessary that those who had not vigour enough to rise above the fashion, should seek to fall in with it. Nothing is more injurious to the cultivation of verse, than the trick of desiring, for praise or profit, to attract those by poetry whom nature has left destitute of every quality which genuine poetry can attract. and perhaps the only secure basis for public taste, for an æsthetic appreciation of beauty, in a court, a college, a city, is so general a diffusion of classical knowledge, as by rendering the finest models familiar, and by giving them a sort of authority, will discountenance and check at the outset the vicious novelties which always exert some influence over uneducated minds. But this was not yet the case in England. Milton was perhaps the first writer who emmently possessed a genuine discernment and feeling of antiquity, though it may be perceived in Spenser, and also in a very few who wrote in prose.

39. Donne is generally esteemed the earliest, as Cowley was afterwards the most conspicuous, model of this manner. Many instances of it, however, occur in the lighter poetry of the queen's reign. Donne is the most inharmonious of our versifiers, if he can be said to have deserved such a name by lines too rugged to seem metre. Of his earlier poems many are very licentious, the later are chiefly devout. Few are good for much, the conceits have not even the merit of being intelligible; it would perhaps be difficult to select three passages that we should care to read again.

40. The second of these poets was Crashaw, a man of

some imagination and great piety, but whose softness of heart, united with feeble judgment, led him to admire and imitate whatever was most extravagant in the mystic writings of Saint Teresa. He was more than Donne a follower of Marini, once of whose poems, The Massacre of the Innocents, he translated with success. It is difficult, in general, to find any thing in Crashaw that had taste has not deformed. His poems were first published in 1646

41 In the next year, 1647, Cowley's Mistress appeared, the most celebrated performance of the miscalled covery metaphysical poets. It is a series of short amotory poems, in the Italian style of the age, full of analogies that have no semblance of truth, except from the double souse of words and thoughts that unito the coldness of subtilty, with the hyperbolical extravagance of counterfeited passion few anacreontic poems, and some other light pieces of Cowley, have a spirit and raciness very unlike these frigid concerts, and in the ode on the death of his friend Mr Harvey he gave some proofs of real sensibility and poetic grace The Pindaric odes of Cowley were not published within this period But is not worth while to defer mention of them. They con tain, like all his poetry, from time to time, very beautiful lines, but the faults are still of the same kind, his sensibility and good sense, nor has any poet mere, are choked by false taste, and it would be difficult to fix on any one poem in which the beauties are more frequent than the blemishes Johnson has selected the elegy on Crashaw as the finest of Cowley's works. It begins with a very beautiful couplet, but I confess that little else seems, to my taste, of much The Complaint, probably better known than any other poem, appears to mn the best in itself His disap pointed hopes give a not unpleasing melancholy to several passages Bat his Latin ode in a similar strain is much more Cowley, perhaps, upon the whole, has had a reputation more above his deserts than any English poet, yet it is very easy to perceive that some who wrote better than he did not possess so fine n genius. Johnson has written the life of Cowley with peculiar care, and as his summary of the poet s character is more favourable than my own, it may VOL III

be candid to insert it in this place, as at least very discrimi-

nating, elaborate, and well expressed.

42. "It may be affirmed, without any encomiastic fervour, that he brought to his poetic labours a mind replete with learning, and that his pages are embellished with all the ornaments which books could supply; that he was the first who imparted to English numbers the enthusiasm of the greater ode, and the gaiety of the less*; that he was equally qualified for sprightly sallies and for lofty flights; that he was among those who freed translation from servility, and instead of following his author at a distance, walked by his side; and that, if he left versification yet improvable, he left likewise from time to time such specimens of excellence as enabled succeeding poets to improve it."

43. The poets of historical or fabulous narrative belong to another class. Of these the earliest is Daniel, whose Narrative minor poems fall partly within the sixteenth century. His History of the Civil Wars between York and poets Daniel Lancaster, a poem in eight books, was published in 1604. Faithfully adhering to truth, which he does not suffer so much as an ornamental episode to interrupt, and equally studious to avoid the bolder figures of poetry, it is not surprising that Daniel should be little read. It is, indeed, certain that much Italian and Spanish poetry, even by those whose name has once stood rather high, depends chiefly upon merits which he abundantly possesses, a smoothness of rhythm, and a lucid narration in simple language. But that which from the natural delight in sweet sound is enough to content the ear in the southern tongues, will always seem bald and tame in our less harmonious verse. It is the chief praise of Daniel, and must have contributed to what popularity he enjoyed in his own age, that his English is eminently pure, free from affectation of archaism and from pedantic innovation, with very little that is now obsolete. Both in prose and in poetry, he is, as to language, among the best writers of his time, and wanted but a greater confidence in his own power, or, to speak

Cowley superior in gaiety to Sir John " Was not Milton's Ode on the Nativity written as early as any of Cow-ley's? And would Johnson have thought Suckling?

less indulgently, a greater share of it, to sustain his correct

taste, calm sense, and moral feeling 44 Next to Daniel in time, and much above him in reach of mind, we place Michael Drayton, whose Barons' Drayton, Wars have been mentioned under the preceding Polytokea. period, but whose more famous work was published partly in 1613, and partly in 1622 Drayton's Polyelbion is a poem of about 30,000 lines in length, written in Alexandrine complets, a measure, from its monotony, and perhaps from its frequency in doggrel ballads, not at all pleasing to the ear It contains a topographical description of England, illustrated with a produgality of historical and legendary crudition Such a poem is essentially designed to instruct, and speaks to tha understanding more than to the fancy Tha powers displayed in it are, however, of n high cast. It has generally been a difficulty with poets to deal with a necessary con meration of proper names The catalogue of ships is not the most delightful part of the Ilind, and Arrosto never encoun ters such a roll of persons or places without sinking into the tamest insigndity. Virgil is splendidly beautiful upon similar occasions, but his decorative elegance could not be preserved, nor would continue to please, in a poem that kept up through n great length the effort to furnish instruction. The style of Drayton is sastained, with extraordinary ability, on an equable line, from which he seldem much daviates, neither brilliant ner prosaic, few or no passages could be marked as impressive, but few are languid or mean The language 18 clear, strong, various, and sufficiently figurative, the stories and fictions interspersed, as well as the general spirit and liveliness, relieve the heaviness incident to topographical de scription There is probably no poem of this kind in any other language, comparable together in extent and excellence to the Polyelbiou, nor can any one read a portion of it with ont admiration for its learned and highly gifted anthor Yet perhaps no English poem, known as well by name, is so little known beyond its name, for while its immense length deters the common reader, it affords, as has just been hinted, no great harvest for selection, and would be judged very unfairly by partial extracts. It must be owned also that geography and antiquities may, in modern times, be taught better in

prose than in verse, yet whoever consults the Polyolbion for such objects, will probably be repaid by petty knowledge which he may not have found any where else.

45. Among these historical poets I should incline to class William Browne, author of a poem with the quaint title of Britannia's Pastorals, though his story, one Britannia's Pastorals | of little interest, seems to have been invented by himself. Browne, indeed, is of no distinct school among the writers of that age, he seems to recognise Spenser as his master, but his own manner is more to be traced among later than earlier poets. He was a native of Devonshire; and his principal poem, above mentioned, relating partly to the local scenery of that county, was printed in 1613. Browne is truly a poet, full of imagination, grace, and sweetness, though not very nervous or rapid. I know not why Headley, favourable enough for the most part to this generation of the sons of song, has spoken of Browne with unfair contempt. Justice, however, has been done to him by later critics.* But I have not observed that they take notice of what is remarkable in the history of our poetical literature, that Browne is an early model of ease and variety in the regular couplet. Many passages in his unequal poem are hardly excelled, in this respect, by the fables of Dryden. It is manifest that Milton was well acquainted with the writings of Browne.

46. The commendation of improving the rhythm of the couplet is due also to Sir John Beaumont, author of a short poem on the battle of Bosworth Field. It was not written, however, so early as the Britannia's Pastorals of Browne. In other respects it has no pretensions to a high rank. But it may be added that a poem of Drummond on the visit of James I. to Scotland in 1617 is perfectly harmonious; and what is very remarkable in that

hereafter" "His poetry," Mr Campbell, a far less indulgent judge of the older bards, observes, "is not without beauty, but it is the beauty of mere landscape and allegory, without the manners and passions that constitute human interest." Specimens of English Poetry, iv \$23

^{* &}quot;Browne," Mr Southey says, "is a poet who produced no slight effect upon his contemporaries George Wither in his happiest pieces has learned the manner of his friend, and Milton may be traced to him. And in our days his peculiarities have been caught, and his beauties imitated, by men who will themselves find admirers and imitators.

age, he concludes the verse at every couplet with the regu

larity of Pope

47 Far unlike the poem of Browne was Gondibert, published by Sir William Davennnt in 1650 It may Director probably have been reckoned by hunself un epic, dealer but in that age the practice of Spain and Italy lad efficed the distinction between the regular epic and the heroic ro-Gondibert belongs rather to the latter class by the entire want of truth in the story, though the scene is laid nt the court of the Lombard kings, by the deficiency of unity in the action, by the intricacy of the events, and by the resources of the fable, which are sometimes too much in the style of comic fiction. It is so imperfect, only two books and part of the third being completed, that we can hardly judge of the termination it was to receive. Each book, however, after the manner of Spenser, is divided into several cantos tains about 6000 lines. The metre is the four fined stanza of alternate rhymes, one capable of great vigour, but not per haps well adapted to poetry of imagination or of passion These, however, Davemant exhibits but sparingly in Gondibert, they are replaced by a philosophical spirit, in the tono of Sir John Davies, who had adopted the same metre and, as some have thought, nonrished by the nuther's friendly intercourse with Hobbes Gondibert is written in a clear, nervous En glish style, its condensation produces some obscurity, but repedantry, nt least that of language, will rarely be found in it, and Davenant is less infected by the love of conceit and of extravagance than his contemporaries, though I would not assert that he is wholly exempt from the former bleinish But the chief praise of Gundibert is due to masculine verse in a good metrical cadence, for the sake of which we may forgive the absence of interest in the story, and even of those glowing words and breathing thoughts which are the soul of genuine poetry Goudibert is very little read, yet it is better worth reading than the Purplu Island, though it may have less of that which distinguishes a poet from an uther man

48 The sonnets of Shakspeare, for we now come to the minor, that is, the shorter and more lyric, poetry of sewet the age, were published in 1609, in minner as years

mysterious as their subject and contents. They are dedicated by an editor (Thomas Thorpe, a bookseller) "to Mr. W. H. the only begetter of these sonnets." No one, as far as I remember, has ever doubted their genumeness; no one can doubt that they express not only real but intense emotions of the heart, but when they were written, who was the W. H. quaintly called their begetter, by which we can only understand the cause of their being written, and to what persons or circumstances they allude, has of late years been the subject of much curiosity. These sonnets were long overlooked, Steevens spoke of them with the utmost scorn, as productions which no one could read; but a very different suffrage is generally given by the lovers of poetry, and perhaps there is now a tendency, especially among young men of poetical tempers, to exaggerate the beauties of these remarkable productions. They rise, indeed, in estimation as we attentively read and reflect upon them, for I do not think that at first they give us much pleasure. No one ever entered more fully than Shakspeare into the character of this species of poetry, which admits of no expletive imagery, no merely ornamental line. But though each sonnet has generally its proper unity, the sense, I do not mean the grammatical construction, will sometimes be found to spread from one to another, independently of that repetition of the leading idea, like variations of an air, which a series of them frequently exhibits, and on account of which they have latterly been reckoned by some rather an integral poem than a collection of sonnets. But this is not uncommon among the Italians, and belongs, in fact, to those of Petrarch himself. They may easily be resolved into several series according to their subjects+, but when read attentively, we find them relate to one

* The precise words of the dedication are the following —

To the only Begetter
Of these ensuing Sonnets
Mr W H
All Happiness
And that eternity promised
By our ever living poet
Wisheth the
Well wishing Adventurer
In setting forth
T I

The title-page runs Shakspeare's Son-

nets, never before imprinted, 4to 1609 G Eld for T T.

† This has been done in a late publication, "Shakspeare's Auto-biographical Poems, by George Armitage Brown" (1838) It might have occurred to any attentive reader, but I do not know that the analysis was ever so completely made before, though almost every one has been aware that different persons are addressed in the former and latter part of

definite, though obscure, period of the poet's life, in which an intachment to some femule, which seems to have touched neither his heart nor his fancy very sensibly, was over powered, without entirely ceasing, by one to a friend, and this last is of such an enthasiastic character, and so extravagant in the phrases that the anthor uses, as to have thrown an unaccountable mystery over the whole work. It is true that in the poetry as well as in the fictions of early ages, we find a more ardent tone of affection in the language of friendship than has since been usual, and yet no instance has been adduced of such rapturous devotedness, such an idelatry of admiring love, as one of the greatest beings whom nature ever produced in the human form poors forth to some un

known youth in the majority of these sonnots 49 . The notion that a woman was their general object is totally untenable, and it is strange that Coleridge should have entertained it. Those that were evi dently addressed to a woman, the person abovo hinted, are by much the smaller part of the whole, but twenty-eight out of one hundred and fifty four And this mysterious Mr W H must be presumed to be the idehsed friend of Shakspeare But who could he be? No one recorded as such in literary lustory or anecdoto answers tho description But if we seize a clue which innumerable passages give us, and suppose that they allude to n youth of high rank as well as personal beauty and accomplishment, in whose favour and intimacy, necording to the base prejudices of the world, a player and a poet, though he were the author of Macbeth, might be thought honoured, something of the strangeness, as it uppears to us, of Shakspeare's hamiliation in addressing him as a being before whose feet he crouched, whose frown he feared, whose injuries, and those of the most

the sonnets. Mr Brown's work did not full into my hands till nearly the time that these sheets passed through the press, which I mention on account of some coincidences of opinion, especially as to Shakapeurs's knowledge of Latin,

* It seems to me that the somets sould only have some from a man-deeply in love, and in love with a woman; and there is one somet-which from its incongraity I take to be a purposed blind,

Table Talk, vol. ii. p. 180. This somet the ailline supposes to be the twentleth, which certainly could not have been addressed to a woman; but the proof is equally strong as to most of the rest. Coloridges opinion is absolutely austraable; nor do I conceive that any one the is likely to maintain it after reading the somets of Sukuppars; but to those who have not does this, the authority may justly seem imposing. insulting kind, the seduction of the mistress to whom we have alluded, he felt and bewarled without resenting; something, I say, of the strangeness of this humiliation, and at best it is but little, may be lightened and in a certain sense rendered intelligible. And it has been ingeniously conjectured within a few years by inquirers independent of each other, that William Herbert Earl of Pembroke, born in 1580, and afterwards a man of noble and gallant character, though always of a licentious life, was shadowed under the initials of Mr. W. H. This hypothesis is not strictly proved, but sufficiently so, in my opinion, to demand our assent.*

50. Notwithstanding the frequent beauties of these sonnets, the pleasure of their perusal is greatly diminished by these circumstances, and it is impossible not to wish that Shakspeare had never written them. There is a weakness and folly in all excessive and misplaced affection, which is not redeemed by the touches of nobler sentiments that abound in this long series of sonnets. But there are also faults of a merely critical nature. The obscurity is often such as only conjecture can penetiate, the strain of tenderness and adoration would be too monotonous, were it less unpleasing; and

* In the Gentleman's Magazine for 1832, p 217 et post, it will be seen that this occurred both to Mr Boaden and Mr Heywood Bright And it does not appear that Mr Brown, author of the work above quoted, had any knowledge

of their priority

Drake has fixed on Lord Southampton as the object of these sonnets, induced probably by the tradition of his friendship with Shakspeare, and by the latter's having dedicated to him his Venus and Adonis, as well as by what is remarkable on the face of the series of sonnets, that Shakspeare looked up to his friend "with reverence and homage." But, unfortunately, this was only the reverence and homage of an inferior to one of high rank, and not such as the virtues of Southampton might have challenged Proofs of the low moral character of "Mr W H" are continual It was also impossible that Lord Southampton could be called "beauteous and lovely youth," or "sweet boy " Mrs Jameson, in her "Loves of the Poets," has adopted

the same hypothesis, but is forced in consequence to suppose some of the earlier somets to be addressed to a women

Pembroke succeeded to his fither in 1601. I incline to think that the sonnets were written about that time, some probably earlier, some later. That they were the same as Mercs, in 1508, has mentioned among the compositions of Shakspeare, "his sugred sonnets among his private friends," I do not helicve, both on account of the date, and from the peculiarly personal allusions they contain

[Much has been written lately on the subject of Shakspeare's sonnets, and a intural reluctance to admit any failings in such a man has led some to fincy that his mistress was no other than his wife, Ann Hathaway, and others to conjecture that he lent his pen to the amours of a friend. But I have seen no ground to alter my own view of the case, except that possibly some other sonnets may have been meant by Meres — 1842]

so many frigid concerts are scattered around, that we might almost fancy the poet to hove written without genuine emotion, did not such a host of other passages offest the contrary

51 The senects of Drummood of Hawtherndee, the most celebrated to that class of poets, have obtained, probably, as much praise as they deserve. But they are polished and elegant, free from concert and bad taste, 10 pare uoblemished Eoglish, somo are pathetic or tender in sentimeot, oud if they do not show much origicality, ot least would have acquired a fair place among the Itolians of the sixteenth cectory Those of Daniel, of Drayton, and of Sir William Alexander, ofterwards Earl of Stirling, aro perhaps hardly inferior Somo moy doubt, howover, whe ther the last poet should be ploced on soch n level † But the difficulty of finding the necessary rhymes in our language Ima caused most who how o ottempted the second to swerie from laws which cannot be transgressed, at least to the degree they have often dared, without losing the unity for which that complex mechanism was contrived. Certainly three quatraios of alternate rhymes, succeeded by a couplet which Drum mond, like many other English poets, has sometimes given us, is the very worst form of the soonet, even if, in deference to a scanty number of Italian precedents, we allow it to pass as a sounct at all ‡ Wo possess indeed noble poetry in the

I concur in this with Mr. Campbell is 343. Mr. Southey thinks Drummond "bas descreet this high reputation be has colorabed; which seems to say the same thing but is in fact different. He observes that Drummond frequently bor rows and sountines translates from the Italian and Spanish poets. Southey's Heith Poets, p. 798. "The furious in vective of Gifford spalout Drummond for be ing written privites memorands of his correvenations with Bes Jossoc, which his did not, publish, and which for aught we know were perfectly falthful, is absurd. Any one site would have been thinkful for so much literary ance-dote.

Stirling shorter pieces. Vol. Iv p. 200 The longest poem of Stirling is entitled Domesday in twelve books, or as the cally them, bours. It is written in the Italian octs waterns, and her somewhat of the condensed type of the philosophical school which he seems to have imitated, but his numbers are barsh.

j The legitimat expect consists of two quarties and two trretts as most skill, to say the lesst, is required for the mesagement of the latter as of the for nor. The rhymes of the last is lines are espable of many arrangements; but by far the word, and also the lesst common in Italy is that we usually adopt, the fifth and sixth rhyming together frequently far a full peace, so that the accusate ends with the point of an epigram. The best form, as the Italian bold, is the rhyming together of the three unerce, and the three even lines, but as our lan

[†] Lord Stirling is rather monotonous, as sometteers usually are and he addresses his mixtress by the appellation, "Fair typress. Campbell observes that there is elegance of expression in a few of

form of sonnet; yet with us it seems more fitted for grave than amatory composition; in the latter we miss the facility and grace of our native English measures, the song, the madrigal, or the ballad.

52. Carew is the most celebrated among the lighter poets, though no collection has hither to embraced his entire writings. Headley has said, and Ellis echoes the praise, that "Carew has the ease without the pedantry of Waller, and perhaps less conceit. Waller is too exclusively considered as the first man who brought versification to any thing like its present standard. Carew's pretensions to the same merit are seldom sufficiently either considered or allowed." Yet in point of versification, others of the same age seem to have surpassed Carew, whose lines are often very harmonious, but not so aitfully constructed or so uniformly pleasing as those of Waller. He is remarkably unequal; the best of his little poems (none of more than thirty lines are good) excel all of his time, but, after a few lines of great beauty, we often come to some ill expressed, or obscure, or weak, or inharmonious passage. Few will hesitate to acknowledge that he has more fancy and more tenderness than Waller, but less choice, less judgment and knowledge where to stop, less of the equability which never offends, less attention to the unity and thread of his little pieces. I should hesitate to give him, on the whole, the preference as a poet, taking collectively the attributes of that character; for we must not, in such a comparison, overlook a good deal of very inferior merit which may be found in the short volume of Carew's poems. The best have great beauty, but he has had, in late criticism, his full share of applause. Two of his

guage is less rich in consonant terminations, there can be no objection to what has abundant precedents even in theirs, the rhyming of the first and fourth, second and fifth, third and sixth, lines. This, with a break in the sense at the third line, will make a real sounct, which Shakspeare, Milton, Bowles, and Wordsworth have often failed to give us, even where they have given us something good instead

[The common form of the Italian sonnet is called rima chiusa, where the

rhymes of the two quatrains are 1, 4, 5, 8 — 2, 3, 6, 7, but the alternate rhyme sometimes, though less regularly, occurs. The tereets are either in rima incatenata, or rima alternata, and great variety is found in these, even among the early poets. Quadrio prefers the order a, b, a, b, a, b, where there are only two rhyming terminations, but does not object to a, b, c, a, b, c, or even a, b, e, b, a, c. The couplet termination he entirely condemns. Quadrio, Storia d'ogni poesia, in 25 — 1842]

most pleasing little poems appear also among those of Herrick, and as Carew's were, I believe, published posthomously, I am rather to choed to prefor the claim of the other poet, in dependently of some toternal evidence as to one of them. In all ages, these very short compositions circulate for a time in polished society, while mistakes as to the real author and natural.

53 The minor poetry of Ben Jonson is extremely bean tiful. This is partly mixed with his masques and loterlades, poetical and musical rather than dramatic pieces, and intended to gratify the imagination by the clearms of song, as well as by the varied scenes that were brought before the eye, partly in very short effusions of n single sentiment, among which two epitaphs are known by heart, Jonson possessed an admirable taste and feeling 10 poetry, which his dramas, except the Sad Shepherd, do not entirely lead us to value highly enough, and when we consider how many other intellectual excellences distinguished him, wit, observation, judgment, memory, learning, we must acknowledge that the inscription on his tomb, O rare Ben Jonson I is not more pithy than it is true.

though more prosperous party 10 the civil war, and by a profusion of temporary writings to serve the country of the civil war, and by a profusion of temporary writings to serve the country of the count

One of these poems begins — Amongst the myrtine as I walk'd. Love and my right that intertalk'd.

"Herrick wants four good lines which are in Carewi, and as they are rather more likely to have been interpolated than left out, this leads to a sort of inference that he was the original; there are also some other petry improvements. The second poem is that beginning a

Ask me why I send you here This firstling of the infinit year Harrick gives the second line strangely This result infrate of the ways

This sweet injusts of the year ... which is little else, than nonsense; and

all the other variations are far the worse. I must leave it in doubt, whether he bor rowed, and disfigured a little, or was himself improved upoh. I must own that he has strick of spoiling what he takes. Suckling has an incomparable image, on lady despired.

Her that betweeth the petitions,
Like little mater stoke in and one,
As if they fear'd the Right....

Herrick has ft thus —
Her practy feet, file small, did every
A little out;

A most singular parallel for an elegant

lished in 1622 with the title "Mistress of Philarete." Some of them are highly beautiful, and bespeak a mind above the grovelling puritanism into which he afterwards fell. I think there is hardly any thing in our lyric poetry of this period equal to Wither's lines on his Muse, published by Ellis."

able mind, turned to versification by the custom of the age, during a real passion for a lady of birth and virtue, the Castara whom he afterwards married, but it displays no great original power, nor is it by any means exempt from the ordinary blemishes of hyperbolical complicant of Pembroke. The poems of William Earl of Pembroke, long known by the character drawn for him by Claiendon, and now as the object of Shakspeare's doting friendship, were ushered into the world after his death, with a letter of extravagant flattery addressed by Donne to Christiana Countess of Devonshire.† But there is little rehance to be placed on the freedom from interpolation of these posthumous editions. Among these poems attributed to Lord Pembroke, we find one of the best known of Carew's‡, and even the famous lines addressed to the Soul, which some have given to Silvester. The poems, in general, are of little ment, some are grossly indecent; nor would they be mentioned here except for the interest recently attached to the author's name. But they throw no light whatever on the sonnets of Shakspeare.

56. Sir John Suckling is acknowledged to have left far behind him all former writers of song in gaiety andease; it is not equally clear that he has ever since been surpassed. His poetry aims at no higher praise; he shows no sentiment or imagination, either because he had them not, or because he did not require either in the style he chose. Perhaps the Italians may have poetry in that style equal to Suckling's; I do not know that they have, nor do

^{*} Ellis's Specimens of Early English Poets, in 96

[†] The only edition that I have seen, or that I find mentioned, of Lord Pembroke's poems, is in 1660 But as Donne died in 1681, I conceive that there must

be one of earlier date. The Countess of Devonshire is not called dowager, her husband died in 1643

Ask me no more whither do stray The golden atoms of the day

I behove that there is any in Trench, that there is none in Latin I am convinced. Lovolace is chiefly known by a single song, his other poetry is much in ferior, and indeed it may be generally remarked that the flowers of our early verse, both in the Elizabethan and the subsequent age have been well called by good tasto and a friendly spirit of selection. We must not judge of them, or shall judge of them very favourably, by the extracts of

Hendley or Ellis.

57 The most umorous, and umong the best of our amor ous poets was Rôbert Hernek, a clergyman ejected from his living in Devoushire by the long parhament, whose "Hesperides, or Poems Human and Divine" were published in 1648 Hernek's divine poems are, of course such as might be presumed by their title and by his calling, of his human, which are poetically much superior, and probably written in early life, the greater portion is light and voluntuone, while some border on the licentious and in decent 'A selection was published in 1815, by which, as commonly happens, the poetical fame of Herrick does not auffer, u number of dall epigrams are omitted, and the edi tor has a manifest preference for what must be owned to he the most elegant and attractive part of his author's rhymes. He has much of the lively grace that distinguishes Anacreon and Catallus, and approaches also, with a less cloying monotony to the Basia of Joannes Secundus Herrick has as much varioty as the poetry of kisses can well have, but his love is in a very sight degree that of sentiment, or even any intense passion, his mistresses have little to recommend 'them, even in his own oyes, save their beauties, and none of these are omitted in his catalogues. Yet he is abundant in the resources of verse, without the exuberail' gaiety of Suckling, or perhaps the deheacy of Carew, he is sportive, fanciful, and generally of polished language. The faults of his age are sometimes apparent, though he is not often ob-scare he runs, more perhaps for the sake of variety than any other cuase, into occasional pedantry, he has his con

Suckling's Epithalamium, though all the world, and is a matchless piece of not written for those "Qui Miness co-liveliness and facility."

Title severalores, bus been read by simoet.

ceits and false thoughts, but these are more than redeemed by the numerous very little poems (for those of Herrick are frequently not longer than epigranis), which may be praised without much more qualification than belongs to such poetry.

of his life, in recovering and recording every cucumstance of which no diligence has been spared, nor has it often been unsuccessful. Of his Latin poetry some was written at the age of seventeen; in Linglish we have nothing, I believe, the date of which is known to be earlier than the somiet on entering his twenty-third year. In 1634, he wrote Comus, which was published in 1637. Lycidas was written in the latter year, and most of his shorter pieces soon afterwards, except the somiets, some of which do not come within the first half of the century.

59. Comus was sufficient to convince any one of taste and feeling that a great poet had arisen in England, and one partly formed in a different school from his contemporaries. Many of them had produced highly beautiful and imaginative passages; but none had evinced so classical a judgment, none had aspired to so regular a perfection. Jonson had learned much from the ancients, but there was a grace in their best models which he did not quite attain. Neither his Sad Shepherd nor the Faithful Shepherdess of Fletcher have the elegance or dignity of Comus. A noble virgin and her young brothers, by whom this masque was originally represented, required an eleva-tion, a purity, a sort of severity of sentiment which no one in that age could have given but Milton. He avoided, and nothing loth, the more festive notes which dramatic poetry was wont to mingle with its serious strain. But for this he compensated by the brightest hues of fancy and the sweetest melody of song. In Comus we find nothing prosaic or feeble, no false taste in the incidents, and not much in the language, 'nothing over which we should desire to pass on a second perusal. The want of what we may call personality, none of the characters having names, except Comus himself, who is a very indefinite being, and the absence of all positive attributes of time and place, enhance the ideality of the

fiction by a certain indistinctness not unpleasing to the imagination

60 It has been said, I think very fairly, that Lycidas is a good test of a real feelug for what is peculiarly called poetry Many, or perhaps we might say, most readers, do not tasto its excellence; nor does it follow that they may not greatly admire Pope and Dryden, or even Virgil and Homer It is, bowover, somowhat remarkable that Johnson, who has committed his critical reputation by tho most contemptuous depreciation of this poem, had in an earlier part of his life selected the tenth eclogue of Virgil for pecu har praise *, the teuth eclogue, which, beautiful as it is, belongs to the same class of pasteral and personal allegory, and requires the same sacrifice of reasoning criticism as the Lycidas itself In the ago of Milton, the poetical world had been accustomed by the Italian and Spanish writers to a more abundant use of allegory than has been pleasing to their posterity; but Lycidas is not so much in the nature of an allegory as of a masque, the characters pass before our eyes in imagication, as on the stage, they are chiefly my thological, but not creations of the poet. Our sympathy with the fate of Lycidas may not be much stronger than for the desertion of Gallus by his mistress, but many poems will yield an exquisite pleasure to the imagination that produce no emotion in the heart, or none at least, except through associations independent of the subject.

61 The introduction of St Peter after the fabulous detties of the sea has appeared an incongruity deserving of censure to some admirers of this poem. It would be very reluctantly that we could abandon to this criticism the most splendid passage it presents. But the censure reats, as I think, on too narrow a principle. In narrative or dramatic poetry, where something like illusion or momentary belief is to be produced the mind requires an objective possibility, a capacity of real existence, not only in all the separate portions of the imagined story, but in their coherency and relation to a common whole. Whatever is obviously incongruous, whatever shocks our previous knowledge of possibility, destroys to a certain extent that acquiescence in the fiction, which

it is the true business of the fiction to produce. But the case is not the same in such poems as Lycidas. They pretend to no credibility, they aim at no illusion; they are read with the willing abandonment of the imagination to a waking dream, and require only that general possibility, that combination of images which common experience does not reject as incompatible, without which the fancy of the poet would be only like that of the lunatic. And it had been so usual to blend sacred with mythological personages in allegory, that no one probably in Milton's age would have been struck by the objection.

Allegro and Penseroso are perhaps more familiar to us than any part of the writings of Milton.

They satisfy the critics, and they delight mankind.

The choice of images is so judicious, their succession so rapid, the allusions are so various and pleasing, the leading distinction of the poems is so felicitously maintained, the versification is so animated, that we may place them at the head of that long series of descriptive poems which our language has to boast. It may be added, as in the greater part of Milton's writings, that they are sustained at an uniform pitch, with few blemishes of expression and scarce any feebleness, a striking contrast, in this respect, to all the contemporaneous poetry, except perhaps that of Waller. Johnson has thought, that while there is no mirth in his melancholy, he can detect some melancholy in his mirth. This seems to be too strongly put; but it may be said that his Allegro is rather cheerful than gay, and that even his cheerfulness is not always without effort. In these poems he is indebted to Fletcher, to Burton, to Browne, to Wither, and probably to more of our early versifiers, for he was a great collector of sweets from those wild flowers.

63. The Ode on the Nativity, far less popular than most of the poetry of Milton, is perhaps the finest in the English language. A grandeur, a simplicity, a breadth of manner, an imagination at once elevated and restrained by the subject, reign throughout it. If Pindar is a model of lyric poetry, it would be hard to name any other ode so truly Pindaric; but more has naturally been derived from the Scriptures. Of the other short poems, that on the

death of the Marchioness of Winehester deserves particular mention. It is pity that the first lines are bad, and the last linich worse; for rarely can we find more feeling or beauty than in some other passages.

def The sonnets of Milton have obtained of late years the admiration of all real lovers of poetry. Johnson has the poetry been as impotent to fix the public tasto in this in stance as in his other criticisms on the smaller poems of the nother of Paradise Lost. These sonnets are indeed unequal, the expression is sometimes harsh, and sometimes absence, sometimes too fruch of pedantic allusion interferes with the sentiment, nor am I reconciled to his frequent deviations from the best Italian structor. But such blemshes are lost in the majestic simplicity, the hely calm, that enable many of these faint compositions.

65 Many anonymous songs, many popular lays, both of Scotish and English miostrelsy, were poured forth Anonymous to this period of the seventeenth century. Those of period Scotland became, after the union of the crowns, and the eon sequent essation of rude border frays, less warlke than before, they are still, however, imaginative, justicities, and natural. It is probable that the best even of this class are a little older; but their date is seldom determinable with much precision. The same may be said of the English ballads, which, so far as of a merely popular nature, uppear, by their style and other circumstances, to belong more frequently to the reign of Janies I than any other period.

SFCT VI - ON LATIN POETRY

Latin Poets of France - And other Countries - Of England - May - Milton

06 France, in the latter part of the sixteenth century, had been remarkably fruitful of Latin poetry, it Latin poetry was the pride of her schlores, and sometimes of her of track was statesmen. In the age that we have now in review we do now find so many conspicuous names, but the custom of volume.

academical institutions, and especially of the seminaries conducted by the Jesuits, kept up a facility of Latin versification, which it was by no means held pedantic or indiculous to exhibit in riper years. The French enumerate several with praise, Guijon, Bourbon (Borbonius), whom some have compared with the best of the preceding century, and among whose poems that on the death of Henry IV. is reckoned the best; Cerisantes, equal, as some of his admirers think, to Sarbievius, and superior, as others presume, to Horace; and Petavius, who having solaced his leisure hours with Greek and Hebrew, as well as Latin versification, has obtained in the last the general suffrage of critics.* I can speak of none of these from direct knowledge, except of Borbonius, whose Diræ on the death of Henry have not appeared to my judgment deserving of so much eulogy.

67. The Germans wrote much in Latin, especially in the earlier decads of this period. Melissus Schedius, In Germany and Italy not undistinguished in his native tongue, might have been mentioned as a Latin poet in the last volume, since most of his compositions were published in the sixteenth century. In Italy we have not many conspicuous names. The bad taste that infested the school of Marini spread also, according to Tiraboschi, over Latin poetry. Martial, Lucan, and Claudian became in their eyes better models than Catullus and Virgil. Baillet, or rather those whom he copies, and among whom Rossi, author of the Pinacotheca Virorum illustrium, under the name of Eigthræus, a profuse and indiscriminating panegyrist, for the most part, of his contemporaries, furnishes the chief materials, bestows praise on Cesarini, on Querenghi, whom even Tuaboschi selects from the crowd, and on Maffer Barberini, best known as Pope Urban VIII.

68. Holland stood at the head of Europe in this line of

l'autre, ear il écrit noblement, et d'un style assez pur Après tout, il n'a pas tant de feu, que Casimir, lequel avoit bien de l'esprit, et de cet esprit heureux qui fait les poètes Bucanan a des odes dignes de l'antiquité, mais il a de grandes inégalités par le mélange de son caractère qui n'est pas assez uni Réflexions sur la Poetique, p 208

^{*} Baillet, Jugemens des Sçavans, has criticised all these and several more. Rapin's opinion on Latin poetry is entitled to much regard from his own excellence in it. He praises three lyrists, Casimir, Magdelenet, and Cerisantes, the two latter being French Sarbicuski a de l'élévation mais sans pureté, Magdelenet est pur mais sans élévation Cerisantes a joint dans ses odes l'un et

poetry. Grotios has had the reputation of writing with spirit, elegance and imagination But ho is excelled by Heinsius, whose elegies, still more than his hexa meters, may be ranked high in modern Latin. The habit, however, of classical imitation has so much weakened all in dividoal originality in these versifiers, that it is often difficult to distinguish them, or to pronnunce of any twenty lines that they might not have been written hy some other nother Compare, for example, the elegies of Bochanan with those of Heinsius, wherever there are no proper names to guido us; a more finished and continued elegance belongs, on the whole (as at least I should say), to the latter, but in a short passage this may not be perceptible, and I believe few would guess with much confidence between the two Heinsius however, like most of the Dotch, is remarkably foul of a poly syllabic close in the pentameter; at least in his Javeniha. which, notwithstanding their title, are perhaps better than his later productions. As it is not necessary to make a distinct head for the Laun drama, we may here inlerer to a tragedy by Heinsius, Herodes Infanticida. This has been the subject of a critique by Balzac, for the most part very favourable, and it certainly contains some highly beautiful passages Perhaps the description of the Virgin's feelings on the nativity, though praised by Balzae, and exquisitely classical in diction, is not quite in the best taste "

69 Sidooros Hoschius, a Flemish Jesoit, is extolled by Buillet and his authorities But another of the same calmir order, Casimir Sarbievius, a Pole 18 far better known, and, in lyric poetry, which he almost exclusively cultivated, obtained a much higher repotation. He had hved some years at Rome and is full of Roman allosion. He had read Horace, as Sannazarins lind Virgil, and Heinsius Ovid, till the style and tone became spontaneous, but he has more of cen

Crafton of some lose parkle geome Hear jacks Interpret mattern winy to employ me to behave Newpress matter growther count blanks per-Act coper languidas jactet geomes. Act coper languidas jactet geomes. Tearritges labels pertin stacksom prelit, Virgins withten are perfundit pulse. Lambeshye matter juris crises podat.

the laudatory spirit, which is for the most part too indiscriminating in that publication, the reviewer has not done justice to Heinslus, and hardly seems, perhaps, a very competent judge of Latia verse. The suffrages of those who were so, in favour of this Batavian poet are collected by Balllet, n. 1482

A critique on the poems of Heindus will be found in the Retrospective lieview vol. I. p. 49. ; but notwithstanding

tonism than the other two. Yet while he constantly reminds us of Horace, it is with as constant an inferiority; we feel that his Rome was not the same Rome, that Urban VIII. was not Augustus, nor the Polish victories on the Danube like those of the sons of Livia. Hence his flattery of the great, though not a step beyond that of his master, seems rather more displeasing, because we have it only on his word that they were truly great. Sarbievius seldom rises high or pours out an original feeling, but he is free from conceits, never becomes prosaic, and knows how to put in good language the common-places with which his subject happens to He is, to a certain degree, in Latin poetry what Chiabi era is in Italian, but does not deserve so high a place. Sarbievius was perhaps the first who succeeded much in the Alcaic stanza, which the earlier poets seem to avoid, or to use unskilfully. But he has many unwarrantable licences in his metre, and even false quantities, as is common to the great majority of these Latin versifiers.

70. Gaspar Barlæus had as high a name, perhaps, as any Latin poet of this age. His rhythm is indeed excellent, but if he ever rises to other excellence, I have not lighted on the passages. A greater equality I have never found than in Barlæus; nothing is bad, nothing is striking. It was the practice with Dutchmen on their marriage to purchase epithalamiums in hexameter verse, and the muse of Barlæus was in request. These nuptial songs are of course about Peleus and Thetis, or similar personages, interspersed with fitting praises of the bilde and bridegroom. poetry is not likely to rise high. The epicedia, or funeral lamentations, paid for by the heir, are little, if at all, better than the epithalamia; and the panegyrical effusions on public or private events rather worse. The elegies of Barlæus, as we generally find, are superior to the hexameters; he has here the same smoothness of versification, and a graceful gaiety which gives us pleasure. In some of his elegies and epistles he counterfeits the Ovidian style extremely well, so that they might pass for those of his model. Still there is an equability, a recurrence of trivial thoughts and forms, which in truth is too much characteristic of modern Latin to be a reproach to Barkeus. He uses the polysyllabic termination less than earlier Dutch poets. Ooo of the epithalamia of Barlieus, it may be observed before we leave him, is entitled Paradisis, and recounts the noptials of Adam and Live. It is possible that Milton may have seen this, the fourth look of the Paradise Lost compresses the excessive diffuseness of Barlieus, but the ideas are in great measure the same. Let since this must naturally be the case, we cannot presume imitation Tew of the poems of Barlieus are so redundant os this; he has the gift of stringing together mythological parallels and descriptive poetry without stint, and his discretion does not inform him where to stop

71 The eight books of Sylve by Balde, a German eccle state, are extolled by Ballet and Bouterwek far above their value, the odes are turned out unclassical, yet some have called hum equal to Horace Herosius tried has skill in Greek verse. His Peplus Gracerum Lingrammitum was published in 1613. These are what our schoolboys would call very indifferent in point of elegance, and, as I should conceive, of accuracy articles and expletives (as they used to be happily called) are perpetually

employed for the sake of the metre, not of the sense

72 Scotland might perhaps compete with Holland in this ns well as in the preceding age. In the Delicin Lan met. Poetarum Scotorum, poblished in 1697 by Arthur Jonston, we find oboot an equal produce of each inches century, the whole number being thirty seven. Those of Jonston himself, and some elegies by Scot of Scotstartet, are among the best. The Scots certainly wrote Latin with a good ear and considerable elegance of plirase A sort of critical controvers; was carried on in the last century as to the versions of the Psalms by Buchanan and Jonston Though the national honour may seem equally secure by tho superiority of either, it has, I believe, been usual in Scotland to maintain the older poet against all the world I am nevertheless inclined to think that Jonston's Psalms, all of which are in elegiac metre, do not fall short of those of Buchanan, either in elegance of style or in correctness of Latinity the 187th, with which Buchanna has taken much pains, he fnay be allowed the preference, but not nt n great interval, and he has attained this superiority by too much diffusences

73. Nothing good, and hardly tolerable, in a poetical sense, had appeared in Latin verse among ourselves till this Owen's epiperiod. Owen's epigrams (Andoem Epigrammata). a well-known collection, were published in 1607, unequal enough, they are sometimes neat and more often witty: but they scarcely aspire to the name of poetry. Alabaster, a man of recondite Hebrew learning, published in 1632 his tragedy of Roxana, which, as he tells us, was written about forty years before for one night's representation, probably at college, but had been lately printed by some plaguary as his own. He forgets, however, to inform the reader, and thus lays himself open to some recrimination, that his tragedy is very largely borrowed from the Dahda of Groto, an Italian diamatist of the sixteenth century." The story, the characters, the incidents, almost every successive scene, many thoughts, descriptions, and images, are taken from this original; but it is a very free translation, or rather differs from what can be called a translation. The tragedy of Groto is shortened, and Alabaster has thrown much into another form, besides introducing much of his own plot is full of all the accumulated horror and shughter in which the Italians delighted on their stage. I rather prefer the original tragedy. Alabaster has spirit and fire with some degree of skill; but his notion of tragic style is of the "King Cambyses' vein," he is inflated and hyperbolical to excess, which is not the case with Groto.

74. But the first Latin poetry which England can vaunt is May's Supplement to Lucan, in seven books, May's Sup-plement to Lucan which carry down the history of the Pharsaha to the death of Cæsar. This is not only a very spirited poem, but, in many places at least, an excellent initation. The versification, though it frequently reminds us of his model, is somewhat more negligent. May seems rarely to fall into Lucan's tumid extravagances, or to emulate his phi-

read the tragedy of Groto, which I had not previously done

^{*} I am indebted for the knowledge of this to a manuscript note I found in the copy of Alabaster's Roxana in the British Museum Hand multum abest hee tragedia a pura versione tragediæ Italicæ Ludovici Groti Cæci Hadriensis cui titulus Dalida This induced me to

The title of Roxana runs thus -Roxana tragedia a plagiarii unguibus vindicata aucta et agnita ab autore Gul Alabastro Lond 1632

losophical grandent, but the narration is almost as impetances and rapid, the images as thronged, and sometimes we have a rather a happy mutation of the ingenious sophisms Lincan is apply to employ. The death of Cate and that of Cesar are among the passages well worthy of praise. Jo some lines on Cleopatra's intrigue with Cesar, while married to her brother, he has seized, with felicitous effect, not only the broken cadences, but the love of moral paradox we find in Lincan.

75 Many of the Latin poems of Milton were written in early life, some even at the age of seventeen. His name, and the just curiosity of mankind to trace the latin development of a mighty genius, would naturally remain. anttract our regard They are in themselves full of classical elegance, of thoughts natural and pleasing, of a diction culled with trate from the gardens of ancient poetry, of a versification remarkably well-cadenced and grateful to the car There is in them, without a marked originality, which Latin verse can rarely admit but at the price of some incorrectness or imprepriety, a more individual display of the poet's mind "In the elegies," it is said by War than we usually find ton, e very competent judge of Latin poetry, " Ovid was professedly Milton's model for language and versification. They are not, however, a perpetual and uniform tissue of Ovidian With Ovid'in view he has an original manner nad character of his own, which exhibit a remarkable perspi curty of contexture, a native facility and fluency Nor does his observation of Roman models oppress or destroy our great poet's inherent powers of invention end sentiment. I value these pieces as much for their fancy mid genius as for their style and expression That Ovid, among the Latin poets, was Milton's favorrite, uppears not only from his elegiac but his bexametric poetry The versification of our author e liexa meters has yet a different structure from that of the Meta morphoses Milton's is more clear, intelligible, and flowing, less desaltory, less familiar, and less embarrassed, with a fre

Nes crimen fosses
Concultu aindun tall, Claopetra, petabunt
Qui Prelexamorum, timiamos, competaque
jura
lucata novere doughs, fratramque soveri

quent recurrence of periods. Ovid is at once rapid and abrupt." * Why Warton should have at once supposed Ovid
to be Milton's favourite model in hexameters, and yet so
totally different as he represents him to be, seems hard to say.
The structure of our poet's hexameters is much more Virgihan, nor do I see the least resemblance in them to the manner of Ovid. These Latin poems of Milton hear some traces
of juvenity, but, for the most part, such as please us for that
very reason, it is the spring-time of an ardent and brilliant
fancy, before the stern and sour spirit of polemical puritanism
had gained entrance into his mind, the voice of the Allegro
and of Comus.

[.] Wirton's easily on the Latin poetry of Milton, in critical at leagth in Toddie edition

CHAPTER-VI

HISTORY OF DRAMATIC LITERATURE, FROM - 1600 to 1650

SECT Î

On the Italian and Spanish Dr.,

Character of the Italian Theatre in this Age — Bonarelli — The Spenish Theatre — Calderon — Approximation of his Merits as a Dramatic Poet.

1 THE Italian theatre, if we should believe one of its historians, fell into total decay during the whole course of the seventeenth century, though the number of dramatic pieces of various kinds was by no means, their He makes a sort of apology for meering in a copious list of dramatic performances any that appeared after 1600, and stops entirely with 1650 . But in this he seems bardly to have done justice to a few, which, if not of remarkable excellence, mucht be selected from the rest. Andrean is perhans best known by name in England, and that for one only of his eighteen dramas, the Adamo, which has been supposed, on too precarious grounds, to have furnished the idea of Paradise Lost in the original form, as it was planned by its The Adamo was first published in 1613, and afterwards with amplification in 1641 It is denominated " A Sacred Representation , and, as Andreini was a player by profession, must be presumed to have been brought upon the stage. It is, however, asserted by Riccoboni, that those who wrote regular tragedies did not cause them to be represented, probably he might have scrupled to give that

epithet to the Adamo. Hayley and Walker have reckoned it a composition of considerable beauty.

2. The majority of Italian tragedies in the seventeenth century were taken, like the Adamo, from sacred subjects, including such as ecclesiastical legends abundantly supplied. Few of these gave sufficient scope, either by action or character, for the diversity of excitement which the stage de-Tragedies more truly deserving that name were the Solimano of Bonarelli, the Tancredi of Campeggio, the Demetrios of Rocco, which Salfi prefers to the rest, and the Austodemo of Carlo de' Dotton. A drama by Testi, L'Isola di Alema, had some reputation; but in this, which the title betrays not to be a legitimate tragedy, he introduced musical airs, and thus trod on the boundaries of a rival art.* It has been suggested, with no inconsiderable probability, that in her passion for the melodrame Italy lost all relish for the graver tone of tragedy. Music, at least the music of the opera, conspired with many more important circumstances to spread an effeminacy over the public character.

3. The pastoral drama had always been allied to musical

sentiment, even though it might be without accompaniment. The feeling it inspired was nearly that of the opera. In this style we find one imitation of Tasso and Guarini, inferior in most qualities yet deserving some regard, and once popular even with the critics of Italy. This was the Filli di Scho of Bonarelli, published at Ferrara, a city aheady fallen into the hands of priests, but round whose deserted palaces the traditions of poetical glory still lingered, in 1607, and represented by an academy in the same place soon afterwards. It passed through numerous editions, and was admired, even beyond the Alps, during the whole century, and perhaps still longer. It displays much of the bad taste and affectation of that period. Bonarelli is as strained in the construction of history and in his characters, as he is in his style. Celia, the herome of this pastoral, struggles with a double love, the original idea, as he might truly think, of his drama, which he wrote a long dissertation in order to jus-

^{*} Salfi, Continuation de Ginguéné, vol x11 chap 1x Besides this larger essay on the Italian stage, Saggio Storico-Critico della Commedia Italiana work, Salfi published in 1829 a short

tify It 18, however, far less conformable to the truth of nature than to the sophisticated society for which he wrote A wanton capricions court lady might perhaps waver, with some warmth of inclination towards both, between two lovers, "Alme dell' alma mia," as Celia calls them, and be very willing to possess either But what is morbid in moral affection seldom creates sympathy, or is fit either for narrative poetry or the stage. Bonarelli's diction is studied and polished to the highest degree, and though its false refinement and affected graces often displease us, the real elegance of menlated passages makes us pause to admire. In har mony and sweetness of sound he seems fully equal to his predecessors, Tasso and Guarim, but he has neither the pathos of the one, nor the fertility of the other guage and turn of thought seems, more than in the Pastor Fido to be that of the opera, wanting, indeed, nothing but the intermixture of air to be perfectly adapted to music. Its great reputation, which even Crescimbeni does his utinost to keep up, proves the decline of good taste in Italy, and tho lateness of its revival

4 "A new fashion which spring up about 1620, both marks the extinction of a taste for genuine tragedy, and by furnishing a substitute, stood in the way of its revival. Translations from Spanish tragedies and tragi-comedies, those of Lope de Vega and his successors, replaced the native muse of Italy. These were in prose and in three acts, irregular of course, and with very different; characteristics from those of the Italian school. "The very name of tragedy," says Riccoboni, "became unknown in our country, the moniters which usurped the place did not pretend to that glorious title. Tragi-comedies rendered from the Spanish, such as Life is a Dream (of Calderon), the Samson, the Guest of Stone, and others of the same class, were the popular ornaments of the Italian stage."

5 The extemporaneous comedy had always been the nunusement of the Itahan populace, not to say rate of all who wished to unbend their minds ‡ An epoch

Itsora della volcar Possa, ir 147 † Hist. du Théâtre Italien, i. 47
He places the Filli di Beiro next to the . † The witempersmeous consectly was . ** It conceiled adult area. ** It conceiled connectis dell arts. ** It conceiled active to the connectis dell arts. ** It conceiled connectis della co

in this art was made in 1611 by Flaminio Scala, who first published the outline or canvas of a series of these pieces, the dialogue being of course reserved for the ingemous performers.* This outline was not quite so short as that sometimes given in Italian play-bills; it explained the drift of each actor's part in the scene, but without any distinct hint of what he was to say. The construction of these fables is censured by Riccoboin as both weak and licentious; but it would not be reasonable to expect that it should be otherwise. The talent of the actors supplied the deficiency of writers. A certain quickness of wit, and tact in catching the shades of manner, comparatively rare among us, are widely diffused in Italy. It would be, we may well suspect, impossible to establish an extemporaneous theatre in England which should not be stupidly vulgar.† But Bergamo sent out many Harlequins, and Venice many Pantalons. They were respected, as brilliant wit ought to be. The emperor Mathias ennobled Cecchini, a famous Harlequin, who was, however, a man of letters. These actors sometimes took the plot of old comedies as their outline, and disfigured them, so as hardly to be known, by their extemporaneous dialogue. ‡

6. Lope de Vega was at the height of his glory at the bespanish ginning of this century. Perhaps the majority of his dramas fall within it; but enough has been said on

sisted," says Salfi, "in a mere sketch or plan of a dramatic composition, the parts in which having been hardly shadowed out were assigned to different actors who were to develop them in extemporaneous dialogue." Such a sketch was called a scenario, containing the subject of each scene, and those of Flaminio Scala were celebrated Saggio Storico-Critico, p 38. The pantomime, as it exists among us, is the descendant of this extemporaneous comedy, but with little of the wit and spirit of its progenitor

* Salfi, p 40

† This is only meant as to dialogue and as to the public stage. The talent of a single actor, like the late Charles Mathews, is not an exception, but even the power of strictly extemporaneous comedy, with the agreeable poignancy that the minor theatre requires, is not wanting among some whose station and habits of life restrain its exercise to the most private circles.

† Riccoboni, Hist du Théâtre Italien 'Salfi, vii 518 An elaborate disquisition on the extemporaneous comedy by Mr Panizzi, in the Foreign Review for 1829, (not the Foreign Quarterly, but one early extinguished,) derives it from the mimes and Atellanian comedies of ancient Italy, tracing them through the middle ages ficiently proved The last company of performers in this old, though plebeinn, family, existed within about thirty years in Lombardy, a friend of mine at that time witnessed the last of the Harlequins I need hardly say that this character was not a mere skipper over the stage, as we have seen him, but a very honest and lively young Bergamusque. The plays of Carlo Gozzi, if plays they are, are mere hints to guide the wit of extemporaneous actors

the subject in the last volume His contemporaries and im mediate successors were exceedingly nomerous, the effol receo of dramatic literatore in Spain corresponding exactly in time, to that of England. Several are named by Bonterwek and Velasquez, bot one only, Pedro Cal deron de la Barca, must be permitted to orrest us This celebrated man was born to 1600, and died in 1689 From an early age till after the middle of the century when he entered the church, he contributed, with n fertility only eclipsed by that of Lope, a long list of tragic, historic, comic, and tragi-comic dramas to the Spanish stage Io the latter period of his life, he confined himself to the religious pieces, called Antos Sacramentales Of these, 97 oro poblished in the collective edition of 1726, besides 127 of In one year, 1635, it is said that twelve lus regular plays of Jus comedies oppeared. But the nutheoticity of so large a number has been questioned, he is said to have given n list of his sacred plays, ot the ago of eighty, consisting of ooly 68 No collection was published by himself Some of his comedies, in the Spaoish sense of the word, it may be observed, turn more or less on religions subjects, as their titles show El Purgatorio de San Potricio - La Devomen de la Cruz - Jodas Maccabous - La Cisma de Inglulterra. He did not dislike contemporary subjects. In El Sitio de Breda, we have Spinola, Nassau, and others then living on the sceoe. Calderon's metre is generally trochaic, of eight or seven syllables, not always rhymnog, but verses de arte; mayor, as they were called, or amprestic lines of eleven or twelve syllables, and also hendecasyllables, frequently occur 7 The comedies, those properly so called, de capa y capada, which represent manners, are full of incident, but in connot perhaps crowded so as to produce any confusion, die. the characters have nothing very salient, but express the sen timents of gentlemen with frankness and spirit. We find in 4 every one a picture of Spain, gallantry, jealonsy, quick resentment of insult, sometimes deep revenge The language of Calderon is not unfrequently poetical, even in these lighter dramas, but hyperbolical figures and losipid cooceits deform ts beauty The gracioso or witty servant, is an unfailing ersonage, but I do not know (my reading, however, being

extremely limited) that Calderon displays much brilliancy or liveliness in his sallies.

8. The plays of Calderon required a good deal of theatrical apparatus, unless the good nature of the audience dispensed with it. But this kind of comedy must have led to scenical improvements. They seem to contain no indecency, nor do the intrigues ever become criminal, at least in effect, most of the ladies, indeed, are unmarried. Yet they have been severely censured by later critics on the score of their morality, which is no doubt that of the stage, but considerably purified in comparison with the Itahan and French of the sixteenth century. Calderon seems to bear no resemblance to any English writer of his age, except, in a certain degree, to Beaumont and Fletcher. And as he wants their feithlity of wit and humour, we cannot, I presume, place the best of his comedies on a level with even the second class of theirs. But I should speak perhaps with more reserve of an author, very few of whose plays I have read, and with whose language I am very imperfectly acquainted; nor should I have ventured so far, if the opinion of many European critics had not seemed to wairant my frigid character of one who has sometimes been so much applicated.

9. La Vida es Sueno rises, in its subject as well as style, La Vida es above the ordinary comedies of Calderon. Basilius, King of Poland, a deep philosopher, has by consulting the stars had the misfortune of ascertaining that his unboin son Sigismund would be under some extraordinary influences of evil passion. He resolves in consequence to conceal his birth, and to bring him up in a horrible solitude, where, it hardly appears why, he is laden with chains, and covered with skins of beasts, receiving meantime an excellent education, and becoming able to converse on every subject, though destitute of all society but that of his keeper Clotaldo. The inheritance of the crown of Poland is supposed to have devolved on Astolfo, duke of Moscovy, or on his cousin Estrella, who, as daughter of an elder branch, contests it with The play opens by a scene, in which Rosaura, a Moscovite lady, who having been betrayed by Astolfo, has fled to Poland in man's attire, descends the almost impassable precipices which overhang the small castle wherein Sigismund

is confined. This scene and that in which he first appears, are impressive and full of beauty, even now that we are become accustomed in excess to these theatrical wonders clouded, discovers the prince in conversation with a stranger, who by the king's general order must be detained, and probably for death. A circumstance leads him to believe that this stranger is his son, but the Castilian loyalty transferred to Poland forbids him to hexatio in oboying his instructions. The king, however, who has fortunately determined to release his son, and try on experiment upon the force of the stars, coming m, in this time, sets Rosaura in history.

10 In the next oct Signsmund, who, by the help of a sleeping potion, has been conveyed to the palace, wakes in a bed of down, and in the midst of royal splendeer. He has little difficulty in anderstanding his new condition, but preserves o not unnatural resentment of his former treatment. The malign stars prevail; he treats Astolfe with the utmost arrogance, reviles and threateos his finther, throws one of his servants out of the wiodew, attempts the life of Catalde and the honour of Rosaura. The king, more convinced than ever of the truth of astrology, directs another soporfic draught to be odministered, and in the next scene we find the prince again in his prison. Clotalde, once more at his side, persuades him that his late royalty has passed in o draum, wisely observing, however, that asleep or nwake we should always de what is right.

11 Signsmund, ofter some philosophical reflections, prepares to submit to the sad reality which has displaced his vision. But in the third not, an unforeseen event recalls him to the world. The army, become acquainted with his rights, and indignant that the king should transfer them to Astolfo, break into his prison and place him at their head. Cloudide expects nothing but death. A now revolution, however, his taken place. Signsmond, corrected by the dismal consequences of giving way to passion in his former dream, and opprehending a similar waking once more, has soddenly overthrown the sway of the sinister constellations that had enslaved him; be becomes genurous, mild, and master of himself, and the only pretext for his disinheritance being removed, it is easy that he should be reconciled to his fother,

that Astolfo, abandoning a kingdom he can no longer claim, should espouse the injured Rosaura, and that the reformed prince should become the husband of Estrella. The incidents which chiefly relate to these latter characters have been omitted in this slight analysis.

- 12. This tragi-comedy presents a moral not so contemptible in the age of Calderon as it may now appear; that the stars may influence our will, but do not oblige it. If we could extract an allegorical meaning from the chimeras of astrology, and deem the stars but names for the circumstances of birth and fortune which affect the character as well as condition of every man, but yield to the persevering energy of self-correction, we might see in this fable the shadow of a permanent and valuable truth. As a play it deserves considerable praise; the events are surprising without excessive improbability, and succeed each other without confusion; the thoughts are natural and poetically expressed, and it requires, on the whole, less allowance for the different standard of national taste than is usual in the Spanish drama.
- 13. A Secreto agravio secreta vengança is a domestic tragedy which turns on a common story—a husngravio secreta vene band's revenge on one whom he erroneously believes to be still a favoured, and who had been once an accepted, lover. It is something like Tancred and Sigismunda, except that the lover is killed instead of the husband. The latter puts him to death secretly, which gives name to the play. He afterwards sets fire to his own house, and in the confusion designedly kills his wife. A friend communicates the fact to his sovereign, Sebastian King of Portugal, who applauds what has been done. It is an atrocious play, and speaks terrible things as to the state of public sentiment in Spain, but abounds with interesting and touching passages.
- 14. It has been objected to Calderon, and the following defence of Bouterwek seems very insufficient, that his servants converse in a poetical style like their masters. "The spirit, on these particular occasions," says that judicious but lement critic, "must not be misunderstood. The servants in Calderon's comedies always imitate the language of their masters. In most cases they express themselves like the latter, in the natural language of real life, and

ften divested of that colouring of the ideas, without which a allantry speaks in the language of tenderness, admiration, or flattery, then, necording to Spanish castom, overy idea recomes a metaphor, and Calderon, who was a thorough Spaniard, seized, these opportunities to give the reins to his ancy, and to suffer it to take a bold lyric flight beyond the soundaries of nature. On such occasions the most extravacant metaphoric language, in the style of the Italian Marinists, hd not appear unnatural to a Spanish nudienco, and even Calderon himself chad for that style n particular foudness, to he gratification of which he sacrificed a cluster taste was his ambition to become a more refined Lope do Vega or a Spanish Marini Thus in his play, Bien vengas mal, si rengas solo, a waiting maid, addressing her young mistress who has risen in a gay humour, says - 'Aurora would not have done wrong had she slumbered that morning in her mony crystal, for that the sight of her mistress's charms would suffice to draw aside the curtains from the couch of Sol' She adds that, using a Spanish idea, 'it might then,' indeed, be said that the sun had risen in her lady's eyes." Valets, on the like occasion, speak in the same style, and when lovers uddress compliments to their mistresses, and liese reply in the same strain, the play of far fetched meta phors is aggravated by antitheses to a degree which is intolerable to any but a Spanish formed taste. But it must not be forgotten that this language of gallantry was in Calderon's time spoken by the fashionable world, and that it was n verascular property of the ancient national poetry " What 18 this but to confess that Calderon had not genius to raise him self above his age, and that he can be read only as a "Triton of the minnows," one who is great but in comparison with his, amghbours? It will not convert bad writing into good to tell us, as is perpetually done, that we must place ourselves in the anthor a position, and make allowances for the taste of. his age, or the temper of his nation All this is true, rela-

P 507 It has been logenlocally their masters, and designed to make it hinted in the Quirterly Heriew vol xxx, ridiculous. But this is probably too retained the high-flown larguage of sarvants of fined an excuse, a part of the sarvant of the sarvants of t

tively to the anthor himself, and may be pleaded against a condemnation of his talents; but the excuse of the man is not that of the work.

15. The fame of Calderon has been latterly revived in Europe through the praise of some German critics, but especially the unbounded panegyric of one of then greatest men, William Schlegel. sage is well known for its brilliant eloquence. must differ with reluctance and respect from this accomplished writer; and an Englishman, acknowledging with gratitude and admiration what Schlegel has done for the glory of Shakspeare, ought not to gradge the laurels he showers upon another head. It is however rather as a poet than a dramatist that Calderon has received this homage; and in his poetry, it seems to be rather bestowed on the mysticism, which finds a responsive chord in so many German hearts, than on what we should consider a more universal excellence, a sympathy with, and a power over all that is true and beautiful in nature Sismondi (but the distance between Weimar and Geneva in matters of taste is incomparably greater than by the public road) dissenting from this enlogy of Schlegel, which he fairly lays before the reader, stigmatises Calderon as emmently the poet of the age wherem he hved, the age of Philip IV. Salfi goes so far as to say we can hardly read Calderon without indignation; since he seems to have had no view but to make his genius subservient to the lowest prejudices and superstitions of his country.* In the twenty-fifth volume of the Quarterly Review an elaborate and able critique on the plays of Calderon seems to have estimated limi without prejudice on either side. " His boundless and mexhaustible fertility of invention, his quick power of seizing and prosecuting every thing with dramatic effect, the unfailing animal spirits of his dramas, if we may venture on the expression, the general loftiness and purity of his sentiments, the rich facility of his verse, the abundance of his language, and the clearness and precision with which he embodies his thoughts in words and figures, entitle him to a high rank as to the imagination and creative faculty of a poet, but we can-

nat consent to enral lum among the mighty masters of the humon breast." . His total want of truth to nature, oven the ¿ Ideal noture which poetry embodies, justifies at least this sen tence. "The wildest flights of Biron and Romeo," it is observed, "are tame to the heroes of Calderon, the Asiatic pomp of expression, the exuberance of metaphar, the perpe tual recurrence of the same figures, which the poetry of Spun derived from its intercourse with the Arnhian conquerors of the peninsula, are lovished by him in all their fulness. Every address of a layer to a mistress is thickly studded with stars and flawers, her looks are always nets of gold, her lips rulies, and her heart a rock, which the rivers of his tears attempt in vain to melt In short, the language of tha heart is entirely aboudaned for that of the fancy, the brilliant but false concetts which have sufected the poetical literature af every country, and which have been universally exploded hy pare taste, glitter in avery page and intruda into every speech ? †

SECT II - ON THE TRENCH DRAMA " "

Barly French Dramatists of this Period — Corneille — His principal Tragedies — Rotron.

16 Amono the company who performed at the second theatre of Poris, that established in the Marius, was the Hardy, who, like Shakspeare, unting both arts, was things! He outhor of 600, ur, as some say, 800 dramatic pieces; It is said that forty-one of these are extent in the collection of his works which I have never seen. Several of them were written, learned by heart, and represented within a week. His own inventions are the worst of all, his trages, dies and tragi-comedies are borruyed with as close an adhetence to the original text as possible from Homer or Plutarcht or Cervantes. They hove more incident than those of his predecessors, and are somewhat less ubsurd, but Hardy is a writer of little talent. The Marianne is the most tolerable of

his tragedies. In these he frequently abandoned the chorus, and even where he introduces it, does not regularly close the act with an ode.*

17. In the comedies of Hardy, and in the many burlesque farces represented under Henry IV. and Louis XIII., no regard was paid to decency, either in the language or the circumstances. Few persons of rank, especially ladies, attended the theatres.† These were first attracted by pastoral representations, of which Racan gave a successful example in his Artenice. It is hardly, however, to be called a drama. ‡ But the stage being no longer abandoned to the populace, and a more critical judgment in French literature gaining ground, encouraged by Richelieu, who built a large room in his palace for the representation of Mirame, an indifferent tragedy, part of which was suspected to be his own §, the ancient theatre began to be studied, rules were laid down and partially observed, a perfect decorum replaced the licentiousness and gross language of the old writers. Mairet and Rotrou, though without rising, in their first plays, much above Hardy, just served to prepare the way for the father and founder of the national theatre.

18. The Melite of Corneille, his first production, was represented in 1629, when he was twenty-three years of age. This is only distinguished, as some say, from those of Hardy by a greater vigour of style; but Fontenelle gives a very different opinion. It had at least a success which caused a new troop of actors to be established in the Marais. His next, Clitandre, it is agreed, is not so good. But La Veuve is much better, irregular in action, but with spirit, character,

• Fontenelle, Hist du Théâtre François (in Œuvres de Fontenelle, 111 72) Suard, Mélanges de Littérature, vol 1v

Suard, Melanges de Litterature, vol 1v

† Suard, p 134 Rotrou boasts that
since he wrote for the theatre, it had become so well regulated that respectable
women might go to it with as little
scruple as to the Luxembourg garden
Corneille, however, has, in general, the
credit of having purified the stage, after
his second piece, Chiandre, he admitted
nothing licentious in his comedies The
only remain of grossness, Fontenelle observes, was that the lovers se tutoyouent,
but as he gravely goes on to remark, le

tutoyement ne choque pas les bonnes mœurs, il ne choque que la politesse et la vraie galanterie p 91 But the last instance of this heinous offencé is in Le Menteur

‡ Suard, ubi suprà

§ Fontenelle, p 84 96

If d p 78 It is difficult in France, as it is with us, to ascertain the date of plays, because they were often represented for years before they came from the press. It is conjectured by Fontenelle that one or two pieces of Mairet and Rotrou may have preceded any by Corneille

and well invented situations, it is the first model of the higher comedy. These early comedies must in fact have been relatively of considerable ment, since they raised Corneille to high reputation, and connected him with the literary inch of his time. The Medea, though much borrowed from Seneca, gave a tone of grandenr and dignity inknown before to French tragedy. This appeared in 1635, and was followed by the Cid next year.

19 Notwithstanding the defence made by La Harpe, I can not but agree with the French Academy, in their criticism on this play, that the subject is essentially No circumstances can be imagined, no skill can be employed, that will reconcile the mind to the marriage of a daughter with one that has shed her father's blood." And the law of unity of time, which crowds every event of the drama within a few hours, renders the promised consent of Chimène (for such it is) to this union still more revolting and improbable. † The knowledge of this termination re-acts on the reader during a second perusal, so as to give an irresist ible impression of her insincerity in her previous solicitations for bu death She seems, indeed, in several passages, little else than a tragic cognette, and one of the most odious kind ‡ The English stage at that time was not exempt from great yiolations of nature and decorum, yet had the anbject of the Cid fallen into the hands of Beanmont and Fletcher, and it is one which they would have willingly selected, for the sake of the effective situations and contrasts of passion it affords, the part of Chimène would have been managed by them with great warmth and spirit, though probably not less incongruity and extravagance, but I can scarcely believe that the con clusion would have been so much in the style of comedy Her death, or retirement into a monastery, would have

ьŚ

Suard. Fontonelle. Le Harpe, † Le Harpe has said that Chindras does not promise at last to marry Rofingue though the spectator percei as that abe will do so. He forgrets that she has comulationed her lover's sword in the duel with Don Sancho; 1—

Sors valoquier d'un combat dont Chimbre est la princ_e det se. I

[†] In these lines, for example, of the third act, seems 4th:—
third act, seems 4th:—
Kalaris has been discussed in company as solders, for hersi man possible 2 histo respect mon prior;
for hersi man possible 2 histo respect mon prior;
for manipus contact see 6e no rise powersier.

It is true that he found this in his

Spanish original, but that does not render the imitation judicious, or the sentiment either moral, or even theatrically specious.

seemed more consonant to her own dignity and to that of a tragic subject. Cornelle was however borne out by the tradition of Spain, and by the authority of Guillen de Castro whom he imitated.

20. The language of Corneille is elevated, his sentiments, if sometimes hyperbolical, generally noble, when he has not to deal with the passion of love; conscious of the nature of his own powers, he has avoided subjects wherein this must entirely predominate; it was to be, as he thought, an accessory but never a principal source of dramatic interest. In this, however, as a general law of tragedy, he was mistaken, love is by no means unfit for the chief source of tragic distress, but comes in generally with a cold and feeble effect as a subordinate emotion. In those Roman stories which he most affected, its expression could hardly be otherwise than insipid and incongruous. Coincille probably would have dispensed with it, like Shakspeare in Coriolanus and Julius Cæsar; but the taste of his contemporaries, formed in the pedantic school of romance, has imposed fetters on his genius in almost every drama. In the Cid, where the subject left him no choice, he has perhaps succeeded better in the delineation of love than on any other occasion; yet even here we often find the cold exaggerations of complimentary verse, instead of the voice of nature. But other scenes of this play, especially in the first act, which bring forward the proud Castilian characters of the two fathers of Rodingo and Chimène, are full of the nervous eloquence of Corneille, and the general style, though it may not have borne the fastidious criticism either of the Academy or of Voltaire, is so far above any thing which had been heard on the French stage, that it was but a very frigid eulogy in the former to say that it "had acquired a considerable reputation among works of the kind." It had at that time astonished Paris; but the prejudices of Cardinal Richelieu and the envy of inferior authors, joined, perhaps, to the proverbial unwillingness of critical bodies to commit themselves by warmth of praise, had some degree of influence on the judgment which the Academy pronounced on the Cid, though I do not think it was altogether so unjust and uncanded as has sometimes been supposed.

21 The next tragedy of Corneille, Les' Horaces, 1s hardly open to less objection than the Cid, not so Laborator because there is, as the French critics have discovered, a want of unity in the subject, which I do not quite perceive, nor because the fifth act is tedious and unin teresting, as from the repulsiveness of the story, and the jarring of the sentiments with our natural sympathies. Cor neille has complicated the legend in Livy with the marriage of the younger Horatius to the easter of the Curiatn, and thus placed his two female personages in a nearly eimplar estoation, which he has taken little pains to diversify by any contrast in their characters. They speak, on the contrary, nearly in the same tone, and we see no reason why the hero of the tragedy should not, as he seems half disposed, have followed up the murder of his sister hy that of his wife, More skill is displayed in the opposition of character between the combatants themselves, but the mild, though not less courageous or patriotic, Curiatius attaches the spectator, who cares nothing for the triumph of Rome, or the glory of thu Horatian name It must be confessed that the elder Hora tius is nobly conceived, the Roman energy of which, we find but a carrenture in his brutish son, shines out in him with an admirable dramatic spirit. I shall be accused, never theless, of want of taste, when I confess that his celebrated *Qu'il mourut, has always seemed to me less emmently sublime than the general suffrage of France has declared it. There is nothing very novel or striking in the proposition, that n soldier'e duty is to die in the field rather than desert his post by flight, and in a tragedy full of the hyperboles of Roman patriotism, it appears strange that we should be astonished at that which is the principle of all military ho-The words are emphatic in their position, and calculated to draw forth the actor's energy, but this is an artifice of no great skill, and one can hardly help thinking, that h spectator in the pit would spontaneously have anticipated the answer of a warlike father to the feminine question, -

Que voulles-vous qu'il fit contre trois?

The style of this tragedy is reckoned by the critics experior to that of the Cid, the nervousness and warmth of Cornelle

is more displayed; and it is more free from incorrect and

trivial expression.

22. Cinna, the next in order of time, is probably that tragedy of Corneille which would be placed at the head by a majority of suffrages. His eloquence reached here its highest point; the speeches are longer, more vivid in narration, more philosophical in argument, more abundant in that strain of Roman energy, which he had derived chiefly from Lucan, more emphatic and condensed in their language and versification. But, as a drama, this is deserving of little praise; the characters of Cinna and Maximus are contemptible, that of Emilia is treacherous and ungrateful. She is indeed the type of a numerous class who have followed her in works of fiction, and sometimes, unhappily, in real life; the female patriot, theoretically, at least, an assassin, but commonly compelled, by the iniquity of the times, to console herself in practice with safer transgressions. We have had some specimens; and other nations, to their shame and sorrow, have had more. But even the magnanimity of Augustus, whom we have not seen exposed to instant danger, is uninteresting, nor do we perceive why he should bestow his friendship as well as his forgiveness on the detected traitor that cowers before him. It is one of those subjects, which might, by the invention of a more complex plot than history furnishes, have better excited the spectator's attention, but not his sympathy.

23. A deeper interest belongs to Polyeucte; and this is the only tragedy of Corneille wherein he affects the heart. There is, indeed, a certain incongruity which we cannot overcome between the sanctity of Christian martyrdom and the language of love, especially when the latter is rather the more prominent of the two in the conduct of the drama.* But the beautiful character of Pauline would redeem much greater defects than can be ascribed to this tragedy. It is the noblest, perhaps, on the French stage, and conceived with admirable delicacy and dignity.† In the

^{*} The coterie at the Hotel Rambouillet thought that Polyeuete would not succeed, on account of its religious character Corneille, it is said, was about to withdraw his tragedy, but was dis-

suaded by an actor of so little reputation that he did not even bear a part in the performance. Fontenelle, p 101

[†] Fontenelle thinks that it shows "un grand attachement à son devoir, et

style, however, of Polyencte, there seems in be some return towards the languid tone of common place which had been wholly thrown off in Cinna.

24 Rodogune is said in have been a favinitite with the anthor. It can hardly be so with the generality of his readers. The story has all the introcity of the older school, from which Corneille, in his carlier plays, had emancipated the singe. It borders even on ridcule. Two princes, kept by their mother, one of those furies whom our own Webster or Marston would have delighted to draw, in ignorance which is the elder, and consequently entitled in the throne, are enamoured in Rodogune. Their mother makes it a condition in declaring the succession, that they shall shed the blood of this princess. Struck with horror at such a proposition, they refer their passion to the choice of Rodogune, whin, in her turn, demands the death in their minther. The embarrassment in these anniable ynuths may be conceived. La Harpe extols the fifth act of this tragedy, and it may perhaps be effective in representation.

25 Pumpey, sometimes unaccurately called the Death of Pumpey, is more defective in construction than even any other tragedy of Corneille. The liero, if Pompey is such, never appears on the stage, and his death being recounted at the beginning of the second act, this real subject of the piece so far as it can be said to have one is the pumishment of his assassins, a retribution demanded by the moral sense of the spectator, but landly important enough for dramatic interest. The character of Caesar is somowhat weakened by his passion for Cleopatra, which assumes more the tone of devoted gallantry than truth or probability warrant, but Cornelia, though with some Lucanic extravagance, is full of a Roman nobleness of spirit, which renders here

un grand caractive in Pauline to desire that Severan should save her bushands, Jifa instead of procuring the latter to be rescuede that the might marry her lover Héferdons sur la Poëlque, sect. 16. This is rather an odd notion of what is sufficient to constitute an herole clasracter. It is not the conduct of Pauline, which im resay. Caristian or virtuous woman mith ratarully to the sume, but

the fine sentiments and language which ac company it, that render her part io noble. I the second some of the second

set, between Severus and Pauline, two characters of the most elevated class, the former quits the stage with this line, — A.Seo, trey vertours objet, at trop character.

The latter replies, ...

t. Affice, trop undboureux, et trop partit austri

after Pauline, but at a long interval, the finest among the female characters of Corneille. The language is not beneath that of his earlier tragedies.

26. In Herachus we begin to find an inferiority of style.

Few passages, especially after the first act, are written with much vigon; and the plot, instead of the faults we may ascribe to some of the former dramas, a too great simplicity and want of action, oflends by the perplexity of its situations, and still more by their nature; since they are wholly among the proper resources of comedy. The true and the false Herachus, each uncertain of his paternity, each afraid to espouse one who may or may not be his sister, the embariassment of Phocas, equally irritated by both, but aware that in putting either to death, he may punish his own son, the art of Leontine who produces this confusion, not by silence, but by a series of inconsistent falsehoods, all these are in themselves ludicious, and such as in comedy could produce no other effect than laughter.

27. Nicomède is generally placed by the critics below Herachus, an opinion in which I should hardly concur. The plot is feeble and improbable, but more tolerable than the strange entanglements of Herachus, and the spirit of Corneille shines out more in the characters and sentiments. None of his later tragedies deserve much notice, except that we find one of his celebrated scenes in Sertorius, a drama of little general ment. Nicomède and Sertorius were both first represented after the middle of the century.

Corneille, and the fine tragedies of Racine." It can, beauties of Polycucte, the former has produced a single play, which, taken as a whole, we can commend. The keys of the passions were not given to his custody. But in that which he introduced upon the French stage, and which long continued to be its boast, impressive energetic declamation, thoughts masculine, bold, and sometimes sublime, conveyed in a style for the most part clear, condensed, and noble, and in a rhythm sonorous and satisfactory to the ear, he has not since been equalled. Lucan, it has always been said, was

the favourite study of Corneille No one, perhaps, can ad mire one who has not a strong relish for the other. That the tragedian has ever surpassed the lighest flights of his Roman prototype, it might ha difficult to prove, but if his fire is not more intense, it is accompanied by less smoke, his hyperboles, for such he has, are less frequent and less turgid, his taste is more judicious, he knows better, especially in description, what to choose and where to stop Lucan, however, would have disdained the politeness of the amorous heroes of Corneille, and though often tedious, often offensive to good taste, is never langual or ignoble

29 The first French comedy written in polite language, without low wit or indecency, is due to Corneille, La Meester or rather, in some degree, to the Spanish author whom he copied in Le Mentenr. This has been improved a little by Goldoni, and our own well known farce, The Lair, is borrowed from both. The incidents are diverting, but it belongs to the subordinate class of comedy, and a better moral would have been shown in the disgrace of the principal character. Another comedy about the same time, Le Pedant Joué, by Cyrano de Bergerac, had much success. It has been called the first comedy in prose, and the first wherein a provincial dulect is introduced, the remark, as to the former carcumstance, shows a forgetfulness of Larivey. Mohère has borrowed freely from this play.

SO The only tragedies, after those of Corneille, anterior to 1650, which the French themselves hold worthy other track of remembrance, are the Sophonishe of Mairet, in tracking which some characters and some passages are vigorously conceived, but the style is debased by low and ludicrous thoughts, which later critics never fail to point out with sevenity, the Scevolo of Duryer, the best of several good tragedies, full of lines of great simplicity in expression, but which seem to gain force through their simplicity, by one who though never subtime, adopted with success the several and reasoning style of Corneillet, the Marianne of Tristan which, at its appearance in 1637, passed for a rival of the

Cid, and remained for a century on the stage, but is now

ridiculed for a style alternately turgid and ludicrous; and the Wenceslas of Rotrou, which had not ceased perhaps thirty

years since to be represented.

31. This tragedy, the best work of a fertile dramatist,

Wenceslas who did himself honour by a ready acknowledgment of the superiority of Corneille, instead of canvassing the suffrages of those who always envy genius, is by
no means so much below that great master, as, in the unfortunate efforts of his later years, he was below himself.

Wenceslas was represented in 1647. It may be admitted
that Rotrou had conceived his plot, which is wholly original,
in the spirit of Corneille; the masculine energy of the sentiments, the delineation of bold and fierce passions, of noble and
heroic love, the attempt even at political philosophy, are cones heroic love, the attempt even at political philosophy, are copies of that model. It seems, indeed, that in several scenes Rotrou of that model. It seems, indeed, that in several scenes Rotrou must, out of mere generosity to Cornelle, have determined to outdo one of his most exceptionable passages, the consent of Chimène to espouse the Cid. His own curtain drops on the vanishing reluctance of his heroine to accept the hand of a monster whom she hated, and who had just murdered her lover in his own brother. It is the Lady Anne of Shakspeare; but Lady Anne is not a heroine. Wenceslas is not unworthy of comparison with the second class of Cornelle's tragedies. But the ridiculous tone of language and sentiment which the heroic romance had rendered popular, and from which Cornelle did not wholly emancipate himself, often appears in this piece of Rotrou; the intrigue is rather too complex, in the Spanish style, for tragedy, the diction seems frequently obnoxious to the most indulgent criticism, but above all, the story is essentially ill contrived, ending in the grossest violation of poetical justice ever witnessed on the stage, the impunity and even the triumph of one of the worst characters that was ever drawn. that was ever drawn.

SECT III -ON THE ENGLISH DRAMA"

London Theatres - Shakspeare - Josson - Benumoni and Fleicher - Massinger - Other English Dramatate

32 THE English drama had been encouraged through tho reign of Elizabeth by increasing popularity, not repetitive withstanding the atrennous opposition of n party with the sufficiently powerful to enlist the magistracy, and, m a certain measure, the government, on its side. A progressive improvement in dramatic writing, possibly also, though we know less of this, in the skill of the netors, en nobled, while it kept alive, the public taste, the crude and mained compositions of an Edwards or a Whetstone, among numbers more whose very names are lost, gave way to the real genus of Greene and Marlowe, and after them to Shak speare.

33' At the beginning of this century, not less than eleven regular play houses had been erected in London and Mumber of its subnibs, several of which, it appears, were still thesires, in use, an order of the privy council in 1600, restraining the number to two, being little regarded Of these the most im portant was that of the Black Friars, with which another, called the Globe, on the opposite side of the river, was con nected, the same company performing at the former in win ter, at the latter in summer This was the company of which Burbage, the best actor of the day, was chief, and to which Shakspeare, who was also n proprietor, belonged Their names appear in letters patent, and other legal instru

ments.*

34 James was fond of these amusements, and had encon raged them in Scotland The puritan influence, Eccomised, which had been sometimes felt in the council of by James. Elizabeth, came speedily to an end, though the represent-

the stage, as a performer soon after paid to him in Macbeth. Malone it 1903; his name appears among the seems, believed this Mr Collect does actors of Sejamon in 1603, but not among not, and probably most people will be those of Volpone in 1603. There is a equally sceptical. Collier I. 570. tradition that James I. wrote a letter

Stukspeare probably retired from thanking Shakspeare for the compliment

ation of plays on Sundays, a constant theme of complaint, but never wholly put down, was now abandoned, and is not even tolerated by the declaration of sports. The several companies of players, who, in her reign, had been under the nominal protection of some men of rank, were now denominated the servants of the king, the queen, or other royal personages.* They were relieved from some of the vexatious control they had experienced, and subjected only to the gentle sway of the Master of the Revels. It was his duty to 1evise all dramatic works before they were represented, to exclude profane and unbecoming language, and specially to take care that there should be no interference with matters of state. The former of these functions must have been rather laxly exercised; but there are instances in which a licence was refused on account of very recent history being touched in a play.

35. The reigns of James and Charles were the glory of our theatre. Public applause, and the favour of princes, were well bestowed on those bright stars of taste for the our literature who then appeared. In 1623, when Sir Henry Herbert became Master of the Revels, there were five companies of actors in London. This, indeed, is something less than at the accession of James, and the latest historian of the drama suggests the increase of puritanical sentiments as a likely cause of this apparent decline. But we find little reason to believe that there was any decline in the public taste for the theatre; and it may be as probable an hypothesis, that the excess of competition, at the end of Elizabeth's reign, had rendered some undertakings unprofitable; the greater fishes, as usual in such cases, swallowing up the less. We learn from Howes the continuator of Stow, that within sixty years before 1631 seventeen play-houses had been built in the metropolis. These were now larger and

obnoxious to grave magistrates The licence, however, granted to Burbage, Shakspeare, Hemmings, and others, in 1603, authorises them to act plays not only at the usual house, but in any other part of the kingdom Burbage was reckoned the best actor of his time, and excelled as Richard III

^{*} Collier, 1 347 But the privilege of peers to grant licences to itinerant players, given by statute 14 Eliz c 5, and 39 Eliz. c 4, was taken away by 1 Jac I c 7; so that they became liable to be treated as vagrants Accordingly there were no established theatres in any provincial city, and strollers, though dear to the lovers of the buskin, were always

more convenient than before. They were divided into public and private, not that the former epithet was mapplicable to both, but those styled public were not completely roofed, nor well provided with seats, nor were the performances by candle-light, they resembled more the rude booths we still see at fairs, or the constructions in which interludes are represented by day in Italy, while private theatres, such as that of the Black Friare, were built in nearly the present form It seems to be the more probable opinion that movable scenery was nuknown on these theatres "It is a fortunetecircumstance," Mr Collier has observed, "for the poetry of our old plays that it was so, the imaginetion of the enditor only was eppealed to, and we owe to the absence of painted canvas many of the finest descriptive passages in Shakspeare, his contemporaries, and immediate followers The introduction of scenery given the date to the commencement of the decline of our dramatic poetry' In this remark, which seems as original as just, I entirely concur Even in this age the prodignlity of our theatre in its peculiar boast, seenepainting, can hardly keep pace with the creative powers of Shakspeare, it is well that he did not live when a manager was to estimate his descriptions by the cost of realising them on canvas, or we might never have stood with Lear on the chiffs of Dover, or amidst the palaces of Venice with Shylock und Antonio The scene is perpetually changed in our old drama, precisely because it was not changed ut all powerful argument might otherwise have been discovered in favour of the unity of place, that it is very cheap

S6 Charles, as we might expect, was not less inclined to this thereil pleasure than his predecessors. It was the to his own cost that Prynne assaulted the stage in the party his immense volume, the Histrio-mastix. Even

Milton, before the fonl spirit had wholly entered into him, extolled the learned sock of Jonson, and the wild wood notes of Shakspeare. But these days were soon to pass nway, the ears of Prynne were nvenged, by an order of the two houses of parliament, Sept. 2 1042 the theatres were closed as a becoming measure during the season of public calmity and impending civil war, but, after some unsuccessful intempts to evade this prohibition, it was thought expedient, in the com

plete success of the party who had always abhorred the drama, to put a stop to it altogether; and another ordinance of Jan. 22. 1648, reciting the usual objections to all such entertainments, directed the theatres to be rendered unserviceable. We must refer the reader to the valuable work which has supplied the sketch of these pages for further knowledge*; it is more our province to follow the track of those who most distinguished a period so fertile in dramatic genius, and first that of the greatest of them all.

37. Those who originally undertook to marshal the plays of Shakspeare according to chionological order, Shakspeare's Twelfth Night always attending less to internal evidence than to the very fallible proofs of publication they could obtain, placed Twelfth Night last of all, in 1612 or 1613. It afterwards rose a little higher in the list; but Mr. Collier , has finally proved that it was on the stage early in 1602, and was at that time chosen, probably as rather a new piece, for representation at one of the Inns of Court † The general style resembles, in my judgment, that of Much Ado about Nothing, which is referred with probability to the year 1600. Twelfth Night, notwithstanding some very beautiful passages, and the humorous absurdity of Malvolio, has not the coruscations of wit and spirit of character that distinguish the excellent comedy it seems to have immediately followed, nor is the plot nearly so well constructed. Viola would be more interesting, if she had not indelicately, as well as unfairly towards Olivia, determined to win the duke's heart before she had The part of Sebastian has all that improbability seen him. which belongs to mistaken identity, without the comic effect for the sake of which that is forgiven in Plautus and in the Comedy of Errors.

38. The Merry Wives of Windsor is that work of Shakspeare in which he has best displayed English manners; for though there is something of this in the historical plays, yet we rarely see in them such a

not entirely arranged in the most convenient manner. He seems nevertheless to have obligations to Dodsley's preface to his Collection of Old Plays, or rather perhaps to Reed's edition of it

^{*} I have made no particular references to Mr Collier's double work, The History of English Dramatic Poetry, and Annals of the Stage, it will be necessary for the reader to make use of his index, but few books lately published contain so much valuable and original information, though

[†] Vol 1 p 327

nicture of actual life as comedy night to represent. It may be difficult to say for what cause he has abstanted from a source of galety whence his prolific invention and keen eye for the diversities of character might have drawn so much The Masters Knowell and Well-born, the young gentlemen who spend their money freely and make love to rich widows, an insipid race of personages, it must be owned, recur for ever in the old plays of James's reign , but Shakspeare threw an ideality over this class of characters, the Bassanios, the Va lentines, the Gratianos, and placed them in scenes which neither by dress nor manners recalled the prose of ordinary life * In this play, however the English gentleman, in age and youth, is brought upon the etage, slightly carried in Shallow, and far more so in Slender The latter, indeed, is a perfect sature, and I think was so intended, on the brilliant youth of the provinces, such as we may believe it to have been before the introduction of newspapers and turnpike roads, awkward and boobyish umong civil people, but at home in rude sports and proud of exploits at which the town would laugh, yet perhaps with more convage and good nature than the lengthers. No doubt can be raised that the family of Lucy is ridicaled in Shallow, but those who have had recourse to the old feble of the deer stealing, forget that Shakspeare never lost sight of his native county, and went, perhaps every summer to Stratford It is not impossible that some urrogance of the provincial squires towards a player, whom though a gentleman by birth and the recent grant of arms, they might not reckon such, exited his malicious wit to those admirable delmeations.

S9 The Merry Wives of Windsor was first printed in 1602, but very materially altered in a subsequent edition It is wholly comic, so that Dodd, who published the Beau ties of Shakspeare, confining himself to poetry, says it is the only play which afforded him nothing to extract. This play does not excite a great deal of interest , for Anne Page is but

[&]quot;No doubt, says Coleridge, "they this. Table Talk, Il 396, I am not (Basumont and Flesther) imitated the quits are that I understand this expresses of gentlemanly conversation better slee; but probably the meaning is not than Shakspeats, who was mable not very different from what I have such to be too much associated to succeed in

a sample of a character not very uncommon, which under a garb of placed and decorous mediocrity is still capable of pursuing its own will. But in wit and humorous defineation no other goes beyond it. If Falstall seems, as Johnson his intimated, to have lost some of his powers of merriment, it is because he is liminlated to a point where even his invention and impudence cannot bear him of victorious. acts he is still the same Jack Falstaff of the Bou's Head. Jonson's earliest comedy, Every Man in his Humonr, had appeared a few years before the Merry Wives of Windson; they both turn on English life in the middle classes, and on the same passion of jealousy. If then we compare these two productions of our greatest comic dramatists, the vast supemority of Shakspeare will appear undeniable. Kitely, indeed, has more energy, more relief, more excuse, perhaps, in what might appear to his temper matter for jealousy, than the wretched, narrow-minded Ford; he is more of a gentleman, and commands a certain degree of respect, but dramatic justice is better dealt upon Ford by rendering him ridiculous, and he suits better the festive style of Shakspeare's most amusing play. His light-hearted wife, on the other hand, is drawn with more spirit than Dame Kitely; and the most ardent admirer of Jonson would not oppose Master Stephen to Slender or Bobadil to Falstaff. The other characters are not parallel enough to admit of comparison; but in their diversity (not is Shakspeare perhaps in any one play more fertile), and their amusing peculiarity, as well as in the construction and arrangement of the story, the brilliancy of the wit, the perpetual gaiety of the dialogue, we perceive at once to whom the laurel must be given. Not is this comparison instituted to disparage Jonson, whom we have praised, and shall have again to praise so highly, but to show how much easier it was to vanquish the rest of Europe than to contend with Shakspeare.

40. Measure for Measure, commonly referred to the end Measure for of 1603, is perhaps, after Hamlet, Lear, and Machesure beth, the play in which Shakspeare struggles, as it were, most with the over-mastering power of his own mind, the depths and intricacies of being which he has searched and sounded with intense reflection, perplex and harass him;

his personages arrest their course of netion to pour forth, in lunguage the most remote from common use, thoughts which few could grasp in the clearest expression, and thus he loses something of dramotic excellence in that of his con templitive philosophy The Dake is designed as the repre-sentative of this philosophical character. He is stern and melancholy by temperament, averso to the exterior shows of . power, and secretly conscious of some unfitness for its practical daties The subject is not very happily chosen, but artfully improved by Shakspeare. In most of the numerous stories of o similar inture which before or since his time have been related, the sacrifice of chastity is really made, nod made 10 vato There is, however, something too coarse and disgusting in such a story, and it would have deprived him of o splendid exhibition of character The virtue of Isabella, inflexible and independent of circumstance, has something very grand and elevated; yet one is disposed to ask, who ther, if Claudio had been really executed, the spectator would not have gone owny with no great affection for her, and of least we now feel that her reproaches against her miserable brother when he cliege to life like o frail and guilty being are too harsh There is great skill in the invention of Mo riana, ond without this the story could not have had may thing like a satisfactory termination, yet it is never ex planted how the Duke had become negatived with this secret, and being acquainted with it, how ho had preserved his esteem and confidence in Angolo His intention, as hinted towards the end, to marry Isabella, is a little too common place; it is one of Shakspeare's hasty half thoughts. The language of this comedy is very obscure, and the text seems to have been printed with great inaccuracy I do not value the comic parts highly, Lucio s impudent profligacy, the result rather of sensual debasement than of natural ill disposition is well represented, but Elbow is a very inferior repetition of Dog berry In dramstic effect Measure for Measure ranks high. the two scenes between Isabella and Angelo, that between her and Claudio those where the Duke nppears in disguise, and the catestrophe in the fifth act, are admirably written and very interesting, except so far as the spectator a knowledge of the two stratagems which have deceived Angelo may prevent

G 2

Inn from participating in the indignation at Isabella's imaginary wrong which her lamentations would excite. Several of the circumstances and characters are borrowed from the old play of Whetstone, Promos and Cassandra; but very little of the sentiments or language. What is good in Measure for Measure is Shakspeare's own.

41. If originality of invention ilid not so much stamp almost every play of Shakspeare that to name one LOTE as the most original seems a dispurgement to others, we might say, that this great prerogative of genus was exercised above all in Lear. It diverges more from the model of regular teagedy than Macbeth of Othello, and even more than Hamlet, but the table is better constructed than in the last of these, and it displays full as much of the almost super-human inspiration of the poet as the other two. Lear himself is, perhaps, the most wonderful of dramatic conceptions, ideal to satisfy the most romantic magniation, yet idealised from the scality of nature. In preparing us for the most intense sympathy with this old man, he first abases him to the ground; it is not (Edipus, against whose respected age the gods themselves have conspired, it is not Orestes, noble minded and affectionate, whose crime has been virtue, it is a headstrong, feeble, and selfish being, whom, m the first act of the tragedy, nothing seems capable of redeeming in our eyes, nothing but what follows, intense woe, unnatural wrong. Then comes on that splendid madness, not absordly sodden, as in some tragedies, but in which the strings that keep his reasoning power together give way one after the other in the frenzy of rage and grief. it is that we find what in life may sometimes be seen, the intellectual energies grow stronger in calamity, and especially under wrong. An awful eloquence belongs to unmerited suffering Thoughts burst out, more profound than Lear in his prosperous hour could ever have conceived, inconsequent, for such is the condition of madness, but in themselves frag-ments of coherent truth, the reason of an unreasonable mind.

42. Timon of Athens is cast as it were in the same mould as Lear, it is the same essential character, the same generosity more from wanton ostentation than love of others, the same fierce rage under the smart of nigratitude, the

same rousing up, in that tempest, of powers that had slum bered unsuspected in some deep recess of the soul, for had Ti monior Lear known that philosophy of human nature in their calmer moments which fury brought forth, they would never have had such terrible occasion to display it. The thought less confidence of Lear m his children has something m it far more tonching than the self beggary of Timon, though both one and the other have prototypes enough in real life. And as we give the old king more of our pity so a more intense abhorrence accompanies his daughters and the worse characters of that drama, than we spare for the miserable sycophants of the Athenian Their thanklessness is antici pated, and aprings from the very nature of their calling, it verges on the beaten road of comedy In this play there is neither a female personage, except two courtexans, who hardly epeak, nor is there any prominent character (the honest steward is not such), redeemed by virtue enough to be estimable, for the cynic Apemantus is but a cynic, and ill replaces the noble Kent of the other drama. The fable, if fable it can be called is so extraordinarily deficient in action a fault of which Shakspeare is not guilty in any other in stance, that we may wonder a little how he chould have seen in the single debneation of Timon a counter balance for the manifold objectione to this subject. But there seems to have been a period of Shakspeare'e life when his heart was ill at ease, and ill content with the world or his own conscience. the memory of honrs mis-spent, the pang of affection misplaced or unrequited the experience of man a worser nature. which intercourse with nuworthy associates, by choice or cir cumstance, peculiarly teaches, - these, as they sank down into the depths of his great mind seem not only to have inspired into it the conception of Lear and Timon, but that of one primary character, the censurer of mankind type is first seen in the philosophic melancholy of Jaques, guzing with an undiminished screnity and with a gaiety of fancy, though not of manners, on the follies of the world It assumes a graver cast in the excled Duke of the same play, and next one rather more severe in the Duke of Men sure for Measure In all these, however it is merely con templative philosophy In Hamlet this is mingled with the

impulses of a perturbed heart under the pressure of extraordinary circumstances; it slines no longer, as in the former characters, with a steady light, but plays in fitful coruscations amidst feigned gaiety and extravagance. In Lear it is the flash of sudden inspiration across the inconginous imagery of madness; in Timon it is obscured by the exaggerations of misanthropy. These plays all belong to nearly the same period: As you Like It being usually referred to 1600, Hamlet, in its altered form, to about 1602, Timon to the same year, Measure for Measure to 1603, and Lear to 1604. In the later plays of Shakspeare, especially in Macbeth and the Tempest, much of moral speculation will be found, but he has never returned to this type of character in the personages. Timon is less read and less pleasing than the great majority of Shakspeare's plays; but it abounds with signs of his genius. Schlegel observes that of all his works it is that which has most satire; comic in representation of the parasites, indignant and Juvenahan in the bursts of Timon himself.

43. Pericles is generally reckoned to be in part, and only in part, the work of Shakspeare. From the poverty Pericles and bad management of the fable, the want of any effective or distinguishable character, for Marina is no more than the common form of female virtue, such as all the dramatists of that age could draw, and a general feebleness of the tragedy as a whole, I should not believe the structure to have been Shakspeare's. But many passages are far more in his manner than in that of any contemporary writer with whom I am acquainted, and the extrinsic testimony, though not conclusive, being of some value, I should not dissent from the judgment of Steevens and Malone, that it was, in no inconsiderable degree, repaired and improved by his touch. Drake has placed it under the year 1590, as the earliest of Shakspeare's plays, for no better reason, apparently, than that he thought it inferior to all the rest. But if, as most will agree, it were not quite his own, this reason will have less weight, and the language seems to me rather that of his second or third manner than of his first. Pericles is not known to have existed before 1609.

44. The majority of readers, I believe, assign to Macbetli,

which seems to have been written about 1606, the pre-emi nence emong the works of Shakspeare, many, however. would rather name Othello, one of his latest, which is refer red to 1611, and a few might prefer Lear to either The great epio drama, as the first may be called, deserves, in my own judgment, the post it has attained, as being, in the lan guage of Drake, "the greatest effort of our nuthor's genins, the most sublime and impressive drama which the world has ever beheld." It will be observed that Shakspeare had now turned his mind towards the tragic drama. No tragedy but Romeo and Juliet belongs to the sixteenth century, ten, with ont counting Pericles, appeared in the first eleven years of the present. It is not my design to distinguish each of his plays separately, and it will be evident that I pass over some of the greatest. No writer, m fect, is so well known as Shak speare, or has been so abundantly, and on the whole, so ably criticised, I might have been warranted in saying even less than I have done.

45 Shakspeare was, as I believe, conversant with the bet ter class of English literature which the reign of His Remain Elizabeth afforded. Among other books, the translation by North of Amyot's Plutarch seems to have Canal fallen into his hands about 1607 It was the source of three tragedies founded on the lives of Brutus, Antony, and Corr olanns, the first bearing the name of Julius Cresar the plot wants even that historical unity which the romantio drama requires, the third and fourth nots are ill connected. it is deficient in female characters, und in that combination which is generally apparent aimust all the intricacies of his fable. But it abounds in fine scenes and fine passages, the spirit of Plutarch's Brutus is well seized, the predominance of Casar himself is judiciously restrained, the characters have that individuality which Shakspeare seldom misses, nor is there, perhaps, in the whole range of ancient and modern eloquence n speech more fully realising the perfection that orators have striven to attain than that of Antony

46 Antony and Cleopetra is of rather a different order, it does not furnish, perhaps, so many etriking bean ties as the last, but is nt least equally redolent of the genius of Shakspeare Antony indeed was given him by

history, and he has but embodied in his own vivid colours the irregular mind of the triumvir, ambitious and daring against all enemies but himself. In Cleopatra he had less to guide him; she is another incarnation of the same passions, more lawless and insensible to reason and honour, as they are found in women. This character being not one that can please, its strong and spritted delineation has not been sufficiently observed. It has indeed only a poetical originality; the type was in the courtezan of common life, but the resemblance is that of Michael Angelo's Sybils to a muscular woman. In this tragedy, like Julius Cæsai, as has been justly observed by Schlegel, the events that do not pass on the stage are scarcely made clear enough to one who is not previously acquainted with history, and some of the persons appear and vanish again without sufficient reason. He has, in fact, copied Plutarch too exactly.

47. This fault is by no means discerned in the third Roman tragedy of Shakspeare, Corrolanus. He luckily found an intrinsic historical unity which he could not have destroyed, and which his magnificent delineation of the chief personage has thoroughly maintained. Coriolanus himself has the grandeur of sculpture, his proportions are colossal, nor would less than this transcendent superiority by which he towers over his fellow-citizens, warrant, or seem for the moment to warrant, his haughtiness and their pusillanimity. The surprising judgment of Shakspeare is visible in this. A dramatist of the second class (for he alone is in the first), a Corneille, a Schiller, or an Alfieri, would not have lost the occasion of representing the plebeian form of courage and patriotism. A tribune would have been made to utter noble speeches, and some critics would have extolled the balance and contrast of the antagonist principles. And this might have degenerated into the general saws of ethics and politics which philosophical tragedians love to pour forth But Shakspeare instinctively perceived that to render the arrogance of Coriolanus endurable to the spectator, or dramatically probable, he must abase the pleberans to a contemptible populace. The sacrifice of historic tiuth is often necessary for the truth of poetry. The citizens of early Rome, "rusticorum mascula militum proles," are indeed calumniated in his scenes, and might almost pass for hurgesses of Stratford, hut the nnity of emotion is not dissipated by contradictory energies' Cornolanus is less rich in poetical style than the other two, hnt the comic parts are full of humonr. In these three tragedies it is manifest that Roman character, and still more Roman manners, are not exhibited with the precision of a scholar, yet there is something that distinguishes them from the rest, something of a grandienty in the sentiments end language, which shows us that Shakspeare had not read that history without entering into its spirit.

48 Othello, or perhaps the Tempest, is reckoned by many the latest of Shakspeare's works In the zenith of this facilities, in possession of fame disproportionate indeed to what has since accrued to his memory, but beyond that of any contemporary, at the age of about forty seven, he ceased to write, and settled himself at a distance from all dramatic associations in his own native town, n home, of which he had never lost sight, nor even permanently quitted, the hirthplace of his children and to which he brought what might then seem offinence in a middle station,

with the hope, doubtless, of a secure decline into the yellow leaf of years But he was cut off in 1616, not probably in the midst of any schemes for his own glory, but to the loss of those enjoyments which he had accustomed himself to value beyond it. His descendants, it is well known, became extinct in little more than half a century

49 The name of Shakspeare is the greatest in our liter name—it is the greatest in all literature. No man or ever came near to him in the creative powers of the mind, no man had ever such strength at once, and such variety of imagination Coloridge has most felicitously epplied to him a Greek epithet, given before to I know not whom, certainly none so deserving of it, μυριστού, the thousand-souled Shakspeare • The number of characters in his plays is astomshingly great, without reckoning those, who, although transient, have often their individuality all distinct, all types

ridge had previously spoken of Sink present the sure loss as appeared to sure loss as a sure los It in the sense of multitudinous unity

of human life in well defined differences. Yet he never takes an abstract quality to embody it, scarcely perhaps a definite condition of manners, as Jonson does; nor did he draw much, as I conceive, from living models, there is no manifest appearance of personal caricature in his comedies, though in some slight traits of character this may not improbably have been the case. Above all, neither he nor his contemporaries wrote for the stage in the worst, though most literal, and of late years the most usual, sense; making the servants and hand-maids of dramatic invention to lord over it, and limiting the capacities of the poet's mind to those of the per-formers. If this poverty of the representative department of the drama had hung like an incumbent fiend on the creative power of Shakspeare, how would be have poured forth with such mexhaustible produgality the vast diversity of characters that we find in some of his plays? This it is in which he leaves far behind not the dramatists alone, but all writers of fiction. Compare with him Homer, the tragedians of Greece, the poets of Italy, Plautus, Cervantes, Molière, Addison, Le Sage, Fielding, Richardson, Scott, the romancers of the elder or later schools—one man has far more than surpassed them all. Others may have been as sublime, others may have been more pathetic, others may have equalled him in grace and purity of language, and have shunned some of its faults; but the philosophy of Shakspeare, his intimate searching out of the human heart, whether in the gnomic form of sentence, or in the dramatic exhibition of character, is a gift peculiarly his own. It is, if not entirely wanting, very little manifested, in comparison with him, by the English dramatists of his own and the subsequent period, whom we are about to approach.

50. These dramatists, as we shall speedily perceive, are hardly less inferior to Shakspeare in Judgment. To this quality I particularly advert, because foreign writers, and sometimes our own, have imputed an extraordinary barbarism and rudeness to his works. They belong indeed to an age sufficiently rude and barbarous in its entertainments, and are of course to be classed with what is called the romantic school, which has hardly yet shaken off that reproach. But no one who has perused the plays anterior to

those of Shakspeare, or contemporary with them, or subsequent to them, down to the closing of the theatres in the civil war, will pretend to deny that there is far less regularity, in regard to every thing where regularity can be desired, in a large proportion of these (perhaps in all the tragedies) than in his own. We need only repeat the names of the Merchant of Venice, Romeo and Juliet, Macbeth, Othello, the Merry Wives of Windsor, Measure for Measure The plots in these are excellently constructed, and in some with nicommou artifice. But even where an enalysis of the story might excite criticism, there is generally an unity of interest which tones the whole. The Winter's Tale is not a model to follow, but we feel that the Winter's Tale is not e model to follow, but we feel that the Winter's Tale is not e model to follow, but we feel that the Winter's Tale is not emodel to follow, but we feel that the Winter's Tale is not emodel to follow, but we feel that the Winter's Tale is not emodel to follow, but we feel that the Winter's Tale is not emodel to follow, but we feel that the Winter's Tale is not emodel to follow, but we feel that the Winter's Tale is not emodel to follow, but we feel that the Winter's Tale is not emodel to follow, but we feel that the Winter's Tale is not emodel to follow, but we feel that the Winter's Tale is not emodel to follow, but we feel that the Winter's Tale is not emodel to follow, but we feel that the Winter's Tale is not emodel to follow, but we feel that the Winter's Tale is not emodel to follow, but we feel that the Winter's Tale is not emodel to follow, but we feel that the Winter's Tale is not emodel to follow, but we feel that the Winter's Tale is not emodel to follow, but we feel that the Winter's Tale is not emodel to follow, but we feel that the Winter's Tale is not emodel to follow, but we feel that the Winter's Tale is not emodel to follow, but we feel that the Winter's Tale is not emodel to follow.

51 The idolatry of Shakspeare has been carried so far of late years, that Drake and perhaps greater authorities have been unwilling to acknowledge any faults in his plays. This however is an extravagance rather derogatory to the critic than honourable to the poet. Beades the blemishes of construction in some of his plots, which ere pardonable but still blemishes, there are too many in his style. His conceits and quibbles often spoil the effect of his scenes and take off from the passion he would excite. In the last act of Richard II, the Duke of York is introduced demanding the punishment of his son Anmale for e conspiracy against the king, while the Duchess implores mercy. The scene is ill-conceived and worse executed throughout, but one line is both atrocious and contemptible. The Duchess having dwelt on the word pardon, and arged the king to let her hear it from his hps, York takes her up with this stopid quibble.—

Speak it in French, King; my Perdonner mol.

It would not be difficult to find several other instances, though none, perhaps quite so bad, of verbal equivocations.

misplaced and inconsistent with the person's, the author's, the reader's sentiment.

52. Few will defend these notorious faults. But is there His obscu-rity not one, less frequently mentioned, yet of more continual recurrence; the extreme obscurity of Shakspeare's diction? His style is full of new words and new senses. It is easy to pass this over as obsoleteness; but though many expressions are obsolete, and many provincial, though the labour of his commentators has never been so profitably, as well as so diligently, employed as in tracing this by the help of the meanest and most forgotten books of the age, it is impossible to deny that innumerable lines in Shakspeare were not more intelligible in his time than they are at present. Much of this may be forgiven, or rather is so incorporated with the strength of his reason and fancy that we love it as the proper body of Shakspeare's soul. Still, can we justify the very numerous passages which yield to no interpretation, knots which are never unloosed, which conjecture does but cut, or even those, which, if they may at last be understood, keep the attention in perplexity till the first emotion has passed away? And these occur not merely in places where the struggles of the speaker's mind may be well denoted by some obscurities of language, as in the soliloquies of Hamlet and Macbeth, but in dialogues between ordinary personages, and in the business of the play. We learn Shakspeare, in fact, as we learn a language, or as we read a difficult passage in Greek, with the eye glancing on the commentary, and it is only after much study that we come to forget a part, it can be but a part, of the perplex-ities he has caused us This was no doubt one reason that he was less read formerly, his style passing for obsolete, though in many parts, as we have just said, it was never much more intelligible than it is, *

53. It does not appear probable that Shakspeare was ever placed below, or merely on a level with the other dramatic

^{* &}quot;Shakspeare's style is so pestered with figurative expressions that it is as affected as it is obscure. It is true that in his latter plays he had worn off somewhat of this rust." — Dryden's Works

⁽Malone), vol u part u p 252 This is by no means the truth, but rather the reverse of it, Dryden knew not at all which were carlier, or which later, of Shakspeare's plays

writers of this period * That his plays were not so fre-quently represented as those of Fletcher, is little to His period the purpose, they required a more expensive decoration, a larger company of good performers, and above all, they were less intelligible to a promiscious audience Yet at is certain that throughout the seventeenth century and even in the writings of Addison and his contemporaries, we seldom or never meet with that complete recognition of his snpremacy, that unhesitating preference of him to all the world which has become the faith of the last and the present century And it is remarkable that this apotheosis, so to speak, of Shakspeare, was originally the work of what has been styled a frigid and tasteless generation, the age of George II. Much is certainly due to the stage itself, when + those appeared, who could guide and control the public taste, and discover that in the poet himself which sluggish imaginations could not have reached. The enthusiasm for Shakspeare is nearly coincident with that for Garrick, it was kept up hy his followers, and especially hy that highly gifted family which has but recently been withdrawn from our stage

54 Among the commentators on Shakspeare, Warburton, always striving to display his own acateness and China scru of others, deviates more than any one else satisfies from the meaning. Theobald was the first who did a little, Johnson explained much well but there is something magneterial in the manner wherein he dismisses each play like a boy's exercise, that irritates the reader. His criticism is frequently indicons, but betrays no ardent admiration for

Shekspeare to thee was dull, whose best wit Bes P th fadies questions, and the facis' replies.

But the suffrage of Joseon humself, of Million, and of many more that might be quoted, tends to prove that his genline was attended beyond that of any other though some might compare Inferiorwritters to him in certain qualifications of the dramatis. Even Dryden, who came in a worse period, and had no under reversoors for Satalysers admit that "the

was the man who of all moders, and per haps ancient, poets, had the latgust and most comprehensive sood. All the images of nature were still present to him, and he drew them not laboricosily but lunkily when he describes any thing, you more than see it, you feel it too. Those who accesse him to here wruted learning give him the greater commendation; he was naturally learned; he messed not the specialce of blooks to read nature; he looked mwards, and found her there. — Drydn's Prose Works (Malone's adrition), vol. 1. part il. n. 92.

A certain William Cartwright, in commendatory varies addressed to Fletcher has the assurance to my —

Shakspeare. Malone and Steevens were two laborious commentators on the meaning of words and phrases; one dull, the other clever; but the dulness was accompanied by candour and a love of truth, the cleverness by a total absence of both. Neither seems to have had a full discernment of Shakspeare's genius. The numerous critics of the last age who were not editors have poured out much that is trite and insipid, much that is hypercritical and erroneous, yet collectively they not only bear witness to the public taste for the poet, but taught men to judge and feel more accurately than they would have done for themselves. Hurd and Lord Kaimes, especially the former, may be reckoned among the best of this class *; Mrs. Montagu, perhaps, in her celebrated Essay, not very far from the bottom of the list. In the present century, Coleridge and Schlegel, so nearly at the same time that the question of priority and even plagrarism has been mooted, gave a more philosophical, and at the same time a more intrinsically exact view of Shakspeare, than their predecessors. What has since been written, has often been highly acute and æsthetic, but occasionally with an excess of refinement which substitutes the critic for the work. Mis. Jameson's Essays on the Female Characters of Shakspeare are among the best. It was right that this province of illustration should be reserved for a woman's hand.

55. Ben Jonson, so generally known by that familiar description that some might hardly recognise him without it, was placed next to Shakspeare by his own age. They were much acquainted, and belonged to the oldest, perhaps, and not the worst of clubs, formed by Si Walter Raleigh about the beginning of the century, which met at the Mermaid in Friday Street. We may easily believe the testimony of one of its members, that it was a feast of the most subtle and brilliant wit.† Jonson had abundant

^{*} Hurd, in his notes on Horace's Art of Poety, vol 1 p 52, has some very good remarks on the diction of Shakspeare, suggested by the "callida junctura" of the Roman poet, illustrated by many instances. These remarks both serve to bring out the skill of Shakspeare, and to explain the disputed passage in Horace. Hurd justly maintains

the obvious construction of that passage, "notum si callida verbuin Reddiderit junctura novum" That proposed by Lambinus and Beattie, which begins with novum, is inadmissible, and gives a worse sense

 $[\]dagger$ Gifford's Life of Jonson, p 65 Collier, in. 275

powers of porgnant and sarcastic humour, besides extensive reading, and Shakspeare must have brought to the Mermaid the hrightness of his fancy Selden and Camden, the former in early youth, are reported to have given the ballast of their strong sense and learning to thus cluster of poets. There has been, however, a prevalent tradition that Jonson was not within some malignant and covious feelings towards Shak speare Grifford has repelled this imputation with considerable success, though we may still suspect that there was something caustic and saturnine in the temper of Jonson

56 The Alchemist is a play which long remained on the stage, though I am not sure that it has been represented suce the days of Garrick, who was famous in Abel Drugger Notwithstanding the indiscriminate and injudicions panegyric of Gifford, I believe there is no reader of taste but will condemu the ontrageous excess of pedantry with which the first acts of this play abound , pedantry the more jutolerable, that it is not even what, however unfit for the English stage, scholars might comprehend, but the gibberish of obscure treatises on alchemy, which whatever the commentators may choose to say was as ununtelligible to all but a few half witted dupes of that imposture as it is at present Much of this, it seems impossible to doubt, was omitted in representation Nor is his pedantic display of learning confined to the part of the Alchemist, who had cer tainly a right to talk in the style of his science, if he had done it with some moderation Sir Epicare Mammon, a worldly sensualist, placed in the author's nwn age ponrs ont a torrent of ginttonous cookery from the kitchens of Heliogabalus and Apicins, his dishes are to be camels heels, the beards of barbels and dissolved pearl, crowning all with the paps of a sow But while this babitual error of Jonson's vanity is not to be overlooked, we may truly say, that it is much more than compensated by the excellencies of this comedy The plat, with great simplicity, is continually animated and interesting, the characters are conceived and delineated with admirable boldness, truth, spirit, and variety . the humanr especially in the two Purities, a sect who now began to do penauce on the stage, is amusing, the language, when it does not smell too much of book learning, is forcible

and clear. The Alchemist is one of the three plays which usually contest the superiority among those of Jonson.

57. The second of these is The Fox, which, according to

general opinion, has been placed above the Alchemist. Notwithstanding the dissent of Gifford, I should concur in this suffrage. The fable belongs to a higher class of comedy. Without minutely inquiring whether the Roman hunters after the inheritance of the rich, so well described by Horace, and especially the costly presents by which they endeavoured to secure a better neturn, are altogether according, to the manners of Venice, where Jonson has laid his scene, we must acknowledge that he has displayed the base cupidity, of which there will never be wanting examples among mankind, in such colours as all other diamatic poetry can hardly rival. Cumberland has blamed the manner, in which Volpone brings ruin on his head by insulting, in disguise, those whom he had duped. In this, I agree with Gifford, there is no violation of nature. Besides their ignorance of his person, so that he could not necessarily foresee the effects of Voltone's rage, it has been well and finely said by Cumberland, that there is a moral in a villain's outwitting himself. And this is one that many dramatists have displayed.

58 In the choice of subject, The Fox is much inferior to Tartuffe, to which it bears some very general analogy. Though the Tartuffe is not a remarkably agreeable play, The Fox is much less so; five of the principal characters are wicked almost beyond any retribution that comedy can dispense, the smiles it calls forth are not those of gaiety but scorn, and the parts of an absurd English knight and his wife, though very humorous, are hardly prominent enough to enliven the scenes of guilt and fraud which pass before our eyes. But, though too much pedantry obtrudes itself, it does not overspread the pages with nonsense as in the Alchemist, the characters of Celia and Bonario excite some interest; the differences, one can hardly say the gradations, of villany are marked with the strong touches of Jonson's pen, the incidents succeed rapidly and naturally, the dramatic effect, above all, is perceptible to every reader, and rises in a climax through the last two acts to the conclusion.

59 The Silent Woman, which has been named by some with the Alchemist and the Fox, falls much below The Silent them in vigorous delinention and dramatic effect Woman.

them in vigorous delineation and dramatic effect.

It has more diversity of manners than of character, the amusing scenes border sometimes in furce, as where two covardly kinghts are madn to receive hlows in the dark, each supposing them to come from his adversary, and the catastrophe is neither pleasing nor probable. It is written with a great deal of spirit, and has a value as the representation of London life in the higher ranks at that time. But upon the whole I should be tochined to give to Every Mini in his Humonr a much soperior place. It is a proof of Jonson's extensive learning that the story of this play, and several particular passages, have been detected in a writer so much out of the beaten track as Libanius.

60 The pasteral drama of the Sad Shepherd is the best superior in originality, liveliness, and beauty to the Faithful Shepherdess of Fletcher it reminds us rather, in language and imagery, of the Midsummer Night's Dream, and perhaps no other poetry has come so near to that of Shakspeare. Jonson, like him had an extraordinary commund of English, in its popular and provincial idioms, as well as what might he gained from books, and though his invincible pedantry now and then obtrades itself into the months of shepherds, it is compensated by numerous passages of the most natoral and graceful expression. This beautiful drama is imperfect, hardly more than half remaining, or, more probably, having ever been written. It was also Jon son's last song, age and poverty had stolen upon him, but as one has said, who experienced the same destiny, " tho life was in the leaf," and his lanrel remained verdant amidst the snow of his hononred head. The beauties of the Sad

Gifford discovered this. Dryden, who has given an examination of the Stent Woman, in his Essay on Drumatic Poetry takes Morose for a real character and says that he had so been informed. It is possible that there might be some foundation of truth in this; the skaleton is I Libralies, but Joseon may

sers siled it up from the life Dryden gives it as his opinion that there is more wit and acuteroses of fancy in this play than in any of Ben Joesson's, and that he has described the enversation of gentlemen with more griety and freedom than in the rest of his comediate. p. 107 Shepherd might be reckoned rather poetical than dramatic; yet the action is both diversified and interesting to a degree we seldom find in the pastoral drama; there is little that is low in the comic speeches, nothing that is inflated in the serious.

- 61. Two men once united by friendship, and for ever by fame, the Dioscuii of our zodiac, Beaumont and Fletcher, 10se upon the horizon as the star of Sliakspeare, though still in its fullest brightness, was declining in the sky. The first in order of time among more than fifty plays published with their joint names, is the Woman-Hater, represented, according to Langbaine, in 1607, and ascribed to Beaumont alone by Seward, though, I believe, merely on conjecture.* Beaumont died, at the age of thirty, in 1615; Fletcher in 1625. No difference of manner is perceptible, or, at least, no critic has perceived any, in the plays that appeared between these two epochs; in fact, the greater part were not printed till 1617, and it is only through the records of the play-house that we distinguish their dates. The tradition, however, of their own times, as well as the earlier death of Beaumont, give us reason to name Fletcher, when we mention one singly, as the principal author of all these plays; and of late years this has perhaps become more customary than it used to be. A contemporary copy of verses, indeed, seems to attribute the greater share in the Maid's Tragedy, Philaster, and King and No King, to Beaumont. But testimony of this kind is very precarious. It is sufficient that he bore a part in these three.
 - 62. Of all our early dramatic poets, none have suffered such mangling by the printer as Beaumout and Fletcher. Their style is generally elliptical and not very perspicuous; they use words in peculiar senses, and there seems often an attempt at pointed expression, in which its meaning has deserted them. But after every effort to comprehend their language, it is continually so remote from all possibility of bearing a rational sense, that we can only have recourse to one hypothesis, that of an extensive

^{*} Vol 1 p 3 He also thinks The These two appear to me about the worst Nice Valour exclusively Beaumont's. In the collection

and irreparable corruption of the text. Seward and Simpson, who, in 1750, published the first edition in which any endeavour was made at illustration or inmendment, though not men of moch taste, and too fond of extelling their nu thors, showed some acuteness, and have restored mony passages in a probable manner, though often driven out at sea to conjecture something where the received reading far nished not a vestige which they could trace. No one since has made my great progress in this criticism, though some have carped at these editors for not performing more. The problem of netual restoration in most places, where the printers or transcribers have made such strange havee, thust evidently be insolable.

63 The first play in the collected works of Berumont and Fletcher, though not the earliest, is the Mind's Tragedy and it is among the best. None of their freedy female characters though they are often very successful in beautiful delineations of virtuous love, attaches our sympathy like Aspasia. Her sorrows are so deep, so pare, so unmerited, she sustains the breech of plighted faith in Amyntor, and the taunts of vicious women with so much resignation, so little of that termogant resentment which these poets are apt to infose into their heroines, the poetry of her speeches is so exquisitely imaginative that, of those dramatic persons who are not prominent in the development of n story, scarce any, even in Shakspeare, are more interesting. A or is the praise due to the Maid's Tragedy confined to the part of Aspasia. In Melantius we have Fletcher's favourite character the brave honest soldier, meanable of suspecting evil, till it becomes impossible to be ignorant of it, but unshrinking in its punishment. That of Evadao well displays the nudacious security of guilt under the safeguard of power, it is highly theatrical, and renders the success of this tragedy not sar prising in times when its language and situations could be endored by the audience. Wn may remark in this trageds. as in many others of these dramatists, that, while pointing out the unlimited levalty fashionable at the court of James, they are fall of implied saure, which could hardly escape observation The warm enlogies on military glory, the scorn of slothful peace, the pictures of dissolute baseness in cour

the English gentry, a rank to which they both belonged, of dishke to that ignominious government; and though James was far enough removed from such voluptuous tyrants as Fletcher has pourtrayed in this and some other plays, they did not serve to exemplify the advantages of monarchy in the most attractive manner.

- essentially moral as it is, cannot be called a tragedy for maids, and indeed should hardly be read by any respectable woman. It abounds with that studiously protracted indecency which distinguished Fletcher beyond all our early dramatists, and is so much incorporated with his plays, that very few of them can be so altered as to become tolerable at present on the stage. In this he is strikingly contrasted with Shakspeare, whose levities of this kind are so transitory, and so much confined to language, that he has borne the process of purification with little detriment to his genius, or even to his wit.
 - and most popular of Fletcher's plays.* This was owing to the pleasing characters of Philaster and Bellario, and to the frequent sweetness of the poetry. It is, nevertheless, not a first-rate play. The plot is most absurdly managed. It turns on the suspicion of Arethusa's infidelity. And the sole ground of this is that an abandoned woman, being detected herself, accuses the princess of unchastity. Not a shadow of presumptive evidence is brought to confirm this impudent assertion, which, however, the lady's father, her lover, and a grave sensible counter, do not fail implicitly to believe. How unlike the chain of circumstance, and the devilsh cuming by which the Moor is wrought up to think his Desdemona false! Bellario is suggested by Viola; there is more picturesqueness, more dramatic importance, not, perhaps, more beauty and sweetness of affection, but a more eloquent development of it in Fletcher; on the other hand,

Philaster was not printed, according to Laughaine, till 1620 I do not know that we have any evidence of the date of its representation

^{*} Dryden says, but I know not how truly, that Philaster was "the first play that brought Beaumont and Fletcher in esteem, for before that they had written two or three very unsuccessfully " p 100

there is still more of that improbability which attends a successful concealment of sex by mere disguise of clothes, though no artifice has been more common on the stage. Many other circumstances in the conduct of Fletcher's story are ill contrived. It has less wit than the greater part of his comedies, for among such, according to the old distinction, it is to be ranked, though the subject is elevated and serious.

66 King and No King is, in my judgment, inferior to Philaster The language has not so much of poor tied beauty The character of Arbaces excites no sympathy, it is a compound of vainglory und violence, which rather demands disgrace from poetical justice than reward. Panthea is innocent, but insipid, Mardonius u good specimen of what Fletcher loves to exhibit, the plain honest courtier As for Bessus, he certainly gives occasion to several amusing scenes, but his cowardice is a little too glaring, he 16 neither so laughable as Bobadil nor so sprightly as Parolles The principal ment of this play, which rendered it popular on the stage for many years, consists in the effective scenes where Arbaces reveals his illicit desiro. That especially with Mardonius is artfully and elaborately written Shakspeare had less of this skill, and his tragedies suffer for it in their dramatic effect. The scene between John and Hubert is un exception, and there is a great deal of it in Othello, but in general he may be said not to have exerted the power of detaining the spectator in that anxious suspense, which creates almost an actual illusion and makes him tremble at every word, lest the secret which he has learned should be imparted to the imaginary person on the stage. Of this there are several fine instances in the Greek tragedians, the famous scene in the Œdipus Tyrannus being the best, and it is possible that the superior education of Fletcher may have rendered him familiar with the resources of ancient tragedy These scenes in the present play would have been more highly powerful if the interest could have been thrown on any character superior to the selfish hraggart Arhaces It may be said, perhaps that his humiliation through his own lawless passions, after so much insolence of success, affords a moral, he seems, however, but imperfectly cured at the con clusion, which is also hurried on with unsatisfactory rapidity

67. The Elder Brother has been generally reckoned among the best of Fletcher's comedies. It displays in a new form an idea not very new in fiction, the power of love, on the first sight of a woman, to vivify a soul utterly ignorant of the passion. Charles, the Elder Brother, much unlike the Cymon of Dryden, is absorbed in study; a mere scholar without a thought beyond his books. His indifference, perhaps, and ignorance about the world are rather exaggerated and border on stupidity; but it was the custom of the dramatists in that age to produce effect in representation by very sudden developments, if not changes, of character. The other persons are not ill conceived; the honest testy Miramont, who admires learning without much more of it than enables him to sign his name, the two selfish worldly fathers of Charles and Angelina, believing themselves shrewd, yet the easy dupes of coxcomb manners from selves shrewd, yet the easy dupes of coxcomb manners from the court, the spirited Angelina, the spoiled but not worth-less Eustace, show Fletcher's great talent in dramatic inven-tion. In none of his mere comedies has he sustained so tion In none of his mere comedies has he sustained so uniformly elegant and pleasing a style of poetry, the language of Charles is naturally that of a refined scholar, but now and then, perhaps, we find old Miramont talk above himself. The underplot hits to the life the licentious endeavours of an old man to seduce his inferior, but, as usual, it reveals vice too broadly. This comedy is of very simple construction, so that Cibber was obliged to blend it with another, The Custom of the Country, in order to compose from the two his Love Makes a Man, by no means the worst play of that age. The two plots, however, do not harmonise very well.

68. The Spanish Curate is in all probability taken from The Spanish one of those comedies of intrigue which the fame of Lope de Vega had made popular in Europe. It is one of the best specimens of that manner, the plot is full of incident and interest, without being difficult of comprehension, nor, with fair allowance for the conventions of the stage and manners of the country, improbable. The characters are

and manners of the country, improbable. The characters are in full relief without caricature. Fletcher, with an artifice of which he is very fond, has made the fierce resentment of Violante break out unexpectedly from the calmness she had shown in the first scenes, but it is so well accounted for, that

we see nothing nunatural in the development of passions for which there had been no previous call — Ascanio is again one of Fletcher's favourite delineations, a kind of Bellerio in his modest, affectionate disposition, one, in whose prosperity the reader takes so much pleasaire that he forgets it is, in a worldly sense, inconsistent with that of the honest hearted Don Jamie. The doting hasband, Don Henrique, contrasts well with the jealons Bartolus, and both afford by their fate the sort of moral which is looked for in comedy — The under plot of the lawyer and his wife while it shows how hieritions in principle as well as indecent in language the stage had become, is conducted with incomparable humour and number ment. Congreve borrowed part of this in the Old Bachelor without by any means equaling it. Upon the whole, as a comedy of this class, it deserves to be placed in the highest rank.

69 The Custom of the Country is much deformed by obscenity, especially the first act. But it is full of nobleness in character and sentiment, of interesting the situations, of unceasing variety of action. Fletcher has never shown what he so much delights in drawing, the contrast of virtuous dignity with ingoverned passion in woman, with more success than in Zenocia and Hippolyta. Of these three plays we may say, perhaps, that there is more poetry in the Elder Brother, more interest in the Custom of the Country, more wit and spirit in the Spanish Curate.

70 The Loyal Subject ought also to be placed in a high rank among the works of Beanmont and Fletcher. There is a play by Heywood, The Royal King and bearing the control of the short of the saveral circum stances of this have been taken. That Heywood's was the original, though the only edition of it is in 1637 while the Loyal Subject was represented in 1615, cannot bear in doubt. The former is expressly mentioned in the epilogue as an old play, belonging to a style gone out of date, and not to be judged with rigour. Heywood has therefore the praise of having conceived the character of Earl Marshal, upon which Fletcher somewhat improved in Archas, a brave soldier of that disinterested and devoted loyalty, which bears all ingratitude and outrage at the hands of an inworthy and mis-

guided sovereign. In the days of James there could be no more courtly moral. In each play the prince, after depriving his most deserving subject of honours and fortune, tries his fidelity by commanding him to send two daughters, whom he had educated in seclusion, to the court, with designs that the father may easily suspect. The loyalty, however, of these honest soldiers, like the hospitality of Lot, submits to encounter this danger; and the conduct of the young ladies soon proves that they might be trusted in the fiery trial. In the Loyal Subject, Fletcher has beautifully, and with his light touch of pencil, sketched the two virtuous sisters; one high-spirited, intrepid, undisguised, the other shrinking with maiden modesty, a tremulous dew-drop in the cup of a violet. But unfortunately his original taint betrays itself, and the elder sister cannot display her scorn of licentiousness without borrowing some of its language. If Shakspeare had put these loose images into the mouth of Isabella, how differently we should have esteemed her character!

71. We find in the Loyal Subject what is neither pleasing nor probable, the disguise of a youth as a girl. This was of course not offensive to those who saw nothing else on the stage. Fletcher did not take this from Heywood. In the whole management of the story he is much superior, the nobleness of Archas and his injuries are still more displayed than those of the Earl Marshal, and he has several new characters, especially Theodore, the impetuous son of the Loyal Subject, who does not brook the insults of a prince as submissively as his father, which fill the play with variety and spirit. The language is in some places obscure and probably corrupt, but abounding with that kind of poetry which belongs to Fletcher.

72. Beggar's Bush is an excellent comedy; the serious parts interesting, the comic diverting. Every character supports itself well: if some parts of the plot have been suggested by As you Like It, they are managed so as to be original in spirit. Few of Fletcher's plays furnish more proofs of his characteristic qualities. It might be represented with no great curtailment.

73. The Scornful Lady is one of those coincides which exhibit English domestic life, and have therefore a value independent of their dramatic ment. It does

not equal Beggar's Bush, but is full uf effective scenes, which, when less regard was paid to decency, must have rendered it a popular play Fletcher, in fuct, is as much enperior to Shak speare in his knowledge of the stage, as he falls below him in that of human nature. His fertile invention was turned to the management of his plot (always with a view to representation), the rapid succession of incidents, the surprises and embarrassments which keep the spectator's attention alive. His characters are but vehicles to the story they are distinguished, for the most part, by little more than the slight peculiarities of manner, which are easily caught by the andience, and we do not often meet, especially in his comedies, with the elaborate delineations of Jonson, or the marked idiosyncracies of Shak apeare. Of these his great predecessors, une formed u deli berate conception of a cheracter, whether taken from general nature or from manners, and drew his figure, as it were, in his mind before he transferred it to the canvas. with the uther the idea sprang out of the depths of his soul, and though suggested by the story he had chosen became so much the favourite of his genius as he wrote, that in its development he sometimes grew negligent of his plot.

74 No tragedy of Fletcher would deserve higher praise than Valentinian, if he had not, hy an suconcervable want of taste and judgment, descended from beauty and dignity to the most preposterons absurdities The mutron purity of the injured Lucina the ravages of unrestrained self indulgence on n mind not wholly without glimpses of virtue in Valentinian, the vileness of his courtiers, the spirited contrast of unconquerable loyalty in Atina with the natural indignation at wrong in Maximus, are brought before our eyes in some of Fletcher's best poetry, though in a text that seens in more corrupt than usual But after the udmyrstory) re the third act, where Lucina (the Lucrettaine in this dra veals her mojory, perhaps almost the o that can move us manst, if we except the Mind's Trage here begins to for to tears, her husband Maximus, who, in the Spanish style fert our sympathy by his ready conbecomes in treacherons of perverted honour, to her sunce Ætius turns to down and ambitious villain, the loyslay is but such a series of right folly and the rest of t

murders as Marston or the author of Andronicus might have devised. If Fletcher meant, which he very probably did, to inculcate as a moral, that the worst of tyrants are to be obeyed with unflinching submission, he may have gained applause at court, at the expense of his reputation with posterity.

75. The Two Noble Kinsmen is a play that has been honoured by a tradition of Shakspeare's concern in The evidence as to this is the title-page of the first edition; which, though it may seem much at first sight, is next to nothing in our old drama, full of misnomers of this kind. The editors of Beaumont and Fletcher have insisted upon what they take for marks of Shakspeare's style, and Schlegel, after "seeing no reason for doubting so probable an opinion," detects the spirit of Shakspeare in a certain ideal purity which distinguishes this from other plays of Fletcher, and in the conscientious fidelity with which it follows the Knight's Tale in Chaucer. The Two Noble Kinsmen has much of that elevated sense of honour, friendship, fidelity, and love, which belongs, I think, more characteristically to Fletcher, who had drunk at the fountain of Castilian romance, than to one, in whose vast mind this conventional morality of particular classes was subordinated to the universal nature of man. In this sense Fletcher is always, in his tragic compositions, a very ideal poet. The subject itself is fitter for him than for Shakspeare. In the language and conduct of this play, with great deference to better and more attentive critics, I see imitations of Shakspeare rather than such resemblances as denote his powerful stamp. The madness of the gaoler's daughter, where some have imagined they ness of the gaoler's daughter, where some have imagined they saw the master-hand, is doubtless suggested by that of Ophelia, but with an inferiority of taste and feeling, which it seems impossible not to recognise. The painful and degrading symptom of female insanity, which Shakspeare has touched with his gentle land, is dwelt upon by Fletcher with all his innate impurity—van any one believe that the former would have written the ast scene in which the gaoler's daughter appears on the stage? Schlegel has too fine taste to believe that this character cone from Shakspeare, and it is given up by the latest assertor of his claim to a participation

in the play *

76 The Faithful Shepherdess, deservedly among the most celebrated productions of Fletcher, stands alone in its The Flooric class, and admits of no comparison with any other play It is a pastoral drama, in imitation of the Pastor Fide. at that time very popular in England The Faithful Shepherdess, however, to the great indignation of ull the poets, did not succeed on its first representation. There is nothing in this surprising, the tone of pastoral is too far removed from the possibilities of life for a stage which appealed, like ours, to the boisterous sympathies of a general audience. It is a play very characteristic of Fletcher, being a mixture of tenderness, purity, indecency, and absurdity There is some justice in Schlegelia remark, that it is an immodest enlogy on modesty But this critic, who does not seem to appreciate the beauty of Fletcher's poetry, should hardly have mentioned Guarmi as a model whom he might have followed It was by copying the Corisca of the Pastor Fido that Fletchor introduced the character of the vicious shepherdess Cloe. though, according to his times, and, we must own, to his disposition, he has greatly aggravated the faults to which just exception has been taken in his original

77 It is impossible to withhold our proise from the poetical beanties of this pastoral drama. Every one knows that it contains the germ of Comns, the benevolent Satyr, whose last proposition to "stray in the middle air, and stay the

between the two. But we might wish to have seen this displayed in longer ex tracts then such as the author of this Letter has generally given us. It is difficult to my of a man like Fletcher that he could not have written single lines in the spirit of his predocemor A few instances, however of longer passages will be found; and I believe that it is a subject upon which there will long be a difference of opinion [Coleridge has said, " I have no doubt whetever that the first act, and the first scene of the second act, of the Two Noble Kinsmen, are Shakspeare's. Table Talk, vol. ii. p. 119. - 1842.

The author of a Letter on Shak space's Authorable of the Drama, entitled the Two Nobl Kinsene, Edhaburgh, 1833, notwithstanding this title, does not day a considerable participation to Fletcher. He lays no great stress on the atternal evidence. But in arr guing from the similarity of style in many passages to that of Stakspeere, the author Mr Spaking of Edinburgh, above so much tests and so competent a knowledge of the two dramatists, that I abouid partage scenple to set up my own doubts in opposition. His chief proofs are drawn from the force and southernation of language in particular passages, which doubtles is one of the great dishiretions.

sailing rack, or nimbly take hold of the moon," is not much in the character of these sylvans, has been judiciously metamorphosed by Milton to an attendant spirit, and a more austere, as well as more uniform language has been given to the speakers. But Milton has borrowed largely from the imagination of his predecessor; and by quoting the lyric parts of the Faithful Shepherdess, it would be easy to deceive any one not accurately familiar with the songs of Comus. They abound with that rapid succession of ideal scenery, that darting of the poet's fancy from earth to heaven, those picturesque and novel metaphors, which distinguish much of the poetry of this age, and which are ultimately, perhaps, in great measure referrible to Shakspeare.

78. Rule a Wife and Have a Wife is among the superior comedies of its class. That it has a prototype on the Spanish theatre must appear likely; but I should be surprised if the variety and spirit of character, the vivacity of humour, be not chiefly due to our own authors. Every personage in this comedy is drawn with a vigorous pencil; so that it requires a good company to be well represented. It is indeed a mere picture of roguery; for even Leon, the only character for whom we can feel any sort of interest, has gained his ends by stratagem; but his gallant spirit redeems this in our indulgent views of dramatic morality, and we are justly pleased with the discomfiture of fraud and efficiency in Estifania and Margarita.

79. The Knight of the Burning Pestle is very diverting, and some other more successful, perhaps, than any previous attempt to introduce a drama within a drama. I should haidly except the Induction to the Taming of a Shrew. The burlesque, though very ludicrous, does not transgress all bounds of probability. The Wild-goose Chase, The Chances, The Humorous Lieutenant, Women Pleased, Wit without Money, Monsieur Thomas, and several other comedies, deserve to be praised for the usual excellencies of Fletcher, his gaiety, his invention, his ever-varying rapidity of dialogue and incident. None are without his defects; and we may add, what is not in fairness to be called a defect of his, since it applies perhaps to every dramatic writer except Shakspeare and Mohère, that being cast as it were in a common mould,

we find both a monotony in reading several of these plays, and a difficulty of distinguishing them in remembrance

80 The later writers, those especially after the Restor ntion, did not fail to appropriate many of the inventious of Fletcher He and his colleague are the proper founders of our comedy of intrigue, which prevailed through the seven teenth century, the comedy of Wycherley, Dryden, Behn, and Shadwell Their manner, if not their netual plots, mny still be observed in many pieces that are produced on our stage But few of those imitators came up to the sprightliness of their model It is to be regretted that it is rarely practi cable to ndapt may one of his comedies to representation without such changes as destroy their original radiness, and dilute the geniality of their wit.

81 There has not been much curresity to investigate the sonrees of his humorous plays A few are histori cal, hat it seems lighly probable that the Spanish stage of Lope de Vega and his contemporaries often furnished the subject, and perhaps many of the scenes, to his comedies. These possess all the characteristics ascribed to the comedies of intrigue so popular in that country scene too is more commonly laid in Spain, and the cestume of Spanish minners and sentiments more closely observed, than we should expect from the invention of Englishmen It would be worth the leasure of some lover of theatrical literature to search the collection of Lope de Vega's works. and, if possible, the other Spanish writers at the beginning of the century, in order to trace the footsteps of our two dramatists. Sometimes they may have had recourse to novels. The Little French Lawyer seems to indicate anchi nn origin Nothing had as yet been produced I believe, on the French stage from which it could have been derived. but the story and most of the characters are manifestly of French derivation The comic humonr of La Writ in this play we may ascribe to the invention of Fletcher himself *

Dryden reckons this play with the which he mentions are little in the style Spanish Curate the Chances, and Rule of north. B t the Little Franch Lawyer as Wife and Harw Wife, among those has all the appearance of coming from a which be supposes to be drawn from French nords; the sense lies in France, Spanish nords. Easay on Dramatic and I see nothing Spanish about it. Poctry p. 204. By nords we should Drydan was seldom wall-informed about probably understand plays; for those the early stage.

82. It is, however, not improbable that the entire plot was sometimes original. Fertile as their invention was, to an extraordinary degree, in furnishing the incidents of their rapid and animated comedies, we may believe the fable itself to have sometimes sprung from no other source. It seems, indeed, now and then, as if the authors had gone forward with no very clear determination of their catastroplie; there is a want of unity in the conception, a want of consistency in the characters, which appear sometimes rather intended to surprise by incongruity, than framed upon a definite model. That of Ruy Diaz in the Island Princess, of whom it is hard to say whether he is a brave man or a coward, or alternately one and the other, is an instance to which many more might easily be added. In the Bloody Brother, Rollo sends to execution one of his counsellors, whose daughter Edith vainly interferes in a scene of great pathos and effect. In the progress of the drama slie arms herself to take away the tyrant's life; the whole of her character has been consistent and energetic; when Fletcher, to the render's astonishment, thinks fit to imitate the scene between Richard and Lady Anne; and the ignominious fickleness of that lady, whom Shakspeare with wonderful skill, but in a manner not quite pleasing, sacrifices to the better display of the cunning crook-back, is here transferred to the herome of the play, and the very character upon whom its interest ought to depend. Edith is on the point of giving up her purpose, when some others in the conspiracy coming in, she recovers herself enough to exhort them to strike the blow.*

cealed by obscurity, or corruption of the text, are very dramatic. We cannot deny that the depths of Shakspeare's mind were often unfathomable by an audience; the bow was drawn by a matchless hand, but the shaft went out of sight. All might listen to Fletcher's

different view of their contentions with men. But lionesses are become very good painters, and it is but through their elemency that we are not delineated in such a style as would avenge them for the injuries of these tragedians

^{*} Rotrou, in his Wenceslas, as we have already observed, has done something of the same kind, it may have been meant as an ungenerous and calumnious attack on the constancy of the female sex. If hons were painters, the old fable says, they would exhibit a very

pleasing, though not profound or vigorous, languago, his thoughts are noble, and tinged with the ideality of romance, his metaphors vivid, though sometimes too forced, he possesses the ideal of English without much pedantry, though in many pussages he strains it beyond common use, his versification, though studiously irregular, is often rhythmical and sweet. Yet we are seldom arrested by striking beauties, good lines occur in every page, fine ones but rarely, we lay down the volume with a sense of admiration of what we have read, but little of it remains distinctly in the memory. Fletcher is not much quoted, and has not even afforded copious materials to those who call the beauties of uncient lore.

84 In variety of character there can be no comparison between Fletcher and Slinkspeare A few types Therefore return npou us in the former, an old general, proud reciers. of his wars, faithful and passiounte, a voluptuous and urbi trary king (for his principles of obedience do not seem to have inspired him with much confidence in royal virtues), a supple courtier, a high spirited youth, or one more gentle in manuers but not less stout in action, n lady, fierce and not always very modest in her chastity, repelling the solicitations of licentiousness, another impudently vicious, form the usual pictures for his canvas Add to these, for the lighter comedy, an umorous old man, a gny spoudthrift, und a few more of the staple characters of the stage, and we have the materials of Fletcher's dramatic world. It must be remem bered that we compare him only with Shakspeare and that as few dramatists have been more copious than Fletcher few have been so much called upon for inventions, in which the custom of the theatre has not exacted much originality. The great fertility of his mind in new combinations of circum stance gives as much appearance of novelty to the personages themselves as an nureflecting audience requires. In works of fiction even those which are read in the closet, this varia tion of the mere dress of a character is generally found suffi cient for the public

85 The tragedies of Beanmont and Fletcher, by which our ancestors seem to have meant only plays where-Traft train any one of the personages, or at least one whom

the spectator would wish to keep alive, dies on the stage, are not very numerous, but in them we have as copious an effusion of blood as any contemporary dramas supply. The conclusion indeed of these, and of the tragi-comedies, which form a larger class, is generally mismanaged. A propensity to take the audience by surprise leads often to an unnatural and unsatisfactory catastrophe, it seems their aim to disappoint common expectation, to baffle reasonable conjecture, to mock natural sympathy. This is frequently the practice of our modern novelists, who find no better resource in the poverty of their invention to gratify the jaded palate of the world.

86. The comic talents of these authors far exceeded their skill in tragedy. In comedy they founded a new school, at least in England, the vestiges of which are still to be traced in our theatre. Their plays are at once distinguishable from those of their contemporaries by the regard to dramatic effect which influenced the writers' imagination. Though not personally connected with the stage, they had its picture ever before their eyes. Hence their incidents are numerous and striking, their characters sometimes slightly sketched, not drawn like those of Jonson, from a preconcerved design, but preserving that degree of individual distinctness which a common audience requires, and often highly humorous without extravagance, their language brilliant with wit, their measure, though they do not make great use of prose, very lax and rapid, running frequently to lines of thirteen and fourteen syllables. Few of their coinedies are without a mixture of grave sentiments or elevated characters, and though there is much to condemn in their indecency and even licentiousness of principle, they never descend to the coarse buffoonery not unfrequent in their age. Never were dramatic poets more thoroughly gentlemen, according to the standard of their times, and, when we consider the court of James I., we may say that they were above that standard. *

and quickness of wit in repartees, no poet before them could paint as they have done Humour, which Ben Jonson derived from particular persons, they made it not their business to describe, they represented all the passions very lively, but

[&]quot; Their plots were generally more regular than Shakspeare's, especially those which were made before Beaumont's death, and they understood and imitated the conversation of gentlemen much better, whose wild debaucheries,

87 The best of Fletcher's characters are female, he wanted that large sweep of reflection and experience Their track which is required for the greater diversity of the chancies. other sex Nonn of his women delight us like Imogen mid Desdemona, but he has many Imogens and Desdemonas of a fainter type Spacelia, Zenocia, Celin, Aspasia, Evantlie, Lucina, Ordella, Oriana, present the picture that cannot be greatly varied without departing from its essence, but which never can be repented too aften to please us, of faithful, tender, self-denying femulo love, superior to every thing but virtue Nor is his less successful, generally, in the contrast of minds stained by guilty passion, though in this he sometimes exag gerates the nutlino till it borders on enricatore. But it is in vain to seek in Fletcher the strong conceptions of Shukspeare, the Shylocks, the Lears, the Othellos Schlegel has well said that ' scarce my thing has been wanting to give a place to Beanmont and Fletcher among the great dramatists of Enrape, but more of seriousness and depth and the regu lating judgment which prescribes the due limits in every part of composition" It was for want of the former qualities that they conceive nothing in traged, very forcibly, for want of the latter that they spoil their first conception by extravagance and incongruity .

show all, lova I am apt to believe the Eoglish language in them arrived to its highest perfection; what word have since been taken in, are rather susperfinent than crantenetal. Their plays are now the most playsant and frequent exterediaments of the stage; two of theirs being arted through the year for cose of Stakspeare or Joneson's the reason is, because there is a certain gatery I their comedue, and pathos in their more serious plays, which mist generally with all men's humours. Stakspeare language is likewise a little cloudete, and Jonson wit falls short of theirs. Dry den, p. 101

"Slakapeare says Dryden "write better between man and man, Flatcher betwint man and woman; consequently the one described friendship better the other lors; yet Slakapears taught Fletcher to write love, and Juliet and Desdemons are originals. It is true the subolar had the softest soul, but the

master had th kinder Shekspeare had an universal mind, which comprebended all characters and passions; Fletcher a more confined and limited | for though be treated love in perfection, yet bonour ambition, revenge and generally all the stronger passions, he either touched not, or not masterly To conclude all, be was a limb of Shakspeare, p. 901 This comparison I rather gaperally then strictly just, as is often the case with the criticisms of Dryden. That Fletcher wrote better than Sinkspears "between man and woman, or in displaying love will be granted when he shall be shown to have excelled Ferdinand and Miranda, or Posthumus and Imogen. And, on the other hand, it is unjust to dony him credit for he los sometimes touched the stronger emotions, especially honour and ambition, with great skill, though much inferior to

that of Shakspearu.

VOL III

88. The reputation of Beaumont and Fletcher was at its height, and most of their plays had been given to the stage, when a worthy inheritor of their mantle appeared in Philip Massinger. Of his extant dramas the Virgin Martyr, published in 1622, seems to be the earliest, but we have reason to believe that several are lost, and even this tragedy may have been represented some years before. The far greater part of his remaining pieces followed within ten years, the Bashful Lover, which is the latest now known, was written in 1636. Massinger was a gentleman, but in the service, according to the language of those times, of the Pembroke family; his education was at the university, his acquaintance both with books and with the manners of the court is familiar, his style and sentiments are altogether those of a man polished by intercourse of good society.

89. Neither in his own age nor in modern times does Massinger seem to have been put on a level with Fletcher or Jonson. Several of his plays, as has been just observed, are said to have perished in manuscript, few were represented after the restoration, and it is only in consequence of his having met with more than one editor, who has published his collected works in a convenient form, that he is become tolerably familiar to the general reader. He is, however, far more intelligible than Fletcher, his text has not given so much embarrassment from corruption, and his general style is as perspicuous as we ever find it in the dramatic poets of that age. The obscure passages in Massinger, after the care that

Gifford has taken, are by no means frequent.

90. Five of his sixteen plays are tragedies, that is, are concluded in death, of the rest, no one belongs to the class of mere comedy, but by the depth of the interest, the danger of the virtuous, or the atrocity of the vicious characters, as well as the elevation of the general style, must be ranked with the serious drama, or as it was commonly termed, tragi-comedy. A shade of melancholy tinges the writings of Massinger, but he sacrifices less than his contemporaries to the public taste for superfluous bloodshed on the stage. In several of his plays, such as the Picture, or the Renegado, where it would have been easy to determine the catastrophe towards tragedy, he has preferred

to break the clonds with the radiance of a setting sun—He consulted in this his own genius, not eminently pathetic, nor energetic enough to display the nitmost intensity of emotion, but abounding in sweetness and dignity, npt to delineate the loveliness of virtue, and to delight in its recompence after trial—It has been surmised that the religion of Massinger was that of the church of Rome, n conjecture not improbable, though, considering the ascence and imaginative piety, which then prevailed in that of England, we need not absolutely go so far for his turn of thought in the Virgin Martyr or the Renegado

91 The most striking excellence of this poet is his conception of character, and in this I must incline to place him above Fletcher, and, if I may venture to say it, even above Jonson He is free from the hard outline of the one and the negligent looseness of the other He has indeed no great variety, and sometimes re pents, with such bare modifications as the story demands, the type of his first design Thus the extravagence of conjugal affection is pourtrayed, feeble in Theodosius, frantic in Domittan, selfish in Sforza, suspicious in Mathias, and the same impulses of doing love return upon us in the guilty enlogies of Mallefort on his daughter The vindictive hypocrisy of Montreville in the Unnatural Combat has nearly its counter part in that of Francesco in the Duke of Milan and is again displayed with more striking success in Luke. This last villain indeed, and that original, masterly immitable conception Sir Giles Overreach, are sufficient to establish the rank of Massinger in this great province of dramatic art. But his own disposition led him more willingly to pictures of moral beauty A peculiar refinement, a mixture of gentleness and benignity with noble daring, belong to some of his favourite characters, to Pisander in the Bondman to Antonio in A Very Woman to Charolois in the Fatal Dowry It may be readily supposed that his female characters are not wanting in these graces. It seems to me that he has more variety in his women than in the other sex, and that they are less man nered than the heromes of Fletcher A slight degree of error or passion in Sophia, Endocia, Marcelia, without weakening our sympathy, serves both to prevent the monotony of per

mj

petual rectitude, so often insipid in fiction, and to bring for-

ward the development of the story.

92. The subjects chosen by Massinger are sometimes historical, but others seem to have been taken from French or Italian novels, and those so obscure, that his editor Gifford, a man of much reading and industry, has seldom traced them. This indeed was an usual practice of our ancient dramatists. Their works have consequently a iomantic character, presenting as little of the regular Plautine comedy as of the Greek forms of tragedy. They are merely novels in action, following probably their models with no great variation, except the lower and lighter episodes which it was always more or less necessary to combine with the story. It is from this choice of subjects, perhaps, as much as from the peculiar temper of the poets, that love is the predominant affection of the mind which they display; not cold and conventional, as we commonly find it on the French stage, but sometimes, as the novelests of the South were prone to delineate its emotions, fiery, irresistible, and almost resembling the fatalism of ancient tragedy, sometimes a subdued captive at the chariot-wheels of honour or religion. The range of human passion is consequently far less extensive than in Shakspeare; but the variety of circumstance, and the modifications of the paramount affection itself, compensated for this deficiency.

93. Next to the grace and dignity of sentiment in Massinger, we must praise those qualities in his style. Every modern critic has been struck by the peculiar beauty of his language. In his harmonious swell of numbers, in his pure and genuine idiom, which a text, by good fortune and the diligence of its last editor, far less corrupt than that of Fletcher, enables us to enjoy, we find an unceasing charm. The poetical talents of Massinger were very considerable, his taste superior to that of his contemporaries, the colouring of his imagery is larely overcharged, a certain redundancy, as some may account it, gives fulness, or what the painters call *impasto*, to his style, and if it might not always conduce to effect on the stage, is on the whole suitable to the character of his composition.*

^{* [}I quote the following criticism from to it —"The styles of Massinger's plays Coleridge, without thoroughly assenting and the Samson Agonistes are the two

94 The comic powers of this writer are not on a level with the aerious, with some degree of humorous onception he is too apt to aim at exciting ridicule of street by carricature, and his dialogue wants altogether the sparkling wit of Shakspeare and Fletcher Whether

from n consciousness of this defect, or from nn nuhappy compliance with the viciousness of the age, no writer is more contaminated by gross indecency. It belongs indeed chiefly, not perhaps exclusively, to the characters he would rendor odious, but upon them he has bestowed this flower of our early theatre with no sparing hand. Few, it must be said, of his plays are incapable of representation merely on this account, and the offence is therefore more momentable in Fletcher

95 Among the tragedies of Massingor, I should incline to prefer the Duke of Milan The plot borrows enough from history to give it dignity, and to connuterbalance in some measure the predominance of the passion of love which the invented parts of the drama exhibit The characters of Sforza, Marcelia, and Francesco, are in Massinger's hest manner, the story is skilfully and not im probably developed, the pathos in deeper than we generally find in his writings, the eloquence of language, especially in the celebrated apeech of Sforza before the emperor, has never been surpassed by him Many however, place the Patal Dowry still higher Thia tragedy furnished Rowe with the story of his Fair Penitent. The superiority of the original except in suitableness for representation has long been ac knowledged In the Unnatural Combat, probably among the earliest of Massinger's works, we find a greater energy, a bolder strain of figurative poetry, more command of terror and perhaps of pity, than in any other of his dramas. But the dark shadows of crime and misery which overspread this tragedy belong to rather an earlier period of the English stage than that of Massinger and were not congenial to his temper

extremes of the are within which the diction of dramatic poetry may oscillate. Shakapears in his great plays is the mid-point. In the Samson Agonistes, colon ial language is left at the greatest distance; yet something of t is preserved,

to render the dialogue probable; in Manipper the style is differenced, but diffarmord in the smallest degree possible, from animated conversation by the vein of poetry Table Talk, vol. il. p. 121 __1642.

In the Virgin Martyr, he has followed the Spanish model of religious Autos, with many graces of language and a beautiful display of Christian heroism in Dorothea, but the tragedy is in many respects unpleasing.

is in many respects unpleasing.

96. The Picture, The Bondman, and A Very Woman, may be reckoned among the best of the tragi-comeother plays dies of Massinger. But the general merits as well as defects of this writer are perceptible in all, and the difference between these and the rest is not such as to be apparent to every reader. Two others are distinguishable as more English than the rest, the scene has at home, and in the age; and to these the common voice has assigned a superiority. They are A New Way to Pay Old Debts, and The City Madam. A character drawn, as it appears from reality, and though darkly wicked, not beyond the province of the higher comedy, Sir Giles Overreach, gives the former drama a striking originality and an impressive vigour. It retains, alone among the productions of Massinger, a place on the stage. Gifford inclines to prefer the City Madam; which, no doubt, by the masterly delineation of Luke, a villam of a different order from Overreach, and a larger portion of connect humour and satire than is usual with this writer, may dispute the palm. But there seems to be more violent improbability in the conduct of the plot, than in A New Way to Pay Old Debts

97. Massinger, as a tragic writer, appears to me second only to Shakspeare; in the higher comedy, I can hardly think him inferior to Jonson. In wit and sprightly dialogue, as well as in knowledge of theatrical effect, he falls very much below Fletcher. These, however, are the great names of the English stage. At a considerable distance below Massinger, we may place his contemporary John Ford. In the choice of tragic subjects from obscure fictions which have to us the charm of entire novelty, they resemble each other, but in the conduct of their fable, in the delineation of their characters, each of these poets has his distinguishing excellencies. "I know," says Gifford, "few things more difficult to account for, than the deep and lasting impression made by the more tragic portions of Ford's poetry." He succeeds however pretty well in accounting for

it, the situations are awfully interesting the distress intense, the thoughts and language becoming the expression of deep sorrow Ford, with none of the moral beauty and elevation of Massinger, has, in a much higher degree, the power over tears, we sympathise even with his vicious characters, with Giovanni end Annabella and Bianca. Love, and love in guilt or sorrow, is almost exclusively the emotion he ponr trays, no heroic passion, no sober dignity will be found in his tragedies But he conducts his stories well and without confusion, his scenes are often highly wrought and effective, his characters, with no striking novelty, are well supported, he is seldom extravagant or regardless of probability Broken Heart has generally been reckoned his finest tragedy, and if the last act had been better prepared, by bringing the love of Calantha for Ithocles more fully before the reader in the earlier part of the play there would be very few passages of deeper pathos in our dramatic literature "The style of Ford," it is said by Gifford, "is altogether original and his own Without the majestic march which distinguishes the poetry of Massinger, and with little or none of that light and playful bumour which characterises the dialogue of Fletcher, or even of Shirley he is yet elegant, and easy, end harmomous, and though rarely sublime, yet sufficiently elevated for the most pathetic tones of that passion on whose romantic energies he chiefly delighted to dwell " Yet he censures after wards Ford's affectation of uncouth phrases, and perplexity of language. Of comic ability this writer does not display one particle Nothing can be meaner than those portions of his dramas which, in compliance with the prescribed roles of that age he devotes to the dialogue of servants or huffoons

98 Shirley is a dramatic writer much inferior to those who have been mentioned, but has negatived some degree of reputation, or at least notoriety of name, in consequence of the new edition of his plays. These are between twenty and thirty in number, some of them, how ever, written in conjunction with his fellow dramatists. A few of these are tragedies, a few are comedies drawn from English manners, but in the greater part we find the favourite style of that age, the characters foreign and of elevated rank, the interest serious, but not always of buskined.

dignity, the catastrophe fortunate, all, in short, that has gone under the vague appellation of tragi-comedy. Shirley has no originality, no force in conceiving or delineating character, little of pathos, and less, perhaps, of wit, his dramas produce no deep impression in reading, and of course can leave none in the memory. But his mind was poetical, his better characters, especially females, express pure thoughts in pure language; he is never turned or affected, and seldom obscure, the incidents succeed rapidly, the personages are numerous, and there is a general animation in the scenes, which causes us to read him with some pleasure. No very good play, nor, possibly, any very good scene could be found in Shirley, but he has many lines of considerable beauty. Among his comedies the Gamesters may be reckoned the best. Charles I. is said to have declared that it was "the best play he had seen-these seven years," and it has even been added that the story was of his royal suggestion. It certainly deserves praise both for language and construction of the plot, and it has the advantage of exposing vice to indicule, but the ladies of that court, the fair forms whom Vandyke has immortalised, must have been very different indeed from then posterity if they could sit it through. The Ball, and also some more among the comedies of Shirley, are so far remarkable and worthy of being read, that they bear witness to a more polished elegance of manners, and a more free intercourse in the higher class, than we find in the comedies of the preceding reign. A queen from France, and that queen Henrietta Maria, was better fitted to give this tone than Anne of Denmark. But it is not from Shirley's pictures that we can draw the most favourable notions of the morals of that age.

between forty and fifty plays are ascribed to lim. We have mentioned one of the best in the second volume, ante-dating, perhaps, its appearance by a few years. In the English Traveller he has returned to something like the subject of A Woman Killed with Kindness, but with less success This play is written in verse, and with that ease and perspicuity, seldom rising to passion or figurative poetry, which distinguishes this diamatist. Young Geraldine is a beau-

tiful specimen of the Plutonic, or rather inflexibly virtuous lover whom the writers of this age delighted to pourtray. On the other hand, it is difficult to pronounce whether the lady is a thorough paced hypocrite in the first next, or falls from virtue, like Mrs Trankfort, on the first solicitation of a strauger. In either case the character is unpleasing, and, we may hope, improbable. The underplot of this play is lurgely beforewed from the Mostellarin of Pluttus, and as diverting, though somewhat abourd. Heywood seldom rises to much vigour of poetry, but his dramatic invention is ready, his style is easy, his characters do not trangress the boundaries of nature, and it is not surprising that he was popular in his own age.

100 Webster belongs to the first part of the reign of James. He possessed very considerable powers, wester and ought to be ranked I think, the next below Ford With less of poetic grace than Shirley, he had in comparably more vigour, with less of natero and simplicity than Heywood, he had a more elevated genus, and n bolder penel But the deep sorrows and terrors of tragedy were pecuharly his province "His imagination," says his last editor, "had n fond familiarity with objects of nine and fear. The silence of the sepulchre, the sculptures of marble monn ments, the knolling of church bells, the cearments of the corpse the yew that roots itself in dead men's graves, are the illustrations that most readily present themselves to his imagination." I think this well written sentence in hithe one sided, and hardly doing justice to the variety of Webster's power, but in fact he was as deeply tainted as mny of his contemporaries with the savage taste of the Italian school, and in the Duchess of Malfy, scarcely leaves enough on the stage to bury the dead

This is the most celebrated of Webster's dramas. The story is taken from Bandello, and has all that the preference and horror which the of the first perfect that an investment of wickedness and horror which the of the first perfect that an aperversely imitated. But the scenes are wrought up with skill, and produce a strong impression. Webster has a superiority in delineating character above many of the old dramatists, he is seldom extravagant beyond the limits of

conceivable nature, we find the guilt, or even the atrocity, of human passions, but not that incarnation of evil spirits which some more ordinary dramatists loved to exhibit. In the character of the Duchess of Malfy herself there wants neither originality nor skill of management, and I do not know that any dramatist after Shakspeare would have succeeded better in the difficult scene where she discloses her love to an inferior. There is perhaps a little failure in dignity and delicacy, especially towards the close; but the Duchess of Malfy is not drawn as an Isabella or a Portia, she is a lovesick widow, virtuous and true-hearted, but more intended for our sympathy than our reverence.

102. The White Devil, or Vittoria Corombona, is not much inferior in language and spirit to the Duchess of Malfy; but the plot is more confused, less interesting, and worse conducted. Mr. Dyce, the late editor of Webster, praises the dramatic vigour of the part of Vittoria, but justly differs from Lamb, who speaks of "the innocence-resembling boldness" she displays in the trial scene. It is rather a delineation of desperate guilt, losing in a counterfeited audacity all that could seduce or conciliate the tribunal. Webster's other plays are less striking, in Applus and Virginia he has done perhaps better than any one who has attempted a subject not on the whole very promising for tragedy; several of the scenes are dramatic and effective, the language, as is usually the case with Webster, is written so as to display an actor's talents, and he has followed the received history sufficiently to abstain from any excess of slaughter at the close. Webster is not without comic wit, as well as a power of imagination; his plays have lately met with an editor of taste enough to admire his beauties, and not very over-partial in estimating them.

103. Below Webster we might enumerate a long list of dramatists under the first Stuarts. Marston is a turned and lanting tragedian, a wholesale dealer in murders and ghosts. Chapman, who assisted Ben Jonson and some others in comedy, deserves but limited praise for his Bussy d'Amboise. The style in this, and in all his tragedies, is extravagantly hyperbolical, he is not very dramatic, nor has any power of exciting emotion except in those who sympathise with a

tumid pride and self-confidence. Yet he has more thinking than many of the old dramntists, and the praise of one of his critics, though strongly worded, is not without some foundation, that we "seldom find richer contemplations on the nature of man and the world." There is also a poetic impetuosity in Chapman, such as has redeemed his translation of Homer, by which we are harried along. His translation of Homer, by which we are harried along. His translation of Homer, by which we are harried along. His translation of Homer, by which we are harried along. His translation of Homer, by the translation of Homer, by which we are harried along. His translation of Homer, by which we are harried along. His translation of Homer, by which we are perhaps superior to his tragedies. Rowley and Le Tourneur, especially the former, have occasionally good lines, but we cannot say that they were very superior dramatists. Rowley, however, was often in comie partnership with Massinger Dekker merits a higher rank, he co-operated with Massinger in some of his plays, and manifests in his own some energy of passion and some comic humoor. Mid dleton belongs to this lower class of dramatic writers, his tragedy entitled. "Women beware Women" is founded on the story of Bianea Cappello, it is fall of netion but the characters are all too vicious to be interesting, and the language does not rise much above medicenty. In comedy, Middleton deserves more praise. "A frick to eatch the Old One" and several others that bear his name are mausing and spirited. But Middleton wrote chiefly in nre nmusing and spirited But Middleton wrote chiefly in conjunction with others, and sometimes with Jonson and Massinger

^{*} Chapman is well reviewed and at Herlew vol. iv p. 533, and again in length, in an article of the Retrospective vol.

CHAPTER VII.

HISTORY OF POLITE LITERATURE IN PROSE FROM 1600 to 1650.

SECT. I.

Italian Writers — Boccalin —Grammatical and Critical Works — Gracian —
French Writers — Balzac —Voiture — French Academy — Vaugelas — Patru
and Le Maistre — Style of English Prose — Earl of Essex — Knolles —
Several other English Writers

1. IT would be vain probably to inquire from what general causes we should deduce the decline of taste in Decline of Italy. None at least have occurred to my mind, taste in relating to political or social circumstances, upon which we could build more than one of those sophistical theories, which assume a casual relation between any con-Bad taste, in fact, whether in literature or comitant events. the arts, is always ready to seize upon the public, being in many cases no more than a pleasure in faults which are really fitted to please us, and of which it can only be said that they hinder or impair the greater pleasure we should derive from beauties. Among these critical sins, none are so dangerous as the display of ingenious and novel thoughts or turns of phrase. For as such enter into the definition of good writing, it seems very difficult to persuade the world that they can ever be the characteristics of bad writing. The metes and bounds of ornament, the fine shades of distinction which regulate a judicious choice, are only learned by an attentive as well as a naturally susceptible mind, and it is no raie case for an unprepaied multitude to piefer the

worse picture, the worse halding, the worse poem, the worse speech to the better Lidneation, un ucquaintuoce with just criticism, and still more the habitual observation of what is truly beautiful in natore or art, or in the literature of teste, will sometimes generate almost o antional tact that rejects the temptations of o meretricious and false style, but expe rence has shown that this happy state of public feeling will not be very durable. Whatever inight be thu cause of it, this age of the Italian sescentist has been reckeded almost as manspireous to good writing in prose as in verse. "If we except," says Tiraboschi, "the Tuscaus and o very few more, nover was our lunguage so neglected as in this period We can scarce bear to read most of the books that were published so rude nod full of barbarisms is their style. Ten had any other nun thun to exercise their wit in conceits und metaphors, and so long as they could scatter them prometaphors, and so long as they could scatter them pro-fusely over their pages, cared nothing for the choice of phrases or the parity of granimar. Their eloquence on public occasions was intended only for admiration and applicable alike to their Latin and Itahan, their sacred and profane harangues. The neadomical discourses, of which Dati has collected muoy to his Prose Fiorentine, ure poor in comparison with those of the sixteenth †

2 A later writer thao Tiraboschi has thought this sentence against the seicentistic olittle too severe, and coodemining equally with lum the bad taste characteristic of that age, endeavoors to rescue in few from the general censine † It is not least certain that the insiphity of the canquicents writers, their long periods void of any but the most trivial meaning, they affectation of the finits of Cicero's manner in their own language, ought not to be overlooked or wholly pardoned, while we dwell on an opposite defect of their successors, the perpetual desire to be novel, brilliant, or profound. These may doubtless be the more offensive of the two, but they are perhaps, oot less likely to be mingled with something really worth reading.

3 It will not be expected that we can mention mnny

Italian books, after what has been said, which come very precisely within the class of polite literature, or claim any praise on the ground of style. Their greatest luminary, Galileo, wrote with clearness, elegance, and spirit; no one among the moderns had so entirely rejected a dry and technical manner of teaching, and thrown such attractions round the form of truth. Himself a poet, and a critic, he did not hesitate to ascribe his own philosophical perspicuity to the constant perusal of Ariosto. This I have mentioned in another place; but we cannot too much remember that all objects of intellectual pursuit are as bodies acting with reciprocal forces in one system, being all in relation to the faculties of the mind, which is itself but one; and that the most extensive acquaintance with the various provinces of literature will not fail to strengthen our dominion over those we more peculiarly deem our own. The school of Galileo, especially Torricelli and Redi, were not less distinguished than himself for their union of elegance with philosophy.*

4. The letters of Bentivogho are commonly known. This epistolary art was always cultivated by the Italians, first in the Latin tongue, and afterwards in their own. Bentivogho has written with equal dignity and ease. Galileo's letters are also esteemed on account of their style as well as of what they contain. In what is more peculiarly called eloquence, the Italians of this age are rather emulous of success than successful, the common defects of taste in themselves, and in those who heard or read them, as well as, in most instances, the uninteresting nature of their subjects, exclude them from our notice.

5. Trajan Boccalini was by his disposition inclined to political satire, and possibly to political intrigue, but we have here only to mention the work by which he is best known. Advices from Parnassus (Ragguagh di Parnaso). If the idea of this once popular and celebrated book is not original, which I should rather doubt, though without immediately recognising a similarity to any thing earlier, (Lucian, the common prototype, excepted,) it has at least been an original source. In the general turn of

Boccalini's fictions, and perhaps in a few particular instances, we may sometimes perceive what a much greater man has imitated, they bear a certain resemblance to those of Addison, though the vast superiority of the latter in felicity of execution and variety of invention may almost conceal it. The Rag guagh are a series of despatches from the court of Apollo on Purnassus, where he is surrounded by ominent men of all ages. This fiction becomes in itself very cold and monoto nous, yet there is much variety in the subjects of the dea sions made by the god with the advice of his counsellors, and some strokes of sature are well hit, though more perhaps fail of effect. But we cannot now catch the force of every passage. Boccalini is fall of allusious to his own time, even where the immediate sabject seems ancient. This book was published at Venice in 1612, at n time when the ambition of Spain was regarded with jealousy by patriotic Italians, who thought that pacific republic their bulwark and their glory. He mveighs therefore against the military spirit and the profession of war, 'necessary sometimes but so fierce and inhu man that no fine expressions can make it honourable ". Nor is he less severe on the vices of kings, nor less ardent in his eulogies of liberty, the government of Venico being reckoned, and not altogether natroly, an asylum of free thought and action in comparison with that of Spain Aristotle, he reports in one of his despatches, was besieged in his villa on Parnassus by a number of armed men belonging to different princes, who insisted on his retracting the definition he had given of a tyrant, that he was one who governed for his own good and not that of the people, because it would apply to every prince, all reigning for their own good. The philoso-pher alarmed by this demand, altered his definition, which was to run thus, that tyrants were certain persons of old time, whose race was now quite extract.† Boccalin llowever, takes care, in general, to mix something of physininess with his satire, so that it could not be resented without apparent ill nature It seems, indeed, to us free from invective, and rather meant to sting than to wound Bat this if a common rumonr be true did not secure him against a beating of which he died. The style of Boccalim is said by the critics to be clear and fluent, rather than correct or elegant, and he displays the taste of his times by extravagant metaphors. But to foreigners, who regard this less, his Advices from Parnassus, unequal, of course, and occasionally tedious, must appear to contain many ingenious allusions, judicious criticisms, and acute remarks.

6. The Pietra del Paragone by the same author is an odd, and rather awkward, mixture of reality and fiction, His Pietra dei Paraall levelled at the court of Spann, and designed to keep alive a jealousy of its ambition. It is a kind of episode or supplement to the Ragguagh di Parnaso, the leading invention being preserved. Boccalini is an interesting writer on account of the light he throws on the listory and sentiments of Italy. He is in this work a still bolder writer than in the former, not only censuring Spain without mercy, but even the Venetian anstociacy, observing upon the insolence of the young nobles towards the citizens, though he justifies the senate for not punishing the former more frequently with death by public execution, which would lower the nobility in the eyes of the people. They were, however, he says, as severely punished, when their conduct was had, by exclusion from offices of trust. The Pietra del Paragone is a kind of political, as the Ragguagh is a critical miscellany.

7. About twenty years after Boccalin, a young man appeared, by name Ferrante Pallavicino, who, with a fame more local and transitory, with less respectability of character, and probably with inferior talents, trod to a certain degree in his steps. As Spain had been the object of satire to the one, so was Rome to the other. Urban VIII., an ambitious pointiff, and vulnerable in several respects, was attacked by an imprudent and self-confident enemy, safe, as he imagined, under the shield of Venice. But Pallavicino, having been trepanned into the power of the pope, lost his head at Avignon. None of his writings have fallen in my way, that most celebrated at the time, and not wholly dissimilar in the conception to the Advices from Parnassus, was entitled The Courier Robbed, a series of imaginary letters which such a fiction gave him a pretext for bringing together. Perhaps we may consider Pallavicino as rather a counterpart

to Jurdano Bruno, in the saturical character of the latter, thun tu Boccalıuı *

8 The Italian language itself, grammutically considered, was still assiduuusly cultivated. The Academicians of Florence published the first edition of their cele brated Vocabolario della Crusca in 1613 It was uvowedly founded un Tuscan principles, setting up the four toenth century as the Augustan period of the language, which they disdained to call Italian, and though not ubsolutely excluding the great writers of the exteenth age whom Tuscany had not produced, giving in general a manifest prefurence to their uwn Italy has rebelled against this tyranny of Florence, as she did, in the Social War, against that of Rome. Her Lombard and Rumagnol and Neapolitau writers have claimed the rights of equal citizenship and fairly won them in the field of literature. The Vocabulary itself was not received as a legislative code Bein assuled it hy his Anti Crusca the same year, many inviduusly published marginal notes to point out the maccuracies; and in the frequent revisions and enlargements of this dictionary the exclosive character which it affected has, I believe, been nearly lost

9 Buunmattel, himself a Florentine, was the first who completed an extensive and methodical grammar, Grammar, "developing," says Tiraboschi, "the whole eco-Besieverk nomy and system of our language. It was onb. Batter. lished entire, after some previous impressions of parts, with the title, Della Lingua Toscana, in 1043 This has been reckoned a standard work, buth for its authurity, and fur the clearness, precision, and elegance with which it is written, but it betrays something of an academical and Florentino spirit in the riguir of its grammatical criticism † Bartoli, u Ferra rese Jesuit, and a man of extensive learning, uttacked that dogmatic school, who were accustumed to proscribe common phrases with a Nun a puu (It cannut he used) in u treatise entitled Il torto ed il diritto del Nun si pnò His object was to justify many expressions thus authoritatively condemned, by the examples of the best writers. This book was u little later than the middle uf the century \$

VOL. III

Corniani, vill. 205. Balf., xiv 46. f Cornland, vil. 259 Salfi, alii, 417 + Tirabosohi, xl. 409 Salfi, xlil 598. K

- 10. Petraich had been the idol, in general, of the preceding age, and, above all, he was the peculiar divinity of the Florentines. But this seventeenth century was in the productions of the mind a period of revolutionary innovation; men dared to ask why, as well as what, they ought to worship; and sometimes the same who rebelled against Aristotle, as an infallible guide, were equally contumacious in dealing with the great names of literature. Tassoni published in 1609 his Observations on the poems of Petraich. They are not written, as we should now think, adversely to one whom he professes to honour above all lyric poets in the world, and though his critical remarks are somewhat minute, they seem hardly unfair. A writer like Petrarch, whose fame has been raised so high by his style, is surely amenable to this severity of examination. The finest sonnets Tassoni generally extols, but gives a preference, on the whole, to the odes; which, even if an erroneous judgment, cannot be called unfair upon the author of both.* He produces many parallel passages from the Latin poems of Petraich himself, as well as from the ancients and from the earlier Italians and Provençals. The manner of Tassoni is often humorous, original, intrepid, satirical on his own times, he was a man of real taste, and no servile worshipper of names. of names.
 - 11. Galileo was less just in his observations upon Tasso.

 They are written with severity and sometimes an insulting tone towards the great poet, passing over generally the most beautiful verses, though he sometimes bestows praise. The object is to point out the imitasforza Pal. tions of Tasso from Ariosto, and his general infelavieno, riority. The Observations on the Art of Writing by Sforza Pallavieno, the historian of the council of Trent, published at Rome, 1646, is a work of general criticism containing many good remarks. What he says of imitation is worthy of being compared with Hurd; though he will be found not to have analysed the subject with any thing like so much acuteness, nor was this to be expected in his age.

^{*} Tutte le rime, tutti i versi in generale del Petrarca lo fecero poeta, ma le quelle, che poeta grande e famoso lo fecero p 46 canzoni, per quanto a mi ne pare, furono

Palluvicioo has an ingenious remark, that elegance of style is produced by short metaphors, or metaforette as he calls them, which give os a more lively apprehension of an object thac its proper name This seems to mean only single words to a figurative sense, as opposed to phrases of the same kied He writes to o pleasing mouner, and is an occomplished critic without pedantry Salfi has given rather a loog noulysis of this treatise.* The same writer, treading in the steps of Cormani, has extolled some Italian critics of this period, whose writings I have never seen , Booi, outhor of o prolix commeotary in Latio on the poetics of Aristotle, Peregrico, not inferior, perhaps, to Pallavi cioo, though less known, whose theories are just and deep, but not expressed with sufficient perspicinty; and Florett, who assumed the fictitious name of Udeoo Nisieli, und presided over an academy at Florence denominated the Aparisti The Progymuasmi Poetici of this writer, if we may believe Salfi, ascend to that higher theory of criticism which deduces its roles, not from precedents or arbitrary laws, but from the nature of the human miod, and has, in modern times, been distinguished by the nume of esthetic, †

distinguished by the name of aesthetic, †

12 Io the same class of polite letters as these Italian writings we may place the Prolusiones Academics produced for Tamianus Strada. They are agreeably written, and bespeak a cultivated taste. The best is the sixth of the second book containing the imitations of six Latin poets, which Addisoo has made well known (as I hope) to every reader to the 115th and 119th nombers of the Goordian. It is here that all may judge of this happy and graceful fiction, but those who have read the Latin imitations themselves, will perceive that Strada has often caught the tone of the ancients with considerable felicity. Locan ood Ovid are, perhaps, best counterfeited, Virgil not quite so well, ood Locretios worst of the six. The other two ure Statios and Claodiao. ‡ In almost every iostance the subject chosen is oppropriated to the characteristic peculiarities of the poet.

13 The style of Gongora which deformed the poetry of

Vol. zill. p. 440.

Sura Autorum, p. 859., praises the imit Corninal, vil. 156. Said, zill. 426

A writer quoted in Blount's Centhinks all excellent.

Span extended its influence over prose. A writer named Gracian, (it seems to be doubtful which of two Spanish brothers, Lorenzo and Balthazar,) excelled Gongora himself in the affectation, the refinement, the obscurity of his style. "The most voluminous of his works," says Bouterwek, "bears the affected title of El Criticon. It is an allegorical picture of the whole course of human life divided into Crises, that is, sections, according to fixed points of view, and clothed in the formal garb of a pompous romance. It is scarcely possible to open any page of this book without recognising in the author a man who is in many respects far from common, but who from the ambition of being entirely uncommon in thinking and writing studiously and ingeniously, avoids nature and good taste. A profusion of the most ambiguous subtilties expressed in ostentatious language are scattered throughout the work, and these are the more offensive, in consequence of their union with the really grand view of the relationship of man to nature and his Creator, which forms the subject of the treatise. Gracian would have been an excellent writer, had he not so anxiously wished to be an extraordinary one."*

14. The writings of Gracian seem in general to be the quintessence of bad taste. The worst of all, probably, is El Eroe, which is admitted to be almost unintelligible by the number of far-fetched expressions, though there is more than one French translation of it. El politico Fernando, a panegyric on Ferdinand the Catholic, seems as empty as it is affected and artificial. The style of Gracian is always pointed, emphatic, full of that which looks like profundity or novelty, though neither deep nor new. He seems to have written on a maxim he recommends to the man of the world; "if he desires that all should look up to him, let him permit himself to be known, but not to be understood."† His treatise entitled Agudeza y arte dringenio is a system of concetti, digested under their different heads, and selected from Latin, Itahan, and Spanish writers of that and the preceding age. It is said in the Biographie Universelle that this work, though too metaphysical, is useful in the critical history of literature.

^{*} Hist. of Spanish Literature, p 533 permitase al conocimiento, no à la com-† Si quiere que le veneren todos, prehension

Gracian obtained a certain degree of popularity in France and England.

15 The general taste of French writers in the sixteenth century, as we have seen, was simple and lively full reach of sallies of natural wit and a certain archness of observation, but deficient in those higher qualities of language which the study of the ancients had taught men to admire In public harangues, in pleadings, and in sermons, these characteristics of the French manner were either introduced out of place, or gave way to a tiresome pedantry Du Vair was the first who endeavoured to bring in a more elaborate and elevated diction. Nor was this confined to the example he gave. In 1607 he published a treatise on French eloquence, and on the causes through which it had remained at so low a point. This work relates chiefly to the eloquence of the bar, or et least that of public speakers, and the causes which he traces are chiefly such as would operate on that kind alone But some of his observations are applicable to style in the proper sense, and his treatise has been reckoned the first which gave France the rules of good writing, and the desire to practise them . A modern critic who considers the Latinisms of Du Vair's style, admits that his treatise on eloquence makes an epoch in the language.†

16 A more distinguished era, however, is dated from 1625, when the letters of Belzac were published.

There had indeed been a few intermediate works.

Gibert, Jugemens des Savans sur les entreurs que out tretté de la richerique. This work is sunexed to some editions of Balllet. Goujet has copied or abridged Gibert, without dutinet acknowledgment, and not always carefully

preserving the sense. † Neufchâteau, prédice aux Œuvres de Pascal, p. 181

The same writer fixes on this ar an speech, and it was generally admitted in the seventeenth century. The editor of Balme's Works in 1665 mys, after speaking of the unformed state of the Freech language, full of provincial idlome and incorrect phrases; M. de Balzac est venu en ce tempe d'confusion et de désordre, où toutes les loctures qu'il falsoit,

devolunt etre suspectes, où il avoit à se défine da tota les maltares et de tota les ex emples ; et où il ne pouvoit arriver à son but quen a éloignant de tous les chemins battus, ni marcher dans la bonne route qu'après se l'être ouverte à lui-même. Il l'a ouverts en effet, et pour lui et pour les autres; il y a fait entrer un grand nombre d'houreux génies, dont il étoit le guide et le modèle et el la France voit sujourd'hul que ses écrivains sont plus polls et plus régullers que seux d Espagne et d Italie, il faut qu'elle en rende l'honneur à ce grand homme, dont la mémoire lui doit être en vénération. La même obligation que nous avons à M. de Malherbe pour la poésie, nous l'avons à M. da Balrae pour la prose; il l 1 prescrit et toutes les setions qu'il entendoit lui des hornes et des régles; il lui a donné

which contributed, though now little known, to the improvement of the language. Among these the translation of Florus by Coeffeteau was reckoned a masterpiece of French style, and Vaugelas refers more frequently to this than to any other book. The French were very strong in translations from the classical writers; and to this they are certainly much indebted for the purity and correctness which they reached in their own language. These translators, however, could only occupy a secondary place. Balzac himself is hardly read. "The polite world," it was said a hundred years since, Character of his writings once ate delacht?" The was said a nundred years since, which were once its delight." * But his writings are not formed to delight those, who wish either to be merry or wise, to laugh or to learn; yet he has real excellencies, besides those which may be deemed relative to the age in which he came. His language is polished, his sentiments are just, but sometimes common, the cadence of his periods is harmonious, but too artificial and uniform, on the whole he approaches to the tone of a languid sermon, and leaves a tendency to yawn. But in his time superficial truths were not so much proscribed as at present; the same want of depth belongs to almost all the moralists in Italian and in modern Latin. Balzac is a moralist with a pure heart, and a love of truth and virtue, (somewhat alloyed by the spirit of flattery towards persons, however he may declaim about courts and courtiers in ge-

de la douceur et de la force, il a montré que l'éloquence doit avoir des accords, aussi bien que la musique, et il a sçu mêler si adroitement cette diversité de sons et de cadences, qu'il n'est point de plus délicieux concert que celui de ses paroles. C'est en plaçant tous les mots avec tant d'ordre et de justesse qu'il ne laisse rien de mol ni de foible dans son discours, &c This regard to the cadence of his periods is characteristic of Balzac it has not, in general, been much practised in France, notwithstanding some splendid exceptions, especially in Bos-Olivet observes, that it was the peculiar glory of Balzac to have shown the capacity of the language for this rhythm Hist. de l'Acad Française, p 84 But has not Du Vair some claim also? Neufchâteau gives a much more limited eulogy of Balzac II avoit pris à

la lettre les reflections de Du Vair sur la trop grande bassesse de notre Cloquence Il s'en forma une haute idée, mais il se trompe d'abord dans l'application, car il porta dans le style epistolaire qui doit etre familier et leger, l'enflure hyperbolique, la pompe, et le nombre, qui ne convient qu'aux grandes déclamations et aux harangues oratoires défaut de Balzac contribua peutêtre à son succès, car le gout n'étoit pas forme, mais il se corrigea dans la suite, ct en parcourant son recueil on s'aperçoit des progrès sensibles qu'il faisoit avec l'age Ce recueil si précieux pour l'histoire de notre littérature a ou long temps unc vogue extraordinaire Nos plus grands auteurs l'avoient bien étudic lui a emprunté quelques idées * Goujet, 1 426

neral,) a competent crudition and a good deal of observation of the world In his Aristippe, addressed to Christian, and consequently o late work, he deals much in political precepts and remarks, some of which might be read with odvantage. Bot he was accused of borrowing his thoughts from the on crents, which the aether of an Apology for Balzac seems not whiely to deny. This opology indeed had been produced by a book on the Conformity of the elequence of M. Balzac with that of the ancients

17 The letters of Balzac are in twenty seven books; they begin in 1620 and end about 1633, the first por ton having oppeared in 1625. "He passed all his life," says Vigneul Marville, "in writing letters, without ever eatching the right characteristics of that style " This demands a peculiar case and intumlness of expression, for want of which they seem no geoman exponents of friendship or gallantry, and hardly of polite manners. His wit was not free from pedantry, and did not come from him spontine onsly Hence he was little fitted to address ladies, oven the Rambonillets, and indeed he land nequired so laboured and nrtificial a way of writing letters, that even those to his sister, though affectionote, smell too much of the lamp lies advocates admit that they are to be judged rather by the rules of oratorical than epistolary composition

18 In the moral dissertations, such as that entitled the Prince, this elaborate manner is of course not less discirnible. bot not so onpleasant or out of place. Balzac has been called the fother of the French longuoge, the master and model of the great men who have followed him But it is confessed by oll that he wasted the fine taste to regulate his style oc cording to the subject. Hence he is ponipous and infloted open ordinary topics; and io a country so quick to seize the ridiculous as his own, not all his nobleness, purity, and vigoor of style, not the passages of eloquence which we often find, hove been sofficient to redeem him from the sarensins of those who hove had more power to amuse. The statehoess

Millinger de Litterature vol. 1. under the name of Vigneul-Marville p. 196. He adda, lowever that Balase which he anomed, was D'Argonne a du "un taleut particulier poor embellir notre langus. The writer whom I quote

however, of Balzac is less offensive and extravagant than the affected intensity of language which distinguishes the style of the present age on both sides of the Channel, and which is in fact a much worse modification of the same fault.

19. A contemporary and rival of Balzac, though very unlike in most respects, was Voiture. Both one and the other were received with friendship and admiration in Hôtel Rambouillet. a celebrated society of Paris, the first which, on this side of the Alps, united the aristocracy of rank and of genius in one circle, that of the Hôtel Rambouillet. Catherine de Vivonne, widow of the Marquis de Rambouillet, was the owner of this mansion. It was frequented, during the long period of her life, by all that was distinguished in France, by Richelieu and Condé, as much as by Corneille, and a long host of inferior men of The herress of this family, Julie d'Angennes, beautiful and highly accomplished, became the central star of so bright a galaxy. The love of intellectual attainments, both in mother and daughter, the sympathy and friendship they felt for those who displayed them, as well as their moral worth, must render their names respectable; but these were in some measure sullied by false taste, and what we may consider an habitual affectation even in their conduct. We can scarcely give another name to the captice of Julia, who, in the fashion of romance, compelled the Duke of Montausier to carry on a twelve years' courtship, and only married him in the decline of her beauty. This patient lover, limself one of the most remarkable men in the court of Louis XIV., had many years before, in 1633, presented her with what has been called The Garland of Julia, a collection to which the poets and wits of Paris had contributed. Every flower, represented in a drawing, had its appropriate little poem, and all conspired to the praise of Julia.*

20. Voiture is chiefly known by his letters, his other writings, at least, are inferior. These begin about 1627, and are addressed to Madame de Rambouillet and to several other persons of both sexes. Though much too laboured and affected, they are evidently the original type of the French

^{* [}Two copies were made of the admitted to see either, but as a remark-Guirlande de Julie, but, in the usual able favour Huet, who tells us this, style of the Rambouillets, no one was was one Huetiana, p 104 — 1842]

epistolary school, including those in England who have formed themselves upon it. Pope very frequently imitated Voitare, Walpole not so much in his general correspondence, but he knew how to fall into it. The object was to say what meant little, with the atmost novelty in the mode, and with the most ingenious compliment to the person addressed, so that he should admire himself, and admire the writer. They nre of course very tiresome after a short time; yet their in genuity is not without merit. Balzne is more soleinn mid dignified, and it must be owned that he has more meaning Voitare seems to have fancied that good sense spoils a man of wit. But he has not so much wit as caprit, and his letters serve to exemplify the meaning of that word Pope, in ad dressing ladies, was nearly the ape of Voitare unfortunately thought necessary, in such a correspondence either to affect despairing love, which was to express itself with all possible guiety, or where love was too presumptuous, as with the Rambouillets, to pour out a torrent of nonsensical flatters, which was to be rendered tolerable by far fetched turns of thought. Voitnre has the honour of having rendered this style fashionable. But if the bad taste of others had not perverted his own, Voiture would have been a good writer His letters, especially those written from Spain are some times truly witty, and always vivacious Voltaire, who speaks contemptuously of Voiture, might have been glad to have been the anthor of some of his jeax desprit, that, for example, addressed to the Princo of Condé in the character of n pike, founded on a game where the prince had played that fish We should remember, also, that Voiture held his place in good society upon the their condition that he should always strive to be witty .

21 But the Hotel Rambonillet, with its false theories of taste, derived in a great measure from the romances many of Scudery and Calprenede, and encouraged by the agreeably artificial manner of Voiture, would have

Nothing, says Olivet, could be more opposite than Ilalme and Voiture L'un se portolt toujours an sublime l'autre toulours an délicat. L'un avoit une lesdans les moindres choses; l'autre une

imagination enjouée qui fahoit prendre à toutes ses pensées un air de callanterle. L'un meme lorsq 'il vouloit plahanter étoit toujours grave; l'autre dans les gination élevée qui jetoit de la noblemo occasions même sérieures, trouvoit à rire. Hist. de l'Académie p. 83

produced, in all probability, but a transient effect. A far more important event was the establishment of the Fiench Academy. France was ruled by a great minister, who loved her glory and his own. This indeed has been common to many statesmen, but it was a more peculiar honour to Richelieu, that he felt the dignity which letters confer on a nation. He was himself not deficient in literary taste; his epistolary style is manly and not without elegance, he wrote theology in his own name, and history in that of Mezeray; but, what is most to the present purpose, his remarkable fondness for the theatre led him not only to invent subjects for other poets, but, as it has been believed, to compose one forgotten tragi-comedy, Mirame, without assistance.* He availed himself, fortunately, of an opportunity which almost every statesman would have disregarded, to found the most illustrious institution in the annals of polite literature.

22. The French Academy sprang from a private society of men of letters at Paris, who, about the year 1629, agreed to meet once a week, as at an ordinary visit, conversing on all subjects, and especially on literature. Such among them as were authors communicated their works, and had the advantage of free and fair criticism. This continued for three or four years with such harmony and mutual satisfaction, that the old men, who remembered this period, says their historian, Pelisson, looked back upon it as a golden age. They were but mine in number, of whom Gombauld and Chapelain are the only names by any means famous, and their meetings were at first very private. More by degrees were added, among others Boisiobert, a favourite of Richelieu, who liked to hear from him the news of the town. The Cardinal, pleased with the account of this society, suggested their public establishment. This, it is said, was unpleasing to every one of them, and some proposed to refuse it, but the consideration that the offers of such a man were not to be slighted overpowered then modesty; and they consented to become a royal institution. They now enlarged their numbers, created officers, and began to keep registers of their proceedings These records commence on March 13. 1634, and are the basis of Pelisson's

^{*} Fontenelle, Hist. du Théâtre, p 96

history The name of French Academy was chosen after some deliberation. They were established by letters patent in January, 1635, which the parliament of Prins enregistered with great reluctance, requiring not only a letter from Richelon, but an express order from the king, and when this was completed in July, 1637, it was with a singular provise that the Academy should meddle with nothing but the embellishment and improvement of the French language, and such books as might be written by themselves, or by others who should desire their interference. This learned body of lawyers had some jealousy of the innovations of Richelien, and one of them said it reminded him of the sature of Juvenal, where the senate, after ceasing to bear its part in public offiners, was consulted about the sauce for a turbot.

23 The professed object of the Academy was to parify the language from vulgar, technical, or ignorant usages, and to establish a fixed standard. The matter Academicians undertook to guard scrupnlously the correctness of their own works, examining the arguments, the method, the style, the structure of each particular word. It was proposed hy one that they should swear not to use any word which had been rejected by n plurality of votes. They soon began to labour in their vocation, always bringing words to the test of good usage, and deciding accordingly. These decisions are recorded in their registers. Their number was fixed by the letters patent at forty having a director, chan cellor, and secretary, the two former changed every two nfterwards every three months, the last chosen for life. They read discourses weekly, which by the titles of some that Pelisson has given as, seem rather triling and in the style of the Italian Academies, but this practice was soon disused Their more important and ambitions occupations were to compile in dictionary and a grammar Chapelain drew up the scheme of the former, in which it was determined, for the sake of brevity, to give no quotations, but to form it from about twenty six good nuthors in prose, and twenty in verse. Vaugelas was entrusted with the chief direction of this work

24. The Academy was subjected, in its very infancy, to a severe trial of that literary integrity without which such an institution can only escape from being per-nicious to the republic of letters, by becoming too It publishes a critique on the Cid despicable and odious to produce mischief. On the appearance of the Cid, Richelieu, who had taken up a strong prejudice against it, insisted that the Academy should publish their opinion on this play. The more prudent part of that body were very loth to declare themselves at so early a period of their own existence, but the Cardinal was not apt to take excuses; and a committee of three was appointed to examine the Cid itself and the observations upon it which Scudery had already published. Five months elapsed before the Sentimens de l'Académie Française sur la Tragédie du Cid were made public in November, 1637.* These are expressed with much respect for Corneille, and profess to be drawn up with his assent, as well as at the instance of Sandara. Scudery. It has been not uncommon to treat this criticism as a servile homage to power. But a perusal of it will not lead us to confirm so severe a reproach. The Sentimens de l'Académie are drawn up with great good seuse and dignity. The spirit indeed of critical orthodoxy is apparent, yet this was surely pardonable in an age when the violation of rules had as yet produced nothing but such pieces as those of Hardy. It is easy to sneer at Aristotle when we have a Shakspeare; but Aristotle formed his rules on the practice of Sophocles. The Academy could not have done better than by inculcating the soundest maxims of criticism, but than by inculcating the soundest maxims of criticism, but they were a little too narrow in their application. The particular judgments which they pass on each scene of the play, as well as those on the style, seem for the most part very just, and such as later critics have generally adopted, so that we can really see little ground for the allegation of undue compliance with the Cardinal's piejudices, except in the frigid tone of their praise, and in their omission to proclaim that a great dramatic genius had arisen in France.† But

et la vehémence de les passions, la force et la délicatesse de plusieurs de ses pensées, et cet agrément inexplicable qui se mêle dans tous ses défauts lui ont acquis

^{*} Pelisson The printed edition bears the date of 1638

[†] They conclude by saying that in spite of the faults of this play, la naïveté

this is so much the common vice or blinduess of critics, that it may have spring less from baseness, than from u fear to compromise their own superierity by vulgar udmiratiou. The Academy had great pretensions, and Cornelle was not yet the Cornelle of France and of the world

25 Gibert, Goujet, and other writers enumerate several works ou the grammar of the French language in Verentalithis period. But they were superseded, and we the investment may ulmost say that an era was mude in the national literature, by the publication of Vaugelas, Remarques sur lu Laugue Française, in 1619 Thumas Corneille, who, as well as Patru, published notes ou Vuugelas, abserves that the language has only been written with politeness since the uppearance of these remarks. They were uet ut first re-ceived with general upprobation, and some even in later times thought them too scrupulons, but they gradually became of established nuthority. Vnugelas is always clear, modest, and sugenuous in stating his opinion. This remarks are 547 in number, no gross foult being neticed, nor any oue which is not found in good authors. He seldon men tions those whom he consures. His test of correct language is the munner of speaking in use with the best part (la plus same partie) of the court, conformably with the manner of writing in the best part of contemporary authors. But though we must have recourse to good authors in order to establish an indisputably good usage, yet the court contributes incomparably more than books, the consent of the latter being as it were the scal and confirmation of what is spoken ut court, and deciding what is there doubtful And those who study the best nuthers get rid of many faults commen at court, and acquire a peculiar purity of style None, however, can dispense with a knowledge of what is reckened good language ut court, since much that is spoken there will

un rang comildérable entre les poimes Français de ce genre qui out le plus douns de astidaction. Si l'uterer ne doit pas toute as réputation à son mérite il ne la doit pas toute à son benbeur et la nature lui e bié sesce libérale pour x cuser la fortune ai elle lui e sié prodiçue. The Academy justy in my oplutos.

blame Corneillo for making Chimène consent to marry Rodrigu the same day that he had killed her father Cela sur passe toute sorts de créance et ne peut vraisemblablement tomber dans l'amo non seulement d'une sage âile meis d'une qui serol le plus d'épouillé d'honneur et drimmanité des p. 49.

hardly be found in books. In writing it is otherwise, and he admits that the study of good authors will énable us to write well, though we shall write still better by knowing how to speak well. Vaugelas tells us that his knowledge was acquired by long practice at court, and by the conversation of Cardinal Perron and of Coeffeteau.

26. La Mothe le Vayer in his Considérations sur l'EloLa Mothe quence Française, 1647, has endeavoured to steer a middle course between the old and the new schools of French style, but with a marked desire to withstand the latter. He blames Du Van for the strange and barbarous words he employs. He laughs also at the nicety of those who were beginning to object to a number of common French words. One would not use the conjunction Car; against which folly Le Vayer wrote a separate treatise.* He defends the use of quotations in a different language, which some purists in French style had in horror. But this treatise seems not to contain much that is valuable, and it is very diffuse.

diffuse.

27. Two French writers may be reckoned worthy of a place in this chapter, who are, from the nature of their works, not generally known out of their own country, and whom I cannot refer with absolute propriety to this rather than to the ensuing period, except by a certain character and manner of writing, which belongs more to the antecedent than the later morety of the seventeenth century. These were two lawyers, Patru and Le Maistre. The pleadings of Patru appear to me excellent in their particular line of forensic eloquence, addressed to intelligent and experienced judges. They greatly resemble what are called the private orations of Demosthenes, and those of Lysias and Isæus, especially, perhaps, the last. No ambitious ornament, no appeal to the emotions of the heart, no bold figures of rhetoric, are permitted in the Attic severity of this style; or, if they ever occur, it is to surprise us as things rather uncommon in the place where they appear than in themselves. Patru does not even employ the exordium

^{*} This was Gomberville, in whose in discovery which does vast honour to immense romance, Polexandre, it is said that this word only occurs three times,

usual in speeches, but rushes instantaneously, though always perspicuously, into his statement of the case. In the eyes of many this is no eloquence ut all, and it requires perhaps some taste for legal reasoning to enter fully into its merit. But the Greek orators are masters whom a modern lawyer need not blush to follow, and to follow, as Patru did, in their respect for the tribunal they addressed. They spoke to rather a numerous body of judges, but those were Athemans, and, as we have reason to believe, the best and most upright, the salt of that vicious city Patru again spoke to the parliament of Peris, men too well versed in the ways of law and justice to be the dupes of tunking sound. He is therefore plain, lucid, well arranged, but not emphatic or impetuous, the subjects of his published speeches would not admit of such qualities, though Patru is said to have employed on some occasions the burning words of the high est oratory His style has always been reckoned purely and rigidly French, but I have been led rather to praise what has struck me in the substance of his pleadings, which, whether read at this day in France or not, are, I may ven ture to say, worthy to be studied by lawyers, like those to which I have compared them, the strictly forenec portion of Greek oratory In some speeches of Patru which are more generally praised, that on his own reception in the Academy and one complimentary to Christina, it has seemed to me that he falls very short of his judicial style, the ornaments are common place and such as belong to the panegyrical department of oratory, in all ages less important and valuable than the other two It should be added that Patru was not only one of the purest writers, but one of the best critics whom France possessed.

28 The forensic speeches of Le Mustre are more eloquent, in a popular sense of the word more ardent, sade to more imaginative, than those of Patru, the one Master. addresses the judges alone, the other has a view to the andience, the one seeks the success of his cause alone, the

Hinstres de France, vol. il. p. 66. See thirty years old—so much had the lan-plaidoyers servent excers superrd'had de grange changed, as to rules of writing, modèle pour éerire correctament en notre within that time.

Perrault says of Patru in his Hommes langue. Yet they were not much above

other that and his own glory together. The one will be more puzed by the lovers of legal reasoning, the other by the majority of mankind. The one more reminds us of the orations of Demosthenes for his private clients, the other of those of Cicero. Le Maistre is fervid and brilliant, he hurries us with him; in all his pleadings, warmth is his first characteristic, and a certain elegance is the second. In the power of statement, I do not perceive that he is inferior to Patru; both are excellent. Wherever great moral or social topics, or extensive views of history and human nature can be employed, Le Maistre has the advantage. Both are concise, relatively to the common verbosity of the bar; but Le Maistre has much more that might be retrenched, not that it is redundant in expression, but unnecessary in substance. This is owing to his ambitious display of general erudition; his quotations are too frequent and too ornamental, partly drawn from the ancients, but more from the fathers. Ambrose, in fact, Jerome and Augustin, Chrysostom, Basil, and Gregory, were the models whom the writers of this age were accustomed to study; and hence they are often, and Le Maistre among the rest, too apt to declaim where they should prove, and to use arguments from analogy, rather striking to the common hearer, than likely to weigh much with a tribunal. has less simplicity, less purity of taste than Patru, his ammated language would, in our courts, be frequently effective with a jury, but would seem too indefinite and common-place to the judges; we should crowd to hear Le Maistre, we should be compelled to decide with Patiu. They are both, however, very superior advocates, and do great honour to the French bar.

29. A sensible improvement in the general style of English Improve. Writers had come on before the expiration of the sixteenth century, the rude and rough phrases, sometimes almost requiring a glossary, which lie as spots of rust on the pages of Latimer, Grafton, Aylmer, or even Ascham, had been chiefly polished away; if we meet in Sidney, Hooker, or the prose of Spenser, with obsolete expressions or forms, we find none that are in the least unintelligible, none that give us offence But to this next period belong most of those whom we commonly reckon our old

English writers, men often of such sterling worth for their sense, that we might read them with little regard to their language, yet, in some instances at least, possessing much that demands praise in this respect. They are generally nervous and effective, copions to redundancy in their command of words, apt to employ what seemed to them orna ment with much imagination rather than judicious taste, yet seldom degeuerating into common-place and indefinite phraseology. They have, however, many defects; some of them, especially the most learned, are full of pedantry, and deform their pages by an excessive and preposterous mixture of Latinisms unknown before *, at other times we are disgusted by colloquial and even vulgar idiome or proverbs, nor is it uncommon to find these opposite blemishes not only in the same author but in the same passages. Their periods, except in a very faw, are ill-constructed and tediously prolonged, their ears (again with some exceptions) seem to have been insensible to the beauty of rhythmical prose, grace is commonly wanting, and their notion of the artifices of style, when they thought at all about them, was not congenial to our own language This may be deemed a general description of the English writers nuder James and Charles, wa shall now proceed to mention some of the most famous, and who may, in a certain degree, be deemed to modify this censure.

SO I will begin with a passage of very considerable beauty, which is here out of its place, since it was written in the year 1598. It is found in the Apology for the Earl of Essex, published among the works of Lord Bacon end passing, I cuppose commonly for his. It seems nevertheless, in my judgment, far more probably genuine. We have nowhere in our early writers a flow of words so easy and graceful, a structure so harmonous, a series of antitheses so spirited without affectation an absence of quaintness, pedantry and vulgarity so truly gentleman like, a paragraph so worthy of the most brilliaut man of his age. This could not have come from Bacon who never divested himself of a certain didactic formality, even if he

In Prair's edition of Beshop Hall's to more than eleven bundred, the greater works, we have a glossary of musual part being of Latin or Greek origin; words employed by him. They amount some are Gellisium.

could have counterfeited that chivalious generosity which it was not in his nature to feel. It is the language of a soldier's heart, with the unstudied grace of a noble courtier.*

31. Knolles, already known by a spirited translation of Bodin's Commonwealth, published in 1610 a copious Knolles's History of the Turks History of the Turks, bringing down his narrative to the most recent times. Johnson, in a paper of the Rambler, has given him the superiority over all English historians. "He has displayed all the excellencies that naination can admit. His style, though somewhat obscured by time, and vitiated by false wit, is pure, nervous, elevated, and clear. . . . Nothing could have sunk this author into obscurity but the remoteness and barbarity of the people whose story he relates. It seldom happens that all chainstances concur to happiness or fame. The nation which produced this great historian has the grief of seeing his genius employed upon a foreign and uninteresting subject; and that writer who might have secured perpetuity to his name by a history of his own country, has exposed limiself to the danger

* "A word for my friendship with the chief men of action, and favour generally to the men of war, and then I eome to their main objection, which is my crossing of the treaty in hand most of them that are accounted the ehief men of action, I do confess, I do entirely love them They have been my companions both abroad and at home, some of them began the wars with me, most have had place under me, and many have had me a witness of their rising from captains, lieutenants, and private men to those charges, which since by their virtue they have obtained. Now that I have tried them, I would choose them for friends, if I had them not, before I had tried them, God by his providence chose them for me. I love them for mine own sake, for I find sweetness in their conversation, strong assistance in their employments with ine, and happiness in their friendship I love them for their virtues' sake, and for their greatness of mind, (for little minds, though never so full of virtue, can be but a little virtuous,) and for their great under-standing, for to understand little things or things not of use, is little better than to understand nothing at all I love

them for their affections, for self-loving men love case, pleasure, and profit, but they that love pains, danger, and fame, show that they love public profit more than themselves. I love them for my country's sake, for they are Lingland's best armour of defence and weapons of offence. If we may have peace, they have purchased it, if we must have war, they must manage it. Yet while we are doubtful and in treaty we must value ourselves by what may be done, and the enemy will value us by what hath been done by our chief men of action.

"That generally I am affected to the men of war, it should not seem strange to any reasonable man. Every man doth love them of his own profession. The grave judges favour the students of the law, the reverend bishops the labourers in the ministry, and I (since her Majesty hath yearly used my service in her late actions) must reckon myself in the number of her men of war. Before action, providence makes me cherish them for what they can do, in action, necessity makes me value them for the service they do, and after action, experience and thankfulness make me love them for the service they have done."

of oblivion by recounting enterprises and revolutions of which none desire to be informed." • The subject, however, eppeared to Knolles, and I know not how we can say erronepeared to Khones, and I know not how we can say strong-ously, one of the most splendid that he could have selected It was the rase and growth of a mighty nation, second only to Rome in the constancy of success, and in the magnitude of empire, a nation fierce and terrible, the present scourge of half Christendom, and though from our remoteness not very formidable to ourselves, still one of which not the bookish man in his closet or the statesman in council had alone heard, but the smith at his anvil, and the husbandman aloue heard, but the smith at his anvil, and the husbandman at his plough. A long decreptude of the Turkish empire on one hand, and our frequent alliance with it on the other, have suce obliterated the upprehensions and interests of every kind which were awakened throughout Europe by its youthful fury and its innature strength. The subject was also new in England, yet rich in inaterials, various, in comparison with ordinary instory, though not perhaps so fertile of philosophical observation as some others, and furnishing meny occasions for the peculiar talents of Knolles. These were displayed, not in depth of thought, or copiousness of collateral erudition, but in a style and in a power of narration which Johnson has not too highly extolled. His descriptions are vivid and animated, circumstantial, but not to feebleness, his characters are drawn with a strong pencil. It is indeed his characters are drawn with a strong pencil It is indeed difficult to estimate the merits of an historian very accurately without having before our eyes his original sources, he may probably have translated much that we admire, and he had shown that he knew how to translate. In the style of Knolles there is sometimes, as Johnson has hinted, a slight excess of desire to make every phrase effective, but he is exempt from the usual blemishes of his age, and his command of the lau guage is so extensive, that we should not err in placing him among the first of our elder writers. Comparing as a specimen of Knolles's manner, his description of the execution of Mustapha, son of Solyman with that given by Robertson where the latter historian has been as circumstantial as his

Rambler No. 192.
 L. Q.

limits would permit, we shall perceive that the former paints better his story, and deepens better its interest.*

32. Raleigh's History of the World is a proof of the respect for laborious learning that had long distin-Ratelgh's History of the World guished Europe. We should expect from the prison-hours of a soldier, a courtier, a busy inriguer in state affairs, a poet and man of genius, something well worth our notice; but hardly a prolix history of the ancient world, hardly disquisitions on the site of Paradise and the travels of Cain. These are probably translated with little alteration from some of the learned writings of the Continent; they are by much the least valuable portion of Raleigh's work. The Greek and Roman story is told more fully and exactly than by any earlier English author, and with a plain eloquence, which has given this book a classical reputation in our-language, though from its length, and the want of that critical sifting of facts which we now justly demand, it is not greatly read. Raleigh has intermingled political reflections, and illustrated his history by episodes from modern times, which perhaps are now the most interesting passages. It descends only to the second Macedonian war; the continuation might have been more generally valuable; but either the death of Prince Henry, as Raleigh himself tells us, or the new schemes of ambition which unfortunately opened upon his eyes, prevented the execution of the large plan he had formed. There is little now obsolete in the words of Raleigh, nor, to any great degree, in his turn of phrase; the periods, when pains have been taken with them, show that artificial structure which we find in Sidney and Hooker, he is less pedantic than most of his contempo-1ailes, seldom low, never affected. †

† Raleigh's History was so little known that Warburton, in the preface to his Julian, took from it a remarkable

passage without acknowledgment, and Dr Parr, though a man of very extensive reading, extolled it as Warburton's, not knowing, what he afterwards discovered, the original source. The passage is as follows in Raleigh, Warburton of course having altered some of the expressions—" We have left it (the Roman empire) flourishing in the middle of the field, having rooted up or cut down all that kept it from the eyes and admiration of the world. But after some continuance,

^{*} Knolles, p 515 Robertson's Charles the Fifth, book x1 [The principal authority for this description appears to be Bushequius, in his excellent Legationis Turcieæ Epistolæ It has been justly observed, that I might have mentioned Bushequius in a former volume among the good Latin writers of the sixteenth century — 1842]

Daniel's History of England from the Cooquest to the Reign of Edward III, published in 1618, is deserving of some attention on account of its lan guage It is written with a freedom from all stiff ness, nod a parity of style which hardly any other work of so early a date exhibits. These qualities are indeed so remark able that it would require a good deal of critical observation to distinguish it even from writings of the reign of Anno, and where it differs from them, (I speak only of the second ary class of works, which have not much judividuality of manner,) it is by a more select idiom, and by an absence of the Gallicism or yulgarity which are often found in that age -It is true that the merits of Daniel are chiefly negative, he is oever pedantic, or antithetical, or low, as his contempora ries were upt to be, but his periods are ill constructed, ho has little vigoor or elegance, and it is only by observing how much puns he most have taken to reject phrases which were growing obsolete, that we give him credit for having done more than follow the common stream of easy writing A slight tinge of archaism, and a certain majesty of expres-2000, relatively to colloquial usage, were thought by Bacon and Raleigh congenial to an elevated style; bot Daniel, a gentleman of the king's hoosehold, wrote as the court spoke, and his facility would be pleasing if his sentences had a less negligent structure As an lustoman, he has recoorse only to common authorities, hot his narration is fluent and perspicuous, with a regular vein of good sense, more the cha racteristic of his mind, both in verse and prose, than any commanding vigour

34 The style of Bacon has an idosyncracy which we might expect from his genius. It can rarely indeed happen and only in men of secondary talents, that the language they use is not, by its very choice and collocation, as well as its meaning, the representative of an indi-

it shall begin to lose the beauty it had; the storms of ambition shall best her great boughs and branches one gainst another; her leaves shall fall off, her limbs wither and rabble of barbarous nations enter the field and cut her down-Raleigh's History at finem.

Notwithstanding the praise that has admirable.

been hestowed on this sentence, it is open to some censures; the dimit and subject are too much confounded; a rubble of barbarous nations might be required to subsent the Roman empire, but make an old figure in cutting down a tree. The rhythm and spirit indeed are admirable. viduality that distinguishes their turn of thought. Bacon is elaborate, sententious, often witty, often metaphorical, nothing could be spared; his analogies are generally striking and novel; his style is clear, precise, forcible; yet there is some degree of stiffness about it, and in mere language he is inferior to Raleigh. The History of Henry VII., admirable as many passages are, seems to be written rather too ambitiously, and with too great an absence of simplicity.

35. The polemical writings of Milton, which chiefly fall within this period, contain several bursts of his splendid imagination and grandeur of soul. They are, however, much inferior to the Areopagitica, or Plea for the Liberty of Unlicensed Printing. Many passages in this famous tract are admirably eloquent; an intense love of liberty and truth glows through it, the majestic soul of Milton breathes such high thoughts as had not been uttered before, yet even here he frequently sinks in a single instant, as is usual with our old writers, from his highest flights to the ground, his intermixture of familiar with learned phraseology is unpleasing, his structure is affectedly elaborate, and he seldom reaches any harmony. If he turns to invective, as sometimes in this treatise, and more in his Apology for Smeetymnuus, it is mere ribaldrous vulgarity blended with pedantry; his wit is always poor and without ease. An absence of idiomatic grace, and an use of harsh inversions violating the rules of the language, distinguish, in general, the writings of Milton, and require in order to compensate them such high beauties as will sometimes occur.

36. The History of Clarendon may be considered as belonging rather to this than to the second period of
the century, both by the probable date of composition and by the nature of its style. He is excellent in every
thing that he has performed with care, his characters are
beautifully delineated, his sentiments have often a noble
gravity, which the length of his periods, far too great in
itself, seems to befit, but in the general course of his narration he is negligent of grammar and perspicuity, with little
choice of words, and therefore sometimes idiomatic without
ease or elegance. The official papers on the royal side,
which are generally attributed to him, are written in a mas-

culine and majestic tone, far superior to those of the parlin ment. The Inter had, however, n writer who did them honour Mny's History of the Parliamont is a good model of genmine English, he is pluin, terse, and vigorous, never slovenly, though with few remarkable passages, and is, in style as well as substance, a kind of contrast to Clarendon

37 The famous Icon Basilice, ascribed to Charles I, may deserve a place in literary history. If we could The Ione trust its panegyrists, few books in our language have done it more credit by dignity of sentiment and beauty of style. It can hardly be necessary for me to express my unhesitating conviction that it was solely written by Bishop Ganden, who after the Restoration unequivocally claimed if as his own The folly and impidence of such a claim, if it could not be substantiated, are not to be presumed as to any man of good naderstanding, four character, and high station, without stronger evidence than has been alleged on the other side, especully whon we find that those who had the best means of inquiry, at a time when it seems impossible that the falsehood of Ganden's assertion should not have been demonstrated, if it were false, acquiesced in his pretensions. We have very little to place against this, except secondary testimeny, vague, for the most part, in itself, and collected by those whose veracity has not been put to the test like that of Gauden * The style also of the Icon Basilice has been iden talied by Mr Todd with that of Ganden by the use of soveral phrases so peculiar that we can hardly conceive them to have suggested themselves to more than one person. It is nevertheless superior to his acknowledged writings. A strain of mijestic melsucholy is well kept np, but the per

by himself, but universally secribed to sustain and which had never been in his measure. A story is told, and I believe truly that a young man assumed the crofit of Manismus Man of Feeling, while it was still anonymous. But this a widely different from the case of the Iron Besillon. We have he did not niterminable discussion as to the Letters of Junius. But no one has were claimed this deprivation of the property to himself, or told the world, I am Junius.

There is only one claimant, in a proper sense, for the Iron Banillee which is Gunden thinnelf; the king patther appears by himself or representativa. And, though we may find several instances of plagarism in literary bistory (one of the grossest being the publication by a Spanish friar under another title, of a book already in print with the name of Hyperius of Marpung, its real uthor) yet I cannot call to mind any where a man known to the world has asserted in terms his own anthorship of a book not written.

sonated sovereign is rather too theatrical for real nature, the language is too rhetorical and amplified, the periods too artificially elaborated. None but scholars and practised writers employ such a style as this.

38. Burton's Anatomy of Melancholy belongs, by its systematic divisions and its accumulated quotations, to the class of mere erudition, it seems at first sight like those tedious Latin folios, into which scholars of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries threw the materials of their Adversaria, or common-place books, painfully selected and arranged by the labour of many years. writing fortunately in Euglish, and in a style not by any means devoid of point and terseness, with much good sense and observation of men as well as of books, and having also the skill of choosing his quotations for their rareness, oddity, and amusing character, without losing sight of their pertinence to the subject, he has produced a work of which, as is well known, Johnson said, that it was the only one which had ever caused him to leave his bed earlier than he had intended. Johnson, who seems to have had some turn for the singularities of learning which fill the Anatomy of Melancholy, may perhaps have raised the ciedit of Burton higher than his desert. He is clogged by excess of reading, like others of his age, and we may peruse entire chapters without finding more than a few lines that belong to himself. becomes a wearrsome style, and, for my own part, I have not found much pleasure in glancing over the Anatomy of Melancholy. It may be added that he has been a collector of stories far more strange than true, from those records of figments, the old medical writers of the sixteenth century, and other equally deceitful sources. Burton lived at Oxford, and his volumes are apparently a great sweeping of miscellaneous literature from the Bodleian library.

39. John Earle, after the Restoration bishop of Worcester, and then of Salisbury, is author of "Microcosmo-graphia, or a Piece of the Worlde discovered in Essays and Characters," published anonymously in 1628. In some of these short characters, Earle is worthy of comparison with La Bruyère; in others, perhaps the greater part, he has contented himself with pictures of ordinary manners,

such as the varieties of occupation, rather than of intrinsic character, supply—In all, however, we find an acute observation and a happy homeir of expression—The chapter on titled the Sceptic is best knewn, it is writy, but an insult throughout on the honest searcher after truth, which could have come only from one that was content to take up his own opinions far ease or profit. Earle is always gay and quick to catch the ridiculous, especially that of exterior oppearances, his style is short, describing well with a faw words, but with much of the affected quantities of that age—It is one of those books which give us a picturesque idea of the manners of our fathers at a period now become remote, and for this reason, were there no other, it would deserve to be read—2

40 But the Microcosmography is not in original work in its plan or mode of execution, it is a close imitation of the Characters of Sir Thomas Overbury. They the both beloog to the favourite style of epophthegm, in which every sectence is a point or e withcism. Yet the entire clair racter so deheested prodoces a certain effect, it is a Dutch picture, a Gerard Dew, somewhat too claborate. Earle has more nateral humour thac Overbury, ead hits his mark aiore neatly, the other is more satirical, but often abusive and valgar. The "Fair and Happy Milkmaid," often quoted is the best of his characters. The wit is often trivial and flat, the sentiments have nothing in them greened or worthy in midli remembrance, praise is only due to the graphic skill in delineating character. Earln is as clearly the better, as Overbury is the more ariginal writer.

"At hook by Ben Jonson, entitled "Timber, or Discoveries made upon Mon and Matter", is altogether miscellaneous, the greater part being geoeral becomes moral remarks, while unother portion deserves notice as the only book of English criticism in the first part of the seven teenth century. The observations are uncounceted, judicious, sometimes witty, frequently severe. The style is what was called pregnant, leaving much to be filled up by the reader's reflection. Good sense and a vigorous manner of grappling with every subject will generally be found in Jonson, but, he

does not reach any very profound criticism. His English Grammar is said by Gifford to have been destroyed in the conflagration of his study. What we have therefore under that name is, he thinks, to be considered as properly the materials of a more complete work that is lost. We have, as I apprehend, no earlier grammar upon so elaborate a plan; every rule is illustrated by examples, almost to redundance, but he is too copious on what is common to other languages, and perhaps not full enough as to our peculiar idiom.

SECT. II.—ON FICTION.

Cervantes - French Romances - Calprende - Scuder - Latin and English Works of Fiction

42. The first part of Don Quixote was published in 1605. We have no reason, I believe, to suppose that it

We have no reason, I believe, to suppose that it was written long before. It became immediately popular; and the admiration of the world raised up envious competitors, one of whom, Avellenada, published a continuation in a strain of invective against the author. Cervantes, who cannot be imagined to have ever designed the leaving his romance in so unfinished a state, took time about the second part, which did not appear till 1615.

43. Don Quixote is almost the only book in the Spanish language which can now be said to possess so much of an European reputation as to be popularly read in every country. It has, however, enjoyed enough to compensate for the neglect of the rest. It is to Europe in general what Ariosto is to Italy, and Shakspeare to England; the one book to which the slightest allusions may be made without affectation, but not missed without discredit. Numerous translations and countless editions of them, in every language, translations and countless editions of them, in every language, bespeak its adaptation to mankind; no critic has been paradoxical enough to withhold his admiration, no reader has ventured to confess a want of relish for that in which the young and old, in every climate, have age after age taken delight.

They have doobtless believed that they understood the nuthor's meaning, and, to giving the reins to the guiety that his fer tile invention and comic humonr inspired, never thought of any deeper meaning than he annonnees, or delayed their enforment for any metaphysical investigation of his plan

enjoyment for any metaphysical investigation of his plan

44 A new school of criticiam, however, has of late years arisen in Germany, acute, ingenions, and sométimes eminently successful in philosophical, or, as they denominate it, sesthetic analysis of works of taste, hut gliding too much into refinement and conjectural hypothe hat giding too much into remement and conjectual by powers, and with a tendency to mislead men of inferior capacities for this kind of investigation into mere paradox and absorbity. An instance is sopplied, to my opinion, by some remarks of Bontervek, still more explicitly developed by Sismondi, on the design of Cervantes in Don Quixote, and which havebeen repeated in other publications. According to these writers, the primary idea is that of a " man of elevated cha racter, excited by heroic and enthusiastic feelings to the extravagant pitch of wishing to restore the age of chivalry, nor is it possible to form a more mistaken notion of this work than by coosidering it merely as a saure, intended by the anthor to ridicale the absurd passion for reading old romances ". "The fundamental iden of Don Quixote, says Sismondi, "is the eternal contrast between the spirit of poetry and that of prose Men of an elevated soul propose to themselves as the object of life to be the defenders of the weak, the support of the oppressed the champions of justice and innocence. Like Don Quixote they find on every aido Fally among of the entires they worship, they delieve that the interestedness, nobleness, courage, in short, knight-errantry, are still prevalent, and with no calculation of their own powers, they expose themselves for an ungrateful world, they offer themselves as a sacrifice to the laws and rules of an imaginary state of society "†

45 If this were in true representation of the scheme of Don Quixote, we cannot wonder that some persons should as M Sismondi tells us they do consider it as the most melancholy book that has ever been written. They consider

^{*} Bouterwek, p. 834.

pernicious in its influence on the social converse of mankind, as the Prince of Machiavel is on their political intercourse. "Cervantes," he proceeds, "has shown us in some measure the vainty of greatness of soul and the delusion of heroism. He has drawn in Don Quixote a perfect man (un homme accompli), who is nevertheless the constant object of ridicule. Brave beyond the fabled knights he imitates, disinterested, honourable, generous, the most faithful and respectful of lovers, the best of masters, the most accomplished and well educated of gentlemen, all his enterprises end in discomfiture to himself, and in mischief to others." M. Sismondi descants upon the perfections of the Knight of La Mancha with a gravity which it is not quite easy for his readers to preserve.

46. It might be answered by a philegmatic observer, that probably a mere enthusiasm for doing good, if excited by erroncous vanity, and not accompanied by common sense, will seldom be very serviceable to ourselves or to others, that men who in their heroism and care for the oppressed would throw open the cages of lions, and set galley-slaves at liberty, not forgetting to break the limbs of liarmless persons whom they mistake for wrong-doers, are a class of whom Don Quixote is the real type; and that the world being much the worse for such heroes, it might not be immoral, notwithstanding their benevolent enthusiasm, to put them out of countenance by a little ridicule. This however is not, as I conceive, the primary aim of Cervantes; nor do I think that the exhibition of one great truth, as the predominant; but concealed, moral, of a long work, is in the spirit of his age. He possessed a very thoughtful mind and a profound knowledge of humanity; yet the generalisation which the hypothesis of Bouterwek and Sismondi requires for the leading conception of Don Quixote, besides its being a little inconsistent with the valorous and romantic character of its author, belongs to a more advanced period of philosophy than his own. It will at all events, I presume, be admitted that we cannot reason about Don Quixote except from the book, and I think it may be shown in a few words that these ingenious writers have been chiefly misled by some want of consistency

which circumstances produced in the author's delineation of his hero

47 In the first chapter of this romance, Cervantes, with a few strokes of a great master, sets before as the panper gentleman, an early riser and keen sportsman who "when he was idle, which was most part of the year," gave himself up to reading books of chi valry till he lost his wits. The events that follow are in every one's recollection, his lineacy consists no doubt only in one idea, but this is so absorbing that it perverts the evi dence of his senses, and predominates in all his lenguage. It is to be observed, therefore, in relation to the nobleness of soul ascribed to Don Quixote, that every sentiment he utters, is borrowed with a punctilious rigour from the romances of his library, he resorts to them on every occasion for precedents, if he is intrepidly hrave, it is because his madness and vanity have made him believe himself nnconquerable. if he bestows kingdoms it is because Amadis would have done the same, if he is hononrable, conrecous a redresser of wrongs, it is in pursuance of these prototypes, from whom, except that he seems rather more scrupnlous in chastity, it is his only boast not to diverge. Those who talk of the exalted character of Don Quixote seem really to forget that, on these subjects, he has no character at all, he is the echo of romance, and to praise him is merely to say, that the tone of chryalry, which these productions studied to keep up, and, in the hands of inferior artists, foolishly exaggerated, was full of moral dignity, and has, in a anbdned degree of force, modelled the character of a man of honour in the present day But throughout the first two volumes of Don Quixote, though in a few numportant passages he talks rationally, I cannot find more than two in which he displays any other knowledge or strength of mind than the original delineation of the character would lead us to expect.

48 The case is much altered in the last two volumes. Cervantes had nequired an immense popularity, and per ceived the opportunity of which he had already availed bin self, that this romance gave for displaying his own mind. He had become attached to a hero who had made him illustrious, and suffered himself to lose sight of the clear outline he had

once traced for Quixote's personality. Hence we find in all this second part that, although the lunacy as to knights errant remains unabated, he is, on all other subjects, not only rational in the low sense of the word, but clear, acute, profound, sarcastic, cool-headed. His philosophy is elevated but not enthusiastic, his imagination is poetical, but it is restrained by strong sense. There are, in fact, two Don Quivotes; one, whom Cervantes first designed to draw, the foolish gentleman of La Mancha, whose foolishness had made him frantic; the other, a lighly gifted, accomplished model of the best chivalry, trained in all the court, the camp, or the college could impart, but scathed in one portion of his mind by an inexplicable visitation of monomania. One is inclined to ask why this Don Quixote, who is Cervantes, should have been more likely to lose his intellects by reading romances than Cervantes lunself. As a matter of bodily disease, such an event is doubtless possible; but nothing can be conceived more improper for fiction, nothing more meapable of affording a moral lesson, than the meanity which arises wholly from disease. Insamty is, in no point of view, a theme for ridicule; and this is an inherent fault of the romance (for those who have imagined that Cervantes has not rendered Quixote ridiculous have a strange notion of the world); but the thoughtlessness of mankind, rather than their insensibility (for they do not connect madness with misery), furnishes some apology for the first two volumes. In proportion as we perceive below the veil of mental delusion a noble intellect, we feel a painful sympathy with its humiliation; the character becomes more complicated and interesting, but has less truth and naturalness, an objection which inight also be made, comparatively speaking, to the incidents in the latter volumes, wherem I do not find the admirable probability that reigns through the former. But this contrast of wisdom and virtue with insanity in the same subject would have been repulsive in the primary delineation; as I think any one may judge by supposing that Cervantes had, in the first chapter, drawn such a picture of Quixote as Bouterwek and Sismondi have drawn for him.

49. I must therefore venture to think as, I believe, the world has generally thought for two centuries, that Cervantes

had no more profound aim than he proposes to the reader If the fashion of reading bad romances of chivalry perverted the taste of his contemporaries, and rendered their language ridiculous, it was notural that a zealous layer of good literature should expose this folly to the world by exaggerating its effects on a fictitious personage. It has been said by some modern writer, though I cannot remember by whom, that there was a prose side in the mind of Cervantes. There was indeed a side of calm strong sense, which some take for an poetical He thought the tone of those remances extrava gant. It might natorally occur how absurd may one must oppear who should attempt to realiso in actual life the ad ventures of Amadia Already a novelist, he perceived the opportunities this idea suggested. It was a necessary consequence that the hero must be represented as literally insane, sluce his conduct would have been extravagant beyond the probability of fiction on any other hypothesis, and from this linppy cooception germionted in a very prohific mind the whole history of Don Qoixote. Its simplicity is perfect, no limit could be found save the nother's discretion, or sense that he had drawn sufficiently on his imagination, but the death of Qoixote, which Cervantes has been said to have determined upon, lest some one else shoold a second time presome to continue the story, is in fact the only possible ter mination that could be given, after he had elevated the cha racter to that pitch of mental dignity which we find in the last two volumes

50 Few books of moral philosophy display as deep an insight into the mechanism of the mind as Don Children and the mechanism of the mind as Don Children and the great simplicity of the story wherein no ortifices ore practised to create suspense, or complicate the action, we shall think Cervantes fully deserving of the glory that intends this monument of his genius. It is not merely that he is superior to all his predecessors and contemporaries. This, though it might occount for the European finne of his romance, would be ou inadequate testimony to its desert. Cervantes stands on an eminence below which we must place the best of his successors. Wu hove only to compare him with Lo

Sage or Fielding, to judge of his vast superiority. To Scott, indeed, he must yield in the variety of his power; but in the line of comic romance, we should hardly think Scott his

equal.

51. The moral novels of Cervantes, as he calls them Minor novels (Novellas Exemplares), are written, I believe, in a good style, but too short, and constructed with too little artifice to rivet our interest. Their simplicity and truth, as in many of the old novels, have a certain charm; but in the present age our sense of satiety in works of fiction cannot be overcome but by excellence. Of

the Spanish comic romances, in the picaresque style, several remain: Justina was the most famous. One that does not strictly belong to this lower class is the Marcos de Obregon of Espinel. This is supposed to have suggested much to Le Sage in Gil Blas; in fact, the first story we meet with is

Sage in Gil Blas; in fact, the first story we meet with is that of Mergellina the physician's wife. The style, though not dull, wants the grace and neatness of Le Sage. This is esteemed one of the best novels that Spain has produced.

Italy was no longer the seat of this literature. A romance of chivalry by Marini (not the poet of that name), entitled Il Caloandro (1610), was translated but indifferently into French by Scuderi, and has been praised by Salfi as full of imagination, with characters skilfully diversified, and an interesting, well-conducted story.*

52. France in the sixteenth century, content with Amadis de Gaul and the numerous romances of the Spanish

de Gaul and the numerous romances of the Spanish school, had contributed very little to that literature. But now she had native writers of both kinds, the pastoral and heroic, who completely superseded the models they had before them. Their earliest essay was the Astrée of D'Urfé. Of this pastoral romance the first volume was published in 1610, the second in 1620; three more came slowly forth, that the world might have due leisure to admire. It contains about 5500 pages. It would be almost as discreditable to have read such a book through at present, as it was to be ignorant of it in the ages of Louis XIII. Allusions, however, to real circumstances served in some measure to lessen the insipidity of a love-story, which seems to equal

any in absordity and want of interest. The style, and I can judgo no farther, having read hut a few pages, seeins easy and not unpleasing, but the pastoral tono is insufferably pue rile, and a monotonous solemnity makes us almost suspect that one source of its popularity was its gentle effect, when read in small portions before retiring to rest. It was nevertheless admired by men of erndition, like Comus and Huot, or even by men of the world like Rochefoncault.

53 From the union of the ald chivalrous remance with this nower style, the courtly pastoral, sprang another Hersiciakind of fiction, the French hernic romance. Three nomber nearly contemporary writers, Gomberville, Calpronède, Scuderi, supplied a number of voluminous stories, frequently historical in some of their names, but utterly destitute of truth in circumstances, characters, and manners berville led the way in his Polexandre, first published in 1682, and reaching in later editions to about 6000 pages "This," says a modern writer, "seems to have been the model of the works of Calprenede and Scuden This ponderous works mny be regarded as a cort of intermediate production between the later compositions and the ancient fables of chivalry has indeed a close affinity to the heroic romance, but many of the exploits of the here are as extravagant as those of a paladin or knight of the round table "+ No remance in the language has so complex an intrigue, insomuch that it is followed with difficulty, and the nuther has in successive editions capricionally remodelled parts of his story, which is wholly of his own invention ‡

54 Calprenede n poet of no contemptible powers of imn gination poured forth his stores of rapid invention in several romances more celebrated than that of Calprobia Gomberville. The first, which is contained in ten octavo volumes, is the Cassandra. This appeared in 1642, and was followed by the Cleopatra, published, necording to the custom of romancers, in successive parts, the earliest in 1646 Harpe thinks this unquestionably the best work of Calprenède, Bouterwek seems to prefer the Cassandra. Phara

Dunlop a History of Fiction, vol. ili. Dunlop, iil. 230, Blog. Unly p. 184. Biographie Universelle. Bou torwek, vol v p 295 VOL III

mond is not wholly his own; five out of twelve volumes belong to one De Vaumorière, a continuator.* Calprenède, like many others, had but a life-estate in the temple of fame, and more happy, perhaps, than greater men, lived out the whole favour of the world, which, having been largely showered on his head, strewed no memorials on his grave. It became, soon after his death, through the satire of Boileau and the influence of a new style in fiction, a matter of course to turn him into ridicule. It is impossible that his romances to turn him into ridicule. It is impossible that his romances should be read again; but those who, for the purposes of general criticism, have gone back to these volumes find not a little to praise in his genius, and in some measure to explain his popularity. "Calprenède," says Bouterwek, "belonged to the extravagant party, which endeavoured to give a triumph to genius at the expense of taste, and by that very means played into the hands of the opposite party, which saw nothing so laudable as the observation of the rules which taste prescribed. We have only to become acquainted with any one of the prolix romances of Calpienède, such, for instance, as the Cassandra, to see clearly the spirit which animates the whole invention. We find there again the heroism of chivalry, the enthusiastic raptures of love, the struggle of duty with passion, the victory of magnanimity, sincerity, and humanity, over force, fraud, and barbarism, in the genuine characters and circumstances of romance. The events are characters and circumstances of romance. The events are skilfully interwoven, and a truly poetical keeping belongs to the whole, however extended it may be. The diction of Calprenède is a little monotonous, but not at all trivial, and seldom affected. It is like that of old romance, grave, circumstantial, somewhat in the chronicle style, but picturesque, agreeable, full of sensibility and simplicity. Many passages might, if versified, find a place in the most beautiful poem of this class "+

55. The honours of this romantic literature have long been shared by the female sex. In the age of Richelieu and Mazaiin, this was represented by Mademoiselle de Scuderi, a name very glorious for a season, but which unfortunately did not, like that of Calpienède, continue to be

^{*} Dunlop, ur 259

such during the whole heetime of her who bere it. The old age of Mademoisello do Scuderi was ignominiously treated by the pittless Boilean, and reaching more than her ninetictly year, sho almost survived her only offspring, those of her pen In her youth she had been the associate of the Ram boullet circle, and caught perhaps in some measure from them what she gave back with interest, a tone of perpetual affectation, and a pedantic gallantry, which could not with stand the first approach of ridicule Her first romonce was Ibrahim, published in 1635, but the more celebrated were the Grand Cyrus and the Clehe. Lach of these two romances 18 10 ten volumes. The persons chiefly connected with the Hôtel Rambouillet sat for their pictures, as Persians or Babylooians, in Cyrus Julie d Angennes herself boro tho name of Artenice, by which sho was afterwards distinguished among her friends, ood it is a remarkable instruce not only of the popularity of these romances, but of the respectfol see timent, which, from the elevation and pority no one can deny them to exhibit, was always associated in the gravest persons with their fictions, that a preloto of emineot famo for eloquence, Fléchier, 10 his funeral sermon on this lady, calls her "the incomparable Artenice." † Such an allosice would appear to us misplaced, but we may presume that it was not so thought. Scuders a romances seem to have been remark ably the invonrites of the clergy, Huet, Mascaron Godeau as much as Fléchier were her urdent admirers says the second of these, one of the chief ornaments of the French pulpit, in writing to Mademoisello do Scuden, "so much in your works calculated to reform the world, that in the sermons I em now preparing for the court, you will often be on my table by the sidn of St. Angustin and St. Ber nard". In the writings of this lady we see the last foot step of the old chivalrous romance. She, like Calprendle had derived from this source the predominant characteristics

Biogr Univ Dunlop Bouterwek, † Sermons de Fléchier ii. 525 (edlt. 1690). But probably Bosenet would not have stooped to this Ilusion.

not have stooped to this Ilusion.

‡ Blogr Univ Mademobselle de
Souderi was not gifted by nature with
beauty or as this blographer more
bluntly says, étoit d'une extrême laideur.

She would probably have wished this to have been therwise, but earried off the matter very well, as oppears by her epigrum on her own pleture by Nantsuil

Mantenii, en fainart mon îmage, A de see art divin signalé le pouvoir ; Je hais mer yeux dans men sulvoir Je los alme dans son surrage

164 LITERATURE OF EUROPE

[PART III

in short, that Cervantes has bestowed on Don Quixote. Love, however, or its counterfeit, gallantry, plays a still more leading part in the French romance than in its Castilian prototype; the feats of heroes, though not less wonderful, are less prominent on the canvass, and a metaphysical pedantry replaces the pompous metaphors in which the knight of sorrowful countenance had taken so much delight. The approbation of many persons, far superior judges to Don Quixote, makes it impossible to doubt that the romances of Calprenède and Scuders were better than his library. But as this is the least possible praise, it will certainly not tempt any one away from the rich and varied repast of fiction which the last and present century have spread before him. Mademoiselle de Scuderi has perverted history still more than Calprenède, and changed her Romans into languishing Parisians. It is not to be forgotten that the taste of her party, though it did not, properly speaking, infect Corneille, compelled him to weaken some of his tragedies. And this must be the justification of Boileau's cutting ridicule upon this truly estimable woman. She had certainly kept up a tone of severe and high morality, with which the aristocracy of Paris could ill dispense; but it was one not difficult to feign, and there might be Tartuffes of sentiment as well as of religion. Whatever is false in taste is apt to be allied to what is insincere in character. 56. The Argenis of Barclay, a son of the defender of Argenis of royal authority against republican theories, is a Barclay Latin remarks

of her personages, an exalted generosity, a disdain of all selfish considerations, a courage which attempts impossibilities and is rewarded by achieving them, a love outrageously hyperbolical in pretence, yet intrinsically without passion, all,

Latin romance, superior to those which the Spanish or French language could boast. It has indeed always been reckoned among political allegories. That the state of France in the last years of Henry III. is partially shadowed in it, can admit of no doubt; several characters are faintly veiled either by anagram or Greek translation of their names, but whether to avoid the insipidity of servile allegory, or to excite the reader by perplexity, Barclay has mingled so much of mere fiction with his story, that no

attempts at a regular key to the whole work can be successfnl, nor in fact does thu fable of this remance run in miy parallel stream with real events. His object seems in great measure to have been the discussion of political questions in feigned dialogue. But though in these we find no want of acuteness or good sense, they have not of present much novelty in our eyes, and though the style is really pleasing, or, as some lare judged, excellent, and the incidents not ill contrived, it might be hard to go entirely through a Latin romanco of 700 pages, unless indeed we hol no alternativu given but the perusal of the similar works in Spanish or I rench The Argenis was published at Rome in 1622, some of the personages introduced by Barchy nrc his own contemporaries, a proof that he did not intend a strictly lustorical allegory of the events of the last oge. The Euphormio of the same author resembles in some its ra-degree the Argenis, bot, with less of story and received character, has o more direct reference to European politics It contains much political disquisition, and one whole book is employed in a description of the manners and laws of

different countries with no disgoise of names
57 Campanella gave n loose to his function in a
fiction, entitled the City of the Son, published nt
Frankfort in 1623, in initiation, perhaps, of the
Utopia The City of the Suo is supposed to stand

Utopia The City of the Suo is supposed to stinul npon a moootain situated in Ceylon, under the equotor A community of goods and women is established in this republic, the principal magistratu of which is styled Sun, and is elected after a strict examination in all kinds of science. Campanella has brought to so much of his own philosophical system, that we may presume that to have been the object of this romance. The Solars, he tells us, abstanced at first from flesh, because they thought it cruel to kill animals. "But afterwards coosidering that it would be equally cruel to kill plants, which are not less endowed

me that the Latlatty i more that of Petrodus Arbiter but I am not well enough aequalated with this writer to speak confidently. The same observation acems applicable to the Euphormio.

Coloridge has pronounced an ardent, and rather excessive culogy on the language of the Argenia, preferring is to that of Livy or Tacture. Coloridges Remains, vol. i. p. 257 I cannot by any means go this length; it has struck

with sensation, so that they must perish by famine, they understood that ignoble things were created for the use of nobler things, and now eat all things without scruple." Another Latin romance had some celebrity in its day, the Monarchia Sohpsorum, a satire on the Jesuits in the fictitious name of Lucius Cornelius Europeus. It has been ascribed to more than one person, the probable author is one Scotti, who had himself belonged to the order. This book did not seem to me in the least interesting; if it is so in any degree, it must be not as mere fiction, but as a revelation of secrets.

58. It is not so much an extraordinary as an unfortunate deficiency in our own literary annals, that England should have been destitute of the comic romance, or Few books of fiction in England that derived from real life, in this period; since in fact we may say the same, as has been seen, of France. The picaresque novels of Spain were thought well worthy of translation; but it occurred to no one, or no one had the gift of genius, to shift the scene, and imitate their delineation of native manners. Of how much value would have been a genuine English novel, the mirror of actual life in the various ranks of society, written under Elizabeth or under the Stuarts! We should have seen, if the execution had not been very coarse, and the delineation absolutely confined to low characters, the social habits of our forefathers better than by all our other sources of that knowledge, the plays, the letters, the traditions and anecdotes, the pictures or buildings of the time. Notwithstanding the interest which all profess to take in the history of manners, our notions of them are generally meagre and imperfect; and hence modern works of fiction are but crude and maccurate designs when they endeavour to represent the hving England of two centuries since. Even Scott, who had a fine instinctive perception of truth and nature, and who had read much, does not appear to have seized the genuine tone of conversation, and to have been a little misled by the style of Shakspeare. This is rather elaborate and removed from vulgar use by a sort of archaism in phrase, and by a pointed turn in the dialogue,

^{*} Biogr Univ arts Scotti and Inchoffer Niceron, vols xxxx and xxxix

adapted to theatrical utterance, but wanting the case of ordi

nary speech

59 I can only produce two books by English authors in this first part of the seventeenth centary which fall free properly under the class of notels or romances, and first of these one is written in Latin This is the Mini dus Alter et Idem of Bishop Hall, an imitation of the litter and weaker volumes of Rabelms. A country in Terra Australis is divided into four regions, Crapulin, Viraginia, Morouca, and Layerina Minps of the white had and of particular regions are given, and the intire of the saure, not much of which has any especial reference to England, may easily be collected. It is not n very successful effort.

60 Another prelate, or one who became such, Francis Godwin, was the anthor of a much more curious story It is called the Man in the Moon, and relates the juarney of one Daminga Gonzalez to that planet. This was written by Godwin, according to Antany Wood, while he was n student at Oxford . By same in ternal proofs it must have been later than 1599, and before the death of Chizabeth in 1603 But it was not published till 1638. It was translated into French, and became the model of Cyrana do Bergurae, as ha was of Swift Godwin himself had no prototype, as for as I know, but Lucian He resembles those writers in the natural and veracious tona of his hes. The fiction is rother ingenious and amnsing throughout, but the most remarkable part is the happy con jectores, if we must say uo more, of his philosophy Not only does the writer declare positively for the Copernican system, which was uncommon at that time, but he has sur prisingly understood the principle of gravitation, it being distinctly supposed that the earth's attraction diminishes with the distance Nor is the following passage less carrons -"I must let you anderstand that the globe of the moon is not altogether destitute of an intractive power, but it is far weaker than that af the earth, as if a man da bat spring npwards with all his force, as dancers do when they show

Athens Georiemes, vol. ii. col. 558. work, and takes Dominic Goussles for it is remarkable that Mr Dunlop has the real author Hist. of Fletion, iii. been ignorant of Godwins etalim to this 534

their activity by capering, he shall be able to mount fifty or sixty feet high, and then he is quite beyond all attraction of the moon." By this device Gonzalez returns from his sojourn in the latter, though it required a more complex one to bring him thither. "The moon," he observes, "is covered with a sea, except the parts which seem somewhat darker to us, and are dry land." A contrary hypothesis came afterwards to prevail, but we must not expect every thing from our ingenious young student.

omes exactly within our notions of a romance, bottom's we may advert to the Dodona's Grove of James Howell. This is a strange allegory, without any ingenuity in maintaining the analogy between the outer and inner story, which alone can give a reader any pleasure in allegorical writing. The subject is the state of Europe, especially of England, about 1640, under the ginse of animated trees in a forest. The style is like the following:—
"The next morning the royal olives sent some prime elims to attend Prince Rocolino in quality of officers of state, and a little after he was brought to the royal palace in the same state Elaiana's kings use to be attended the day of their coronation." The contrivance is all along so clumsy and unintelligible, the invention so poor and absurd, the story, if story there be, so dull an echo of well-known events, that it is impossible to reckon Dodona's Grove any thing but an entire failure. Howell has no wit, but he has abundance of conceits, flat and commonplace enough. With all this he was a man of some sense and observation. His letters are entertaining, but they scarcely deserve consideration in this volume.

62. It is very possible that some small works belonging to this extensive class have been omitted, which my readers, or myself on second consideration, might think not unworthy of notice. It is also one so miscellaneous that we might fairly doubt as to some which have a certain claim to be admitted into it. Such are the Adventures of the Baron de Fæneste, by the famous Agrippa d'Aubigné (whose autobiography, by the way, has at least the liveliness of fiction), a singular book written in dialogue,

where an imagicary Gascon baron recounts his tales of the camp and the court. He is made to speak a pateis ant quite easy for us to understand, and not perhaps worth the while, but it seems to contain much that illustrates the sinte of France about the beginning of the seventeenth centery Moch in this book is satirical, and the satire falls in the Catholics, whom Freneste, a mere foolish geotleman of Gascony, is made to defend against an acote Hogucoot

CHAPTER VIII.

HISTORY OF MATHEMATICAL AND PHYSICAL SCIENCE, FROM 1600 to 1650.

Sect. I.

Invention of Logarithms by Napier — New Geometry of Kepler and Cavalier —
Algebra — Harriott — Descartes — Astronomy — Kepler — Galileo — Copernican System begins to prevail — Cartesian Theory of the World — Mechanical
Discoveries of Galileo — Descartes — Hydrostatics — Optics

- 1. In the last part of this work we have followed the progress of mathematical and physical knowledge down to the State of close of the sixteenth century. The ancient geoscience in sixteenth meters had done so much in their own province of century lines and figures, that little more of importance could be effected, except by new methods extending the limits of the science, or derived from some other source of invention. Algebra had yielded a more abundant harvest to the genius of the sixteenth century; yet something here seemed to be wanting to give that science a character of utility and reference to general truth, nor had the formulæ of letters and radical signs that perceptible beauty which often wins us to delight in geometrical theorems of as little apparent usefulness in their results. Meanwhile the primary laws, to which all mathematical reasonings, in their relation to physical truths, must be accommodated, lay hidden, or were erroneously conceived; and none of these latter sciences, with the exception of astronomy, were beyond then mere infancy, either as to observation of theory.*
 - * In this chapter my obligations to Montucla are so numerous that I shall be understood to be my principal authoseldom make particular references to his

2 Astronomy, cultivated in the latter part of the sixteenth century with much industry and success, was repressed, among other more insuperable obstacles, by the laborious calculations that it required. The trigonometrical tables of sines, tangents, and secants, if they were to produce any tolerable accuracy in astronomical observation, must be computed to six or seven places of decimals, upon which the regular processes of multiplication and division were perpetually to be employed. The consumption of time, as well as risk of error, which this occasioned, was a serious evil to the practical astronomer.

S John Napier, laird of Merchiston, after several attempts

to diminish this labour by devices of his invention, was happy enough to discover his famous method of logarithms. This he first published at Eduburgh in 1614 with the title, Mirifici Logarithmorum Canonis De-

1014 with the file, Miritia Logarithmorum Canonis Descriptio, seu Arithmeticarum Supputationism Mirabilis Abbreviutio. He died in 1618, and in a posthimmons edition, eutitled Mirifia Logarithmorum Canonis Constructio, 1619 the method of construction, which had been it first withheld, is given, and the system itself, in consequence perhaps of the suggestion of bis firend Briggs, underwent some change

4 The invention of logarithms is one of the rarest in stances of sagacity in the history of mankind, and Taker it has been justly noticed as remarkable, that it makes issued complete from the mind of its author, and has not received any improvement since his time. It is hardly necessary to say, that logarithms are a series of numbers, arranged in tables parallel to the series of natural numbers, and of such a construction, that by adding the logarithms of two of the latter we obtain the logarithm of their product, by subtracting the logarithm of one number from that of another we obtain that of their quotient. The longest processes therefore of multiplication and division are spared, and reduced to one of mere addition or subtraction

5 It has been supposed that an arithmetical fact, said to be mentioned by Archimedea, and which is certailly prometed out in the work of an early German writer, Michael Stifelius, put Napier in the right course for this invention. It will at least serve to illustrate the prin

ciple of logarithms. Stifelius shows that if in a geometrical progression we add the indices of any terms in the series, we shall obtain the index of the products of those terms. Thus if we compare the geometrical progression, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, with the arithmetical one which numbers the powers of the common ratio, namely, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, we see that by adding two terms of the latter progression, as 2 and 3, to which 4 and 8 correspond in the geometrical series, we obtain 5, to which 32, the product of 4 by 8, corresponds; and the quotient would be obtained in a similar manner. But though this, which becomes self-evident, when algebraical expressions are employed for the terms of a series, seemed at the time rather a curious property of numbers in geometrical progression, it was of little value in facilitating calculation.

6. If Napiei had simply considered numbers in themExtended to selves, as repetitions of unity, which is their only intelligible definition, it does not seem that he could ever have carried this observation upon progressive series any farther. Numerically understood, the terms of a geometrical progression proceed per saltum, and in the series 2, 4, 8, 10, it is as unmeaning to say that 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, in any possible sense, have a place, or can be introduced to any purpose, as that \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{10}, or other fractions, are true numbers at all.* The case, however, is widely different when we use numbers as merely the signs of something capable of continuous increase or decrease; of space, of duration, of velocity. These are, for our convenience, divided by arbitrary intervals, to which the numerical unit is made to correspond. But as these intervals are indefinitely divisible, the unit is supposed capable of division into fractional parts, each of them a representation of the ratio which a portion of the

fractos vocant, sive fractiones, esse quidam uni et nulli quasi intermedios. Sed addo, quod jam transitur εις αλλο γειος Respondetur enim non de quot, sed de quanto. Pertinet igitur lice responsio propriè loquendo, non tam ad quantitatem discretam, seu numerum, quam ad continuam, prout hora supponitur esse quid continuum in partes divisibile, quamvis quidem harum partium ad totum ratio numeris exprimatur. Mathiesis Universalis, c. 1

^{*} Few books of arithmetic, or even algebra, draw the reader's attention at the outset to this essential distinction between discrete and continuous quantity, which is almost sure to be overlooked in all their subsequent reasonings. Wallis has done it properly, after stating very clearly that there are no proper numbers but integers, he meets the objection, that fractions are called intermediate numbers. Concedo quidem sic responderi posse, concedo etiam numeros quos

interval bears to the whole. And thus also we must see, that as fractions of the unit bear a relation to naiform quantity, so all the integral numbers, which do not enter into the terms of n geometrical progression, correspond to certain portions of variable quantity. If a body falling down an inclined plane acquires a velocity at one point which would carry it through two feet in a second, and at a lower point one which would carry it through four feet in the same time, there must, by the nature of a containably accelerated motion, be some point between these where the velocity might be represented by the namber three. Hence, wherever the nambers of a common geometrical series, like 2, 4, 8, 16, represent velocities at certain intervals, the intermediate numbers will represent velocities at intermediate intervals, and thus it may be said that all numbers are terms of a geometrical progression, but one which should always be considered as what it is—a progression of continuous, not discrete quantity, capable of being indicated by number, but not number itself

7 It was a necessary consequence, that if all numbers could be treated as terms of a progression, and if their indices could be found like those of an ordinary series, the method of finding products of terms by addition of indices would be naiversal The means that Napier adopted for this purpose were surprisingly ingenious, but it would be difficult to make them clear to those who are likely to require it, especially without the use of lines. It may suffice to say that his process was laborious in the highest degree, consisting of the interpolation of 6931472 mean proportionals between 1 and 2 and repeating a similar and still more tedions operation for all prime numbers The logarithms of other numbers were easily obtained, according to the fundamental principle of the invention, by adding their factors. Loga rithms appear to bave been so called, because they are the sum of these mean ratios, sayor apripag

8 In the original tables of Napier the logarithm of 10 was 2.3025850 In those published afterwards (1618), he changed this for 1 0000000 making Superint

of course that of 100, 2 0000000 and so forth This construction has been followed since, but those of the first method are not wholly neglected, they are called hyper

bolical logarithms, from expressing a property of that curve. Napier found a coadjutor well worthy of him in Henry Briggs, professor of geometry at Gresham college. It is uncertain from which of them the change in the form of logarithms proceeded. Briggs, in 1618, published a table of logarithms up to 1000, calculated by himself. This was followed in 1624 by his greater work, Arithmetica Logarithmica, containing the logarithms of all natural numbers as high as 20,000, and again from 90,000 to 100,000. These are calculated to fourteen places of decimals, thus reducing the error, which, strictly speaking, must always exist from the principle of logarithmical construction, to an almost infinitesimal fraction. He had designed to publish a second table, with the logarithms of sines and tangents to the 100th part of a degree. This he left in a considerably advanced state; and it was published by Gellibrand in 1633. Gunter had as early as 1620 given the logarithms of sines and tangents on the sexagesimal scale, as far as seven decimals. Vlacq, a Dutch bookseller, printed in 1628 a translation of Briggs's Arithmetica Logarithmica, filling up the interval from 20,000 to 90,000 with logarithms calculated to eleven decimals. He published also in 1633 his Trigonometrica Artificialis, the most useful work, perhaps, that had appeared, as it incorporated the labours of Briggs and Gellibrand. Kepler came like a master to the subject, and observing that some foreign mathematicians disliked the theory upon which Napier had explained the nature of logarithms, as not rigidly geometrical, gave one of his own to which they could not object. But it may probably be said that the very novelty to which the disciples of the ancient geometry were averse, the introduction of the notion of velocity into mathematical reasoning, was that which linked the abstract science of quantity with nature, and prepared the way for that expansive theory of infinites, which bears at once upon the subtlest truths that can exercise the understanding, and the most evident that can fall under the senses.

9. It was indeed at this time that the modern geometry, which, if it deviates something from the clearness and precision of the ancient, has incomparably the advantage over it in its reach of application, took its

Kepler was the man that led the way He published in 1615 his Nova Stereometria Doliorum, a treatise on the capacity of casks. In this he considers the various solids which may be formed by the revolution of a segment of a conic section round a line which is not its axis, a condition not unfrequent in the form of a cask. Many of the problems which he starts he is unable to solve. But what is most remarkable in this treatise is that he here suggests the bold idea, that a circle may be deemed to be composed of an infinite number of triangles, having their bases in the circum ference, and their common apex in the centro, a cone, in like manner, of infinite pyramids, and a cylinder of infinite prisms.* The ancients had shown, as is well known, that a polygon inscribed in a circle, and another described about it, may, by continual bisection of their sides, be made to approach nearer to each other than any assignable differences The circle itself lay of course between them Enclid contents himself with saying that the circle is greater than any poly gon that can be inscribed in it, and less than any polygon that can be described about it. The method by which they npproximated to the curve space by continual increase or diminution of the rectilineal figure was called exhaustion, and tho space itself is properly called by later geometers the limit. As curvilineal and rectilineal spaces cannot possibly be com pared by means of enperposition, or by showing that their, several constituent portions could be made to coincide, it had long been acknowledged by the best geometers impossible to quadrate by a direct process any curve surface. But Archi medes had found, as to the parabola, that there was a recta lineal space, of which be could indirectly demonstrate that it was equal, that is, could not be unequal, to the curve itself

10 In this state of the general problem, the ancient methods of iodefinite approximation having prepared the way, Kepler came to bis solution of questions which repaired the capacity of vessels. According to Fabroni be supposed solids to consist of an iofinite nomber of sorfaces sorfaces of an infinity of lines, lines of iofinite points.† If this be strictly true he minst have left little, in

Fabroni, Vitm Italorum, I. 272. finito numero superficierum existere su-† Idem quoque solida cogitarit ex in-

point of invention, for Cavalieri. So long as geometry is employed as a method of logic, an exercise of the understanding on those modifications of quantity which the imagination cannot grasp, such as points, lines, infinites, it must appear almost an offensive absurdity to speak of a circle as a polygon with an infinite number of sides. But when it becomes the handmaid of practical art, or even of physical science, there can be no other objection, than always arises from incongruity and incorrectness of language. It has been found possible to avoid the expressions attributed to Kepler; but they seem to denote, in fact, nothing more than those of Euclid or Archimedes, that the difference between a magnitude and its limit may be regularly diminished, till without strictly vanishing it becomes less than any assignable quantity, and may consequently be disregarded in reasoning upon actual bodies.

- 11. Galileo, says Fabron, trod in the steps of Kepler, and in his first dialogue on mechanics, when treating of a cylinder cut out of an hemisphere, became conversant with indivisibles (familiarem habere coepit cum indivisibilibus usum). But in that dialogue he confused the metaphysical notions of divisible quantity, supposing it to be composed of unextended indivisibles; and not venturing to affirm that infinites could be equal or unequal to one another, he preferred to say, that words denoting equality or excess could only be used as to finite quantities. In his fourth dialogue on the centre of gravity, he comes back to the exhaustive method of Archimedes.*
 - Cavalieri, professoi of mathematics at Bologna, the generally reputed father of the new geometry, though Keplei seems to have so greatly anticipated him, had completed his method of indivisibles in 1626. The book was not published till 1635. His leading principle is that solids are composed of an infinite number of surfaces placed one above another as their indivisible elements. Sur-

* Ibid

lineis ex infinitis punctis. Ostendit ipse quantum ea ratione brevior fieri via possit ad vera quædam captu difficiliora, cum antiquarum demonstrationum circuitus ae methodus inter se comparandi figuras circumscriptas et inscriptas ils planis aut solidis, quæ mensuranda essent, ita declinarentur Fabroni, Vitæ Italorum, 1 272 faces are formed in like manuer by lines, and lines by points. This, however, he asserts with some excuse and explanation, declaring that he does not use the words so strictly, as to have it supposed that divisible quantities truly and literally consist of indivisibles, but that the ratio of solids is the same as that of an infinite number of surfaces, and the ratio of surfaces the same as that of an infinite number of lines, and to pot an end to cavil, he demonstrated that the same con sequences would follow if a method should be adopted, bor rowing nothing from the consideration of indivisibles. This explanation seems to have been given after his method lind been attacked by Guldin in 1640

13 It was a main object of Cavalieri's geometry to de monstrate the proportions of different solids. This appartly done by Euclid, but generally in an indirect in raise. munner A cone, according to Cavalieri, is com posed of on infinite number of circles decreasing from the base to the sommit, a cylinder of on infinite number of equal circles He seeks therefore the ratio of the sum of all tha former to that of oll the latter The method of summing an infinite series of terms in orithmetical progression was already known The diameters of the circles in the cone decreasing uniformly were in arithmetical progression, and the circles would be as their squares He found that when the number of terms is infinitely great, the sum of all the squares de scribed on lines in arithmetical progression is exactly one third of the greatest squere multiplied by the number of terms Hence the cone is one third of a cylinder of the same base and altitude, and similar proof may be given as to the ratios of other solids

Non co rigore as oveces adhiberi, ac a dridum quantitates vere as propriet a individual quantitates vere as propriet as individualities estates and a consideration of the desired as a consideration of the constant and a constant a constant and a

Il n'est aucun ces dans la géométrie des Indivibiles, que on ne puisse faciliment redure à la forme ancienne de démonstration. Ainti, est arrêter à l'écoros que de chiennes sur le mot d'individibles. Il est unpropre di l'on cut, mas Il n'en résulte aucun dengre pour la geométrie; et loi de conduir à l'erreur cette méthode, su contraire, à été utils pour térindre à des vérités qui des géomètres. Mostrale, voi il p. 50. « groches des géomètres. Mostrale, voi il p. 50. »

Harnott, seems as clear as that he has himself robbed Cardan of part of his due credit in swelling the account of Vietus discoveries. From the general integrity, however, of Montucla's writings, I am mach inclined to acquit him of any wilful partiality

19 Harnott had shown what were the hidden laws of nlgebra, as the science of symbolical notation Bat one man, the pride of France, and wonder of his contemporaries, was destraed to flash light upon the labours of the analyst, and to point out what those symbols, so darkly and painfally traced, and resulting commonly in irrational or even impossible forms, might represent and ex The use of anmbers, or of letters denoting numbers. for lines and rectangles capable of division into aliquot parts, had long been too obvious to be overlooked, ood is only a compendious abbreviation of geometrical proof The next step made was the perceiving that irrational numbers, os they are called, represent incommensurable quantities, that is, if unity be taken for the side of a square the squore root of two will represent its diagonal Gradoally the opplication of nomerical and algebraical calculation to the solution of problems respecting magnitude became more frequent and refined . It is certain, however, that no one before Des cartes had omployed algebraic formulæ in the construction of curves, that is, had taught the saverse process, not only how to express diagrams by algebra, but how to tarn algebra into diagrams. The ancient geometers, he observes, were scrupplous about using the longuage of nrithmetic in geometry, which could only proceed from their not perceiving the relation between the two , and this has produced a great deal of obscurity and embarrassment in some of their demon strations, †

20 The principle which Descartes establishes is that every curve, of those which are called geometrical, has its indicated fundamental equation expressing the constant relation between the absciss and the ordinate. Thus exist the rectangle under the abscisses of a diameter of the circle is equal to the square of the ordinate, and the other conic

sections, as well as higher curves, have each their leading property, which determines their nature, and shows how they may be generated. A simple equation can only express the relation of straight lines; the solution of a quadratic must be found in one of the four conic sections; and the higher powers of an unknown quantity lead to curves of a superior order. The beautiful and extensive theory developed by Descartes in this short treatise displays a most consummate felicity of genius. That such a man, endowed with faculties so original, should have encroached on the just rights of others, is what we can only believe with reluctance.

21. It must, however, be owned that, independently of the suspicions of an unacknowledged appropriation of what others had thought before him, which unfortunately hang over all the writings of Descartes, he has taken to himself the whole theory of Harriott on the nature of equations in a manner which, if it is not a remarkable case of simultaneous invention, can only be reckoned a very unwarrantable plagrarism. For not only he does not name Harriott, but he evidently introduces the subject as an important discovery of his own, and in one of his letters asserts his originality in the most positive language. *

* Tant s'en faut que les choses que j'ai écrites puissent être aisément tirées de Viéte, qu'au contraire ce qui est cause que mon traité est difficile à entendre, c'est que j'ai tâché à n'y rien mettre que ce que j'ai crû n'avoir point été su ni par lui ni par aucun autre, comme on peut voir si on confere ce que j'ai écrit du nombre des racines qui sont en chaque équation, dans la page 372, qui est l'endroit où je commence à donner les regles de mon algebre, avec ce que Viéte en a écrit tout à la fin de son livre, Dc Emendatione Æquationum, car on verra que je le determine généralement en toutes équations, au lieu que lui n'en ayant donné que quelques exemples particuhers, dont il fait toutefois si grand état qu'il a voulu conclure son livre par là, il a montré qu'il ne le pouvoit déterminer en général Et ainsi J'ai commencé où

que je n'avois jamais fait auparavant, l'ayant trouvé ici par hasard entre les mains d'un de mes amis, et entre nous, je ne trouve pas qu'il en ait tant su que je pensois, non obstant qu'il fût fort liabile This is in a letter to Mersenne Œuvres de Descartes, vol vi ın 1637

The charge of plaguarism from Har-. nott was brought against Descartes in his lifetime Roberval, when an English gentleman showed him the Artis Analyticæ Praxis, exclaimed eagerly, Il l'a vu i il l'a vu i It is also a very suspicious circumstance, if true, as it appears to be, that Descartes was in England the year (1631) that Harriott's work appeared Carcavi, a friend of Roberval, in a letter to Descartes in 1649, plainly intimates to him that he has only copied Harriott as to the nature of equations il avoit achevé, ce que j'ai fait toutefois Œuvres de Descartes, vol x p 378 sans y penser, car j'ai plus feuilleté To this accusation Descartes made no Viéte depuis que j'ai reçu votre dernière reply See Biographia Britannica, art To this accusation Descartes made no

it is quite possible that, prepared as the way had been by Vieta, and grifted as Descartes was with a wonderfolly intuitive acateness in all mathematical reasoning, he may in this, as in other instances, have divined the whole theory by himself Montacla extols the algebra of Descartes, that is, so much of it as can be fairly claimed for him without any precursor, very highly, and some of his inventions in the treatment of equetions have long been current in books on that science. He was the first who abowed what were called impossible or imaginery roots, though he never assigns them, deeming them no quantities it all. He was also, per haps, the first who fully understood negative roots, though he still retains the appellation, false roots, which is not so good as Harriott's epithet, privative. According to his panegyrist, he first pointed ont that in every equation (the terms being all on one side) which has no imaginary roots, there are as many changes of signs as positive roots, as many continua tions of them as negative

22 The geometer next in genins to Descartes, and per hops nearer to him than to any third, was Fermat, n men of various acquirements, of high rank in the parliament of Tonlouse and of a mind meapable of envy, forgiving of detraction and delighting in truth, with almost too much indifference to praise. The works of Fermat were not published till long after his death in 1665, but his frequent discussions with Descartes, by the intervention of their common correspondent Mersenne, render this place more appropriate for the introduction of his name. In these controversies Descartes never behaved to Fermet with the respect due to his talents, in fact, no one was ever more jealons of his own pre-eminence, or more unwilling to acknowledge the claims of those who scrupled to follow him implicitly and who might in any manner be thought rivals of his tame. Yet it is this nihappy temper of Descartes which ought to render us more allow to credit the suspicions of his designed plagrarism from the discoveries of others, since this, combined with his unwillingness to ec-

and labours to depreciate Harriott.

Harriott. The Bagraphie Universelle See Leabulin's catalogue of the sup-unfairly suppresses all mention of this, posed thefts of Descartes in Vol. 11. p. 400. of this work.

knowledge their merits, and affected ignorance of their writings, would form a character we should not readily ascribe to a man of great genius, and whose own writings give many apparent indications of sincerity and virtue. But in fact there was in this age a great probability of simultaneous invention in science, from developing principles that had been partially brought to light. Thus Roberval discovered the same method of indivisibles as Cavalieri, and Descartes must equally have been led to his theory of tangents by that of Kepler. Fermat also, who was in possession of his principal discoveries before the geometry of Descartes saw the light, derived from Kepler his own celebrated method, de marinis et minimis: a method of discovering the greatest or least value of a variable quantity, such as the ordinate of a curve. It depends on the same principle as that of Kepler. From this he deduced a rule for drawing tangents to curves different from that of Descartes. This led to a controversy between the two geometers, carried on by Descartes, who yet is deemed to have been in the wrong, with his usual quickness of resentment. Several other discoveries, both in pure algebra and geometry, illustrate the name of Fermat.*

23. The new geometry of Descartes was not received with the universal admiration it deserved. Besides its conciseness and the inroad it made on old prejudices as to geometrical methods, the general boldness of the author's speculations in physical and metaphysical philosophy, as well as his indiscreet temper, alienated many who ought to have appreciated it, and it was in his own country, where he had ceased to reside, that Descartes had the fewest admirers. Roberval made some objections to his rival's algebra, but with little success. A commentary on the treatise of Descartes by Schooten, professor of geometry at Leyden, first appeared in 1649.

24. Among those who devoted themselves ardently and successfully to astronomical observations at the end of the sixteenth century, was John Kepler, a native of Wirtemburg, who had already shown that he was likely to

^{*} A good article on Fermat by M Maurice, will be found in the Biographie Universelle

naherit the mantle of Tycho Brahe. He published some astronomical treatises of comparatively small importance in the first years of the present period. But in 1609 he made or Commentaties on the Planet Mars. It had been always assamed that the heavenly bodies revolve in circular orbits round their centre, whether this were taken to be the sun or the earth. There was, however, an apparent eccentricity or deviation from this circular motion, which it had been very difficult to explain, and for this Ptolemy had devised his complex system of emercles. No planet showed more of this eccentricity than Mars, and it was to Mars that Kepler turned his attention. After many laborious researches he was brought by degrees to the great discovery, that the mo-tion of the planets, among which having adopted the Coper-nican system, he reckoned the earth is not performed in circular but in elliptical orbits, the sun not occupying the centre but one of the foci of the curve, and secondly that it is performed with such a varying velocity, that the areas described by the radius vector, or has which joins this focus to the revelving placet, are always proportional to the times. A planet, therefore, moves less rapidly as it becomes moni-distant from the sun. These are the first and second of the three great laws of Kepler The third was not discovered by him till some years afterwards. He tells us himself that, on the 8th of May, 1618, after long toil in investigating the proportion of the periodic times of the planetary auovements to their orbits, ac idea strack his miad, which, chaucing to make a mistake in the calculation ho soon rejected But a week after, returning to the sobject, he entirely established his grand discovery, that the squares of the times of revolu tion are as the cubes of the mean distances of the planets This was first made known to the world in his Mysterium Cosmographicum published in 1619, n work mingled up with many strange effections of a mind far more eccentric than any of the placets with which it was engaged Ia the Epitome Astronomine Copermeants, printed the same year, he endenvoers to deduce this law from his theory of centrifugal He had no small insight into the principles of um versal gravitation, as an attribate of matter, but several of his assumptions as to the laws of motion are not consonant to truth. There seems indeed to have been a considerable degree of good fortune in the discoveries of Kepler, yet this may be deemed the reward of his indefatigable laboriousness, and of the ingenuousness with which he renounced any hypothesis that he could not reconcile with his advancing knowledge of the phænomena.

knowledge of the phænomena.

25. The appearance of three comets in 1618 called once conjectures more the astronomers of Europe to speculate on the nature of those anomalous bodies. They still passed for harbingers of worldly catastrophes, and those who feared them least could not interpret their apparent irregularity. Galileo, though Tycho Brahe had formed a juster notion, unfortunately took them for atmospheric meteors. Kepler, though he brought them from the far regions of space, did not suspect the nature of their orbits, and thought that, moving in straight lines, they were finally dispersed and came to nothing. But a Jesnit, Grassi, in a treatise, De Tribus Cometis, Rome, 1619, had the honour of explaining what had baffled Galileo, and first held them to be planets moving in vast ellipses round the sun.*

26. But long before this time the name of Galileo had Galileo's disbecome immortal by discoveries which, though they would certainly have soon been made by some tellites other, perhaps far inferior, observer, were happily reserved for the most philosophical genius of the age. Galileo assures us that, having heard of the invention of an instrument in Holland which enlarged the size of distant objects, but knowing nothing of its construction, he began to study the theory of refractions till he found by experiment, that by means of a convex and concave glass in a tube he could magnify an object threefold. He was thus encouraged to make another which magnified thirty times; and this he exhibited in the autumn of 1609 to the inhabitants of Venice. Having made a present of his first telescope to the senate, who rewarded him with a pension, he soon constructed another, and in one of the first nights of January, 1610, directing it towards the moon, was astonished to see her sur-

^{*} The Biographie Universelle, art Grassi, ascribes this opinion to Tycho

face end edges covered with inequalities. These he consi dered to be mountains, and judged by a sort of measurement that some of them must exceed those of the earth. next observation was of the milky way, and thus he found to derive its nebulous lastre from myrinds of stars not distinguishable, through their remoteness, by the unassisted sight of min The nebulæ in the constellation Orion lie per ceived to be of the same character Before his delight at these discoveries could have subsided, he turned his telescope to Junter, and was surprised to remark three small stars, which, in a second night's observation, had changed their places. In the course of a few weeks, he was ablo to deter mine by their revolutions, which are very rapid, that these are secondary planets, the moons or satellites of Jupiter, and he had added a fourth to their number These marvellons revelations of nature he hastened to announce in a work, uptly entitled Siderens Nuncius, published in March, 1610 In an age when the fascinating science of astronomy lind already so much excited the minds of philosophers, it may be guessed with what engerness this intelligence from the heavens was circulated. A few, as usual, through envy or prejudice, affected to contemn it. But wisdom was justified of her Kepler, in bis Nurratio de observatis a se Quatuor Jovis Satellitibus 1610 confirmed the discoveries of Galileo Peiresc, an inferior name, no doubt, but deserving of every praise for his seal in the cause of knowledge, having with difficulty procured a good telescope, saw the four satellites in November, 1610, and is said by Gassendi to have conceived at that time the ingenious idea that their occultations might be used to ascertain the longitude *

27 This is the greatest and most important of the discoveries of Galileo. But several others were of the deepest interest. He found that the planet Venns had phases, that is, periodical differences of apparent form like the moon, and that these are exactly such as would be produced by the variable reflection of the sun s light on the Copernican hypothesis, ascribing also the foint light on that part of the moon which does not receive the rays of the

sun, to the reflection from the earth, called by some late writers carth-shine; which, though it had been suggested by Mæsthu, and before him by Leonardo da Vinci, was not generally received among astronomers. Another striking phenomenon, though he did not see the means of explaining it, was the triple appearance of Saturn, as it smaller stars were conjoined as it were like wings to the planet. This of course was the ring.

28. Meantime the new auxiliary of vision which had revealed so many wonders could not be unemployed in the hands of others. A publication by John Fabri-cius at Wittenberg in July, 1611, De Maculis in Sole Spots of the sun disvisis, announced a phenomenon in contradiction of common prejudice. The sun had passed for a body of hand flame, or, if thought solid, still in a state of perfect ignition. had some years before observed a spot, which he unluckily mistook for the orb of Mercury in its passage over the solar Fabricius was not permitted to claim this discovery as vn. Schemer, a Jesuit, professor of mathematics at Ingolstadt, asserts in a letter dated 12th of November, 1611, that he first saw the spots in the month of March in that year, but he seems to have paid little attention to them before that of October. Both Fabricius, however, and Schemer may be put out of the question. We have evidence, that Harriott observed the spots on the sun as early as December Sth, 1610.* The motion of the spots suggested the revolution of the sun round its axis, completed in twenty-four days, as it is now determined, and then frequent alterations of form as well as occasional disappearance could only be explained by the hypothesis of a luminous atmosphere in commotion, a sea of flame, revealing at intervals the dark central mass of the sun's body which it envelops.

29. Though it cannot be said, perhaps, that the discoveries of Galileo would fully prove the Copernican system of the world to those who were already inscusible to reasoning from its sufficiency to explain the phænomena, and from the analogies of nature, they served to familiarise the mind to it, and to break down the strong ram-

^{* [}Montucla, 11, 106. Hutton's Dictionary, art Harriott The claim of Har-Berlin Transactions for 1788 — 1812]

part of prejudice which stood in its way For eighty years. it has been said, this theory of the earth's motion had been maintained without censure, and it coold only be the greater boldness of Galileo 10 its assertion which drew down upon him the notice of the chorch Bat, in these eighty years stoce the publication of the treatise of Copernicus, his proselytes had been surprisingly few They were now becoming more oumerous several had written on that side, and Gableo had begun to form a school of Copermeans who were sprending over Italy The Linconn society, one of the most aseful and renowned of Italina neademies, foonded at Rome by Frederic Cesi, n young man of noble birth in 1603, had as a fundamental law to apply themselves to natural philosophy. and it was impossible that so attractive and rational a system as that of Copernicus could fail of pleasing an neuto and ingenious antion strongly bent apon science. The church, however, had taken alarm, the motion of the earth was con ceived to be as repugnant to Scripture as the existence of unupodes had once been reckoned, and in 1616 Galileo. though respected, and in favour with the court of Rome, was compelled to promise that he would not maintain that doctrine in noy manner Some letters that he had published on the subject were put, with the treatise of Copernicus and other works, into the Iodex Expurgatorius, where, I believe, they still remain *

30 He seems, notwithstanding this, to have flattered himself that, after several years had chapsed, he might elinde the letter of this prohibition by throw ing the arguments in favoor of the Ptolemane and Copernican systems into the form of a dialogue. This was published to 1632, nod he might, from varioos circum

Drinkwater's Life of Gailleo. Fabroul Vits Indorum, vol. 1. The former seems to be mistaken 1 supposing that Gailleo did not endeavour to prove his system compatibl with Seripture. In a letter to Christias, the Grand Duchess of Tossany the author (Brenne) of the Life in F bronils work tells us, he argued very elaborately for that purpose. I ex videlicet epiculai philosophus noster its disaerts, ut nibill etitem ab homalitos, qui comment in secrarum literarum studio.

cocompaisant states, and subdilins and vertice and selam accuration expilication expected potentia, p.13a. It seems, in fact, to have been this over-denire to prove his theory orthodox, which increased the church against it. See an extraordinary article on this subject in the eighth number of the Dublin Review (1858). Many will tolerate propositions inconsistent with orthodoxy when they are not brought into immediate juxtapodition with it.

stances, not unreasonably hope for impunity. But his expectations were deceived. It is well known that he was compelled by the Inquisition at Rome, into whose hands he fell, to retract in the most solemn and explicit manner the propositions he had so well proved, and which he must have still beheved. It is unnecessary to give a circumstantial account, especially as it has been so well done in a recent work, the Life of Gahleo, by Mr. Drinkwater Bething. The papal count meant to himiliate Gahleo, and through him to strike an increasing class of philosophers with shame and terror; but not otherwise to punish one, of whom even the inquisitors must, as Italians, have been proud, his confinement, though Montucla says it lasted for a year, was very short. He continued, nevertheless, under some restraint for the rest of his life, and though he hyed at his own villa near Florence, was not permitted to enter the city.

should intimidate the Copernicans, but very much should intimidate the Copernicans, but very much sharmed by so in expecting to suppress the theory. Descartes was so astonished at hearing of the sentence on Gahleo, that he was almost disposed to burn his papers, or at least to let no one see them. "I cannot collect," he says, "that he who is an Italian, and a friend of the pope, as I understand, has been criminated on any other account than for having attempted to establish the motion of the earth. I know that this opinion was formerly censured by some cardinals, but I thought I had since heard that no objection was now made to its being publicly taught even at Rome." It seems not at all unlikely that Descartes was induced, on this account, to pretend a greater degree of difference from Copernicus than he really felt, and even to deny, in a certain

^{*} Fabron: His Life is written in good Latin, with knowledge and spirit, more than Tirahoschi has ventured to display

It appears from some of Grotius's Epistles, that Galileo had thoughts, about 1635, of seeking the protection of the United Provinces But on account of his advanced age he gave this up fessus senio constituit manere in quibus est locis, et potius que ibi sunt incom-

moda perpeti, quam malæ atati migrandi onus, et novas parandi anucitias imponeri. The very idea shows that he must have deeply felt the restraint imposed upon him in his country. Epist, Grot 407–416

[†] Vol vi p 239 he says here of the motion of the cirth, Je confesse que s'il est faux, tous les fondemens de ma plulosophie le sont aussi

sense of his own, thu obnoxious tenet of the carth's motion * He was not without danger of a sentence against truth nearer at hand, Cardinal Richelien having had the intention of procuring a decree of the Sorbonne to the same effect, which through the good sense of some of that society fell to the ground t

32. The progress, however, of the Coperment theory in Europe, if it may not netually be dated from its condemnation at Rome, was certainly not at all contemns of the condemnation o slower after that time Gussendi rather cantionals took that side, the Cartesians brought a powerful reinforce ment, Bouilland and several other astronomers of note avowed themselves favourable to a doctrine which, though in Italy it lay ander this ban of the papal power, was readily saved on this side of the Alps by some of the salatary distinctions long in use to evado that authority \$ But in the middle of the seventeenth century and long afterwards there were mathematicians of no small reputation who struggled stauachly for the immobility of the carth, and except so fur as Cartesian theories inight have come in vogue, we have no reason to believe that any persons unacquainted with astronomy, either in this country or on this Continent, had en

quarter of a century SS Descartes in his new theory of the solar system, aspired to explain the secret springs of nature while because Kepler and Gulileo had merely showed their effects By what force the heavenly bodies were impelled,

braced the system of Copernicus Hume has consured Bacon for rejecting it, but if Bacon had not done so ho would have interpreted the rest of his countrymen by n full

by what law they were guided, was certainly a very different question from that of the orbit they described or the period of their revolution | Kepler and evidently some notion of that universally mutual gravitation which Hooke saw more clearly, and Newton established on the basis of his geometry &

Vol. vl. p. 50. † Montrela, IL 297 Id. p. 50. 6 " If the earth and moon, he mys, " were not retained in their orbits, they

moving about] of the way the earth the rest, supposing them equally dense, By thi attraction of the moon by ac count for tides. He compares the at traction of the planet toward the sun to would fall one on another the moon that of heavy lodies towards the earth.

But Descartes rejected this with contempt. "For," he says, "to conceive this we must not only suppose that every portion of matter in the universe is animated, and animated by several different souls which do not obstruct one another, but that those souls are intelligent and even divine, that they may know what is going on in the most remote places without any messenger to give them notice, and that they may exert their powers there." Kepler, who took the world for a single animal, a leviathan that roared in caverns and breathed in the ocean-tides, might have found it difficult to answer this, which would have seemed no objection at all to Campanella. If Descartes himself had been more patient towards opinions which he had not formed in his own mind, that constant divine agency, to which he was, on other occasions apt to resort, could not but have suggested a sufficient explanation of the gravity of matter, without endowing it with self-agency. He had, however, fallen upon a complicated and original scheme, the most celebrated, perhaps, though not the most admirable, of the novelties which Descartes brought into philosophy.

states that notion of the material universe, which he states that notion of the material universe, which he afterwards published in the Principia Philosophiae. "I will tell you," he says, "that I conceive, or rather I can demonstrate, that besides the matter which composes terrestrial bodies, there are two other kinds; one very subtle, of which the parts are round or nearly round like grains of sand, and this not only occupies the pores of terrestrial bodies, but constitutes the substance of all the heavens; the other incomparably more subtle, the parts of which are so small and move with such velocity, that they have no determinate figure, but readily take at every instant that which is required to fill all the little intervals which the other does not occupy."† To this hypothesis of a double æther he was driven by his aversion to admit any vacuum in nature, the rotundity of the former corpuscles having been produced, as he fancied, by their continual circular motions, which had rubbed off their angles. This seems at present rather a

clomsy hypothesis, bot it is hterally that which Descartes

presented to the world

35 After having thes filled the universe with different sorts of mutter, he supposes that the soltler particles, formed by the perpetual rubbiog off of the nugles of the lorger in their progress towards sphericity, increased by degrees till there was o superfluity that was not required to fill up the intervals, and this, flowing towards the centre of the system, became the suo, o very sobtle and liquid body, while in like maoner the fixed stars were formed in other systems Round these centres the whole mass is whirled in a number of distinet vortices, each of which carries along with it o planet The cootrifugal motion joinels every particle in these vortices ot each instant to fly off from the suo io n straight line, but it is retained by the pressure of those which have already escaped and form a denser sphere beyond it. Light is no more than the effect of particles seeking to escape from the centre, and pressing one on another, though perhaps without uctual motion . The planetary vertices contain sometimes smaller vortices, to which the satellites are whirled round their principal

36' Such, in a few words, is the famous Cartesian theory, which, fallen in esteem as it now is, stood its ground on the controent of Eorepe for nearly a century, till the simplicity of the Newtonian system, and above all its conformity to the reality of things, gaioed in undisputed predominance. Besides the arbitrary suppositions of Descartes, and the various objections that were raised against the absolute plennor of space and other parts of his theory, it has been urged that bus various ore out reconcilable, occording to the laws of motion in floids, with the relotion, ascertained by Kepler, between the periods and distances of the placets, nor does it oppear why the soo should be in the focus, rather than to the centre of their orbits. Yet within a few years it has seemed out in possible that a part of his bold conjectures will enter once

Pal souvent averti que par la lumière je n entendois pas tant le mouve ment que cette inclination ou propension que ces petits corps ont à se montol et que ce que j dirois du mouvement, pou ôtre plus airément entendu, se devoit

reppecter à cette propension; d'ou il est manifeste que selon mei l'on ne doit entendre tre chose par les couleurs que les différentes variéés qui arrivent en ces propensions, vol. vii. p. 195. more with soberer steps into the schools of philosophy. His doctrine as to the nature of light, improved as it was by Huygens, is daily gaining ground over that of Newton; that of a subtle æther pervading space, which in fact is nearly the same thing, is becoming a favourite speculation, if we are not yet to call it an established truth; and the affirmative of a problem which an eminent writer has started, whether this æther has a vorticose motion round the sun, would not leave us very far from the philosophy which it has been so long our custom to turn into ridicule.

37. The passage of Mercury over the sun was witnessed by Gassendi in 1631. This phænomenon, though the mercury and venus are been previously announced, so as to furnish a test of astronomical accuracy, recurs too frequently to be now considered as of high importance. The transit of Venus is much more iare. It occurred on Dec. 4. 1639, and was then only seen by Hoirox, a young Englishman of extraordinary mathematical genus. There is reason to ascribe an invention of great importance, though not perhaps of extreme difficulty, that of the micrometer, to Horrox.

38. The satellites of Jupiter and the phases of Venus are not so glorious in the scutcheon of Galileo as his Mechanics. discovery of the true principles of mechanics. These, as we have seen in the preceding volume, were very imperfectly known till he appeared; nor had the additions to that science since the time of Archimedes been important. The treatise of Galileo, Della Scienza Mecanica, has been said, I know not on what authority, to have been written in 1592. It was not published, however, till 1634, and then only in a French translation by Mersenne, the original not appearing till 1649. This is chiefly confined to statics, or the doctrine of equilibrium, it was in his dialogues on motion, Della Nuova Scienza,

published in 1638, that he developed his great principles of the science of dynamics, the moving forces of bodies. Galileo was induced to write his treatise on mechanics, as he tells us, in consequence of the fruitless attempts he witnessed in engineers to raise weights by a small force, "as if with their machines they could cheat nature, whose instinct as it were by fundamental law is that no resistance can be over-

come except by a superior force." But as one man may raise a weight to the height of a foot by dividing it into equal por tions, commensarate to his power, which many men could not raise at once, so a weight, which raises another greater than itself, may be considered as doing so by successive in stalments of force, during each of which it travorses as much space as a corresponding portion of the larger weight. Hence the velocity, of which space uniformly traversed in n given time is the measure, is inversely as the masses of the weights , and thus the equilibrium of the straight lever is maintained, when the weights are inversely as their distance from the fulcrum. As this equilibrium of unequal weights depends on the velocities they would have if set in motion, its law has been called the principle of virtual velocities. No theorem has been of more important utility to mankind. It is one of those great truths of science, which combating and conquering enemies from opposite quarters, prejudice and empiricism, justify the name of philosophy against both classes. The waste of labour end expense in machinery would have been incalculably greater in modern times, could we imagine this law of nature not to have been discovered, and as their misapplication presents their employment in n proper direction, we owe, in fact, to Galileo the immense effect which a right npplication of it has produced. It is possible, that Gahleo was ignorant of the demonstration given by Stevinas of the law of equilibrium in the inclined plane. His own is differ ent, but he seems only to consider the case when the direct tion of the force is parallel to that of the plane

39 Still less was known of the principles of dynamics than of those of statics, till Gableo came to investigate them. The acceleration of falling bodies, whether perpendicularly or on inclined planes, was ovident, but in what ratio this took place, no one had succeeded in determining, though many had offered conjectures. He showed that the velocity acquired was proportional to the time from the commencement of falling. This might now be demonstrated from the laws of motion, but Galileo, who did not perhaps distinctly know them, made use of experiment. He then proved by reasoning that the spaces traversed in falling were as the squares of the times or

velocities, that their increments in equal times were as the uneven numbers, 1, 3, 5, 7, and so forth; and that the whole space was half what would have been traversed uniformly from the beginning with the final velocity. These are the great laws of accelerated and retarded motion, from which Galileo deduced most important theorems. He showed that the time in which bodies roll down the length of inchied planes is equal to that in which they would fall down the height, and in different planes is proportionate to the height; and that their acquired velocity is in the same ratios. In some propositions he was deceived; but the science of dynamics owes more to Galileo than to any one philosopher. The motion of projectiles had never been understood; he showed it to be parabolic, and in this he not only necessarily made use of a principle of vast extent, that of compound motion, which though it is clearly mentioned in one passage by Aristotle*, and may probably be implied, or even asserted, in the reasonings of others, as has been observed in another place with respect to Jordano Bruno, does not seem to have been explicitly laid down by modern writers on mechanical science, but must have seen the principle of curvilinear deflection by forces acting in infinitely small portions of time. The latio between the times of vibration in pendulums of unequal length had early attracted Galileo's attention. But he did not reach the geometrical exactness of which this subject is capable.† He developed a new principle as to the resistance of solids to the fracture of their parts, which, though Descartes as usual treated it with scorn, is now established in philosophy. "One forms, however," says Playfair, "a very imperfect idea of this philosopher from considering the discoveries and inventions, numerous and splendid as they are, of which he was the undisputed author. It is by following the discoveries are the same of the strength of the same of the strength of the same of the sam his reasonings, and by pursuing the train of his thoughts, in his own elegant, though somewhat diffuse exposition of them, that we become acquainted with the fertility of his genius, with the sagacity, penetration, and comprehensiveness of his mind. The service which he rendered to real knowledge is to be estimated not only from the truths which he discovered,

hnt from the errors which he detected, not merely from the sound principles which he established, hnt from the pernicious idols which he overthrew. Of all the writers who have lived in an age which was yet only emerging from ignorance and barbarism, Galileo has most entirely the tone of true philosophy, and is most free from any contamination of the times, in taste, sentiment, and opinion."

40 Descartes, who left nothing in philosophy untouched, turned his acute mind to the science of mechanics, sometimes with signal credit, sometimes very un successfully He reduced all statics to one principle, that it requires as much force to raise a body to a given height, as to raise a body of double weight to half the height. This is the theorem of virtual velocities in another form. In many respects he displays a jealousy of Galileo, and an unwilling ness to acknowledge his discoveries, which puts himself often in the wrong "I believe," he says, " that the velocity of very beavy bodies which do not move vory quickly in descending increases nearly in a diplicate ratio, but I deny that this is exact, and I believe that the contrary is the case when the movement is very rapid "† This reconrse to the nic's resistance, n circumstance of which Galileo was well aware in order to diminish the credit of a mathematical theorem is unworthy of Descartes, but it occurs more than once in his letters He maintained also, against the theory of Galileo, that bodies do not begin to move with an infinitely small velocity, but have a certain degree of motion at the first m stance, which is afterwards accelerated ! In this too, as he meant to extend his theory to falling bodies, the consent of philosophers has decided the question against him corollary from these notions that he denies the increments of spaces to be according to the progression of uneven numbers §

Preliminary Dimertation to Ency lop. Britan. ments après de beaucoup, et c'est de cette ungmentation que vient la force de la percusion, visi, 181

The Curren de Dessartes, vol. vili. p. \$4. If that savoir quoique Oallife et quelques autres disent su contrairs, que les corps qui sommencent à dessendre, ou à se mouvair en quelque Agon que es oit, ne peacent point par toen les degrés de tardiveté; mais que dès le presaler momont ils out certaire ritense qui sung-

⁵ Cetto proportion d'augmentation arien les nombres impair, 1 3, 5 7 de. qui set dens Gaillée, et que je crois vons avoir assai écrite autrefola, pe peut être vrale, que en supposant deux ou trois choses qui sout tre fausses, dont l'une est que le mouvement crosses par degrés

Nor would be allow that the velocity of a body augments its force, though it is a concomitant.*

41. Descartes, however, is the first who laid down the laws of motion; especially that all bodies persist in their present state of rest or uniform rectifineal Law of mo tion Isld down by Descriter motion till affected by some force. Many had thought, as the vulgar always do, that a continuance of rest was natural to bodies, but did not perceive that the same principle of mertia or mactivity was applicable to them in rectilineal motion. Whether this is deducable from theory, depends wholly on experience, by which we ought to mean experiment, is a question we need not discuss. The fact, however, is equally certain; and hence Descartes inferred that every curvilinear deflection is produced by some controlling force, from which the body strives to escape in the direction of a tangent to the curve. The most erroneous part of his mechanical philosophy is contained in some propositions as to the collision of bodies, so palpably incompatible with obvious experience that it seems truly wonderful he could ever have adopted them. But he was led into these paradoxes by one of the arbitrary hypotheses which always governed lum. He fancied it a necessary consequence from the immutability of the divine nature that there should be at all times the same quantity of motion in the imverse; and rather than abandon this singular assumption he did not hesitate to assert, that two hard bodies striking each other in opposite directions would be reflected with no loss of velocity; and, what is still more outrageously paradoxical, that a smaller body is incapable of communicating motion to a greater; for example, that the red billiard-ball cannot put the white into motion. This manifest absurdity he endeavoured to remove by the arbitrary supposition, that when we see, as we constantly do, the reverse of his theorem take place, it is owing to the an, which, according to him, renders bodies more susceptible of motion than they would naturally be.

depuis le plus lent, ainsi que le songe Galilée, et l'autre que la résistance de l'air n'empiche point vol 1x p 349

* Je pense que la vitesse n'est pas la cause de l'augmentation de la force, eneore qu'elle l'accompagne toujours. Id p 356 See also vol viii p 11 He was probably perplexed by the metaphysical notion of causation, which he knew not how to ascribe to mere velocity. The fact that increased velocity is a condition or antecedent of augmented force could not be doubted.

42 Though Galileo, as well as others, must have been acquainted with the laws of the composition of moving forces, it does not appear that they lind of composition of the laws of the composition of the laws of the composition of the laws o ever been so distinctly enumerated as by Descartes, in a passage of his Dioptrics. That the doctrine was in some measure new may be inferred from the objections of Termat, and Glerscher, some years afterwards, speaks of persons "not much versed in mathematics, who cannot understand an argument taken from the nature of compound

48 Roberval demonstrated what seems to have been assumed by Galileo, and is immediately deducible of from the composition of forces, that weights on an exchange, oblique or crooked lever balance each other, when they are inversely as the perpendiculars drawn from tho centre of motion to their direction Fernat, more versed in geometry than physics, disputed this theorem which is now quite elementary Descartes, in a letter to Mersenno, un gramously testifies his agreement with it. Forricelli, tho most illustrous disciple of Galileo, established that when

lights balance each other in all positions, their common centre of gravity does not ascend or descend, and conversely 11 44 Galileo in a treatise entitled Dello Cose cho statino nell Acqua, lays down the principles of hydrostatics already established by Steven, and umong others what is called the hydrostatical paradox. Whether he was requainted with Stevin's writings may be perhaps

doubted, it does not appear that he mentions them The more difficult science of hydraulies was entirely created by two disciples of Galileo, Castellio and Torricelli It is ono every where of high importance, and especially in Italy The work of Coatelho, Della Misura dell Acque Corrents.

beer to think that another, even though not an enemy had discovered any thing. In the preceding page he says, C'est une chose ridicule que de venioir em-ployer la raison du levier dans la poulie co qui est, si fai houne memoire, une imagination de Guid Ubalde. Let this imagination is demonstrated in all our elementary books on mechanics.

Vol. v p. 18.

Vol. vi. p. 508.

J suis de l'opinion, says Descartes de coux qui disent que posdora sunt la equilibrio quando sunt in ratione reciproca Hastrum perperdicularium, &c vol. ix. p. 357 He would not name Robertal; one of those littlenesses which appeal too frequently in his letters, and in all his writings. Descurtes, in fact, could not

and a continuation, were published at Rome, in 1628. His practical skill in hydraulies, displayed in carrying off the stagnant waters of the Arno, and in many other public works, seems to have exceeded his theoretical science. An error, into which he fell, supposing the velocity of fluids to be as the height down which they had descended, led to false results. Torricelli proved that it was as the square root of the altitude. The latter of these two was still more distinguished by his discovery of the barometer. The principle of the syphon or sucking-pump, and the impossibility of raising water in it more than about thirty-thie efeet, were both well known, but even Galileo had recourse to the clumsy explanation that nature limited her supposed horror of a vacuum to this altitude. It occurred to the sagacity of Torricelli that the weight of the atmospheric column pressing upon the fluid which supplied the pump was the cause of this rise above its level, and that the degree of rise was consequently the measure of that weight. That the air had weight was known indeed to Galileo and Descartes; and the latter not only had some notion of determining it by means of a tube filled with mercury, but in a passage which seems to have been much overlooked, distinctly suggests as one reason why water will not rise above eighteen brasses in a pump, "the weight of the water which counterbalances that of the air." Torricelli happily thought of using mercury, a fluid thirteen times heavier, instead of water, and thus invented a portable instrument by which the variations of the mercurial column might be readily observed. These he found to fluctuate between certain well known limits, and in circumstances which might justly be ascribed to the variations of atmospheric gravity. This discovery he made in 1643, and in 1648, Pascal, by his celebrated experiment on the Puy de Dome, established the theory of atmospheric pressure beyond dispute. He found a considerable difference in the height of the mercury at the bottom and the top of that mountain, and a smaller yet perceptible variation was proved on taking the barometer to the top of one of the loftiest churches in Paris.

45 The science of optics was so far from falling belund other branches of physics in this period, that, io cluding the two great practical discoveries which illustrate it, no former or later generation has with nessed such an ndvance. Kepler began, 10 tho year 1601, by one of his first works, Paralipomena and Vitelhonem, a title somewhat more modest than he was opt to assume. In this supplement to the great Polish philosopher of the middle nges, he first explained the structure of the human eye, and its indaptation to the purposes of vision. Porta and Man rolycus had made important discoveries, but left the great problem antonched Kepler had the sagnesty to perceive the use of the retina as the canvas on which images were painted Io his treatise, says Montucla, we ore net to ex pect the precision of our own ago, bot it is foll of ideas novel and worthy of o man of genins Ho traced the cases of imperfect vision in its two principal cases, where the rays of light converge to a point before or behind the retina-Soveral other optical phenomena are well explained by Kepler, bot he was nucle to master the great semgma of the science, the law of refractioo To this he turned his otten tion again to 1611, when he published a treatise on Dioptries He here first laid the foundation of that science. Tho angle of refractioe, which Maurelyeus had sopposed equal to that of incidence, Descartes assumed to be one third of it, which, though very erroneous as n general theorem, was sufficiently accurate for the sort of glasses he employed. It was his object to explain the principle of the telescope, and in this howell succeeded. That additional mirable invention was then quite recent. Whatever endeavours have been made to carry up the art of assisting vision by means of a tube to much more nuclent times, it seems to be fully proved that uo one had made use of com-bined lenses for that purpose The slight benefit which n hollow tobe affords by obstructing the lateral ray must have been early familiar, and will account for passages which have heen construed to imply what the writers never dreamed of

Even Dutens, whose sole sim is to depreciate those whom modern actions assist vision. Origino des Découvertes, has most revered, cannot pretend to show 1, 218.

The real inventor of the telescope is not certainly known. Metius of Alkmaer long enjoyed that honour; but the best claim seems to be that of Zachary Jens, a dealer in spectacles at Middleburg. The date of the invention, or at least of its publicity, is referred beyond dispute to 1609. The news of so wonderful a novelty spread rapidly through Europe; and in the same year Galileo, as has been mentioned, having heard of the discovery, constructed, by his own sagacity, the instrument which he exhibited at Venice. It is, however, unreasonable to regard himself as the inventor; and in this respect his Italian panegyrists have gone too far. The original sort of telescope, and the only one employed in Europe for above thirty years, was formed of a convex objectglass with a concave eye-glass. This, however, has the disadvantage of diminishing too much the space which can be taken in at one point of view; "so that," says Montucla, "one can hardly believe that it could render astionomy such service as it did in the hands of a Galileo or a Scheiner." Kepler saw the principle upon which another kind might be framed with both glasses convex. This is now called the astronomical telescope, and was first employed a little before the middle of the century. The former, called the Dutch telescope, is chiefly used for short spying glasses.

46. The microscope has also been ascribed to Galileo; and so far with better cause, that we have no proof of his having known the previous invention. It appears, however, to have originated, like the telescope, in Holland, and perhaps at an earlier time. Cornelius Drebbel, who exhibited the microscope in London about 1620, has often passed for the inventor. It is suspected by Montucla that the first microscopes had concave eye-glasses; and that the present form with two convex glasses is not older than the invention of the astronomical telescope.

47. Antonio de Dominis, the celebrated archbishop of Spalato, in a book published in 1611, though written several years before, De Radiis Lucis in Vitris Perspectivis et Iride, explained more of the phænomena of the rainbow than was then understood. The varieties of colour had baffled all inquirers, though the bow itself was well known to be the reflection of solar light from drops of rain.

Antonio de Dominis, in account for these varieties, had recourse to refraction the known means of giving colour to the solar ray, and guiding himself by the experiment of placing between the eye and the sun n glass bottle of water, from the lower side of which light issued in the same order of colours as in the rainbow, he inferred that after two refractions and one intermediate reflection within the drop, the ray came to the eyè tuged with different colours, necording to the angle at which it had entered Kepler, doubtless ignorant of de Dominis's book, had suggested nearly the same though not a complete theory of the rambon, and though it left a great deal to occupy the attention, first of Descartes, and afterwards of Newton, was probably just, and carried the explanation as far as the principles then understood allowed it to go. The discovery itself may be considered as an anomaly in science, as it is one of a very refined and subtle nature, made by a man who has given no other indication of much scientific sugnerty or acuteness. In many things his writings show great ignorance of principles of optics well known in his time, so that Boscovich, an excellent judge in such mutters, has said of him, "Homo opticarum rerum supra quod patiatur ca atas imperitissimus." Montulla is hardly less severe on do Dominis, who in fact was a man of more ingenious than solid understanding

48 Descartes unnounced to the world in his Dioptres, 1637 that he had it length solved the mystery which had concealed the live of refraction. He showed that the sine of the nuglo of incidence at which the ray enters, has, in the same medium in constant ratio to that of the angle in which it is refracted, or bent in passing through. But this ratio varies according to the medium, some having a much more refractive power than others. This was a law of beautiful simplicity as well as fax tensive usefulness, but such was the fatality, as we would desire to call it, which attended Descartes, that this discovery had been indisputably made twenty years before by a Dutch geometer of great reputation Willebrod Snell. The treatise of Snell had never been published, but we have the evidence

both of Vossius and Huygens, that Hortensius, a Dutch professor, had publicly taught the discovery of his countryman. Descartes had long lived in Holland; privately, it is true, and by his own account reading few books; so that in this, as in other instances, we may be charitable in our suspicions; yet it is unfortunate that he should perpetually stand in need of such indulgence.

49. Fermat did not inquire whether Descartes was the original discoverer of the law of refraction, but disputed by puted its truth. Descartes, indeed, had not contented himself with experimentally ascertaining it, but, in his usual manner, endeavoured to show the path of the ray by direct reasoning. The hypothesis he brought forward seemed not very probable to Fermat, nor would it be permitted at present. His rival, however, fell into the same error; and starting from an equally dubious supposition of his own, endeavoured to establish the true law of refraction. He was surprised to find that, after a calculation founded upon his own principle, the real truth of a constant ratio between the sines of the angles came out according to the theorem of Descartes. Though he did not the more admit the validity of the latter's hypothetical reasoning, he finally retired from the controversy with an elegant compliment to his adversary.

50. In the Dioptrics of Descartes, several other curious

theorems are contained. He demonstrated that there are peculiar curves, of which lenses may be constructed, by the refraction from whose superficies all the incident rays will converge to a focal point, instead of being spread, as in ordinary lenses, over a certain extent of surface, commonly called its spherical aberration. The effect of employing such curves of glass would be an increase of illumination, and a more perfect distinctness of image. These curves were called the ovals of Descartes, but the elliptic or hyperbolic speculum would answer nearly the same purpose. The latter kind has been frequently attempted, but, on account of the difficulties in working them, if there were no other objection, none but spherical lenses are in use. In Descartes's theory, he explained the equality of the angles of incidence and reflection in the case of light, correctly as to the result, though with the assumption of a false principle of

his own, that no motion is lost in the collision of hard bodies such as he cooccived light to be — Its perfect elasticity makes his demonstration true.

61 Descartes carried the theory of the rambow beyond the point where Antonio de Dominis had left it. Theory of the gave the troe explanation of the onter bow, by the rabber as second intermediate reflection of the solar ray within the drop and he seems to have answered the question most naturally asked, though far from being of obvious solotion, why all this refracted light should only strike the eye in two methes with certain engles and diameters, instead of pouring its prismatic lostre over all the rain drops of the cloud. He found that no pencil of light continued, after undergoing the processes of refraction and reflection in the drop, to be composed of parallel rays, and consequently to possess that degree of density which fits it to excite sensation to our eyes, except the two which make those angles with the axis drawn from the son to an opposite point of which the two bows or

CHAPTER IX.

HISTORY OF SOME OTHER PROVINCES OF LITERATURE, FROM 1600 to 1650.

SECT. I. - ON NATURAL HISTORY.

Zoology - Fabricius on Language of Brutes - Botany

1. The vast collections of Aldrovandus on zoology, though they may be considered as representing to us the knowledge of the sixteenth century, were, as has been seen before, only published in a small part before its close. The fourth and concluding part of his Orinthology appeared in 1603; the History of Insects in 1604. Aldrovandus himself died in 1605. The posthumous volumes appeared in considerable intervals: that on molluscous animals and zoophytes in 1606, on fishes and cetacea in 1613; on whole-hoofed quadrupeds in 1616, on digitate quadrupeds both viviparous and oviparous, in 1637; on serpents in 1640; and on cloven-hoofed quadrupeds in 1642. There are also volumes on plants and minerals. These were all printed at Bologna, and most of them afterwards at Frankfort, but a complete collection is very rare.

2. In the Exotica of Clusius, 1605, a miscellaneous volume on natural history, chiefly, but not wholly, consisting of translations or extracts from older works, we find several new species of simile, the mains, or scaly anteater of the old world, the three-toed sloth, and one or two armadillos. We may add also the since extinguished race, that phænix of ornithologists, the much-lamented dodo. This

portly bird is delineated by Clasius, such as it then existed in the Manritus.

S In 1648, Piso on the Materia Medica of Brazil, toge ther with Moregrat's Natural History of the same mountry, was published at Leyden, with notes by De Marghal. The descriptions of Maregraf are good, and enable us to identify the animals. They correct the imperfect notions of Gesner, and add several species which do not appear in his work, are perhaps in that of Aldrovandus. such as the tamandua, or Brasilian ant-cater, several of the family in cavies, the coatt mondi, which Gesner had perhaps meant in a defective description, the lama, the paces, the jaguar, and several ruminants. But some, at least, of these had been already described in the histories of the West Indies, by Hernandez d Ovredo, Acosta, and Herrera.

4 Jonston, a Pole of Scots origin, collected the information of his predecessors in a Notural History of Animals, published in successive parts from 1048 to 1652. The History of Qaadrupeds appeared in the lotter year. "The text," says Cuvier, "is extracted, with some taste, from Gesner, Aldrovandus, Marcgraf, and Mouffet, and it answered its purpose as an elementary work in natural history, till Linneaus tought a more accurate the thod of classifying, naming, and describing animals. Like Linneaus ettes him continually." I find in Jonston a pretty good occount of the climpauzee (Orang-otang Indorum, ab Angola delatus,) taken perhops from the Observation's Medicæ of Tulpins.† The delineations in Jonston being from coppur plates, are superior to the coarse wood cuts of Gesnor, but fail sometimes very greatly in exectness. In his notions of classification, being little else than a compiler,

chimparzee of 'ingola, we find alarming' animation. Cogista, ratiochaster credit soil cause factam tellurum, so linguado litrum fore imperantene, di unquem fider peregrimatoribor multia. Systema hatura. Holm. 1 6c. I rather belera, his has been left out by Gmelin. Hat per laps it was only dry way of 'the fire travellers into riddelite. —18(2) 1 24: 10.

glodytes, as Linnaeus denominates the

Biogr Univ 4 Gordins, p. 21 fortins, Epist, ad Gallos, p. 21 five an account of a himpanner monstrum bounin diems an bestla? and refers to Talpius. The doubt of Grotius es to the possible homanity of this quant similis trapissima bestla nobis, is not so strange is the much gra er language of Linneurs.

[In the description of Homo Tro-

it may be supposed that he did not advance a step beyond his predecessors. The Theatrum Insectorum by Mouffet, an English physician of the preceding century, was published in 1634; it seems to be compiled in a considerable degree from the unpublished papers of Gesner and foreign naturalists, whom the author has rather too servilely copied. Haller, however, is said to have placed Mouffet above all entomologists before the age of Swammerdam.*

5. We may place under the head of zoology a short essay Fabricius by Fabricius de Aquapendente on the language of brutes; a subject very curious in itself, and which has by no means sufficiently attracted notice even in this experimental age. It cannot be said that Fabricius enters thoroughly into the problem, much less exhausts it. He divides the subject into six questions: - 1. Whether brutes have a language, and of what kind: 2. How far it differs from that of man, and whether the languages of different species differ from one another: 3. What is its Tuse: 4. In what modes animals express their affections: 5. What means we have of understanding their language: 6. What is their organ of speech. The affirmative of the first question he proves by authority of several writers, confirmed by experience, especially of hunters, shepherds, and cowherds, who know by the difference of sounds what animals mean to express. It may be objected that brutes utter sounds, but do not speak. But this is merely as we define speech, and he attempts to show that brutes by varying their utterance do all that we do by *literal* sounds. This leads to the solution of the second question. Men agree with brutes in having speech, and in forming elementary sounds of determinate time, but ours is more complex; these elementary sounds, which he calls articulos, or joints of the voice, being quicker and more numerous. Man, again, forms his sounds

they are in both countries called Bowkrickets, or Baulm-krickets" p 989 This translation is subjoined to Topsell's History of Four-footed Beasts, collected out of Gesner and others, in an edition of 1658 The first edition of Topsell's very ordinary composition was in 1608.

^{*} Biogr Univ Chalmers I am no judge of the merits of the book, but if the following sentence of the English translation does it no injustice, Mouffet must have taken little pains to do more than transcribe — "In Germany and England I do not hear that there are any grashoppers at all, but if there be,

more by means of the lips and tongue, which are softer if . him than they are in brutes. Hence his speech runs into great variety and complication which we call linguage, while that of animals within the same species is much more uniform.

- 6 The question as to the use of speech to brutes is not . difficult. But he seems to confine this utility to the expression of particular emotions, and does not meddle with the more curious inquiry whether they have a capacity of com municating specific facts to one another, and if they have whether this is done through the organs of the voice. The fourth question is, in how many modes animals express their These are hy look, hy gesture, hy sound, by voice, by language Februcius tells us that he had seen a dog. meaning to expel another dog from the place he wished him self to occupy, begin by looking fierce, then use meaning gestures, then growl, and finally bank. Inferior animals, such as worms have only the two former sorts of communi cation Fishes, at least some kinds, have n power of emitting a sound, though not properly a voice, this may be by the fins or gills. To insects also he seems to deny voice, much more language, though they declare their feelings by sound. Even of oxen, stags, and some other quadrupeds, he would rather say that they have voice than language; But cats, dogs, and birds, have a proper language. All, however, are excelled by man, who is truly called proof from his more clear and distinct articulations
- 7 In the fifth place, however difficult it may uppear to understand the lenguage of brutes, we know that they nu derstand what is said to them, how much more therefore ought we, superior in reason to understand them He proceeds from hence to an analysis of the passions, which he reduces to foar, joy, desire, grief, and fear Having thus drawn our mep of the passions, we must ascertain by obervation what are the articulations of which any species of animals is capable which cannot be done by description His own experiments were made on the dog and the hen Their nrticulations are sometimes complex, as, when a dog wants ato como into his master's chamber he begins hy a shrill small yelp, expressive of desire, which becomes deeper, so as to denote a mingled desire and annoyance, and ends in VOL III

a lamentable howl of the latter feeling alone. Fabricus gives several other rules deduced from observation of dogs, but ends by confessing that he has not fully attained his object, which was to furnish every one with a compendious method of understanding the language of animals: the inquirer must therefore proceed upon these rudinients, and make out more by observation and good canine society. He shows, finally, from the different structure of the organs of speech, that no brute can ever rival man; the chief instrument being the throat, which we use only for vowel sounds. Two important questions are hardly touched in this little treatise; first, as has been said, whether brutes can communicate specific facts to each other; and, secondly, to what extent they can associate ideas with the language of man. These ought to occupy our excellent naturalists.

8. Columna, belonging to the Colomna family, and one of the greatest botanists of the sixteenth century, maintained the honour of that science during the present period, which his long life embraced. In the academy of the Lince, to which the revival of natural philosophy is greatly due, Columna took a conspicuous share. His Ecphiasis, a history of rare plants, was published in two parts at Rome, in 1606 and 1616. In this he laid down the true basis of the science, by establishing the distinction of genera, which Gesner, Cæsalpin, and Joachim Camerarius had aheady conceived, but which it was left for Columna to confirm and employ. He alone, of all the contemporary botamsts, seems to have appreciated the luminous ideas which Cresalpin had bequeathed to posterity.* In his posthumous observations on the natural history of Mexico by Hernandez, he still faither developed the philosophy of botanical airangements. Columna is the first who used copper instead of wood to delineate plants, an improvement which soon became general. This was in the Dutobaravos, sive Plantarum aliquot Historia, 1594. There are errors in this work, but it is remarkable for the accuracy of the descrip-

tions, and for the correctness and beauty of the figures.†
9. Two brothers, John and Gaspar Baulin, inferior in

philosophy to Columna, mada more copinus additions to the nomenclature and description of plants. The elder, who was born in 1541, and had acquired some celebrity as a botanist in the last century, lived in complete, but not to publish, an Historia Plantarum Universalis, which did not uppear till 1650. It contains the descriptions of 5000 species, and the figures of 3577, but small and ill executed. His brother though much younger, bad preceded him not only by the Phytopinax in 1596, but by his cluef work, the Pinax Theatri Bohnnic, in 1623. "Gaspar Raphyn" exists a modern between the information by brother Banhin," says a modern botamst, "is inferior to his brother in his descriptions and in sagacity, but his delineations are better, and his synonyms more complete. They are both below Clusius in description, and below several ulder bota nists in their figures. In their nrangement they follow Lobel and have neglected the lights which Casalpia and Columna had held not. Their chief praise is to have brought cogether a great deal of knowledge acquired by their predecessors, but the merit of both has been exaggarated? 10 Juhason, in 1696, published an editina of Gerrard? Herbal. But the Theatrum Botanicum of Parkin

10 Juhnson, in 1636, published an edition of Gerrard's Herbal. But the Theatrum Bottonicum of Parkin son, in 1640, is a work, says Polteney, of much more magnality than Gorrard's, and it contains abundantly more matter. We find in it near 3800 plants, but many descriptions recur more than once. The arrangement is in seventeen classes, partly according to the arrangement is in seventeen classes, partly according to the many or supposed qualities of the plant, and partly according to their external character † "This heterogeneous classification, which seems to be founded on that of Dukanas, shows the small altumose that had been made towards any truly secentific distribution; on the contrary, Gerard, Johnson, and Parkinson, had rather gone back by not sufficiently pursuing the example of Lobel'

a Biogr Univ Pultoney speaks more highly of John Banhin, "That which General performed for roology John Banhin effected in botany It is, in reality repository of all that was valuable in the ancients, in his brumoffatts predecessors, and in the discoveries of his

own time relating to the history of vegetables, and is executed with that accoracy and critical judgment which carouly be exhibited by superior talents. Hist. of Botany in England, I. 190. + P 144

SECT. II. — ON ANATOMY AND MEDICINE.

Claims of early Writers to the Discovery of the Circulation of the Blood —
Havey — Lacteal Vessels discovered by Asellius — Medicine.

this century was that of the valves of the veins; this century was that of the valves of the veins; which is justly ascribed to Fabricius de Aquapendente, a professor at Padua, because, though some of these valves are described even by Berenger, and further observations were made on the subject by Sylvius, Vesahus, and other anatomists, yet Fallopius himself had in this instance thrown back the science by denying their existence, and no one before Fabricius had generalised the discovery. This he did in his public lectures as early as 1524; but his tract De Venarum Ostiolis appeared in 1603. This discovery, as well as that of Harvey, has been attributed to Father Paul Sarpi, whose immense reputation in the north of Italy accredited every tale favourable to his glory. But there seems to be no sort of ground for either supposition.

12 The discovery of a general circulation in the blood has done such honour to Harvey's name, and has been Theory of the blood s circulation claimed for so many others, that it deserves more consideration than we can usually give to anatomical According to Galen, and the general theory of anatomists formed by his writings, the arterial blood flows from the heart to the extremities, and returns again by the same channels, the venous blood being propelled, in like manner, to and from the liver. The discovery attributed to Haivey was, that the arteries communicate with the veins, and that all the blood returns to the heart by the latter vessels. sides this general or systemic circulation, there is one called the pulmonary, in which the blood is carried by certain arteries through the lungs, and returned again by corresponding veins, preparatory to its being sent into the general sangumeous system; so that its course is through a double series of ramified vessels, each beginning and terminating at the heart, but not at the same side of the heart, the left side, which from a cavity called its ventricle throws out the arterial

blood by the aerta, and by another called its correle receives that which has passed through the lungs by the pulmonary vero being separated by a solid septum from the right aide, which, by means of similar cavities, receives the blood of all the veins excepting those of the lungs, and throws it out into the pulmocary arrery. It is thus ovident, that the word pulmonary circulation is not strictly proper, there being only occuping the body.

13 The fomos work of Servetus, Christianismi Restitutio, has excited the ottention of the literary part of the world, not ooly by the unhappy fate it brought upon the oother, and its extreme scarcity, but by a remarkable passage wherein he has been sopposed to describe the circulation of the blood. That Servetus had a just idea of the polmonary circulation ood the aeration of the blood to the longs, is manifest by this passage, and is deoied by no ooe, but it has been the opioion of contomists that he did not apprehend the retorn of the mass of the blood through the veios to the right aericle of the heart.

In the first edition of this work, I remarked, vol. L p. 456. that Lavameur had come much nearer to the theory of a general circulation than Servetus. But the passage in Levesseur which I knew only from the quotation in Portal Hist. de l'Attatorde, l. 375. does not, on consulting the book itself bear out the inference which Portal accurs to deduce; and he has, not quite rightly omitted all expressions which he thought erroneous. Thus Lorenseur precedes the first senfence of Portal' quotation by the following: Intus (in cords) sunt sisus seu ventriculi duo tantum, septo quodam medio discrett, per cujus forumina sanguis et spiritus communicatur. In utroque duo vam habentur For this he quotes Galen; and the perforation of the septurn of the beart is known to be one of Galen's errors. Upon the whole, there seems no ground for believing that Levaneur was sequalated with the general circulation; and though his is guage may at first lead us to believe that he speaks of that through the lungs, even this is not distinctly made out. Sprengel. in his History of Medicine, does not mention the name of Levemeur (or Vansees, as he was called in Latin) smoog

those who anticipated in any degree the discovery of circulation. The book quoted by Portal is Vasacrus in Anaiomete₁ Corports Humani Tebulas Quntaof Jefevral times printed between 1540 and 1560.

Andria (Origina e Progressio d'orgal. Litterstora, ol. al. p. 37.) has not in a claim for a Spanhia farrier by name Reina, who, in a book princed in 1525, but of which there seems to have been an earlier edition (Libro off Manical-cherla hecto y ordenado por Francisco de la Brayan), asserts in few and plaim word, as Andries quotes them in Italian, that the blood goes in eirele through all the limba. I do not know that the book has been seen by any one chee; and it would be desirable to examine the context, time other without the second of the context time other without the second of the context time other without have seemed to know the truth without really appread heredding it.

That Servetus was only acquainted with the pulmonary devolution, has been the governd opinion. Portal, though in one piles he speaks with less precision, repeatedly limits the discovery to this; and Sprengel does not cutertain the less respector that it went farther. And first, (xi 38.), not certainly a medical authority but couplying with such, and

14. Columbus is acknowledged to have been acquainted to Columbus, with the pulmonary circulation. He says of his own discovery, that no one had observed or consigned it to writing before. Arantius, according to Portal, has described the pulmonary circulation still better than Columbus, while Sprengel demes that he has described it at all. It is perfectly certain, and is admitted on all sides, that Columbus did not know the systemic circulation: in what manner he disposed of the blood does not very clearly appear; but, as he conceived a passage to exist between the ventricles of the heart, it is probable, though his words do not lead to this inference, that he supposed the aerated blood to be transmitted back in this course.*

very partial to Spanish elaimants, asserts the same If a more general language may be found in some writers, it may be ascribed to their want of distinguishing the two circulations A medical friend who, at my request, perused and considered the passage in Servetus, as it is quoted in Allwoerden's life, says in a letter, "All that this passage implies which has any reference to the greater eirculation, may be comprised in the following points —1 That the heart transmits a vivifying principle along the arteries and the blood which they contain to the anastomosing veins 2 That this living principle vivifies the liver and the venous system generally 3 That the liver produces the blood itself, and transmits it through the vena cava to the heart, in order to obtain the vital principle, by performing the lesser circulation, which Servetus seems perfectly to comprehend

" Now, according to this view of the passage, all the movement of the blood implied is that which takes place from the liver, through the vena eava to the heart, and that of the lesser circulation It would appear to me that Servetus is on the brink of the discovery of the circulation, but that his notions respecting the transmission of his 'vitalis spiritus' diverted his attention from that great movement of the blood itself, which Harvey discovered It is clear, that the quantity of blood sent to the heart for the elaboration of the vitalis spiritus, is, according to Servetus, only that furnished by the liver to the vena cava inferior But the blood thus introduced is represented by him as performing the circulation through the lungs very regularly."

It appears singular that, while Servetus distinctly knew that the septum of the heart, paries ille medius, as he calls it, is closed, which Berenger had discovered, and Vesalius confirmed (though the bulk of anatomists long afterwards adhered to Galen's notion of perforation), and consequently that some other means must exist for restoring the blood from the left division of the heart to the right, he should not have seen the necessity of a system of vessels to carry forward this communication

* The leading passage in Columbus, (De Re Anatomies, lib vii p 177 edit 1559.) which I have not found quoted by Portal or Sprengel, is as follows -Inter hos ventriculos septum adest, per quod fere omnes existimant sanguini a dextro ventriculo ad sinistrum aditum patefieri, id ut fieret facilius, in transitu ob vitalium spiritium generationem demum reddi, sed longa errant via, nam sanguis per arteriosam venam ad pulmonem fertur, ibique attenuatur, deinde eum aere una per arteriam venalem ad sinistrum cordis ventriculum defertur, quod nemo liaetenus aut animadvertit aut scriptum reliquit, licet maxime et ab omnibus animadvertendum afterwards makes a remark, in which Servetus had preceded him, that the size of the pulmonary artery (vena arteriosa) is greater than would be required for the nutrition of the lungs alone Whether he knew of the passages in Servetus or

15 Cæsalpin, whose versatile genius entered upon every field of research, has, in more than one of his and is ca-treatises relating to very different topics, and espe cially to that upon plants, some remarkable passages on the saore sobject, which opproach more nearly than ony we have seen to a just notice of the general circulation, and have led several writers to maist on his claim as a prior discoverer to Harvey Portal admits that this might be regarded as o fair pretension, if he were to jodge from soch passages, but there are others which contradict this supposition, and show Cresal pin to have had a confosed and imperfect idea of the office of the veins. Sprengel, though at first he seems to tocline more towards the pretensions of Gusalpin, comes ultimately almost to the same conclusion; and giving the reader the words of most importance, leaves him to form his own judg ment. The Italians ore more confident Tiraboschi and Corman, neither of whom are medical outhorities, put in an unheestating claim for Casalpin as the discoverer of the circulation of the blood, not without unfair reflections on Harvey

no, potentiateading the claim of originality is not perhaps manifest the coincidence as to the function of the lungs in sensing the blood is remarkable; but if Columbus had any direct knowledge of the Christianismi Resiliutio, he did not choose to follow it in the remarkable discover that there is no perforation in the septum between the ventricles.

Tiraboschi, x. 49 Corolani, vl. 6. II quotes, on the authority of another Italian writer fl glodino di due lithestr Inglesi, i fratelli Hunter I quali, eseminato bene Il processo di questa causa, al marrirgitus della sentanza dela isa forces del lere concittudino. I must doots, till more svidence la produced, wheeler tilhe be true.

The persage in Casalpin's Questioner Peripatation is certainly the most passembl g statement of the entire truth that can be found in any writer before Harry. I transcribe is from Dutan's Cripine des Découvates, vol. it, p. 25. Iddereo polino per venam arreill similam est deutro cordis ventriculo fervidam bautiens sanguienen, europus per sanatomosin arterie venal redderis, que in distribution sont ventrieulum trodit, transmisso interim sore frigido per espera arreils canales, qui jurta arteriam venates canales.

nalem protenduntur non tamen o-culis communicantes, ut puta it Galeste, solo tacto temperat. Huio amquinis tircufatloni ex dextro cordia ventricula per palmones in sinistrum ejusdem ventriculum optima respondent ex qua ex disscetions apparent. Nam duo mut vasa la destrom ventriculum desigentia, duo etlara in sloistrum duorum autem unum letromittit tantum, alterum educit, membranis eo ingemo constit tis. Vas igitur infromittens vena est magna quidem in dextro, que cave appellatur ; parva autem in sinistro ex pulmons introducers, culus unica est tunica, ut certerarum venarum. Vas autem educena arteria est magna quidem in sinistro, que sorta appellatur ; perva autem in dextro ad pulmones de

et in cesteris arterila.

In the treatise De Plantis we have a similar but shorter pessegs." Nam in animalibo vidernos alimentum per remes doci ad ece tanquam ad fifeinam esloris iositi, et adepta initio ultima perfectione, per arteriar i universum corpus distribul agents spiritu, qui ex codem ali—tomoto in corbe agintium. I laive takem this from the article on Cessalpin in the Biographia Universella.

rivens, outes similiter dum sunt tunion.

16. It is thus manifest that several anatomists of the six
Generally unknown before detecting the law by which the motion of the blood is governed; and the language of one is so strong, that we must have recourse, in order to exclude his claim, to the irresistible fact that he did not confirm by proof his own theory, nor proclaim it in such a manner as to attract the attention of the world. Certainly, when the doctrine of a general circulation was advanced by Harvey, he both announced it as a paradox, and was not deceived in expecting that it would be so accounted. Those again who strove to depreciate his originality, sought intimations in the writings of the ancients, and even spread a rumour that he had stolen the papers of Father Paul; but it does not appear that they talked, like some moderns, of plagiarism from Levasseur or Cæsalpin.

William Harvey first taught the circulation of the blood in London in 1619, but his Exercitatio de Motu Cordis was not published till 1628. He was induced, as is said, to conceive the probability of this great truth, by reflecting on the final cause of those valves, which his master, Fabricius de Aquapendente, had demonstrated in the veins; valves whose structure was such as to prevent the reflux of the blood towards the extremities. Fabricius himself seems to have been ignorant of this structure, and certainly of the circulation, for he presumes that they serve to prevent the blood from flowing like a river towards the feet and hands, and from collecting in one part. Harvey followed his own happy conjecture by a long inductive process of experiments on the effects of ligatures, and on the observed motion of the blood in living animals.

Portal has imputed to Harvey an unfair silence as to Servetus, Columbus, Levasseur, and Cæsalpin, who had all preceded him in the same track. Tiraboschi copies Portal, and Corniam speaks of the appropriation of Cæsalpin's discovery by Harvey. It may be replied, that no one can reasonably presume Harvey to have been acquainted with the passage in Servetus But the imputation of suppressing the ments of Columbus is grossly unjust, and founded upon ignorance or forgetfulness of Harvey's cele-

brated Exercitation In the procession to this treatise, he observes, that almost all anatomists have hitherto supposed with Galen, that the mechanism of the pulse is the same as that of respiration But he not less than three times makes an exception for Columbus, to whom he most expressly refers the theory of a pulmonary circulation . Of Cosalpin he certainly says nothing, but there seems to be no presumption that he was nequainted with that author's writings. Were it even true that he had been guided in his researches by the obscure passages we have quoted, could this set aside the ment of that patient induction by which he established his own theory? Cosalpin asserts at best, what we may say he divined, but did not know to be true Harvey asserts what he had demonstrated The one is an empiric in a philosophical sense, the other a legitimate minister of truth It has been justly said, that he alone discovers who proves, nor is there n more odious office, or a more sophistical course of reasoning, than to impair the credit of great men, as Datens wasted his erudition in doing, by hunting out equivocal and insulated passages from older writers, in order to depreciate the originality of the real teachers of mankind † It may

Pune omnes ime unque anatomiel medlei et philosophi supponunt eum Galeno eundem urum esse pulsus, quam respirationis. But though he certainly claims the doctrine of a general circula tion as wholly his own, and counts it paradox which will startle every one, he as axpressly refers (p. 38. and 41 of the E creltatio) that of pulmonary trans-mission of the blood to Columbus, peritindmo, doctissimoque enstemico; and observes, in his procemium, as an objection to the received theory quomodo probabile est (uti notavit Rushius Columbus) tanto sanguine opus essa ad nutritionem pulmonum, com hor vas, vena videlicet arteriosa fid est, arteria pulmonalis] exsuperet magnitudino utrumque ramum distributionis vena ca a descendentis cruralem, p. 16.

† This is the general character of a really learned and Interesting work by Dutem, Origins des Décou ertes attribaces aux Modernes. Justice is due to those who have first struck out, even without following up, original kirss in any seiscose; but not at the expense of

those who, generally without knowledge of what had been said before, have dedueed the same principles from reasoning or from observation, and carried them out to important consequences. Pescal quotes Montalgue for the shrewd remark. that we should try a man who says a wise thing, for we may often find that he does not understand it. Those who en-tertain a morbid jealousy f modern philosophy are glad to avail themselves of such hunters into obscure antiquity as Duters, and they are seconded by all the envious, the ancandid, and by many of the unreflecting among mankind. With respect to the immediate question, the passages which Dutens has quoted from Hippocrates and Plato have certainly an appearance of expressing a real circulation of the blood by the words a preder and representation aluares; but others, and especially one from Nemesius, on which some reliance has been placed, mean nothing more than the flux and refl x f the blood, which the contraction and dilatation of the beart was supposed to produce. Sec Dutons, of li. p. 8-18. Indeed be thought wonderful that Servetus, Columbus, or Cæsalpin should not have more distinctly apprehended the consequences of what they maintained, since it seems difficult to conceive the lesser circulation without the greater; but the defectiveness of their views is not to be alleged as a connterbalance to the more steady sagacity of Harvey. The solution of their falling so short is that they were right, not indeed quite by guess, but upon insufficient proof; and that the consciousness of this embarrassing their minds prevented them from deducing inferences which now appear irresistible. In every department of philosophy, the researches of the first inquirers have often been arrested by similar causes.*

19. Harvey is the author of a treatise on generation, wherein he maintains that all animals, including men, are derived from an egg. In this book we first find an argument maintained against spontaneous generation, which, in the case of the lower animals, had been generally received. Sprengel thinks this treatise prohx, and not equal to the author's reputation.† It was first published in 1651.

of Aselhus as to the lacteal vessels. Eustachms had observed the thoracic duct in a horse. But Aselhus, more by chance, as he owns, than by sagacity, perceived the lacteals in a fat dog whom he opened soon after it had eaten. This was in 1622, and his treatise De Lacteis Venis was published in 1627.‡ Harvey did not assent to this discovery, and endeavoured to dispute the use

Mr Coleridge has been deceived in the same manner by some lines of Jordano Bruno, which he takes to describe the circulation of the blood, whereas they merely express its movement to and fro, meat et remeat, which might be by the same system of vessels

* The biographer of Harvey in the Biographie Universelle strongly vindicates his claim. Tous les hommes instruits conviennent aujourd'hui que Harvey est la v'entable auteur de cette belle découverte. Césalpin pressentoit la circulation artérielle, en supposant que le sang rétourne des extrémités au cœur, mais ces assertions ne furant

point prouvées, elles ne se trouvèrent ctay des par aucune expérience, par aucun fait, et l'on peut dire de Césalpin qu'il divina presque la grande circulation dont les lois lui furent totalement inconnues, la decouverte en ctait reservée à Guillaume Harvey

† Hist. de la Médéeine, iv 299 Portal, ii 477

‡ Portal, ii 461 Sprengel, is 201 Petrese soon after this got the body of a man fresh hanged after a good supper, and had the pleasure of confirming the discovery of Aselhus by his own eyes. Gassendi, Vita Petresen, p 177

of the vessels, nor is it in his honner that even to the end of his life he disregarded the subsequent confirmation that Pecquet and Bartholin had furnished. The former de tected the common origin of the lacteal and lymphatic vessels in 1617, though his work on the subject was not published till 1651 But Olaus Rudbeck was the first who clearly distinguished these two kinds of vessels

21 Schemer proved that the return is the organ of sight, and that the humours serve only to refrect the rays which paint the algeet on the optic nerve. This was in a treatise cantiled Oculus, hoc est, Fundamen

tum Opticum, 1619 † The writings of several anatomists of this period, such as Rinlan, Vesling, Barthalin, contain partial accessions to the science, but it seems to have been less enriched by great discoveries infter those already named, than in the preceding century

22. The mystical medicine of Paracelsus continued to have many ndvocates in Germany A new class of en thusiasts sprung from the same achool, and calling themselves Rosicrucians, pretended to cure diseases by faith and imagination A true Rosteruman, they held, had only to look on a patient to cure lim. The analogy of magnetism, revived in the last and present age, was commonly employed ‡ Of this school the most enment was Vnn Helmont who combined the Paracelsian superstitions with some original ideas of his own. This general idea of medicino was that its business was to regulate the archivus, an immaterial principle of life and health, to which, like Paracelsus, he attributed a mysterious being and efficact The sent of the archieus is in the stomach, mid it is to be effected either by a scheme of diet or through the imagina tion Sprengel praises Van Helmont for nverthrowing many current errors, and for announcing principles since

found also in the microcovn. The in ward or artral m n is Gaballs, from which the science is named. This G balls or Imagination is as a magnet to external objects, which it thus attracts. Medicines act by a roughetle force. Sprengel III.

Spreagel, ly 1903.

[†] Id. 270. All in nature says Croll of Hesse one of the principal theosophists I me-dience, is living 1 all that lives healts vital force or artrum, which cannot act without a body but passes from one to another All things in the macrocown arm

cratic school, in opposition to what Sprengel calls the Chemiatric, which more or less may be reckoned that of Paracelsus. The Italians were still renowned in medicine. Sanctorius, De Medicina Statica, 1614, seems the only work to which we need allude. It is loaded with eulogy by Portal, Tiraboschi, and other writers. †

SECT. III.

On Oriental Literature — Hebrew Learning — Arabic and other Eastern Languages

23. During no period of equal length since the revival of letters, has the knowledge of the Hebrew language been, apparently, so much diffused among the literary world as in that before us. The frequent sprinkling of its characters in works of the most miscellaneous endition will strike the eye of every one who habitually consults them. Nor was this learning by any means so much confined to the clergy as it has been in later times, though their order naturally furnished the greater portion of those who laboured in that field. Some of the chief Hebraists of this age were laymen. The study of this language prevailed most in the protestant countries of Europe, and it was cultivated with much zeal in England. The period between the last years of Elizabeth and the Restoration may perhaps be reckoned that in which a knowledge of Hebrew has been most usual among our divines.

24. Upon this subject I can only assert what I collect to be the verdict of judicious critics. ‡ It seems that the Hebrew

* Vol v p 22 † Portal, 11 391 Tıraboschi, x1 270

Biog Univ

† The fifth volume of Eichhorn's Geschichte der Cultur is devoted to the progress of Oriental literature in Europe, not very full in characterising the various productions it mentions, but analytically

arranged, and highly useful for reference Jenisch, in his preface to Meninski's Thesaurus, (Vienna, 1780,) has traced a sketch of the same subject. We may have trusted in some respects to Simon, Histoire Critique du Vieux Testament. The biographical dictionaries, English and French, have of course been resorted to

language was not yet sufficiently studied in the method most , likely to give an insight into its principles, by comparing it with all the cognite tongnes, latterly in the best called Semitic, spoken in the neighbouring parts of Asia, and manifestly springing from a common source Postel, indeed, had made some attempts in this in the last century, but his learning was very slight; and Schindler, published in 1612 a Lexicon Peninglottum, in which the Arabic, as well as Syriac and Chaldaic, were placed in

npposition with the Hebrow text. Lonis du Dieu, whosu Remarks on all the Books of the Old Testament" were published at Leyden in 1648, has frequently recourse to some of the kindred languages, in order to explain thu Hebrew . But the first instructors in the latter had been Jewish rabbis, and the Hebraists of the sixteenth age had imbibed a prejudice not unnatural though anfounded, that their teachers were best conversant with the language of their forefathers. † They had derived from the same source an extravagant notion of the beauty, antiquity, and capacity of the Hebrew, and, combining this with still more chimerical dreams of a mystical philosophy, lost sight of all real prin caples of criticism

25 The most omment Hebrew scholars of this age were the two Buxterfs of Basle, fither and son, both de- The Bex vuted to the rabbinical school The elder who lind become distinguished befure the end of the preceding century, published a grammar in 1609, which long continued to be reckuned the best, and a lexicon of Hebrew, Chaldee, and Syriac, in 1623, which was not superseded for more than a handred years. Many other works relating to these three dialects, as well as to that of the later Jews, do bonour to the crudition of the elder Buxtorf, but he is considered as representing a class of Hebraists which in the more comprehensive urientalism of the eighteenth century has lost much if its credit. The son trod closely in his fither's footsteps, whom

Testament, p. 494.

Simon, Hist. Critique du Vieux p. 375 But Munster Faglus, and several others, who are found in the Critici čecri, gave way to the prejudice in favour of rabbinical opinions, and their commentaries are consequently too Ju

This was not the case with Luther who rejected the authority of the rabble, and thought none but Christians could understand the Old Testament, Simon, delcal, p. 496,

he succeeded as professor of Hebrew at Basle. They held this chair between them more than seventy years. The younger Buxtorf was engaged in controversies which had not begun in his father's lifetime. Morin, one of those learned Protestants who had gone over to the church of Rome, systematically laboured to establish the authority of those versions which the church had approved, by weakening that of the text which passed for original.* Hence he endeavoured to show, though this could not logically do much for his object, that the Samaritan Pentateuch, then lately brought to Europe, which is not in a different language, but merely the Hebrew written in Samaritan characters, is deserving of preference above what is called the Masoretic text, from which the protestant versions are taken. The variations between these are sufficiently numerous to affect a favourite hypothesis, borrowed from the rabbis, but strenuously maintained by the generality of Protestants, that the Hebrew text of the Masoretic recension is perfectly incorrupt.† Morin's opinion was opposed by Buxtorf and Hottinger, and by other writers even of the Romish church. It has, however, been countenanced by Simon and Kennicott. The integrity, at least, of the Hebrew copies was gradually given up, and it has since been shown that they differ greatly among themselves. The Samarıtan Pentateuch was first published in 1645, several years after this controversy began, by Sionita, editor of the Parisian Polyglott. This edition, sometimes called by the name of Le Jay, contains most that is in the Polyglott of Antwerp, with the addition of the Syriac and Arabic versions of the Old Testament.

26. An epoch was made in Hebrew criticism by a work of Louis Cappel, professor of that language at Saumur, the Arcanum Punctuationis Revelatum, in 1624. He maintained in this an opinion promulgated by Elias Levita, and held by the first reformers and many other Protestants of the highest authority, though contrary to that vulgar orthodoxy which is always omnivorous, that the vowel points of Hebrew were invented by certain Jews of Tiberias in the sixth century. They had been generally

^{*} Simon, p 522

deemed coeval with the language, or nt least brought in by Esdras through divine inspiration. It is not surprising that such an hypothesis clashed with the prejudices of mankind, and Cappel was obliged to publish his work in Holland. The Protestants looked upon it as too great in concession to favour of the Vilgate; which having been translated before the Masoretic punctuation, on Cappel's hypothesis, had been applied to the text, might now claim to stand on ligher ground, and was not to be judged by these innovations. After twenty years the younger Baxtorf endeavoured to vin dicate the antiquity of vowel points, but it is now confessed that the victory remained with Cappel, who has been styled the father of Hebrew criticism. His principal work is the Critica Sacra, published at Paris in 1050, wherein he still farther discredits the existing manuscripts of the Hebrew Scriptures, as well as the Masoretic punctuation.

27 The rabbanical literature, meaning as well the Talmid and other ancient books, as those of the later ages never since the revival of intellectual pursuits among the Jews of Spam and the East, gave occupation to a considerable class of scholars. Several of these belong to England, such as Amsworth, Godwin, Lightfoot, Selden, and Pococke. The antiquities of Judaism were illustrated by Cunrens in Jus Region Hebricorum, 1623, and especially by Selden, both 10 the Uxor Hebraica, and in the treatise De Jure Naturali et Gentium juxta Hebricos Bat no one has left a more durable reputation in this literature than Bochart, a protestant minister at Caen His Geographia Sacra, published in 1646, is not the most famous of his works, but the only one which falls within this period displays great learning and sagacity, but it was impossible, ns has been justly observed, that he could thoroughly cluci date this sobject at a time when we knew comparatively little of modern Asia, and had fow good books of travels. A similar observation might of course be upplied to his Hiero zorcon, on the animals mentioned in Scripture Both these

Simon, Pichborn, &c. A detailed account of this controversy about vowelpoints between Cappel and the Buxtorf will be found in the 17th rolume of the Bibliothèque Universelle; and a shorter précie in Elchborns Einleitung in des alte Testament, vol. 1, p. 242. works, however, were much extolled in the seventeenth century.

28. In the Chaldee and Syriac languages, which approach so closely to Hebrew, that the best scholars in the latter are rarely unacquainted with them, besides the Buxtorfs, we find Ferrari, author of a Syriac lexicon, published at Rome in 1622; Louis de Dieu of Leyden, whose Syriac grammar appeared in 1626; and the Syriac translation of the Old Testament in the Parisian Polyglott, edited by Gabriel Sionita, in 1642. A Syriac college for the Maronites of Libanus had been founded at Rome by Gregory XIII.; but it did not as yet produce any thing of importance.

treasures, and long neglected by Europe, began now to take a conspicuous place in the annals of learning. Scaliger deserves the glory of being the first real Arabic scholar; for Postel, Christman, and a very few more of the sixteenth century, are hardly worth notice. His friend, Casaubon, who extols his acquirements, as usual, very lighly, devoted himself some time to this study. But Scaliger made use of the language chiefly to enlarge his own vast sphere of erudition. He published nothing on the subject; but his collections became the base of Rapheling's Arabic lexicon; and it is said, that they were far more extensive than what

appears in that work. He who properly added this language to the domain of learning, was Eipenius, a native of Gorcum, who, at an early age, had gained so unrivalled an acquaintance with the Oriental languages as to be appointed professor of them at Leyden, in 1613. He edited the same year the above-mentioned lexicon of Rapheling, and published a grammar, which might not only be accounted the first composed in Europe that deserved the name, but became the guide to most later scholars. Erpenius gave several other works to the world, chiefly connected with the Arabic

version of the Scriptures.* Gohus, his successor in the Oriental chair at Leyden, besides publishing a lexicon of the language, which is said to be still the most copious, elaborate, and complete that has appeared†, and

^{*} Biogr Univ

[†] Jenisch, præfatio in Meninski Thesaurus Linguarum Orientalium, p 110.

several editions of Arabic writings, poetical and historical, contributed still more extensively to bring the range of Arabian literature before the world. He enriched with a hundred and fifty mannscripts, collected in bis travels, the library of Leyden to which Scaliger had bequeathed forty. The manuscripts belonging to Erpenius found their way to Cambridge, while, partly by the manificence of Land, partly by later accessions, the Bodleian Library at Oxford became extremely rich in this line. The much larger collection in the Excural seems to have been chiefly formed under Philip III. England was now as conspicions in Arabian as in Hebrew learning. Selden, Greaves, and Pococke, especially the last, who was probably equal to any Oriental scholar whom Europe had hitherto produced, by translations of the historical and philosophical writings of the Saracenic period, gave a larger compass to general erudition †

30 The remaining languages of the East are of less im portance. The Turkish had attracted some degree of attention in the sixteenth century, but the first grammar was published by Megiser, in 1612, a very slight performance, and a better at Paris, by da Ryer, in 1630 t The Persic grammar was given at Rome by Raimondi, in 1614, by de Dien, at Leyden in 1639, by Greaves, at London, in 1641 and 1649 5 An Armenian dictionary, by Rivoli, in 1621 seems the only necession to onr knowledge of that ancient language during this period. Athanasius Kircher, a man of immense erudition, restored the Coptic, of which Europe had been wholly ignorant. Those farther eastward had not yet begun to enter into the studies of Enrope Nothing was known of the Indian , but some Chinese manuscripts had been brought to Rome and Madrid as early as 1580, and not long afterwards, two Jesuits, Roger and Ricci both missionaries in China, were the first who acquired a sufficient knowledge of the language to translate from it T But scarcely any farther advance took place before the middle of the century

Blogr Univ † Jenisch, Elebhorn, Blogr Universelle Blogr, Britanalos, ‡ Elebhorn, v 587

Elehborn v 890 Id. 851 Id. 84

SECT. IV.

On Geography and History

31. Purchas, an English clergyman, imbued by nature, Purchas, like Hakluyt, with a strong bias towards geographical studies, after having formed an extensive library in that department, and consulted, as he professes, above 1200 authors, published the first volume of his Pilgrim, a collection of voyages in all parts of the world, in 1613, four more followed in 1625. The accuracy of this useful compiler has been denied by those who have had better means of knowledge, and probably is inferior to that of Hakluyt; but his labour was far more comprehensive. The Pilgrim was at all events a great source of knowledge to the contemporaries of Purchas.*

32. Olearus was ambassador from the Duke of Holstein to Moscovy and Persia from 1633 to 1639. His travels, in German, were published in 1617, and have been several times reprinted and translated. He has well described the barbarism of Russia and the despotism of Persia, he is diffuse and episodical, but not wearisome, he observes well and relates faithfully: all who have known the countries he has visited are said to speak well of him. † Pietro della Valle is a fai more amusing writer. He has thrown his travels over Syria and Persia into the form of letters written from time to time, and which he professes to have recovered from his correspondents. This perhaps is not a very probable story, both on account of the length of the letters, and the want of that reference to the present time and to small passing events, which such as are authentic commonly exhibit. His observations, however, on all the countries he visited, especially Persia, are apparently consistent with the knowledge we have obtained from later travellers. Gibbon says that none have better observed Persia, but his vanity and prohaity are insufferable. Yet I think that

^{*} Biogr Univ Pinkerton's Collection of Voyages and Travels The latter does not value Purchas highly for correctness
+ Biogr Universelle

Della Valle can hardly be reckoned tedious, and if he is a little egotistical, the usual and almost landable characteristic of travellers, this gives a hveliness and racy air to his narra tive What his wife, the Lady Mann, an Assyrian Christian, whom he met with at Bagdad, and who accompanied him through his long wanderings, may really have been, we can only judge from his enlogies on her beauty her fidelity, and her conrage, hat she throws an air of romance over his adventures, not unpleasing to the reader The travels of Pietro della Valle took place from 1614 to 1626, but the book was first published at Rome in 1650, and has been translated into different languages.

33 The Lexicon Geographicum of Ferrari, in 1627, was the chief general work on geography, it is alplin Lexicon of betical, and contains 9600 articles The errors Ferril. have been corrected in later editions, so that the first would probably be required in order to estimate the knowledge of

its anthor's are

34 The best measure, perhaps, of geographical science, are the maps published from time to time, as per Masor feetly for the most part, we may presume, as their Blazz editors could render them If we compare the map of the world in the "Theatrum Orbis Terrarum sive Novus Atlas" of Blaew in 1648 with that of the edition of Ortolius puh lished at Antwerp in 1612, the improvements will not appear exceedingly great. America is still separated from Asia by the straits of Anian about lat 60, but the coast to the south is made to trend away more than before, on the N E. coast we find Davis's Sea, and Estotiland has vanished to give way to Greenland. Canada continues to be most inaccurately laid down, though there is a general idea of lakes and rivers better than in Ortelius. Scandinavia is far better, and toler ably correct. In the South, Terra del Pnego terminates in Cape Horn, instead of being united to Terra Australia, but in the East, Corea appears as an ohlong island, the Sea of Aral is not set down and the wall of China is placed north of the fiftieth parallel India is very much too small, and the shape of the Caspian Sea is wholly inaccurate. But a com

parison with the map in Hakluyt, mentioned in our second volume, will not exhibit so much superiority of Blaew's Atlas. The latter however shows more knowledge of the interior country, especially in North America, and a better outline in many parts of the Asiatic coast. The maps of particular regions in Europe are on a large scale, and numerous. Speed's maps, 1646, appear by no means inferior to those of Blaew, but several of the errors are the same. Considering the progress of commerce, especially that of the Dutch, during this half century, we may rather be surprised at the defective state of these maps.

be surplised at the defective state of these maps.

35. Two histories of general reputation were published in the Italian language during these fifty years, one of the civil wars in France by Davila, in 1630, and another of those in Flanders by Cardinal Bentivoglio. Both of these had the advantage of interesting subjects; they had been sufficiently conversant with the actors to know much and to judge well, without that particular responsibility which tempts an historian to prevarication. They were both men of cool and sedate tempers, accustomed to think policy a game in which the strong play with the weak, obtuse, especially the former, in moral sentiment, but on this account not inclined to caluminate an opposite party, or to withhold admination from intellectual power. Both these histories may be read over and over with pleasure, if Davila is too refined, if he is not altogether faithful, if his style wants the elegance of some older Italians, he more than redeems all this by the importance of his subject, the variety and picturesqueness of his narration, and the acuteness of his reflections. Bentivoglio is reckoned, as a writer, among the very first of his age.

36. The History of the War of Granada, that is, the rebellion of the Moriscos in 1565, by the famous Diego de Mendoza, was published posthumously in 1610. It is placed by the Spaniards themselves on a level with the most renowned of the ancients. The French have now their first general historian, Mezeray, a writer esteemed for his lively style and bold sense, but little read, of course, in an age like the last of our own, which have demanded an exactness in matter of fact, and an

000

extent of historical crudition, which was formerly niknown We now began, in England, to cultivate historical person and with so much success, that the historical person to make an expension and with so much success, that the historical person terror of more productive of such works as deservo remembrance than a whole century that next followed But the most considerable of these have already been the mentioned Lord Herbert of Cherhnry's History of historical mentioned Lord Herbert of Cherhnry's History of such mentioned Lord Herbert of Cherhnry's History of historical mentioned Lord Herbert of Cherhnry's History of Bocon's Life of Gloudeth is also a solid and valuable history. Bacon's Life of Elizabeth is also a solid and valuable history. Bacon's Life of Elizabeth is also a solid and valuable history. Bacon's Life of Elizabeth is also a solid and valuable history. Bacon's Life of Elizabeth is also a solid and valuable history. Bacon's Life of Elizabeth is also a solid and valuable history. Bacon's Life of Elizabeth is also a solid and valuable history. Bacon's Life of Elizabeth is also a solid and valuable history. Bacon's Life of Elizabeth is also a solid and valuable history. Bacon's Life of Elizabeth is also a solid and valuable history. Bacon's Life of Elizabeth is also a solid and valuable history. Bacon's Life of Elizabeth is also a solid and valuable history. Bacon's Life of Elizabeth is also a solid and valuable history. Bacon's Life of Elizabeth is also a solid and valuable history. Bacon's Life of Elizabeth is also a solid and valuable history. Bacon's Life of Elizabeth is also a solid and valuable history. Bacon's Life of Elizabeth is a solid and valuable history. Bacon's Life of Elizabeth is a solid and valuable history. Bacon's Life of Elizabeth is a solid and valuable history. Bacon's Life of Elizabeth is a solid and valuable history. Bacon's Life of Elizabeth is a solid and valuable history. Bacon's Life of Elizabeth is a solid and valuable history. Bacon's Life of Elizabeth is a solid and valuab impartiality

SECT V

On General State of Literature

S7 Or the Italian and other continental universities, we the general tenor of this literary history, that they use contributed little to those departments of knowledge to which we have paid most attention and adhering pertinaciously to their ancient studies, were left behind in the advance of the human mind They were, indeed, not less crowded with scholars than before, being the necessary and prescribed road to increative professions. In theology, law, and medicine, sciences, the two former of which, at least, did not claim to be progressive, they might sustain a respectable posture, in philosophy, and oven in polite letters, they were less prominent.

different from those of the rest of Europe. Their different from those of the rest of Europe. Their great endowments created a resident class, neither teachers nor students, who might devote an unbroken leisure to learning with the advantage of that command of books which no other course of life could have afforded. It is true that in no age has the number of these been great; but the diligence of a few is enough to cast a veil over the laziness of many. The century began with an extraordinary piece of fortune to the university of Oxford, which formed in the seventeenth century, whatever it may since have been, one great cause of her literary distinction. Sir Thomas Bodley, with a munificence which has rendered his name more immortal than the foundation of a family could have done, bestowed on the university a library collected by him at great cost, building a magnificent room for its reception, and bequeathed laige funds for its increase. The building was completed in 1606; and Casaubon has, very shortly afterwards, given such an account of the university itself, as well as of the Bodleian library, as will perhaps be interesting to the reader, though it contains some of those mistakes into which a stranger is apt to fall.

39. "I wrote you word," he says in July, 1613, to one of his correspondents, "a month since, that I was account of going to Oxford in order to visit that university and its library, of which I had heard much. Every thing proved beyond my expectation. The colleges are numerous; most of them very rich. The revenues of these colleges maintain above two thousand students, generally of respectable parentage, and some even of the first nobility; for what we call the habits of pedagogues (pædagogica vitæ ratio) is not found in these English colleges. Learning is here cultivated in a liberal style, the heads of houses live handsomely, even splendidly, like men of rank. Some of them can spend ten thousand livres [about 1000% at that time, if I mistake not] by the year. I much approved the mode in which pecuniary concerns are kept distinct from the business of learning.* Many still are found, who emulate the liberality of

^{*} Res studiosorum et rationes sepa- given the translation which seemed best, ratæ sunt, quod valde probavi I have but I may be mistaken

their predecessors. Hence new huldings ruse every day, even some new colleges are rused from the foundation, some are enlarged, such as that of Merton, over which Savile presides, and several more. There is one begun by Cardinal Wolsey, which if it should be completed, will be worthy of the greatest admiration. But he left at his death many huldings which he had begun in an infinished state, and which no one expects to see complete. None of the colleges, however attracted me so much as the Bodlean library, a work rather for a lang than a numeric with the Bodley. attracted me so much as the Bodiesan infrary, a work rature for a king than a private man. It is certain that Bodley, living or dead, must have expended 200 000 livres on that huilding. The ground plot is the figure of the letter T. The part which represents the perpendicular stem was formerly built by some prince, and is very handsome, the rest was added by Bodley with no less magnificence. In the lower part as a divinity school, to which perhaps nothing in Enrope is comparable. It is vanited with peculiar skill The upper story is the library itself, very well built, and fitted with ar immense quantity of books. Do not imagine that such plenty of manuscripts can be found here, as in the royalibrary (of Pans), there are not a few manuscripts in England, but nothing to what the king possesses. But the number of printed books is wonderful and increasing every year, for Bodley has bequeathed a considerable revenue for that purpose. As long as I remained at Oxford I passed whole days in the library, for books cannot be taken out, int the library is open to all scholars for seven or eight hours every day. You might always see therefore many of these greedily enjoying the banquet prepared for them, which gave me no small pleasure."

40 The Earl of Pemhroke, Selden and above all, Archishop Land, greatly improved the Bodleian hibrary. It became, especially through the manificence of that prelate, extremely rich in Oriental mannscripts. The Duke of Back ingham presented a collection made by Erpenius to the public hibrary at Cambridge, which, though far behind that of the aster nuiversity, was enriched by many donations, and became very considerable. Usher formed the library of Trinity Col

lege, Dublin; an university founded on the English model, with noble revenues, and a corporate body of fellows and scholars to enjoy them.

James in 1620. It contains about 20,000 articles. It contains about 20,000 articles. Of these no great number are in English, and such as there are chiefly of a later date than the year 1600, Bodley, perhaps, had been rather negligent of poetry and plays. The editor observes that there were in the library three or four thousand volumes in modern languages. This catalogue is not classed, but alphabetical; which James mentions as something new, remarking at the same time the difficulty of classification, and that in the German catalogues we find grammars entered under the head of philosophy. One published by Draud, Bibliotheca Classica, sive Catalogus Officinalis, Frankfort, 1625, is hardly worth mention. It professes to be a general list of printed books, but as the number seems to be not more than 30,000, all in Latin, it must be very defective. About two fifths of the whole are theological. A catalogue of the library of Sion College, founded in 1631, was printed in 1650, it contains eight of nine thousand volumes.*

42. The library of Leyden had been founded by the first continent prince of Orange. Scaliger bequeathed his own to tallibraries it; and it obtained the Oriental manuscripts of Golius. A catalogue had been printed by Peter Bertius as early as 1597.† Many public and private libraries either now began to be formed in France, or received great accessions; among the latter, those of the historian De Thou, and the president Seguier ‡ No German library, after that of Vienna, had been so considerable as one formed in the course of several ages by the Electors Palatine at Heidelberg. It contained many rare manuscripts. On the capture of the city by Tilly in 1622, he sent a number of these to Rome, and they long continued to sleep in the recesses of the Vatican. Napoleon, emulous of such a precedent, obtained thirty-eight of the Heidelberg manuscripts by the treaty of Tolentino, which were transmitted to Paris. On the restitution of these

in 1815, it was justly thought that prescription was not to be pleaded by Rome for the rest of the plunder especially when she was recovering what she had lost by the same right of spokation, and the whole collection has been replaced in the

library of Heidelberg

43 The Italian academies have been often represented us partaking in the alleged decline of literary spirit nakes and during the first part of the seventeenth century decision.

Nor is this reproach a new one. Boccalini after the com mencement of this period, tells us that these institutions once so famous had fallen into decay, their ardent zenl in literary exercises and discussions having abated by time, so that while they had once been frequented by private men, and esteemed they princes, they were now abandoned and despised by all They petition Apollo, therefore, in a chapter of his Raggingli di Parnasso, for a reform But the god replies that all things have their old age and decay, and as nothing can pre vent the neatest pair of slippers from wearing out, so nothing advise them to ampress from a similar lot, hence he can only advise them to ampress the worst, and to supply their places by others.* If only such n counsel were required, the institution of academies in general would not perish. And in fact we really find that while some societies of this class came to nothing, as is always the case with self constituted bodies, the seventeenth century had births of its own to boast, not infe rior to the older progeny of the last age. The Academy of Humorists at Rome was one of these. It arose casually at the marriage of a young nobleman of the Mancini family, and took the same line as many had done, reciting verses and discourses, or occasionally representing plays. The tragedy of Demetrius by Rocco, one of this academy, is reckoned among the best of the age. The Apatisti of Florence took their name from Fioretti who had assumed the appellation of Udeno Nisielo Academico Apatista. The Rozzi of Siena, whom the government had suppressed in 1568, revived again in 1605 and rivalled another society of the same city the Intronati The former especially dedicated their time to pastoral in the rustic dialect (comedia rusticale), a species of

dramatic writing that might amuse at the moment, and was designed for no other end, though several of these farces are extant.*

44. The Academy Della Crusca, which had more solid objects for the advantages of letters in view, has been mentioned in another place. But that of the Lincei, founded by Frederic Cesi, stands upon a higher ground than any of the rest. This young man was born at Rome in 1585, son of the Duke of Acqua Sparta, a father and a family known only for their pride and ignorance. But nature had created in Cesi a philosophic mind, in conjunction with a few of similar dispositions, he gave his entire regard to science, and projected himself, at the age of eighteen, an academy, that is, a private association of friends for intellectual pursuits, which, with reference to their desire of piercing with acute discernment into the depths of truth, he denominated the Lynxes. Their device was that animal, with its eyes turned towards heaven, and tearing a Cerberus with its claws, thus intimating that they were prepared for war against error and falsehood. The church, always suspicious, and inclined to make common cause with all established tenets, gave them some trouble, though neither theology nor politics entered into their scheme. This embraced, as in their academies, poetry and elegant literature, but physical science was their peculiar object. Porta, Galileo, Colonna, and many other distinguished men, both of Italy and the Transalpine countries, were enrolled among the Lynxes, and Cesi is said to have framed rather a visionary plan of a general combination of philosopheis, in the manner of the Pythagoreans, which should extend itself to every part of Europe. The constitutions of this imaginary order were even published in 1624; they are such as could not have been realised, but from the organisation and secrecy that seem to have been their elements, might not improbably have drawn down a prosecution upon themselves, or even rendered the name of philosophy obnoxious. Cesi died in 1630, and his academy of Lynxes did not long survive the loss of their chief.†

45. The tide of public opinion had hitherto set regularly in

^{*} Salfi, vol x11

CHAP IX.1

one direction, ancient times, ancient learning, ancient wis dom and virtue, were regarded with unqualified ve-neration, the very course of nature was hardly for a party of believed to be the same, and a common degeneracy

was thought to have overspread the earth and its inhabitants. This had been at its height in the first century after the revival of letters, the prejudice in fevour of the past, always current with the old, who offect to dictate the maxims of ex perience, conspiring with the genuine lustro of classical liter ature and ancient history, which dazzled the youthful scholar But this unstocracy of learning was now assailed by a new power which had risen up in sufficent strength to dispute the pre-eminence. We, and Boson, are the true ancients, what we call the antiquity of the world was but its infancy. This thought, equally just and halliant, was caoght up and eclosed by many, it will be repeatedly found in later works. It be came a question whether the moderns had not really left behind their progenitors, and though it has been hioted, that a dwarf on a groat's shoulders sees farther than the grant, this is, in one sense, to concede the point in dispote.

46 Tasson was one of the first who combated the esta blished prejodice by maintaining that modern times are not inferior to accient, it well became his intrepid disposition † But Lancilotti, un Italian ecclemantic, end member of several academies, pursued this subject in an elaborate work, in tended to prove, first, that the world was neither morally svorse nor more afflicted by calamines than it had been, secondly, that the intellectual abilities of mankind had not degenerated It bears the general title, L Hoggidi, To-Day, and is throughout a ridiculo of those whom he calls Hoggidani, perpetual declaimers against the present state of things. He is a very copious and learned writer, and no friend to untiquity, each chapter being cuttiled Disinganuo and intended to remove some false prejudice. The first part of this work uppeared in 1629, the second after the author s death, not till 1658 Lancilotti wrote unother book with

As quemedmodum pygmens hus que in nostros treus conversis adjierre treris gigantis insidens longius quem allq id, non superolla tollere, aut parvi gigas prospieere, neque tamen se giganta majorem habere a talbi multum tribuere potest, ita nos veterum leboribus vigilija-

allq id, non superollia tollere, aut parvi facere, q l anta nos fuerunt debemus. Cyprianus, Vita Campanellar p. 15 f 54lfl, xi. 381.

somewhat a similar object, entitled Farfalloni degl' Antichi Istorici, and designed to turn the ancient historians into ridicile; with a good deal of pleasantry, but chiefly on account of stories which no one in his time would have beheved. The same ground was taken soon afterwards by an English divine, George Hakewill, in his "Apology, or Declaration of the Power and Providence of God in the Government of the World," published in 1627. This is designed to prove that there is not that perpetual and umversal decay in nature which many suppose. It is an elaborate refutation of many absurd notions which seem to have prevaled; some behaving that even physical nature, the sun and stars, the earth and waters, were the worse for wear. A greater number thought this true of man, his age, his size, his strength, his powers of mind, were all supposed to have been deteriorated. Hakewill patiently and learnedly refuted all this. The moral character of antiquity he shows to be much exaggerated, animadverting especially on the Romans. The most remarkable, and certainly the most disputable chapters, are those which relate to the literary ments of ancient and modern times. He seems to be one of the first who ventured to put in a claim for the latter. In this he anticipates Wotton, who had more to say. Hakewill goes much too far in calling Sidney's Arcadia "nothing inferior to the choicest piece among the ancients," and even thinks "he should not much wrong Virgil by matching him with Du Bartas." The learning shown in this treatise is very extensive, but Hakewill has no taste, and cannot perceive any real superiority in the ancients. Compared with Lancilotti, he is much inferior in liveliness, perhaps even in learning, but I have not observed that he has borrowed any thing from the Italian, whose publication was but four years earlier.

deal of erudition, but scarcely raises a high notion of Browne's of Browne himself as a philosopher, or of the state of physical knowledge in England. The errors he indicates are such as none but illiterate persons, we should think, were likely to hold, and I believe that few on the Continent, so late as 1646, would have required to have

them exploded with such an estentation of proof Who did a not know that the phenix is a fable? Browne was where the learned in Europe had been seventy years before, nod seems to have been one of those who saturate their miods with bad books till they have little room for any thing new that is better A man of so much credulity and such an irregular imaginetion as Browne was almost sire to believe in witchcraft and all sorts of spiritual ageocies. In no respect did be go in indvance of his age noless we make an exception for his declaration against persecution. He seems to have been fond of those trifling questions which the bad taste of the schoolmen and their contemporaries introduced, as whether a mao has fewer ribs than a woman, whether Adam and Eve had navels, whether Methusaleh was the old est man, the problems of children put to adults. With a strong currouty and a real love of truth, Browne is a strik ing instance of a merely empirical mind, he is at sea with sails and a rudder but without n compass or log book, and has so little notion of any laws of nature, or of any inductive reasoning oither as to efficient or final causes, that he never seems to judge any thing to be true or false except by experiment

48 In concluding our review of the sixteenth century, we selected Pinelli, as in single model of the literary character, which loving and encouraging knowledge, character, which loving and encouraging knowledge, is yet too little distinguished by any writings to full natorally within the general subject of these volumes. The period which we now bring to a close will furnish is with o much more considerable instance. Nicolas Peirces was born in 1580, of an ancient fomily in Provence, which had for some generations held jindicial offices to the parliament of Aix. An extraordinary thirst for every knod of knowledge characterised Peirces from his earliest youth, and being of a weak constitution, as well as ample fortune, though he retained, like his family, an hononrable post to the parliament, his time was priocipally devoted to the molitiferious pursuits of an enlightened scholar. Like Pinelli, he delighted in the rantice of art and antiquity, but his own soperior genius, and the vocation of that age towards science, led him on to a far more extensive field of inquiry. We have the life of

Peiresc written by his countryman and intimate friend Gassendi; and no one who has any sympathy with science or with a noble character will read it without pleasure. Few books, indeed, of that period are more full of casual information.

- 49. Perresc travelled much in the early part of his life; he was at Rome in 1600, and came to England and Holland in 1606. The hard drinking, even of our learned men*, disconcerted his southern stomach; but he was repaid by the society of Camden, Savile, and Cotton. The king received Perresc courteously, and he was present at the opening of parliament. On returning to his native province, he began to form his extensive collections of marbles and medals, but especially of natural history in every hine. He was, perhaps, the first who observed the structure of zoophytes, though he seems not to have suspected their animal nature. Petrifactions occupied much of his time; and he framed a theory of them which Gassendi explains at length, but which, as might be expected, is not the truth.† Botany was among his favourite studies, and Europe owes to him, according to Gassendi, the Indian jessamine, the gourd of Mecca, the real Egyptian papyrus, which is not that described by Prosper Alpinus. He first planted ginger, as well as many other Oriental plants, in an European garden, and also the cocoanut, from which however he could not obtain fruit.
- 50. Perresc was not less devoted to astronomy; he had no sooner heard of the discoveries of Galileo than he set himself to procure a telescope, and had in the course of the same year, 1610, the pleasure of observing the moons of Jupiter. It even occurred to him that these might serve to ascertain the longitude, though he did not follow up the idea. Galileo indeed, with a still more inventive mind, and with more of mathematics, seems to have stood in the way of Peiresc. He took, as far as appears, no great pains to publish his researches, contenting himself with the intercourse of literary men, who passed near him, or with whom he could maintain correspondence. Several discoveries are ascribed to him by Gassendi, of their originality I cannot venture to decide.

"From his retreat," says another biographer, "Peirese gave more encooragement to letters than any prince, more even than the Cardinal de Richeheo, who sometime afterwards foonded the Freech Academy Worthy in have been called by Bayle—the attorney-general of hieratore, he kept always on the level of progressive science, published monoscripts in his own expense, followed the lobours of the learned throughout Eorope, and gave them on octivo impulse by his own oid "Scaliger, Salmasios Holstenius, Aircher, Mersenne, Grotins Valois, are but some of the great names of Europe whom he assisted by various kinds of fiberality." He published nothing himself, but some of his letters have been collected.

51 The character of Petrese was anniable and coreserved among his friends, but he was too much absorbed to the love of knowledge for insipid conversation. For the same reason his biographer informs us, he disliked the society of women gaining nothing valuable from the trifles and scandal opon which clone they coold converse † Possibly the society of both sexes at Aix, to the age of Petrese, was soch as, with no excessive fastidiousness, he might evoid. In his eager ness for new truths, he became somewhat credulous, an error not perhaps easy to be evoided, while the occumulation of facts proceeded more rapidly than the assertatoment of natural laws. Bot for o genuice liberality of mind and extensive attaigents to knowledge very few can be compared to Petrese, nor among those who have resembled him in this employment of wealth and leisure do I know that coy names have descended to posterity with equal lostre, except our two countrymen of the next generation, who opproached so nearly to his character and coorse of his, Borle and Evelva.

Biogr Universelle.

† Greendi, p. 219.

PART IV

ON THE LITERATURE OF THE SECOND HALF OF THE SEVENTEENTH CENTURY



CHAPTER I

HISTORY OF ANCIENT LITERATURE IN EUROPE, FROM 1650 то 1700

SECT I

Dutch Scholars - Jernit and Jansenist Philologers - Delphin Editions - French Scholars - English Scholars - Beniley

1 The death of Salmasius about the beginning of this period left a chasm in critical literature which no one was qual to fill. But the nearest to this gnant of philosephilogy was James Frederic Gronovius, a native of Hamburg, but drawn, like several more of his countrymon to the universities of Holland, the peculiarly learned state of Europe through the seventeenth century The principal labours of Gronovius were those of correcting the text of Latin writers, in Greek we find very little due to him * His notes form an useful and considerable part of those which are collected in what are generally styled the Variorum editions, published, chiefly after 1660, by the Dutch booksellers. These contain selections from the older critics, some of them, especially those first edited, indifferently made and often mutilated, others with more attention to preservo entire the original notes. These however are for the most part only critical, as if explanatory observations were below the notice of an editor, though, as Le Clerc says, those of Manutins on Cicero s epistles cost him much more time than modern editors have

given to their conjectures.* In general, the Varioum editions were not greatly prized, with the exception of those by the two Gronovn and Greevins, 1

2. The place of the elder Gronovius, in the latter part of this present period, was filled by his son. James Gronovius, by indefatigable labour, and by a greater number of editions which bear his name, may be reckoned, if not a greater philologer, one not less celebrated than his He was at least a better Greek critic, and in this language, though far below those who were about to arise, and who did in fact colipse him long before his death, Bentley and Burman, he kept a high place for several years. F Grievins, another German, whom the Dutch moversities had

attracted and retained, contributed to the Variorum editions, chiefly those of Latin anthors, an erudition not less

copious than that of any contemporary scholar.

3. The philological character of Gerard Vossins himself, if we might believe some partial testimonies, fell short of that of his son Isaac, whose observations on Pomponius Mela, and an edition of Catullus, did him extraordinary credit, and have placed him among the first philologers of this age. He was of a more lively genius, and perhaps hardly less erudition than his father, but with a paradoxical judgment, and has certainly rendered much less service to letters.§ Another son of a great father, Nicolas Hemsius, has by none been placed on a level with him, but his editions of Prudentius and Claudian are better than any that had preceded them.

4. Germany fell lower and lower in classical literature. A writer as late as 1714 complains, that only modern books of Latin were taught in the schools, and that German learning the students in the universities despised all grainmatical learning. The study "not of our own language, which we entirely neglect, but of French," he reckons among the causes of this decay in ancient learning; the French translations of the classics led many to imagine that the original could be dispensed with. || Ezekiel Spanheim, envoy

^{*} Parrhasiana, 1 233

[†] A list of the Variorum editions will be found in Baillet, Critiques Grammairiens, n 604

[†] Baillet, n 548 Niceron, 11 177 § Niceron, vol viii

Burckliardt, De Lingue Latine hodie neglectæ Causis Oratio, p 34

from the cont of Brandeburg to that of Louis XIV, was a distinguished exception, his edition of Juliun, and his notes on several ather writers, offer on extensive learning which has still preserved his namu in honour. As the ceutury drew night to its close, Germany began to rovivo, a few men of real philological learning, especially Fabricius, oppeared as heralds of those greater numes which adorn her literary annals in the next age

numes which adurn her literary annals in the next age

5 The Jesnits had lung been conspicuously the classical
scholars of France, in their colleges the purest und
most elegant Latinity was sopposed to be found,
they had early cultivated these graces of literature,
while all polite writing was confined to the Latin language,
and they still preserved them in its comparative disuse
"Thu Jesnits" Huet says, "write and speak Latin well,
but their style is almost always too rheterical. This is
awing to their keeping regences [an usual phrasa fur uea
demical exercises] from their early youth, which causes them
to speak incessantly in public, and become accustomed to a
sustained and polished style above the tune of common subjects." Janvancy, whose Latin arations were published in
1700, has had an equal, if we may trust a panegyrist since
Maffer and Muretus. †

6 The Jansensts uppeared ready ut and time to wrest this palm from their inveterate foes. Lancelot threw some udditional lustre round Purt Royal by the Latin and Greek grammars, which are more frequently called by the name of that funuus cloister than by his awn. Both were received with great approbation in the French schools, except, I enppose, where the Jesnits pre dominated and their reputation lasted for many years. They were never so popular, though well known, in this country "The public," says Baillet af the Greek grammar, which is rather the more eminent of the two, bears witness that nothing of its known has been more finished. The order is clear and concise. We find in it many remarks, both judicious and important for the full knowledge of the language. Though Lancelot has chiefly folluwed Canning, Sylburgius,

Sanctius, and Vossius, his arrangement is new, and he has selected what is most valuable in their works." In fact, he professes to advance nothing of his own, being more indebted, he says, to Cammus than to any one else. The method of Clenardus he disapproves, and thinks that of Ramus intricate. He adopts the division into three declensions. But his notions of the proper meaning of the tenses are strangely confused and erroneous: several other mistakes of an obvious nature, as we should now say, will occur in his syntax; and upon the whole the Port Royal grammar does not give us a high idea of the critical knowledge of the seventeenth century, as to the more difficult language of antiquity.

7. The Latin, on the other hand, had been so minutely

and laboriously studied, that little more than gleanings after a great harvest could be obtained. The Aristarchus of Vossius, and his other grammatical works, though partly not published till this period, have been mentioned in the last volume. Perizonius, a professor at Francker, and in many respects one of the most learned of this age, published a good edition of the Minerva of Sanctius in 1687. This celebrated grammar had become very scarce, as well as that of Scioppius, which contained nothing but remarks upon Sanctius. Perizonius combined the two with notes more ample than those of Scioppius, and more bold in differing from the Spanish grammarian.

8. If other editions of the classical authors have been preferred by critics, none, at least of this period, have been more celebrated than those which Louis XIV., at the suggestion of the Duke de Montausier, caused to be prepared for the use of the dauphin. The object in view was to elucidate the Latin writers, both by a continual gloss in the margin, and by such notes as should bring a copious mass of ancient learning to bear on the explanation, not of the more difficult passages alone, but of all those in which an ordinary reader might require some aid. The former of these is less useful and less satisfactorily executed than the latter, as for the notes, it must be owned that, with much that is superfluous even to tolerable scholars, they bring

together a great deal of very serviceable illustration. The choice of authors as well as of editors was referred to Huet, who fixed the number of the former at forty. The idea of an index on a more extensive plan than in any earlier editions, was also due to Huet, who had designed to fuse those of each work into one more general, as a standing historical analysis of the Latin language. These editions are of very unequal ment, as might be expected from the number of persons employed, a list of whom will be found in Baillet.

9 Tanaquil Faber, thus better known than by his real none, Thuneguy le Fevre, a man learned, animated, not fearing the repreach of paradox, acquired a con siderable name among French critics by several editions, as well as by other writings in philology But none of his literary productions were so celebrated as his daughter, Anne le Fevre, afterwards Madame Dacier The knowledge of Greek though ooce not very nacommon in a woman, had become prodigious in the days of Lonis XIV, and when this distinguished lady taught Homer and Sappho to speak French prose, she appeared a phoeux in the eyes of her countrymen She was undoubtedly a person of very rare talents and estimable character, her translations are numerous and reputed to be correct, though Niceron has observed that she did not raise Homer in the eyes of those who were not prejudiced in his fayour Her husband was a scholar of kindred mind and the same pursuits. Their union was facetiously called the wedding of Latin and Greek Bot each of this learned couple was skilled in both languages Dacier was a great translator, his Horace is perhaps the best known of his versions, but the Poenes of Aristotle have done him most honour Dacters had to fight the battle of antiquity against a generation both ignorant and vain-glorious, yet keen nighted in the detection of blemishes, and disposed to avenge the wrongs of their fathers who had been trampled upon by pedants with the help of a new pedantry, that of the court and the mode. With great learning they had a competent share of good sense, but not perhaps a sufficiently discerning taste, or live liness enough of style, to maintain a cause that had so many prejudices of the world now enlisted against it.

Henry Valois his edition of Ammianus Marcellinus in 1036, which established his philological months of learning others. other works in the same line of criticism followed, he is among the great ornaments of learning in this period. Nor was France destitute of others that did her honour. Cotelier, it is said, deserved by his knowledge of Greek to be placed on a level with the great scholars of former times. Yet there seems to have been some decline, at least towards the close of the century, in that prodigious erudition which had distinguished the preceding period. "For we know no one," says Le Clerc, about 1699, "who equals in learning, in diligence, and in the quantity of his works, the Scaligers, the Lipsii, the Casaubons, the Salmasii, the Meursii, the Vossii, the Seldens, the Gronovii, and many more of former times."† Though perhaps in this reflection there was something of the customary bias against the present generation, we must own that the writings of scholars were less massive, and consequently gave less apparent evidence of industry than formerly. But in classical philology at least, a better day was about to arise, and the first omen of it came from a country not yet much known in that literature.

England was very far from wanting men of extensional sive erudition, she had not been at all eminent in ancient or classical literature. The proof which the absence of critical writings, or even of any respectable editions, furnishes, appears weighty, nor can it be repelled by sufficient testimony. In the middle of the century James Duport, Greek professor at Cambridge, deserves honour by standing almost alone. "He appears," says a late biographer, "to have been the main instrument by which literature was upheld in this university during the civil disturbances of the

^{*} Baillet Niceron, vol in Bibliothèque Universelle, x 295, xxii 176, xxiv 241 261 Biogr Univ

[†] Parrhasiana, vol 1 p 225 Je viens d'apprendre, says Charles Patin in one of his letters, que M Gronovius est mort à

Leyden Il restoit presque tout seul du nombre des savans d'Hollande Il n'est plus dans ce pais-là des gens faits comme Jos Scaliger, Baudius, Heinsius, Salmasius, et Grotius (P 582)

seventeenth century, and though little known of present, ho enjoyed na almost transcendant reputation for a great length of time among his contemporaries as well as in the gener ation which immediately succeeded " Duport, however, has f little claim to this reputation except by translotions of the writings of Solomon, the book of Job, and the Psalms, into Greek hexameters, concerning which his biographer gently intimates that "his notions of versification were not formed in o severe or critical school," and by what has certainly been more esteemed, his Homen Gnoniologia, which Le Clerc and Bishop Monk agree to praise, as very useful to the student of Homer Duport gave also some lectures on Theophrastus aboot 1656, which were afterwards published in Needliam's edition of that anthor "In these," says Le Clerc, ' ho explains words with much exactness, and so as to show that he understood the analogy of the language "† 'They are, upon the whole, calculated says the Bishop of Gloucester to give no unfavourable opinion of the state of Greek learn ing in the university at that memorable crisis"

12 It cannot be fourly said that our universities declined in general learning under the usurpation of Crom

ordinary men than in any earlier period, but not generally well affected to the predominant power Greek however seems not much to have flourished, even immediately after the Restoration Barrow, who was chosen Greek professor in 1660, complains that no one attended his lectures. "I at like an Attie ow!" he says, "driven out from the society of all other birds." According indeed to the scheme of

study retained from a more barbarous age, no knowledge of the Greek language oppears to have been required from the students, as necessary for their degrees. And if we may

they related to study was made after the time of Henry VIII or Edward VI.

^{*} Museum Criticum, vol. ii. p. 672. (by the Beshop of Gloocester and Bristol.) + Bibliothèque Choisie, xxv 18.

[†] See a biographical memoir of Bar row prefixed to Hughess edition of hi works. This contains sketch of studies pursued in the university of Cambridge from the twelfth to the seventeenth century brief indeed, but such as I should have been glad to have seen before, p. 62. N alteration in the starting, so far as

The studies of the Cambridge schools about 1680 consisted of logic scholes, matural philosophy and mathematics; the latter branch of knowledge, which was destined subsequently to take the lead, and almost avallow up the reat, bed then but recently because an object of much attention Monk's Life of Bentley p. 6.—1842.

a satirical writer of the time of Charles II., but one satire had great circulation and was not taxed with od, the general state of education, both in the schools iversities, was as narrow, pedantic, and unprofitable, he conceived.*

We were not, nevertheless, destitute of men distinguished for critical skill, even from the commencement of this period. The first was a very learned divine. Thomas Gatakei, one whom a foreign writer ced among the six Protestants, most conspicuous, in his ent, for depth of reading. His Cinnus, sive Adversaria lanea, published in 1651, to which a longer work, en-Adversaria Posthuma, is subjoined in later editions, may oduced here; since, among a far greater number of ral explanations, both of these miscellames contain many g to profane antiquity. He claims a higher place for his of Marcus Antoninus the next year. This is the earliest , if I am not mistaken, of any classical writer published gland with original annotations. Those of Gataker a very copious learning, and the edition is still perhaps ed the best that has been given of this author.

Thomas Stanley, author of the History of Ancient Philosophy, undertook a more difficult task, and gave in 1663 his celebrated edition of Æschylus. s, as every one has admitted, by far superior to any that receded it, nor can Stanley's real praise be effaced, h it may be diminished, by an unfortunate charge that en brought against him, of having appropriated to hime conjectures, most of them unpublished, of Casaubon, , and Scaliger, to the number of at least three hundred nationality, that a living English scholar was the first ect and announce this plagiarism of a critic, in whom d been accustomed to take pride, from these foreigners. + these plumes have been withdrawn, Stanley's Æschylus emain a great monument of critical learning.

Menc Casaubon by his notes on Persius, Antoninus,

rough ten editions by 1696

chard's Grounds and Occasions † Edinburgh Review, xix 494 Mu-Contempt of the Clergy This seum Criticum, 11 498 (both by the act was published in 1670, and Bishop of London)

and Diogenes Laertios, Pearson by those on the last author, Galo on Iamblichos, Price on Apuleus, Hudson by his editions of Thacyddes and Josephus, Potter by that of Lycophron, Baxter of Anaereon, ottested the progress of classical learning in a soil so well fitted to give it nourishment. The same William Baxter published the first grammar, not quite elementary, which had appeared in England, cottled Do Analogia, see Arto Lation I inguire Commentarios. It relates principally to etymology, and to the deduction of the different parts of the verb from a stein, which ho conceives to be the imperative mood. Baxter was a man of some ability, bot, in the style of critics affensively cootemptoous towards his brethren of the craft.

16 We most hasten to the greatest of langhsh critics in this, or possibly ony other age, Richard Bentley. His first book was the epistle to Mill, subjoined to language the latter's edition of the chronicle of John Malala, a Greek writer of the lower empire. In a desultors and almost garrulons strain, Bentley pours forth an inniense storo of novel learning and of neue criticism, especially on his favourite subject, which was destined to become his glory, the scattered rules of the innevent dramatists. The style of Bentley, always terse and hiely, sometimes liamorous and drily sacrastic, whether ho wrote in Lantin or in Linghsh, could not but augment the admiration which his learning challenged. Gravius and Spanheim pronounced him the rising star of British literature, and a correspondence with the former began in 1692, which continued in unbroken friendship till his death.

17 But the rare qualities of Bentley were more obundantly displayed, ood before the eyes of a more nomerous properties tribnool, in his famoos dissertation on the epistles of Phaloris. This was provoked, in the first in stroce, by a few lines of eulogy on these epistles by Sir William Temple, who pretended to find in them indubitoble marks of outhentierty. Bentley, in a dissertation subjoined to Wotton's Reflections on Modern and Ancient Learning, gave tolerably cooclusive proofs of the contrary. A young monoil light family ond respectable learning Charles Boyle, hold published on edition of the Epistles of Phaloris, with some reflec

tion on Bentley for personal incivility; a charge which he seems to have satisfactorily disproved. Bentley animadverted on this in his dissertation. Boyle the next year, with the assistance of some leading men at Oxford, Aldrich, King, and Atterbury, published his Examination of Bentley's Dissertation on Phalaris, a book generally called, in familiar brevity, Boyle against Bentley.* The Cambridge giant of criticism replied in an answer which goes by the name of Bentley against Boyle. It was the first great literary war that had been waged in England, and like that of Troy, it has still the prerogative of being remembered after the Epistles of Phalaris are almost as much buried as the walls of Troy itself. Both combatants were skilful in wielding the sword: the arms of Boyle, in Swift's language, were given him by all the gods, but his antagonist stood forward in no such figurative strength, master of a learning to which nothing parallel had been known in England, and that directed by an understanding prompt, discriminating, not idly sceptical, but still farther removed from trust in authority, sagacious in perceiving corruptions of language, and inge-nious, at the least, in removing them, with a style rapid, concise, amusing, and superior to Boyle in that which he had chiefly to boast, a sarcastic wit.†

18. It may now seem extraordinary to us, even without looking at the anachronisms or similar errors which Bentley has exposed, that any one should be deceived by the Epistles of Phalaris. The rhetorical common-places, the cold declamation of the sophist, the care to please the reader, the absence of that simplicity, with which a man who has never

* "The principal share in the undertaking fell to the lot of Atterbury, this was suspected at the time, and has since been placed beyond all doubt by the publication of a letter of his to Boyle" Monk's Life of Bentley, p 69

† "In point of classical learning the joint stock of the confederacy bore no proportion to that of Bentley, their acquaintance with several of the books upon which they comment appears only to have begun upon that occasion, and sometimes they are indebted for their knowledge of them to their adversary, compared with his boundless crudition

their learning was that of school-boys, and not always sufficient to preserve them from distressing mistakes. But profound literature was at that period confined to few, while wit and raillery found numerous and eager readers. It may be doubtful whether Busby himself, by whom every one of the confederated band had been educated, possessed knowledge which would have qualified him to enter the lists in such a controversy." Monk's Bentley, p. 69. Warburton has justly said, that Bentley by his wit foiled the Oxford men at their own weapons.

known restraint in diaguising his thoughts or choosing his words, is sure to express himself, strike us in the pretended letters of this buskined tyrant, the Looi Basilice of the un cunt world. But this was doubtless thought evidence of their anthenticity by many, who might say, as others have done in a happy vein of metaphor, that they seemed not written with a pen but with a sceptre. The argument from the use of the Atte dialect by a Sicilian tyrant, contemporary with Pythagoras, is of itself conclusive, and would leave no doubt in the present day

19 "It may be remarked," says the Bishop of Gloncester, "that a scholar at that time possessed neither the aids nor the encouragements which are now presented to scholar in smooth the paths of literature. The grammars of that age. the Latin and Greek languages were imperfectly and erroneously taught, and the critical scholar must have felt se verely the absence of sufficient indexes, particularly of the voluminous scholinsts, grammarians, and later writers of Greece, in the examination of which no inconsiderable portion of a life might be consumed Bentley, relying upon his own exertions and the resources of his own mind, pursued an original path of criticism, in which the intuitive quickness and subulty of his genius qualified him to excel In the faculty of memory so important for such parsuits, he has himself candidly declared that he was not particularly gifted Con sequently he practised throughout life the precaution of noting in the margin of his books the suggestions and con jectures which rushed into his mind during their perusal To this habit of laying up muterials in store, we may partly attribute the surprising rapidity with which some of his most important works were completed He was also ut the trouble of constructing for his own use indexes of anthors quoted by the principal scholiasts, by Eustathius and other ancient commentators, of a nature similar to those afterwards published by Pabricius in his Bibliotheca Greeca, which latter were the produce of the joint labour of various hands ".

[.] Monk's Life of Bentley p. 19.

SECT. II.—ON ANTIQUITIES.

Gravius and Gronovius - Fabretti - Numsmatic Writers - Chronology

20. The two most industrious scholars of their time, Gravius and Gronovius, collected into one body such of the Thesaurl of Grævlus and of Gronumerous treatises on Roman and Greek antiquities as they thought most worthy of preservation in an uniform and accessible work. These form the Thesaurus Antiquitatum Romanarum by Grævius, in twelve volumes, the Thesaurus Antiquitatum Græcarum by Gronovius, in thirteen volumes; the former published in 1694, the first volumes of the latter in 1697. They comprehend many of the labours of the older antiquaries already commemorated from the middle of the sixteenth to that of the seventcenth century, and some also of a later date. Among these, in the collection of Grævius, are a treatise of Albert Rubens, son of the great painter, on the dress of the Romans, particularly the laticlave, (Antwerp, 1665,) the enlarged edition of Octavius Ferrarius on the same subject, several treatises by Spanhem and Ursatus, and the Roma Antica of Nardini, published in 1666. Gronovius gave a place in his twelfth volume (1702) to the very recent work of a young Englishman, Potter's Antiquities, which the author, at the request of the veteran antiquary, had so much enlarged, that the Latin translation in Gronovius is nearly double in length the first edition of the English.* The warm eulogics of Gronovius attest the ment of this celebrated work. Potter was but twenty-three years of age; he had of course availed himself of the writings of Meursius, but he has also contributed to supersede them. It has been said that he is less exact in attending to the difference of times and places than our finer cuticism requires.†

21. Bellori, in a long list of antiquarian writings, Falconieri in several more, especially his Inscriptiones Athleticæ, maintained the honour of Italy in this province so justly claimed as her own.‡ But no one has

been accoooted equal to Raphoel Fabrett, by judges so competent as Maffet, Gravina, Fabroni, and Visconti • His petent as Maffet, Gravina, Fabroni, and Visconia. His diligence in collecting inscriptioos was only surpassed by his segmenty in explaining them, and his authority has been pregered to that if ony ather autiquary. His time was spect in delving omong ruins and vaults, to explain the subtervancian treasores of Latium, no heat, nur cold, nor ruio, nur bad ness of road, coold deter him from these solitary peregrinations. Yet this glury of Fobretti must be partly shared with his burse. This wise and furthful animal, named Marco. Pulu, had acquired, it is said, the hubit of standing still, and as it were pointing, when he came near an antiquity, his master candidly owning that several things which would have escaped him hod been detected by the antiquorian quadru ped.‡ Fabretti's principal works are three dissertations on the Roman equeducts, and one on the Trajan column Little, says Fabron, was known before obout the Romon galleys or their naval affsire in general & Pabretti was the first who reduced lopidary remains into classes, ood urmoged them so as to illustrate each other, a method, says poo of his most distinguished successors, which has loid the founda tions of the science. A profusion of collateral learning is mingled with the main stream of all his investigations

22 No uno had ever cumu to the study of medals with such stores of erodition as Ezekul Spanheim. The marker writers on the subject, Vice, Erizzo, Angelon, were not comparable to him, and had rather dwelt on the genuineness or rarry of come thou on their osefulness to illustrating lustory. Spanheim's Dissertations on the Use of Medals, the second improved edition of which appeared in 1671, first connected them with the most profund and critical research into antiquity. Vailant, traveling into the Levant, brought home great treasures of Greek comage, especially those of the Selectific, at unce cortching the calmets of the curious and establishing instorical truth Medallic evidence, in fact, may be reckeded among those

Fabretil's life has been written by two vary favourable biographers, Fabroni, in Vita Italorum, vol. vi., and Visconti, in the Biographie Universelle. † Fabroni, p. 167 Biogr Univ † Fabroni, p. 192. § P 201 § Biogr Univ ¶ Bibl, Cholsie, vol xxil, checks upon the negligence of historians, that having been retrieved by industrious antiquaries, have created a cautious and discerning spirit which has been exercised in later times upon facts, and which, beginning in scepticism, passes onward to a more rational, and therefore more secure, conviction of what can fairly be proved. Jobert, in 1692, consolidated the researches of Spanheim, Vaillant, and other numismatic writers in his book, entitled La Science des Médailles, a better system of the science than had been published.*

23. It would of course not be difficult to fill these pages with brief notices of other books that fall within the extensive range of classical antiquity. But we have no space for more than a mere enumeration, which would give little satisfaction. Chronology has received some attention in former volumes. Our learned Aichbishop Usher might there have been named, since the first part of his Annals of the Old Testament, which goes down to the year of the world 3828, was published in 1650. The second part followed in 1654. This has been the chronology generally adopted by English historians, as well as by Bossuet, Calmet, and Rollin, so that for many years it might be called the orthodox scheme of Europe. No former annals of the world had been so exact in marking dates and collating sacred history with profane. It was therefore exceedingly convenient for those who, possessing no sufficient leisure or learning for these inquiries, might very reasonably confide in such authority.

formed to the Hebrew chronology in all scriptural dates. But it is well known that the Septuagint version, and also the Samaritan Pentateuch, differ greatly from the Hebrew and from each other, so that the age of the world has nearly 2000 years more antiquity in the Greek than in the original text. Jerome had followed the latter in the Vulgate; and in the seventeenth century it was usual to maintain the incorrupt purity of the Hebrew manuscripts, so that when Pezron, in his Antiquité des Temps dévoilée, 1687, attempted to establish the Septuagint chronology, it

excited a clamour 10 some of his chorch, as derogatory to tho Vulgnte translatioo Martianay defended the received chro-oology, and the system of Pezroo gaioed httle invoir to that age • It has since become more popular, chiefly perhaps on account of the greater latitude it gives to speculations on the origin of kingdoms and other events of the early world, which are certainly somewhat cramped to the common reckeoing But the Septuagint chronology is not free from its own difficulties, and the internal evidence seems rather against its liaving been the original Where two most be wrong, it is possible that all three may be so, and the most jodicious ioquirers ioto oncient liistory have of lote been coming to the opinioo, that, with certain exceptions, there are on means of establishing an couro occoracy to dates before the Olympiads. While much of the more encreet history itself, even to leading and important ovents, is so precarious as must be ocknowledged, there can be little confideocs to chronological schemes They seem however to be very seduciog, so that those who eoter open the sohject as sceptics become believers in their own theory

25 Among those who oddressed their oftention to parti cular portions of chrocology, Sir John Marsham ought to be mentioned In his Canon Chronicus Egyptacus he attempted, as the learned were still more prono than they are own to reconcile conflicting outhorities without rejecting any He is said to have first started the ingenious idea that the Egyptian dynastics, stretching to such immense untiquity, were oot successive but colluteral t Marsham fell, like many others after him, into the unfortu nate mistake of confounding Sesostris with Sesac. But in times when discoveries that Marsham could out have antici pated were yet at a distance he is extelled by most of those who had laboured, by help of the Greek and Hebrew writers alone, to fix ancient history on o stable fonodation, as the restorer of the Egyptino annals.

Biogr. Univ arts. Pezron and Mar † Blograph, Britannica, tlanny Bibliothèque Univ zziv 103.

١,

CHAPTER II.

HISTORY OF THEOLOGICAL LITERATURE, FROM 1650 TO 1700.

SECT. L.

Papal Power limited by the Gallican Church — Dupin — Fleury — Protestant Controversy — Bossuet — His Assaults on Protestantism — Jansenism — Progress of Arminanism in England — Trimtarian Controversy — Defences of Christianity — Pascal's Thoughts — Toleration — Boyle — Locke — French Sermons — And English — Other Theological II orks

1. It has been observed in the last volume, that while little or no decline could be perceived in the general Decline of church of Rome at the conclusion of that period which we then had before us, yet the papal authority itself had lost a part of that formidable character, which through the Jesuits, and especially Bellarmin, it had some years before assumed. This was now still more decidedly manifest: the temporal power over knigs was not, certainly, renounced, for Rome never retracts any thing; nor was it perhaps without Italian Jesuits to write in its behalf; but the common consent of nations rejected it so strenuously, that on no occasion has it been brought forward by any accredited or eminent advocate. There was also a growing disposition to control the court of Rome; the treaty of Westphalia was concluded in utter disregard of her protest. But such matters of history do not belong to us, when they do not bear a close relation to the warfare of the pen. Some events there were which have had a remarkable influence on the theological literature of France, and indirectly of the rest of Europe.

2. Loois XIV, more arrogant, in his earlier life, than biggotted, became involved in a contest with Innocent XI, by a piece of his usual despotism and contempt of his subjects' rights. He extended in 1678 the ancient prerogative, called the regale, by which the large enjoyed the revenues of vacant bishopries, to all the kingdom, though many sees had been legally exempt from it. Two bishops appealed to the pope, who interfered to their favour more peremptorily than the times would per Innocent, it is but just to say, was mointaining the fair rights of the church, rather than any claim of his own Bot the dispute took at length a different form - France was rich in prelates of eminent worth, and among such, as is evi dent, the Cisulpine theories had never lain wholly dormant since the conneils of Constance and Basic Loms convened tho fomons assembly of the Galhean clergy in 1682 Bossuet, who is said to have felt some apprehensions lest the spirit of resistance should become one of rebellion, was appointed to open this assembly, and his sermon on that occasion is among his most splendid works. His posture was indeed magnificent he stands foward, not so much the minister of religion as her urbitrator, we see him poise in his hands earth and heaven, and draw that boundary line which neither was to transgress, he speaks the language of reverential love towards the mother church, that of St Peter, and the fairest of her daughters to which he belongs, conciliating their transient fend; yet in this immestic tono which he assumes, no arrogance betrays itself, no thought of himself as one endowed with transcendant infloence, he speaks for his church and yet wo feel that he raises lumself above those for whom he speaks *

S Bossuet was finally entrasted with drawing up the foar articles, which the assembly, rather ut the in sugation perhaps of Colbert than of its own accord, series promulgated as the Galhean creed on the limits tons of papal authority. These declare 1 That kings are subject to no ecclesiastical power in temporals, nor can be deposed directly or indirectly by the chiefs of the chirch

2. That the decrees of the council of Constance as to the papal authority are in full force and ought to be observed: 3. That this authority can only be exerted in conformity with the canons received in the Gallican church: 4. That though the pope has the principal share in determining controversies of faith, and his decrees extend to all churches, they are not absolutely final, unless the consent of the catholic church be superadded. It appears that some bishops would have willingly used stronger language, but Bossuet foresaw the risk of an absolute schism. Even thus the Gallican church approached so nearly to it that, the pope refusing the usual bulls to bishops nominated by the king according to the concordat, between thirty and forty sees, at last, were left vacant. No reconciliation was effected till 1693, in the pontificate of Innocent XII. It is to be observed, whether the French writers slur this over or not, that the pope gamed the honours of war, the bishops who had sat in the assembly of 1682 writing separately letters which have the appearance of regretting, if not retracting, what they had done. These were however worded with intentional equivocation, and as the court of Rome yields to none in suspecting the subter-fuges of words, it is plain that it contented itself with an ex-terior humiliation of its adversaries. The old question of the regale was tacitly settled, Louis enjoyed all that he had desired, and Rome might justly think herself not bound to fight for the privileges of those who had made her so bad a return.*

4. The doctaine of the four articles gained ground perhaps in the church of France through a work of great boldness, and deriving authority from the learning and judgment of its author Dupin. In the height of the contest, while many were considering how far the Gallican church might dispense with the institution of bishops at Rome, that point in the established system which evidently secured the victory to their antagonist, in the year 1686, he published a treatise on the ancient discipline of the church. It is written in Latin, which he probably chose as

^{*} I have derived most of this account from Bausset's life of Bossuet, vol ii. Both the bishop and his biographer shuffle a good deal about the letter of ready to take up arms again

less obnoxious than his own language. It may be true, which I cannot affirm or deny, that each position in this work had been edvanced before, but the general tone seems undoubtedly more adverse to the papal supremucy than may book which could have come from a man of repoted orthodoxy. It tends, notwithstanding a few necessary admissions, to represent almost all that can be called power or jurisdiction in the see of Rome as acquired, if not abusive, and would leave, in a practical sense, no real pope at all, mere primacy being a trifle, and even the right of interfering by admonition being of no great value when there was no definite obligation to obey. The principle of Dupin is, that the church having reached her perfection in the fourth century, wa should endeavoor, as far as circumstances will udmit, to restore the discipline of that age. Bot, even in the Gallican church, it has generally been held that he has arged his argument ferther than is consistent with a necessary subordination to Rome.

5 In the same year Dupin published the first volume of u more celebrated work, his Nouvello Bibliothèque des Auteurs Ecclesiastiques, a complete history of theological literature, ut least within the limits of the chirch, which, in a long series of volumes, he finally brought down to the close of the seventeenth century. It is unquestionably the most standard work of that kind extant, whatever deficiencies may have been fooud in its execution. The immense erudition requisite for such in undertaking must have rendered it inevitable to take some things in the second hand, or to fall into some errors, and we may add other causes less necessary, the youth of the writer in the first volumes, and the rapidity with which they appeared. In tegrity, love of truth, and moderation, distinguish this cecle siastical history, perhaps beyond may other. Dupin is often near the frontier of orthodoxy, but he is carefol, even in the eyes of jealous Catholics, not quite to overstep it. This work was soon translated into English, and furnished in large part of soch knowledge on the subject as our own divines pos-

Bibliothèque Universelle, vi. 109, through by those who would understand The book is very clear concise, and such matters. I have not observed that learned, so that it is worth reading it is much quoted by English writers.

sessed. His free way of speaking, however, on the Roman supremacy and some other points, excited the animadversion of more rigid persons, and among others of Bossuet, who stood on his own vantage-ground, ready to strike on every side. The most impartial critics have been of Dupin's mind; but Bossuet, like all dogmatic champions of orthodoxy, never sought truth by an analytical process of investigation, assuming his own possession of it as an axiom in the controversy.*

ing his own possession of it as an axiom in the controversy.*

6. Dupin was followed a few years afterwards by one not his superior in learning and candour (though deficient in neither), but in skill of narration and Ecclesiastibeauty of style, Claude Fleury. The first volume cal History of his Ecclesiastical History came forth in 1691; but a part only of the long series falls within this century The learning of Fleury has been said to be frequently not original, and his prolixity to be too great for an elementary historian. The former is only blamable when he has concealed his immediate authorities; few works of great magnitude have been written wholly from the prime sources; with regard to his diffuseness, it is very convenient to those who want access to the original writers, or leisure to collate them. Fleury has been called by some credulous and uncritical, but he is esteemed faithful, moderate, and more respectful or cautious than Dupin. Yet many of his volumes are a continual protest against the vices and ambition of the medieval popes, and his Ecclesiastical History must be reckoned among the causes of that estrangement, in spirit and affection, from the court of Rome which leavens the theological literature of France in the eighteenth century.

7. The dissertations of Fleury, interspersed with his history, were more generally read and more conspicuously excellent. Concise, but neither dry nor superficial, luminous, yet appearing simple, philosophical without the affectation of profundity, seizing all that is most essential in their subject without the tediousness of detail or

provokes the prelate of Meaux Ces grands critiques sont peu favorables aux supériorités ecclésiastiques, et n'aiment guère plus celles des evêques que celle du pape p 491

^{*} Bibliothèque Universelle, iii 39, vii 335, xxii. 120 Biogr Universelle Œuvres de Bossuet, vol xxx Dupin seems not to have held the superiority of bishops to priests jure divino, which

the pedantry of quotatum, written, above all, with that clearness, that ease, that maffected purity of taste, which belong to the French style of that best age, they present n contrast not only to the inferior writings on philosophical history with which our age abounds, but, in some respects, even in the hest. It cannut be a crimin that these dissertations contain a good deal which, after more than a century's labour in linstorical inquiry, has become more familiar than it was

8 The French Protestants, notwithstanding their disarmed condition, were not, I apprehend, much oppressed under Richelieu und Mazarin But soon afterwards an eagerness to accelerate what was taking place through natural causes, their return into the church, brought on a series of harassing edicts, which ended in the revoca tion of that of Nantes. During this time they were assailed by less terrible weapons, yet such as required no ordinary strength to resist, the polemical writings of the three greatest men in the church of France, Nicole, Armanld, and Bossuct The two former were desirous to efface the reproaches of an approximation to Calviniam, and of a disobedience to the catholic church, under which their Junsenist party was labouring Nicole began with a small treatise, entitled La Perpétuité de la Foi de l'Eglise Cathulique, touchant l'Eu charistic, in 1664 This ulmed to prove that the tenet of transpostantiation had been constant in the church Clande, the most able controvertist among the French Protestants, replied in the next year. This led to a much more considerable work by Nicole and Arnauld conjointly with the same title as the former , nor was Claude slow in combating his double headed adversary Nicole is said to have written the greater portion of this second treatise, though it commonly hears the name of his more illustrions colleague.

9 Both Arnand and Nicole were celipsed by the most distinguished and successful advocate of the catholic church, Bossnet. His Exposition de la Foi Catholic lique was written in 1668, for the nse of two higher than the Dangean family, but having been common cated to Threnne, the most eminent Protestant that remained

in France, it contributed much to his conversion. It was published in 1671; and though enlarged from the first sketch, does not exceed eighty pages in octavo. Nothing can be more precise, more clear, or more free from all circuity and detail than this little book, every thing is put in the most specious light, the authority of the ancient church, recognised, at least nominally, by the majority of Protestants, is alone kept in sight. Bossuet limits himself to doctrines established by the council of Trent, leaving out of the discussion not only all questionable points, but, what is perhaps less fair, all rites and usages, however general, or sanctioned by the regular discipline of the church, except so far as formally approved by that council. Hence he glides with a transient step over the invocation of saints and the worship of images, but presses with his usual dexterity on the inconsistencies and weak concessions of his antagonists. The Calvinists, or some of them, had employed a jargon of words about real presence, which he exposes with admirable brevity and vigour.* Nor does he gain less advantage in favour of tradition and church authority from the assumption of somewhat similar claims by the same party. It has often been. alleged that the Exposition of Bossuet was not well received by many on his own side. And for this there seems to be some foundation, though the protestant controvertists have made too much of the facts. It was published at Rome in 1678, and approved in the most formal manner by Innocent XI. the next year. But it must have been perceived to separate the faith of the church, as it rested on dry propositions, from the same faith living and embodied in the everyday worship of the people.†

10 Bossuet was now the acknowledged champion of the Roman church in France, Claude was in equal pre-eminence

the Protestants occupy nine volumes, xviu-xxvi, in the great edition of his works Versailles, 1816 The Expo-sition de la Foi is in the eighteenth Bausset, in his life of Bossuet, appears to have refuted the exaggerations of many Protestants as to the ill reception of this little book at Rome. Yet there was a certain foundation for them See Bibliothèque Universelle, vol xi p 455

^{*} Bossuet observes, that most other controversies are found to depend more on words than substance, and the difference becomes less the more they are exammed, but in that of the eucharist the contrary is the case, since the Calvinists endeavour to accommodate their phraseology to the Catholics, while essentially they differ Vol vin p 135
† The writings of Bossuet against

on the other side. These great adversaries had a regular conference in 1678 Mademoiselle de Duras, a protestant lady, like most others of her rank at that time, was wavering about religion, and in her presence the dispute was carried on — It entirely turned on church authority — The arguments of Bossuet differ only from those which have often been addraced by the spirit and concisences with which he presses them — We have his own account, which of course gives himself the reterm. account, which of course gives himself the victory It was almost as much of course that the lady was converted, for it is seldom that e woman can withstand the popular argu ment on that side, when she has once gone for enough to admit the possibility of its truth, by giving it in hearing. Yet Bossuet deals in sophisms which, though always in the months of those who call themselves orthodox, are contemptible to such as know fects as well as logic. "I urged," he says,
'in a few words, what presumption it was to believe that
we can better understand the word of God than all the rest of the church, and thet nothing would thus prevent there being as many religions as persons. But there can be no presimption in supposing that we may understand any thing better than one who has never examined it et all, and if this rest of the church so magnificently brought forward, have commonly acted on Bossnet s principle and thought it pre sumptuous to judge for themselves, if out of many millions of persons n few only have deliberately reasoned on religion, and the rest have been, like true zeros, nothing in themselves, but much in sequence, if also, as is most frequently the case, this presumptuoneness is not the assertion of a paradox or novelty, but the preference of one denomination of Christians, or of one tenet maintained by respectable authority, to another we can only scorn the emptuess, as well as resent the ef-frontery of this common place that rings so often in our ears. Certainly reason is so far from condemning a deference to the judgment of the wise and good, that nothing is more irrational than to neglect it, but when this is claimed for those whom we need not believe to have been wiser and better than ourselves, nay, sometimes whom without vain

glory we may esteem less, and that so as to set aside the real authority of the most philosophical, unbiassed, and judicious of mankind, it is not pride or presumption, but a sober use of our faculties that rejects the jurisdiction.

11. Bossuet once more engaged in a sımılar discussion about 1691. Among the German Lutherans there Correspond- about 1691. Among the German Lutherans there ence with Molanus and seems to have been for a long time a lurking notion that on some terms or other a reconciliation with the church of Rome could be effected; and this was most countenanced in the dominions of Brunswick, and above all in the university of Helmstadt. Leibnitz himself and Molanus, a Lutheran divine, were the negotiators on that side with Bossuet. Their treaty, for such it was apparently understood to be, was conducted by writing; and when we read their papers on both sides, nothing is more remarkable than the tone of superiority which the catholic plenipotentiary, if such he could be deemed without powers from any one but himself, has thought fit to No concession is offered, no tenet explained away; the sacramental cup to the laity, and a permission to the Lutheran clergy already married to retain their wives after their re-ordination, is all that he holds forth; and in this, doubtless, he had no authority from Rome. Bossuet could not veil his haughty countenance, and his language is that of asperity and contemptuousness instead of moderation. dictates terms of surrender as to a besieged city when the breach is already practicable, and hardly deigns to show his clemency by granting the smallest favour to the garrison. It is curious to see the strained constructions, the artifices of silence to which Molanus has recourse, in order to make out some pretence for his ignominious surrender. Leibnitz, with whom the correspondence broke off in 1693, and was renewed again in 1699, seems not quite so yielding as the other; and the last biographer of Bossuet suspects that the German philosopher was insincere or tortuous in the negotiation. this were so, he must have entered upon it less of his own accord than to satisfy the Princess Sophia, who, like many of her family, had been a little wavering, till our act of settlement became a true settlement to their faith. This bias of the court of Hanover is intimated in several passages. success of this treaty of union, or rather of subjection, was

as little to be expected as it was desirable, the ald spirit of Lutheranism was much worn ant, but there must surely have been a determination in resist so anequal a compromise Rome negotiated as a conqueror with these beaten Carthaginians, yet an one had beaten them but themselves.

12 The warfare of the Roman church may be carried on either in n series of conflicts on the various doctrines wherein the reformers separated from her, or by one pitched battle on the main question of a con clusive authority somewhere in the church. Bossnet's tem per, as well as his inferiority in original learning, led him in preference to the latter scheme of theological strategy was also manifestly that course of argument which was most likely to persuade the unlearned. He fullnwed up the blaw which he had already struck against Clande in his famnus work on the Variations of Protestant Churches Never did his genins find a subject mure fit to display its characteristic impetnosity, its arrogance, or its cutting and mercaless spirit of sarcasm. The weaknesses, the incomsistent evasions, the extravagances of Lither, Zwingle, Calvin, and Bezz, pass, one after another, before us, till these great reformers seem like victim prisoners to be hewn down by the indigment prophet. That Bossnet is candid in state ment, or even faithful in quatation, I should much doubt , he gives the words of his adversaries in his own French, and the references are not made to any specified edition of their volumioons writings. The main point, as he contends it to be, that the protestant churches (for he does not confine this to persona) fluctuated much to the surteenth century, is sufficiently proved, but it remained to show that this was n repreach Those who have taken a different view from Bossuet may perhaps think that a little more of this censure would have been well incurred, that they have varied too little rather than too much, and that it is far more difficult; even in controversy with the church of Rome, to withstand the inference which their ling creeds and con fessions, as well as the language too common with their theologians, have furnished to her more ancient and catholic

claim of infallibility, than to vindicate those successive variations which are analogous to the necessary course of human reason on all other subjects. The essential fallacy of Romanism, that truth must ever exist visibly on earth, is implied in the whole strain of Bossuet's attack on the variances of protestantism: it is evident that variance of opinion proves error somewhere, but unless it can be shown that we have any certain method of excluding it, this should only lead us to be more indulgent towards the judgment of others, and less confident of our own. The notion of an intrinsic moral criminality in religious error is at the root of the whole argument; and till Protestants are well rid of this, there seems no secure mode of withstanding the effect which the vast weight of authority asserted by the Latin church, even where it has not the aid of the Eastern, must produce on timid and scrupulous minds.

13. In no period has the Anglican church stood up so powerfully in defence of the protestant cause as in that before us. From the æra of the Restoration to the close of the century the war was uniemitting and vigorous. And it is particularly to be remarked, that the principal champions of the church of England threw off that ambiguous syncretism which had displayed itself under the first Stuarts, and, comparatively at least with their immediate predecessors, avoided every admission which might facilitate a deceifful compromise. We can only mention a few of the writers who signalised themselves in this controversy.

14. Taylor's Dissuasive from Popery was published in Taylor's 1664, and in this his latest work we find the same general strain of protestant reasoning, the same rejection of all but scriptural authority, the same free exposure of the inconsistencies and fallacies of tradition, the same tendency to excite a sceptical feeling as to all except the primary doctrines of religion, which had characterised the Liberty of Prophesying. These are mixed, indeed, in Taylor's manner, with a few passages, (they are, I think, but few,) which singly taken might seem to breathe not quite this spirit, but the tide flows for the most part the same way, and it is evident that his mind had undergone no

change. The learning, in all his writings, is profuse, but Taylor never leaves me with the impression that ho is exact and scrupulous in its application. In one part of this Dissuasive from Popery, having been repreached with some inconsistency, he has no scraple to avow that in a former work he had employed weak arguments for a landable pur pose.

16 Barrow, not so extensively learned as Taylor, who had read rather too mach, but inferior, perhaps, even to that respect to hardly any one else, and solve him in closeness and strength of reasoning, combated against Rome to many of his sermons, and especially in a long treatise on the papal supremacy. Stillingfleet followed, a man deeply versed to ecclesiastical outiquity, of an argumentative mind, excellently fitted for polemical dispute, but perhaps by those habits of his life rendered too much of an odvocate to satisfy an impartial reader. In the critical reign of James II, he may be considered as the leader on the protestant side, but Wake, Tillotson, ood several more would deserve meetion to a fuller history of ecclesiastical literature.

16 The controversies always smouldering to the church of Rome, and sometimes breaking into flaine, to which the Anti Pelagiao writings of Augustin laid origin ally given birth, laive been slightly tooched in our former volumes. It has been seen that the rigidly predestinarian theories had been coudemned by the coart of Rome to Batus, that the opposite doctrine of Molina laid narrowly escaped censure, that it was safest to abstain from any language not verbally that of the church or inf Augustin, whom the charch held incontroversible. But now in more serious and cele brated controversy, that of the Jansenists, pierced as it were to the heart of the church. It most before the middle of the century Jansenius, Bishop in Ypres, in his Augustinus, published, after his death, in 1640, gave as he professed, a fathful statement of the tenets of that father. "We do not inquire," he says, "what men night to believe on the powers

go Taylor's Works, x. 504. This is of using arguments and authorities in not surprising, as in his Ductor Dubl-controversy which we do not believe to tentium, xl. 484. be maintains the right be valid.

of human nature, or on the grace and predestination of God, but what Augustin once preached with the approbation of the church, and has consigned to writing in many of his works." This book is in three parts; the first containing a history of the Pelagian controversy, the second and third an exposition of the tenets of Augustin. Jansenius does not, however, confine himself so much to mere analysis, but that he attacks the Jesuits Lessius and Molina, and even reflects on the bull of Pius V. condemning Baius, which he cannot wholly approve.*

17. Richelieu, who is said to have retained some animosity against Jansenius on account of a book called Condemna-Mars Gallicus, which he had written on the side of tion of his Augustinus in France, his sovereign the king of Spain, designed to obtain the condemnation of the Augustinus by the French clergy. The Jesuits, therefore, had gained ground so far that the doctrines of Augustin were out of fashion, though few besides themselves ventured to reject his nominal authority. It is certainly clear that Jansenius offended the greater part of the church. But he had some powerful advocates, and especially Antony Arnauld, the most renowned of a family long conspicuous for eloquence, for piety, and for opposition In 1649, after several years of obscure disto the Jesuits. pute, Cornet, syndic of the faculty of theology in the University of Paris, brought forward for censure seven propositions, five of which became afterwards so famous, without saying that they were found in the work of Jansenius. The faculty condemned them, though it had never been reckoned favourable to the Jesuits; a presumption that they were at least expressed in a manner repugnant to the prevalent doctrine. Yet Le Clerc declares his own opinion that there may be some ambiguity in the style of the first, but that the

his tragedies do in verse, it entitles him to rank in the list of those who have succeeded in both. Is it not probable, that in some scenes of Athalie he had Port Royal hefore his eyes? The history and the tragedy were written about the same time. Racine, it is rather remarkable, had entered the field against Nicole in 1666, chiefly indeed to defind theatrical representations, but not without many sarcasms against Jansenism.

^{*} A very copious history of Jansenism, taking it up from the council of Trent, will be found in the fourteenth volume of the Bibliothèque Universelle, p 139—398 from which Mosheim has derived most of what we read in his Ecclesiastical History And the History of Port Royal was written by Racine in so perspicuous and neat a style, that, though we may hardly think with Olivet that it places him as high in prose writing as

other four are decidedly conformable to the theology of

Augustin

18 The Jesuits new took the course of calling in the nnthority of Rome. They pressed Innocent X to sold condemn the five propositions, which were main tained by some doctors in France. It is not the policy of that court to compromise so delicate a possession as infulli bility by bringing it to the test of that personal judgment, which is of necessity the arbiter of each man's own obedience The popes have, in fact, rarely taken a part, independently of councils, in these school debates. The bull of Pius V., n man too zealons by character to regard pru dence, in which he condemned many tenets of Baius, had not, nor could it give satisfaction to those who saw with their own oves that it swerved from the Augustinian theory Innocent was, at first, unwilling to meddle with a subject which, as he owned to u friend, he did not understand But after bearing some discussions, he grew more confident of his knowledge, which he ascribed, as in duty bound, to the inspiration of the Holy Ghost, and went so heartily along with the Anti-Jansenists, that he refused to hear the deputies of the other party On the 31st of May, 1653, he con demued the five propositions, four as erroneous, and the fifth in stronger language; declaring however, not in the bull, hnt orally, that he did not condemn the tenet of efficacious grace (which all the Dominicans held), nor the doctrino of Sunt Augustin, which was, and over would be, that of the church

19 The Jansenists were not bold enough to limit that they did not neknowledge the infallbihity of the pope in an express and positive declaration. Even if they had done so, they had an evident recognition of this censure of the five propositions by their own chirch, and might dread its being so generally received as to give the sanction which no Cathohe can withstand. They had reconse, infortunately, to a subterfage which put them in the wrong They admitted that the propositions were false, but demed that they could be found in the book of Jansenius. Thus each party rested on the denial of a matter of fact, and each erroneously, according at least to the judgment of the most learned

and impartial Protestants. The five propositions express the doctrine of Augustin himself, and if they do this, we can hardly doubt that they express that of Jansenius. In a short time this ground of evasion was taken from their party. An assembly of French prelates in the first place, and afterwards Alexander VII., successor of Innocent X., condemned the propositions, as in Jansenius, and in the sense intended by Jansenius.

20. The Jansenists were now driven to the wall: the Sorbonne in 1655, in consequence of some proposi-tions of Arnauld, expelled him from the theological faculty; a formulary was drawn up to be signed by the clergy, condemning the propositions of Jansenius, which was finally established in 1661; and those who refused, even nuns, underwent a harassing persecution. The most striking instance of this, which still retains an historical character, was the dissolution of the famous convent of Port-Royal, over which Angelica Arnauld, sister of the great advocate of Jansenism, liad long presided with signal reputation. This numbers was at Paris, having been removed in 1644 from an ancient Cistertian convent of the same name, about six leagues distant, and called for distinction Port-Royal des Champs. To this now unfrequented building some of the most emilient men repaired for study, whose writings being anonymously published, have been usually known by the name of their residence. Arnauld, Pascal, Nicole, Lancelot, De Sacy, are among the Messieurs de Port-Royal, an appellation so glorious in the seventeenth century. - The Jansenists now took a distinction, very reasonable, as it seems, in its nature, between the authority which asserts or denies a proposition, and that which does the like as to a fact. They refused to the pope, that is, in this instance, to the church, the latter infallibility. We cannot prosecute this part of ecclesiastical history faither; if writings of any literary importance had been produced by the contioversy, they would demand our attention, but this does not appear to have been the case. The controversy between Arnauld and Malebranche may perhaps be an exception. The latter, carried forward by his original genius, attempted to deal with the doctrines of theology as with metaphysical problems, in his Traité de la Nature et de la Grace. Arnauld animadveited

on this in his Reflexious Philosophiques et Theologiques. Malebranche replied in Lettres din Père Malebranche à un de ses Arms. This was published in 1636, and the controversy between such eminent masters of abstruse reasoning began to excite attention Malebrancha seems to hovo retired first from the field His untagonist had great indvantages in the dispute according to received systems of theology, with which he was much more conversant, and perhaps on the whole af the philosophical part of the question This howover cannot be reckoned entirely in Jinsemstie controversy, though it in volved those perilous difficulties which had raised that flame *

21 The credit of Augustin was now as much shaken in the protestant, as in the catholic regions of Europe. Episcopius had given to the Reinonstrant party in reputation which no sect so inconsiderable in its se parate character has ever possessed Tho Dutch Armmans were at no time numerous, they took no hold of the people, they had few churches, and though not persecuted by the now lenient policy of Holland, were still under the ban of an or thodox elergy, as exclusive and bigotted as before But their writings circulated over Europe, and made a silent impression on the adverse party It became less usual to bring forward the Angustiman hypothesis in prominent or unequivocal language Conreclles born at Genevo and the successor of Episcopius in the Remonstrant congregation at Amsterdam with less genins than his predecessor, had per haps it more extensive knowledge of ecclesiastical intiquity His works were much in esteem with the theologians of that way of thinking, but they have not fallen in my way

22 Limborch, great-nephew of Ejiscopius, seems more than any other Arminan divine to have inhorited his mantle His most important work is the Theo logia Christiana, containing a system of divinity and moralin seven books und more than 900 pages, published to 1686. It is the fullest delicention of the Arminian scheme, but n the Arminans were by their principle free inquirers, and no like other churches, boudsmen of symbolical formularies, n one book can strictly be taken as their representative. The

An account of this controversy will be found at length in the accound rolume of the B'bilothèque U Ivanelle

LOT III

tenets of Limborch are, in the majority of disputable points, such as impartial men have generally found in the primitive or Ante-Nicene fathers, but in some he probably deviates from them, steering far away from all that the Protestants of the Swiss reform had abandoned as superstitious or unintelligible.

23. John Le Clerc in the same relationship to Courcelles that Limborch was to Episcopius, and like him transplanted from Geneva to the more liberal air, at that time, of the United Provinces, claims a high place among the Dutch Arminians, for though he did not maintain their cause either in systematic or polemical writings, his commentary on the Old Testament, and still more his excellent and celebrated reviews, the Bibliothèques Universelle, Choisie, and Ancienne et Moderne, must be reckoned a perpetual combat on that side. These journals enjoyed an extraordinary influence over Europe, and deserved to enjoy it. Le Clerc is generally temperate, judicious, appeals to no passion, displays a very extensive, though not perhaps a very deep erudition, lies in wait for the weakness and temerity of those he reviews, thus sometimes gaining the advantage over more learned men than himself. He would have been a perfect master of that sort of criticism, then newly current in literature, if he could have repressed an irritability in matters personal to himself, and a degree of prejudice against the Romish writers, or perhaps those styled orthodox in general, which sometimes disturbs the phlegmatic steadiness with which a good reviewer, like a practised sportsman, brings down his game.*

- 24. The most remarkable progress made by the Arminian

understood the Greek metres as well as Bentley and Porson, or those who have trod in their steps, nor supposed that all learning was concentred in that knowledge, as we seemed in danger of supposing within my memory. The latter is not warranted by the general character of Le Clerc's criticisms, which, where he has no personal quarrel, is temperate and moderate, neither traducing men, nor imputing motives, and consequently unlike certain periodical criticism of a later date

^{*} Bishop Monk observes that Le Clerc "seems to have been the first person who understood the power which may be exercised over literature by a reviewer" Life of Bentley, p 209. This may be true, especially as he was nearly the first reviewer, and certainly better than his predecessors. But this remark is followed by a sarcastic animadversion upon Le Clerc's ignorance of Greek metres, and by the severe assertion, that "by an absolute system of terror he made himself a despot in the republic of letters." The former is also so far true, that he neither

theology was in England This had begin under James and Charles, but it was then taken up in conjunction with that patristic learning which adopted the fourth and fifth centuries as the standard of orthodox

and fifth centuries as the standard of orthodox fath. Perhaps the first very bold and unambiguous attack on the Calvanstic system which we shall mention came from this quarter. This was in an anonymous Latin pamphlet, entitled Fur Preelestinatus, published in 1651, and generally ascribed to Sancroft, at that time in voting min. It is a dialogue between a thief under sentence of death and his attendant muister, wherein the former insists upon his assurance of being predestinated to salvation. In this idea there is nothing but what is sufficiently obvious, but the dialogue is conducted with some spirit and vivacity. Livery position in the thief's month is taken from emment Calvanistic writers, and what is chiefly worth notice, is that Sancroft, for the first time, has ventured to arraign the greatest heroes of the Reformation, not only Calvin, Beza, and Zanchus, but, who had been hitherto spared, Lather and Zwingle. It was in the nature of a manifesto from the Ariannam party, that they would not defer in future to any modern antherity.

25 The loyal Anghent clergy, suffering persecution at the hands of Calvinsue sectaries, might be inturally expected to clierish the opposite principles. These are maintest in the sermons of Barrow, rather per haps by his silence than his tone, and more explicitly in those

haps by his silence than his tone, and more explicitly in those of South. But many exceptions might be found among leading men, such as Sanderson, while in an opposite quarter, awaring the younger generation who had conformed to the times, arose a more formidable spirit of Arminianism, which changed the face of the English church. This was displayed among those who, just about the epoch of the Restoration, were denominated Latitude nien, or more commonly Latitudinarians trained in the principles of Episcopios and Clallingworth, strongly averse to every compromise with popery and thus distinguished from the high charch party, learned rather in profane philosophy than in the fathers, more full of Plato and Plotions than Jerome or Chrysostofi,

The Fur Predestinates is reprinted the best proof of ability that the worthy in D'Oyly's Life of Saserroft. It is much reliable on ever gave + 1 24.5.4.

great maintainers of natural religion, and of the eternal laws of morality, not very solicitous about systems of orthodoxy, and limiting very considerably beyond the notions of former ages the fundamental tenets of Christianity. This is given as a general character, but varying in the degree of its application to particular persons. Burnet enumerates as the chief of this body of men, More, Cudworth, Whichcot, Tillotson, Stillingfleet; some, especially the last, more tenacious of the authority of the fathers and of the church than others, but all concurring in the adoption of an Arminian theology.* This became so predominant before the Revolution, that few English divines of emmence remained, who so much as endeavoured to steer a middle course, or to dissemble then renunciation of the doctimes which had been sauctioned at the synod of Dort by the delegates of their church. "The Theological Institutions of Episcopius," says a contemporary writer, "were at that time (1685) generally in the hands of our students of divinity in both universities, as the best system of divinity that had appeared."† And he proceeds afterwards: "The Remoistrant writers, among whom there were men of excellent learning and parts, had now acquired a considerable reputation in our universities by the means of some great men among us." This testimony seems irresistible, and as one hundred years before the Institutes of Calvin were read in the same academical studies, we must own, unless Calvin and Episcopius shall be maintained to have held the same tenets, that Bossuet might have added a chapter to the Variations of Protestant Churches.

26. The methods adopted in order to subveit the Augustinian theology were sometimes direct, by explicit controversy, of by an opposite train of scriptural interpretation in regular commentaries, more frequently perhaps indirect, by inculcating moral duties, and especially by magnifying the law of nature. Among the first class the Harmonia Apostolica of Bull seems to be reckoned the principal work of this period. It was published

Û

^{*}Burnet's History of His Own Times, tracts, entitled The Phænix, vol 11 p 499 1 187 "Account of the new sect called † Nelson's Life of Bull, in Bull's Latitudinarians," in the collection of Works, vol vii p 257

in 1669 and was fiercely encountered at first nat merely by the presbyterian party, but by many of the church, the I u theran tenets as to justification by fault being still decined arthodax. Bull establishes as the groundwark of his har many between the apostles Paul and James on a subject where their language apparently clashes in terms, that we are to interpret St. Paul by St. James, and not St. James by St. Paul, because the latest authority, and that which may be presumed to have explained what was obscure in the farmer ought to prevail. a rule doubtless applicable in many on es, whatever it may be in this. It in least turned to his advantage, but it was not so easy for limit a reconcile his appinions with those of the reformers, or with the Anglican articles.

27 The Paraphrasa and Annotations of Hainmand and the New Testament give a different colour to the Epistles of St. Paul from that which they display in the hands of Beza and the other theologians of the sixteenth century. And the name of Hainmond stood of high with the Anglican elergy, that ha naturally turned the tide of toterpretation his own way. The writings of I awiler, Wilkins, and Whielket are chiefly intended to exhibit the moral lustre of Christianity and to magnify the importance of virtoous life. Wilkins left an unfinished work on the Principles and Duties of Antural Religion. Twelve chapters only, about half the valuance, were ready for the press at his death, the rest was compiled by Tillotson as well as the materials left by the author would allow, and the expressions emplayed lead us to believe that much was due to the editor. The latter's preface strongly presses the separate obligation of natural religion, upon which both the disciples of Hobbes and many of the less learned scenaries, were at issue with him.

28 We do not find much of importance written on the Trinitarian contraversy before the middle of the seventeenth century, except by the Seemans them selves But the case was naw very different. Though the Pahsh or rather German Unitarians did nut produce more distinguished men than before, they came more farward in

the field of dispute. Finally expelled from Poland in 1660, they sought refuge in more learned as well as more tolerant regions, and especially in the genial soil of religious liberty, the United Provinces. Even here they enjoyed no avowed toleration; but the press, with a very slight concealment of place, under the attractive words Eleutheropolis, Irenopolis, or Freystadt, was ready to serve them with its natural impartiality. They began to make a slight progress in England, the writings of Biddle were such as even Cromwell, though habitually tolerant, did not overlook, the author underwent an imprisonment both at that time and after the Restoration. In general, the Unitarian writers preserved a disguise. Milton's treatise, not long since brought to light, goes on the Arian hypothesis, which had probably been countenanced by some others. It became common, in the reign of Charles II., for the English divines to attack the Auti-Trinitarians of each denomination.

troversy by the famous work of Bull, Defensio Fider troversy by the famous work of Bull, Defensio Fider Nicenæ. This was not primarily directed against the heterodox party. In the Dogmata Theologica of Petavius, published in 1644, that learned Jesuit, laboriously compiling passages from the fathers, had come to the conclusion that most of those before the Nicene council had seemed, by their language, to run into nearly the same heresy as that which the council had condemned, and this inference appeared to rest on a long series of quotations. The Arminian Courcelles, and even the English philosopher Cudworth, the latter of whom was as little suspected of an heterodox leaning as Petavius himself, had come to the same result; so that a considerable triumph was given to the Arians, in which the Socinians, perhaps at that time more numerous, seem to have thought themselves entitled to partake. Bull had therefore to contend with authorities not to be despised by the learned.

30 The Defensio Fider Nicenæ was published in 1685. It did not want answerers in England, but it obtained a great reputation, and an assembly of the French clergy, through the influence of Bossuet, returned thanks to the author. It was indeed evident that Petavius, though he had certainly

formed his animon with perfect honesty, was preparing the way for an inference, that if the primitive fathers could be heterodox ou a point of so great magnitude, we must look for infallibility not in them nor in the diffusive church, but in general councils presided over his the pope, or ultimately in the pope himself. This, though not unsuitable to the notions of some festits, was diametrically opposite to the princeples of the Galhean church, which professed to repose on a perpetual and catholic tradition.

31 Notwithstanding the popularity of this defence of this Nicene faith, and the learning it displays, the an thor was for fram ending the controversy, or from exactsfying all his readers. It was alleged that he does out meet the questian with which he deals, that the word spisores being almost new at the time of the cook cil, and being obscure and metaphysical in itself, required a precise definition to make the reader see his way before him, or at least and better than Bull has given which the adversary might probably adopt without much scruph, that the passages adduced from the fathers are often insufficient for his purpose; that he confounds the eternol essure, with the eternol persocolity ar distroctness of the Logos, though well nware, of course, that many of the early writers employed different names (existers; and expression) for these and that he does not repel some of the passages which can hardly bear an arthodox interpretation. It was urged, morrover, that his own hypothesis, taken ultogether, is but a pulliated Arianism, that by insisting for more than one hundred pages on the subordination of the Son to the Inther, he came close to what since has borno that name, though it might not be precisely what had been condemned at Nice, and could oot be recoociled with the Athinianian creed, except by such no toterpretation of the lotter us is neither probable nor hos been reputed orthodox

32 Among the theological writers of the Roman church, and in a less degree among Protestants, there has always been a class oot inconsiderable for numbers or for influence generally denominated mystics, or, which their language has been more unmeasured enthounts and fointies. These may be distinguished into two kluds, though it must

readily be understood that they may often run much into one another, the first believing that the soul, by immediate communion with the Deity, receives a peculiar illumination and knowledge of truths, not cogmzable by the understanding; the second less solicitous about intellectual than moral light, and aiming at such pure contemplation of the attributes of God, and such an intimate perception of spiritual life as may end in a sort of absorption into the divine essence. But I should not probably have alluded to any writings of this description, if the two most conspicuous luminaries of the French church, Bossnet and Fenelon, had not clashed with each other in that famous controversy of Quietism, to which the enthusiastic writings of Madame Guyon gave birth. The "Maximes des Saints" of Fenelon I have never seen, some editions of his entire works, as they affect to be, do not include what the church has condemned, and the original book has probably become scarce. Fenelon appears to have been treated by his friend, shall we call him? or rival, with remarkable harshness. Bossuet might have felt some jealousy at the rapid elevation of the Archbishop of Cambray, but we need not have recourse to this, the rigour of orthodoxy in a temper like his will account for all. There could be little doubt but that many saints honoured by the church had uttered things quite as strong as any that Fencion's work contained. Bossuet however succeeded in obtaining its condemnation at Rome. Fenelon was of the second class above mentioned among the mystics, and seems to have been absolutely free from such pretences to illumination as we find in Behmen or Barclay. The pure disinterested love of God was the main spring of his religious theory. The Divine Economy of Ponet, 1686, and the writings of a German

quietist, Spenei, do not require any particular mention.*

33. This later period of the seventeenth century was marked by an increasing boldness in religious interest of theological disposition to question received tenets, a more suspicious criticism, both as to the genuineness and the credibility of ancient writings, a more aident love of truth,

that is, of perceiving and understanding what is true, instead of presuming that we possess it without any understanding at all. Much of this was associated, no doubt, with the other revolutions in literary opinion, with the philosophy of Bacon. Descartes, Gassendi, Hobbes, Bayle, and Locke, with the spirit which a alightly learned, yet acute generation of men rather conversant with the world than with libraries, to whom the uppeal in modern languages must be made, was sure to breathe, with that incessant reference to proof which the physical sciences thught mankand to demand. Hence quotations are comparatively rare in the theological writings of this age, they are better reduced to their due office of testimony as to fact, sometimes of illustration or better statement of an argument but not so much alleged as argument or nuthority in themselves. Even those who combated on the side of established doctrines were compelled to argue more from themselves, lest the public, their umpire, should reject, with an opposite prejudice, what had enslaved the prejudices of their fathers

84 It is well known that a disbelief in Christianity became very frequent about this time. Several books more or less uppear to indicate this spirit, but the charge has often been mode with no sufficient rea Of Hobbes enough has been already said, and Spi nosa's place as a memphysician will be in the next chapter His Tractatus Theologico-Politicus, published anonymously at Ameterdam, with the false dute of Hamburg, in 1670, contains many observations on the Old Testament, which though they do not really affect its general anthenticity and truth clashed with the commonly received opinion of its nbsolute inspiration. Some of these remarks were, if not borrowed, at least repeated in a book of more culcbrity Sentimens de quelques Théologiens d Hollande sur l Histoiro Critiquo dn Père Simon This work is written by Le Clorc, but it has been doubted whether he is the nuther of those acute but hardy questions on the inspiration of Scripture which it contains. They must however be presumed to comede for the most part with his own opinion, but be has afterwards declared his dissent from the hypothesis contained in these volumes, that Moses was not the author of the Pen

tateuch. The Archæologia Philosophica of Thomas Burnet is intended to dispute the literal history of the creation and fall. But few will pretend that either Le Cleic or Burnet were disbelievers in Revelation.

35. Among those who sustained the truth of Christianity by argument rather than authority, the first place both in order of time and of excellence is due to Pascal, though his Thoughts were not published till 1670, some years after his death, and, in the first edition, not without suppressions. They have been supposed to be fragments of a more systematic work that he had planned, or perhaps only reflectious committed to paper, with no design of pub-But, as is generally the case lication in their actual form. with works of genius, we do not easily persuade ourselves that they could have been improved by any such alteration as would have destroyed their type. They are at present bound together by a real coherence through the predominant character of the reasonings and sentiments, and give us every thing that we could desire in a more regular treatise without the tedious verbosity which regularity is apt to produce. The style is not so polished as in the Provincial Letters, and the sentences are sometimes ill constructed and elliptical. Passages almost transcribed from Montaigne have been published by careless editors as Pascal's.

36. But the Thoughts of Pascal are to be ranked, as a monument of his genius, above the Provincial Letters, though some have asserted the contrary. They burn with an intense light; condensed in expression, sublime, energetic, rapid, they hurry away the reader till he is scarcely able or willing to distinguish the sophisms from the truth which they contain. For that many of them are incapable of bearing a calm scrutiny is very manifest to those who apply such a test. The notes of Voltaire, though always intended to detract, are sometimes unanswerable, but the splendour of Pascal's eloquence absolutely annihilates, in effect on the general reader,

37. Pascal had probably not read very largely, which has given an ampler sweep to his genius. Except the Bible and the writings of Augustin, the book that seems most to have attracted him was the Essays of Montaigne. Yet no men

even this antagonist

could be more nulike in personal dispositions and in the cast of their intellect But Pascal, though abhorring the reli gious and moral carelessness of Montaigne, found much that fell in with his own reflections in the contempt of human opinions, the perpetual humbling of human reason, which runs through the bold and original work of his predecessor He quotes no book so frequently, and indeed, except Epic tetus, and once or twice Descartes, he hardly quotes my other at all Pascal was too acute n geometer, and too sin cere n lover of truth to countonance the sophisms of mere Pyrrhonism, but like many theological writers, in exalting faith he does not always give reason lier value, and firmishes weapons which the sceptio might employ against himself. It has been said that he demes the validity of the proofs of, natural religion. This seems to he in some measure nn e error, founded on mistaking the objections he puts in the," months of unbehevers for his own But it must, I think, be admitted that his arguments for the being of a God are too often à tutiori, that it is the safer side to take

98 The Thoughts of Pascal on miracles abound in proofs of his acuteness and originality, an originality much more striking when we recollect that the subject had not been discussed as it has since, but with an intermixture of some sophistical and questionable positions. Several of them have a serret reference to the finmous care of his niece, Mademoi selle Perier by the holy thorn. But he is embarrassed with the difficult question whether miraculous events are sure tests of the doctrine which they support, and is not whelly consistent in his reasoning, or satisfactory in his distinctions. I am unable to pronounce whether Pascal's other observations on the rational proofs of Christimity are as original as they are frequently ingenious and powerful

S9 But the leading principle of Priscal's theology, that from which he dedoces the necessary truth of Revelation, is the fallen nature of mankind, dwelling less upon scriptural proofs, which he takes for granted, than on the evidence which he supposes man limited to supply Nothing, however, can be more dissimilar than his beautiful visions to the vulgar Calvinism of the pulpit. It is not the sordid, grovel ling, degraded Calibaniof that school, but the rouned arch

angel that he delights to paint. Man is so great, that his greatness is manifest, even in his knowledge of his own misery. A tree does not know itself to be miserable. It is true that to know we are miserable is misery; but still it is greatness to know it. All his misery proves his greatness; it is the misery of a great lord, of a king, dispossessed of their own. Man is the feeblest branch of nature, but it is a branch that thinks He requires not the universe to crush him. He may be killed by a vapour, by a drop of water. But if the whole universe should crush him, he would be nobler than that which causes his death, because he knows that he is dying, and the universe would not know its power over him. This is very evidently sophistical and declamatory; but it is the sophistry of a fine imagination. It would be easy, however, to find better passages. The dominant idea recuis in almost every page of Pascal. His melancholy genius plays in wild and rapid flashes, like lightning round the scathed oak, about the fallen greatness of man. He perceives every characteristic quality of his nature under these conditions. They are the solution of every problem, the clearing up of every inconsistency that perplexes us. "Man," he says very finely, "has a secret instinct that leads him to seek diversion and employment from without; which springs from the sense of his continual misery. And he has another secret instinct, remaining from the greatness of his original nature, which teaches him that happiness can only exist in repose. And from these two contrary instincts there arises in him an obscure propensity, concealed in his soul, which prompts him to seek repose through agitation, and even to fancy that the contentinent he does not enjoy will be found, if by struggling yet a little longer he can open a door to rest.37 *

40. It can hardly be conceived that any one would think the worse of human nature or of himself by reading these magnificent lamentations of Pascal. He adorns and ennobles the degeneracy that he exaggerates. The rumed aqueduct, the broken column, the desolated city, suggest not ideas but of dignity and reverence. No one is ashamed

^{*} Œuvres de Pascal, vol 1 p 121

of a misery which bears witness to his graudeur. If we should persuade n labourer that the blood of princes flows in his veins, we might apoil his contentment with the only lot be has drawn, but scarcely kill in him the seeds of

pride. 41 Pascal, like many others who have dwelt on this nlleged degeneracy of mankind, seems never to have dis-entangled his mind from the notion, that what we call human nature has not merely an arbitrary and grammatical, but an intrusic objective reality. The common and convenient forms of language the nunlogies of sensible things which the imagination readily supplies, conspire to delude us into this fallacy. Yet though each min is born with certain a powers and dispositions which constitute his own nature, and the resemblance of these in all his fellows produces a general idea, or a collective appellation, whichever we may prefer to say called the nature of man, few would in this age explicitly contend for the existence of this as a substance capable of qualities, and those qualities variable, or subject to mutation The corruption of human nature is therefore a phrase which may convey an intelligible meaning if it is acknowledged to be merely analogical and mexact, but will mislend those who do not keep this in mind Man's nature, as it now is, that which each man and all men possess in the immediate work manship of God as much as at his creation, nor is any other hypothesis consistent with theism

42. This notion of n real universal in human nuture presents to us in an exaggerated light those anomalies from which writers of Pascal's school are upt to infer some vast change in our original constitution. Exaggerated, I say for it cannot be denied, that we frequently perceive n sort of incoherence as it appears at least to our defective vision in the same individual, and like threads of various buses shot through one web, the love of vice and of virtue, the strength and weakness of the heart, are wonderfully blended in self contradictory and self destroying conjunction. But even if we should fail altogether in solving the very first steps of this problem, there is no course for a reasonable being except to acknowledge the limitations of his own finculates, and it seems rather unwarrantable, on the credit of this

humble confession, that we do not comprehend the depths of what has been withheld from us, to substitute something far more incomprehensible and revolting to our moral and rational capacities in its place. "What," says Pascal, "can be more contrary to the rules of our wretched justice, than to damn eternally an infant incapable of volition, for an offence wherein he seems to have had no share, and which was committed six thousand years before he was born? Certainly, nothing shocks us more rudely than this doctrine; and yet, without this mystery, the most incomprehensible of all, we are incomprehensible to ourselves. Man is more inconceivable without this mystery, than the mystery is inconceivable to man."

43. It might be wandering from the proper subject of these volumes, if we were to pause, even shortly, to inquire whether, while the creation of a world so full of evil must ever remain the most inscrutable of mysteries, we might not be led some way in tracing the connexion of moral and physical evil in mankind with his place in that creation; and especially, whether the law of continuity, which it has not pleased his Maker to break with respect to his bodily structure, and which binds that, in the unity of one great type, to the lower forms of animal life by the common conditions of nourishment, reproduction, and self-defence, has not rendered necessary both the physical appetites and the propensities which terminate in self, whether, again, the superior endowments of his intellectual nature, his susceptibility of moral emotion, and of those disinterested affections which, if not exclusively, he far more intensely possesses than any inferior being, above all, the gifts of conscience, and a capacity to know God, might not be expected, even beforehand, by then conflict with the animal passions, to produce some partial inconsistencies, some anomalies at least, which he could not himself explain, in so compound a being. Every link in the long chain of creation does not pass by easy transition into the next. There are necessary chasms, and, as it were, leaps, from one creature to another, which, though not exceptions to the law of continuity, are accommodations of it to a new series of being. If man was made in the image of God, he was also made in the image of an ape. The framework of the body of him who has weighed the stars, and made the lightning his slave, approaches to that of a speech less brute, who wanders in the forests of Samutra. Thus standing on the frontier land between usual and angelic natures, what wonder that he should partake of both! But these and thuigs which it is difficult to touch, nor would they have been here introduced, but in order to weaken the force of positions so confidently asserted by many, and so eloqueutly by Pascal.

44 Among the works immediately designed to confirm the truth of Christianity, a certain reputation was acquired, through the known crudition of its unthor, of the limits by the Demonstratio Evangelica of Huct, Bishop of Avranches. This is paraded with definitions axioins, and propositions, in order to challenge the name it assumes. But the axioms, upon which so much is to rest, are often question able or equivocal, as, for instance Omnis prophetia est verax, que prædixit res oventu deinde completas, - equivocal in the word verax Huet also confirms his axioms by argument, which shows that they are not truly such. The whole book is full of learning, but he frequently loses sight of the points he would prove, and his quotations full beside the mark 1 ct lie has furnished much to others, and possibly no earlier work on the same subject is so elaborate and comprehensive. The next place, if not a higher one, might be given to the treatise of Abbadic, a French refugee published in 1681 His country men bestow on it the highest eulogies, but it was never so nell known in England, and is now almost forgotten The oral conferences of Limborch with Orolno, a Jen of considerable learning and ability, on the prophecies relating to the Messiah, were reduced into writing and published, they are still in some request. No book of this period, among many that were written, reached so high a reputation in England as Leshe's Short Method with the Deists, published in 1694 . in which he has started an argument, pursued with more critical unalysis by others, on the peculiarly distinctive marks of credibility that pertain to the scriptural miracles. Tho authenticity of this little treatise has been idly questioned on the Continent, for no better reason than that a translation of it has been published in a posthumous edition (1732) of the works of Saint-Real, who died in 1692. But posthumous editions are never deemed of sufficient authority to establish a literary title against possession, and Prosper Marchand informs us that several other tracts, in this edition of Saint Real, are erroneously ascribed to him. The internal evidence that the Short Method was written by a Protestant should be conclusive.*

45. Every change in public opinion which this period witnessed, confirmed the principles of religious tolera-Progress of tion, that had taken root in the earlier part of the tolerant principles century; the progress of a larger and more catholic theology, the weakening of bigotry in the minds of laymen, and the consequent disregard of ecclesiastical clamour, not only in England and Holland, but to a considerable extent in France, we might even add, the violent proceedings of the last government in the revocation of the edict of Nantes and the civelties which attended it. Louis XIV., at a time v hen mankind were beginning to renounce the very theory of persecution, renewed the ancient enormities of its practice, and thus unconsciously gave the aid of moral sympathy and indignation to the adverse argument. The protestant refugees of France, scattered among their biethien, brought home to all minds the great question of free conscience; not with the stupid and impudent limitation which even Protestants had sometimes employed, that truth indeed might not be restrained, but that error 'might; a broader foundation was laid by the great advocates of toleration in this period, Bayle,

* The Biographie Universelle, art Leslie, says, Cet ouvrage, qui passe pour ce qu'il a fait de mieux, lui a cté contesté Le Docteur Gleigh [sie] a fait de grands efforts pour prouver qu'il appartenait a Leslie, quoiqu'il fut public parmi les ouvrages de l'Abbi de Saint Real, mort en 1692 It is melancholy to see this petty spirit of cavil against an English writer in so respectable a work as the Biographic Universelle No grands efforts could be required from Dr Gleig or any one else, to prove that a book was written by Leslie, which bore his name, which was addressed to an English peer, and had gone through many editions, when there is literally no claimant on the other side, for a posthumous edition, forty years after the supposed author's death, without attestation, is no literary evidence at all, even where the book is published for the first time, much less where it has a known status as the production of a certain an-This is so manifest to my one who has the slightest tineture of critical judgment, that we need not urge the palpable improbability of ascribing to Saint Real, a Romish ecclesiastic, an argument which turns peculiarly on the distinction between the scriptural miraeles and those alleged upon inferior evi-I have lost, or never made, the reference to Prosper Marchand but the passage will be found in his Dictioninare Historique, which contains a full article on Saint Real

Limborch, and Locke, as it had formerly been by Taylor and

Ерізсоріші * 46 Bayle, in 1686, while yet the smart of his banish ment was keenly felt, published his Philosophical narter re-Commentary on the text in Scripture, "Compel keepitest-comment to come in," in text which some of the advocates of persecution were accustomed to produce He gives m the first part nine reasons against this literal meaning, among which none ere philological In the second part he replies to various objections. This work of Bayle does not seem to me as subtle and logical as he was wont to be, notwithstanding the formal syllogisms with which he commences each of his chapters. His argument against compalsory 1conversions, which the absurd interpretation of the text by his adversaries required is indeed irresistible, but this is for from sufficiently establishing the right of toleration itself It appears not very difficult for a skilful sophist, and none was more so than Bayle himself, to have met some of lus reasoning with a specious reply The sceptical argument of Taylor, that we can rarely be sure of knowing the truth our selves, and consequently of condemning in others what is error he touches hat slightly, nor does he dwell on the political advantages which experience has shown a full tolerntion to possess In the third part of the Philosophical Commentary, he refutes the apology of Angustin for persecution, and a few years afterwards he published a supple ment maswering a book of Jirnen, which had eppeared in the mean time.

47 Locke published anonymously his Letter on Toler ation in 1689 The season was propinous, in legal tolerance of public worship had first been granted to the dissenters after the Revolution, limited indeed to such as held most of the doctrines of the church, but preparing the nation for a more extensive application of its spirit. In the Liberty of Prophesying, Taylor had chiefly

The Dutch chergy and a French silon, and the moderate or liberal prin minister in H land, Jurieu, of great the in religion which were connected polemical fama in his day though now with it. Le Chere passed his lift in elples in religion which were connected with it. Le Clere passed his lif in fighting this battle, and many articles in the Bibliothèque Universelle relate to It,

chiefly known by means of his adversarics, Bayl and La Clere stremmonsly resisted both the theory of general toler

m view to deduce the justice of tolerating a diversity in religion, from the difficulty of knowing the truth. He is not very consistent as to the political question, and limits too narrowly the province of tolerable opinions. Locke goes more expressly to the right of the civil magistrate, not omitting, but dwelling less forcibly on the chief arguments of his predecessor. His own theory of government came to his aid. The clergy in general, and perhaps Taylor himself, had derived the magistrate's jurisdiction from paternal power. And as they apparently assumed this power to extend over adult children, it was natural to give those who succeeded to it in political communities a large sway over the moral and religious behaviour of subjects. Locke, adopting the opposite theory of compact, defines the commonwealth to be a society of men constituted only for the procuring, preserving, and advancing their own civil interests. He demes altogether that the care of souls belongs to the civil magistrate, as it has never been committed to him. "All the power of civil government relates only to men's civil interests, is confined to the things of this world, and hath nothing to do with the world to come."

48. The admission of this principle would apparently decide the controversy, so far as it rests on religious grounds. But Locke has recourse to several other arguments independent of it. He proves, with no great difficulty, that the civil power cannot justly, or consistently with any true principle of religion, compel men to profess what they do not believe. This, however, is what very few would, at present, be inclined to maintain. The real question was as to the publicity of opinions deemed heterodox, and especially in social worship, and this is what those who held the magistrate to possess an authority patharchal, universal, and arbitrary, and who were also rigidly tenacious of the necessity of an orthodox faith, as well as perfectly convinced that it was no other than their own, would hardly be persuaded to admit by any arguments that Locke has alleged. But the tendency of public opinion had begun to manifest itself against both these tenets of the high-church party, so that, in the eighteenth century, the principles of general tolerance became too popular to be disputed with any chance of attention. Locke was

engaged in a controversy through his first letter on toler ntico, which produced a second and a third, but it does not appear to me that these, though longer than the first, have considerably medified its leading positions. It is to be observed that he pleads for the namersal teleration of all modes er of worship not immoral in their nature, or involving doctrines inimical to good government, placing in the latter category some tenets of the charch of Rome

49 It is confessed by Goujet that, even in the middle of

the seventeenth century, France could bonst very the little of palpit elequence. Frequent quotations from heathen writers, and from the schoolmen, with little solid morality and less good reasoning, make up the sermons of that age † But the revolution in this style, as in all others, though perhaps gradual was complete in the reign of Lone XIV A slight aprinking of passages from the fathers, and still more frequently from the Scriptures, but always short, and seeming to rise out of the preacher's heart, rather than to be sought for in his memory, replaced that intolerable parade of a theological common place book, which hind been as customary in France as in England Tho style was to be the perfection of Trench cloquence, the reasoning persuasive rather than dogmatic, the arrangement more methodical and distributive than at present, but without the excess we find in our old preachers This is the general character of Trench sermons, but those who most adorned the pulpit had of coorse their individual distinctions Without delaying to mention those who are now not greatly remembered, such as La Roe, Habert, Mascaron, we must confine ourselves to three of high reputation, Bourdaloue, Bossnet, and Flechier

50 Bourdalone, a Jesuit, but as little of a Jesuit in the worst acceptation of the word as the order has proconvinces rather than commands, and by convincing lie per

+ Dibbotbèque Française vol. il. p. 285.

Warburton has fancied that Locke s real sintiments are only discoverable in his first Letter on T leration, and that in the two latter he "combuts his lutolerant adversary quite through the controversy with his own principles, well foreseeing that at such a time of prej dies

arguments built on received opinion would have greatest weight, and make quickest impression on the body of the copie wi om it was his business to gain. Blogr Britannica, art. Lock

suades; for his discourses tend always to some duty, to something that is to be done or avoided. His sentences are short, interrogative, full of plan and solid reasoning, unambitious in expression, and wholly without that care in the choice of words and cadences which we detect in Bossuet and Fléchier. No one would call Bourdaloue a rhetorician, and though he continually introduces the fathers, he has not caught their vices of language.*

as Patru to Le Maistre, though the two orators of the pulpit are far above those of the bar. As the one is short, condensed, plain, reasoning, and though never feeble, not often what is generally called eloquent, so the other is animated, figurative, rather diffuse and produgal of ornament, addressing the imagination more than the judgment, rich and copious in cadence, elevating the hearer to the pitch of his own sublimity. Bossuet is sometimes too declamatory; and Bourdaloue perhaps sometimes borders on dryness. Much in the sermons of the former is true poetry; but he has less of satisfactory and persuasive reasoning than the latter. His tone is also, as in all his writings, too domineering and dogmatical for those who demand something beyond the speaker's authority when they listen.

52. The sermons however of Bossuet, taken generally, are not reckoned in the highest class of his numerous writings; perhaps scarcely justice has been done to them. His genius, on the other hand, by universal confession, never shone higher than in the six which bear the name of Oraisons Funèbres. They belong in substance so much more naturally to the province of eloquence than of theology, that I should have reserved them for another place, if the separation would not have seemed rather unexpected to the reader. Few works of genius perhaps in the French

l'ont également estimé et admiré C'est qu'il avoit reuni en sa personne tous les grands caractères de la bonne eloquence, la simplicite du discours Chrétien avec la majesté et la grandeur, le sublime avec l'intelligible et le populaire, la force avec la douceur, la véhemence avec l'onction, la liberté avec la justesse, et la plus vive ardeur avec la plus pure lumière

^{*} The public did justice to Bourdaloue, as they generally do to a solid and impressive style of preaching. Je crois, says Goujet, p 300, que tout le monde convient qu'aucun autre ne lui est supérieur. C'est le grand maître pour l'éloquence de la chaire, c'est le prince des prédicateurs. Le public n'a jamais été partagé sur son sujet, la ville et la cour

language are better known, or have been more produgally ex-In that styln of olognence which the ancients called demonstrative, or rather descriptive (emiliarizes), the style of panegyric or commemoration, they are doubtless superior to those justly celebrated productions of Thocydides and Plato that have descended to us from Greece, nor has Bossnot been equalled by any later writer Those on the Queen of England, on her daughter the Duchess of Orleans, and on the Prince of Condé, outshine the rest, and if a difference is to be made among these wa might perhaps, after some hear tation confer the palm on the first. The range of topics is so various, the thoughts so just, the images so noble and poetical, the whole is in such perfect keeping, the tone of awful contemplation is so uniform, that if it has not may pas sages of such extraordinary beauty as occur in the other two, its general effect on the mind is more irresistible.

53 In this style, much more of armament, more of what speaks in the spirit, and even the very phrase, of poetry, to the imagination end the heart, is permitted by a rigorous criticism, than in forense or in deliberative eloquence. The beauties that rise before the author's vision are not renonneed, the brilliant colours of his fancy are not subdued, the periods assume a more rythinical cadeuce, and emulate, like metre itself, the voluptious harmony of inusical intervals, the whole composition is more evidently formed to delight, but it will delight to little purpose, or even cease, in any strong sense of the word, to do so at all onless it is canobled by nioral wisdom. In this Bossnet was pre-nument, his thoughts are never sabile or far fetched, they have a sort of breadth, a generality of application, which is peculiarly required in those who

An English prescher of complexous remove for sloguester was called upon, within no great length of time, to seemlate the fineral discounce of Bossett on the sudden death of Henrietta of Orleans. If had before him subject locomparably more deep in interest, more fertile in great and tooshing seasonations—he had to describe not the false sorrow of courtlers, not the shrick of sudden surprise that celosed by ight in the halls if Versuilles, not the sprice, the strength of the proception of the country land to the superception of the country land to the subject to the world intercourse, but the mint!

grief of an entire mation in the withering of those valous of hope which well upon the untried youth I royally in its synapathy with grandeur annihilated, with beauty and innocence preclipated into the tonth. Nor did be sink beauth his subject, except as compared with Dosaute. The sermont which my limino will be understood is neterosed by many the finest effort of this preacher; but if read together wild that of its prototype it will be laid aside as almost feeble and unimprecing

address a mixed assembly, and which many that aim at what is profound and original are apt to miss. It may be confessed, that these funeral discourses are not exempt from some defects, frequently inherent in panegyrical eloquence; they are sometimes too rhetorical, and do not appear to show so little effort as some have fancied; the amplifications are sometimes too unmeasured, the language sometimes borders too nearly on that of the stage; above all, there is a tone of adulation not quite pleasing to a calm posterity.

54. Fléchier, (the third name of the seventeenth century, for Massillon belongs only to the next,) like Bossuet, has been more celebrated for his funeral sermons than for any others, but, in this line, it is unfortunate for him to enter into unavoidable competition with one whom he cannot The French critics extol Fléchier for the arrangement and harmony of his periods; yet even in this, according to La Harpe, he is not essentially superior to Bossuet, and to an English ear, accustomed to the long swell of our own writers and of the Ciceronian school in Latin, he will probably not give so much gratification. He does not want a moral dignity, or a certain elevation of thought, without which the funeral panegyric must be contemptible; but he has not the majestic tone of Bossuet; he does not, like him, raise the heroes and princes of the earth in order to abase them by paintings of mortality and weakness, or recall the hearer in every passage to something more awful than human power, and more magnificent than human grandeur. This religious solemnity, so characteristic in Bossuet, is hardly felt in the less emphatic sentences of Fléchier. Even where his exordium is almost worthy of comparison, as in the funeral discourse on Turenne, we find him degenerate into a trivial eulogy, and he flatters both more profusely and with less skill. His style is graceful, but not without affectation and false taste.* La Harpe has compared him to Isociates among the orators of Greece, the place of Demosthenes being of course reserved for Bossnet +

^{* [}La Harpe justly ridicules an expression of Fléchier, in his funeral sermon on Madame de Montausier Un ancien disait autrefois que les hommes étaient nés pour l'action et pour la conduite du

monde, et que les dames n'étaient nées que pour le repos et pour la retraite. — 1842 7

disait autrefois que les hommes étaient † The native critics ascribe a reform nés pour l'action et pour la conduite du in the style of preaching to Paolo Seg-

EE The state of procedure on England was less orne

55 The style of preaching in England was less orms meotal, and spoke less to the imagination and affec meetal, end spoke less to the imagination and filed trops, that these celebrated writers of the Gallican forms church, but in some of our chief divines it had its own excellences The sermons of Barrow display a strength of mind, o comprehensiveness and fertility, which have rarely been equalled. No better proof can be given that his eight sermons oo the government of the tongne, copious and ex haustive without taotology or superfluous declamation, they are, in moral preaching, what the best parts of Aristotle are in ethical philosophy, with more of development and a more extensive observation. It would be said of these sermons, and todeed, with a few exceptions, of all those of Barrow, that they are not what is now called evangelical, they rodi cate the ascendancy of an Arminian party, dwelling far more than is usual in the pulpit on moral and rational, or even temporal, inducements, and sometimes hardly abstuning from what would give a little offence in later times . His

perl, whom Corniant does not besitate to call with the cancilon, he save, of noticerity the father of Italian eloquence. It is to be remembered that in no country has the pulpit been so much degraded by empty declamation, and even by a stupid bufficency "The language of Segneri, the same writer observes, "Is always full of dignity and harmony. He inlaid it with splendid and elegant ex pressions, and has thus obtained place among the authors to whom enthority has been given by the Della Crusca distionary His periods are flowing, na-tural, and intelligible, without the affectation of obsolets Toscanium, which pass for graces of the language with many Tiraboschi, with much commendation of Segneri, admits that we find in him some vestiges of the false tests he endeavoured to reform. The very little that I have seen of the sermons of Segueri gives no Impression of any merit that can be reckoned more than relative t the miserable tone of his predecessors. The following specimen is from one of hi most admired sermons: - E Cristo pon potrà ottenere da vol che gli rimettlate un terto, un affronto, un aggravio, una parolina? Che vorrette da Christo? Vorreste ch egli vi al geitasse amppli-

chevole à piedi à chiederri questa grazia? lo son quad per dire el egil il farelle : perché se nos dubití di prostrard à pied! di un treditore qual era Cluda, di la varglieli, di sciugarglieli, di baclarglieli, non al vergognerelibe ered' lo, di fami vedere ginocchioni à puè vostri. fa bisogno di tanto per muoverri à compiacerio? Ah Cavalieri, Cavalieri lo non vorrei questa volte farvi errossire. Nel resto to so di certo, che se eltrettanto forse a voi domandato da quella doma che chiamate la vostra dama, da quella, di cui fortennati idolatrate il volto, indovinate le voglie ambite le grarie non vl f reta pergar tanto à concederallelo. E pol vi fate preger tento sti ug Dio per vol erocefina? O confactone la Or liupero 1 O vergogna 1 Receptta vil al rose Italiane (in Clamici Italiani) vol. liet. p. 345. This is certainly not the manner of Bosnet and more like that of a third.

Thus, in his sermon against evil speaking (21-), Barrow treat it as fit "for rurale boors or men of coarness education and employment who having their minds debased by being conversant is manest effairs, do vent thair sorry peasions and beker about their petry

rate Methodist emong us.

quotations also from ancient philosopheis, though not so numerous as in Taylor, are equally uncongenial to our ears. In his style, notwithstanding its richness and occasional vivacity, we may censure a redundancy and excess of apposition: it is not sufficient to avoid strict tautology, no second phrase (to lay down a general rule not without exception) should be so like the first, that the reader would naturally have understood it to be comprised therein. Barrow's language is more antiquated and formal than that of his age; and he abounds too much in uncommon words of Latin derivation, frequently such as appear to have no authority but his own.

56. South's sermons begin, in order of date, before the Restoration, and come down to nearly the end of the century. They were much celebrated at the time, and retain a portion of their renown. This is by no means surprising. South had great qualifications for that popularity which attends the pulpit, and his manner was at that time original. Not diffuse, not learned, not formal in argument like Barrow, with a more natural structure of sentences, a more pointed, though by no means a more fair and satisfactory turn of reasoning, with a style clear and English, free from all pedantry, but abounding with those colloquial novelties of idiom, which, though now become vulgar and offensive, the age of Charles II. affected, sparing no personal or temporary sarcasm, but, if he seems for a moment to tread on the verge of buffoonery, recovering himself by some stroke of vigorous sense and language; such was the witty Dr. South, whom the courtiers delighted to hear. His sermons want all that is called unction, and sometimes even earnestness, which is owing, in a great measure, to a perpetual tone of gibing at rebels and fanatics, but there is a masculine spirit about them, which, combined with their peculiar characteristics, would naturally fill the churches where the might be heard. South appears to bend towards the

concernments in such strains, who also, not being capable of a fair reputation, or sensible of disgrace to themselves, do little value the credit of others, or care for aspersing it. But such language is

unworthy of those persons, and cannot easily be drawn from them, who are wont to exercise their thoughts about nobler matters," &c No one would venture this now from the pulpit

Arminian theology, without adopting so much of it as some

of his contemporaries

57 The sermons of Tilletson were for half a ceutury more read than any in our language. They are now Toleron bought almost as waste paper, and hardly read at all. Such is the fickleness of religious taste, as abundantly

bought almost as waste paper, and hardly read ut all. Such is the fickleness of religious taste, as abundantly numerous instances would provo. Tillotson is reckoued verbose and langual. He has not the former defect in nearly so great a degree as some of his eminent predecessors, but there is certainly little vigoor or vivacity in his style. Full of the Romish controversy, he is perpetually recurring to that "world's debate," and he is not much less hostile to all the Calvinistic tenets. What is most remarkable in the theology of Tillotson is his strong assertion, in almost all his sermous, of the principles of natural religion and morality, not only as the basis of all revolution, without a dependence on which it cannot be believed, but as nearly coincident with Christianity in their extent, a length to which fix at present would be ready to follow him. Tillotson is always of a tolerant and catholic spirit, enforcing right actions rather than orthodox opinions, and obnoxious, for that and other reasons, to all the bigots of his own age.

5S It has become necessary to draw towards a conclusion of this chapter, the materials are for from being Expeditive exhausted. In expository, or, as some call it, exe general theology, the English divines had already taken a conspicuous station. Addres, no partial estimator of protestant writers, extols them with marked praise. Those who belonged to the earlier part of the century form a portion of a vast collection, the Critica Sacri, published by one Bee, a bookseller, in 1660. This was in nino folio volumes, and in 1669, Matthew Pool, a non-conforming numeter, produced his Synopsis Criticorum in five volumes, being in great measure an abridgement and digest of the former. Bee complained of the infraction of his copyright, or rather his equitable interest, but such a dispute hardly pertains to our

I soll Inglesi, che ampio spazio non opera el pernedicaso tener distro a tutti i dorre del pernedicaso compare in questo capo dell' più eggli della nostra atima? Vol. xix eseguites sacra, se i istituto della nostr? p. 253

history.* The work of Pool was evidently a more original labour than the former. Hammond, Patrick, and other commentators, do honour to the Anglican church in the latter part of the century.

59. Pearson's Exposition of the Apostle's Creed, pub-Pearson on lished in 1659, is a standard book in English divinity. It expands beyond the literal purport of the creed itself to most articles of orthodox belief, and is a valuable summary of arguments and authorities on that side. The closeness of Pearson, and his judicious selection of proofs, . distinguish him from many, especially the earlier, theologians. Some might surmise that his undeviating adherence to what he calls the church is hardly consistent with independence of thinking, but, considered as an advocate, he is one of much judgment and skill. Such men as Pearson and Stillingfleet would have been conspicuous at the bar, which we could not quite affirm of Jeremy Taylor.

60. Simon, a regular priest of the congregation called The Oratory, which has been iich in eminent men, owes much of his fame to his Critical History of the

Old Testament. This work, bold in many of its positions, as it then seemed to both the Catholic and Protestant orthodox, after being nearly strangled by Bossuet in France, appeared at Rotterdam in 1685. Bossuet attacked it with extreme vivacity, but with a real inferiority to Simon both in learning and candoui. † Le Clerc on his side carped more at the Critical History than it seems to deserve. Many paradoxes, as they then were called, in this famous work are now received as truth, or at least pass without reproof. Simon may possibly be too prone to novelty, but a love of truth as well as great acuteness are visible throughout. His Critical History of the New Testament was published in 1689, and one or two more works of a similar description before the close of the century.

61. I have on a former occasion adverted, in a corresponding chapter, to publications on witchcraft and similar superstitions. Several might be mentioned at this time, the

Instructions sur la Version du N T, † Désense de a Tradition des Saints imprimée a Trevoux, Id vol iv p 313

Œuvres da Bossuet, vol v, and Bausset, Vie de Bossuet, 1v 276

behef in such tales was assuled by n prevalent scepticism which called out their advocates. Of these the most unwof thy to have exhibited their great talents in such a cause were our own philosophers Heury More and Joseph Glanvil Tho Sadducismus Triumphatus, or Treatise on Apparitions, by the latter, has passed through several editions, while his Scopsis Scientifica has hardly been seen, perhaps, by six living persons. A Dutch minister, by unmo Bekker, ruised a great clamour ugainst himself by a downright denial of all power to the devil, and consequently to his supposed instruments, the uncient beldams of Holland and other countries His Monde Encluente, originally published in Dutch, is in four volumes, written in a systematic manner, and with tedious prolixity There was no ground for imput ing infidelity to the author, except the usual ground of calum ninting every one who quits the beaten path in theology, but his explanatious of Scripture in the case of the demoniacs and the like are, as usual with those who have taken the same line, rather forced The fourth volume, which contains several curious stories of imagined possession, and some which resemble what is now called magnetism, is the only part of Bekker's once celebrated book that can be read with any plea sure Bekker was a Cartesian, and his theory was built too much on Cartesian assumptions of the impossibility of spirit acting on body

CHAPTER III.

HISTORY OF SPECULATIVE PHILOSOPHY, FROM 1650 TO 1700

Aristotelians — Logic ans — Cudu orth — Sketch of the Philosophy of Garent — Cartesianism — Port-Royal Logic — Analysis of the Surch for Teeth of Malebranche, and of the Ethics of Spinosa — Gleril — Loche's Lissy on the Hunan Understanding

1. THE Aristotelian and scholastic metaphysics, though shaken on every side, and especially by the rapid Aristoteliun metaphysics progress of the Cartesian theories, had not lost their hold over the theologians of the Roman church, or even the protestant universities, at the beginning of this period, and hardly at its close. Bincker enumerates several writers of that class in Germany *; and we find, as late as 1093, a formal injunction by the Sorbonne, that none who taught philosophy in the colleges under its jurisdiction should introduce any novelties, or swerve from the Aristotelian doctrme. + The Jesuits, rather unfortunately for their credit, distinguished themselves as strenuous advocates of the old philosophy, and thus lost the advantage they had obtained in philology as enemies of barbarous prejudice, and encouragers of a progressive spirit in their disciples. Rapin, one of their most accomplished men, after speaking with little respect of the Novum Organum, extols the disputations of the schools as the best method in the education of young men, who, as

* Vol iv See his long and laborious chapter on the Aristotelian philosophers of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, no one else seems to have done more than copy Brucker

† Cum relatum esset ad Societatem (Sorbonierm) nonnullos philosophiæ professores, ex us etiam aliquando qui ad Societatem anliclant, novas quasdam doetrinas in philosophieis sectari, ininus-

que Aristotelier doctrine studere, qu'un linetenns usurpatum fuerit in Academia Paristensi, censuit Societas injungendum esse illis, uno et us qui docent philosophiam in collegus suo regimmi creditis, ne demeeps novitatibus studenit, aut ab Aristotelier doctrina deflectant 31 Dec 1693 Argentre, Collectio Judiciorum, il 150

he faucies, have too little experience to delight in physical science."

2 It is n difficult and daugetrus choice, in a new state of

public opinion (and we have to make it at present) public opinion (and we have to mine it between that which may itself pass away, and that Thoogy White. which must efface what has give before Those who clung to the ancient philosophy believed that Bacon and Descartes were the idols of a transitory fashion, and that the wisdom of long ages would regun its ascendancy They were deceived, and their nwn reputation has been swept off with the systems to which they ndhered Thomas White, an English catholic priest, whose Latiu appellation is Albius, endeavoured to maintain the Aristotelian metaphysics and the scholastic terminology in several works, and especially in an attack upon Glanvile Vanity of Dogmatizing book, entitled Sciri, I know only through Glanvil a reply in his second edition, by which White appears to be a mere Aristotelian. He was a friend of Sir Kenelin Digby, who was himself, though a man of considerable talents, incapable of disentangling his mind from the Peripatetic hypotheses. The power of words indeed is so great, the illusions of what is called realism, or of believing that general terms have an objective exterior being, are so untural, and especially so bound up both with our notions of essential, especially theological, truth, and with our popular language, that no man could in that age be much censured for not casting off his fetters, even when he had heard the call to liberty from some modern voices. We find that even after two centuries of a better method, many are always ready to fall back into n verbal process of theorising

S Logic was taught in the Aristotelian method or rather in one which, with some change for the worse bad been gradually founded upon it Burgersdicius, in

Riffersom sur la Poétique, p. 365. sifés ; afin de na p. H. admits, however that to introduce cettoe à la passion more experiment and observation would pour les norralles to an improvement. Du rests il y persence que les loix, qui na souffient un test tièm égil point d'innovation dans l'angu des choses un iversaillement établies, n'untersérond dont se sert la rif point d'autre methods que celle qui est dans ses décidons, anjourd'inul en unage dans les univers

sités; afin de ne pas douner trop de licence à la passion quo en autorillement pour les non-tille opinions, foot le cours est d'une dangereus conséquence dans un tat bles afgis y u perituillatement que la philosophie est un des organes dous sert la rilligion pour a expliquer dans ses décidons. this and in other sciences, seems to have been in repute; Smiglecius also is mentioned with praise.* These lived both in the former part of the century. But they were superseded, at least in England, by Wallis, whose Institutio Logicæ ad Communes Usus Accommodata was published in 1687. He claims as an improvement upon the received system, the classifying singular propositions among umversals † Ramus had made a third class of them, and in this he seems to have been generally followed. Anstotle, though it does not appear that he is explicit on the subject, does not rank them as particular. That Wallis is night will not be doubted by any one at present; but his originality we must not assert. The same had been perceived by the authors of the Port-Royal Logic; a work to which he has made no allusion. ‡ Wallis claims also as his own the method of reducing hypothetical to categorical syllogisms, and proves it elaborately in a separate dissertation. A smaller treatise, still much used at Oxford, by Aldrich, Compendium Artis Logicæ, 1691, is clear and concise, but seems to contain nothing very important; and he alludes to the Art de Penser in a tone of insolence, which must rouse indignation in those who are acquainted with that excellent work. Aldrich's censules are, in many instances, mere cavil and misrepresentation. I do not know that they are

* La Logique de Sinigleeius, says Rapin, est un bel ouvrage. The same writer proceeds to observe that the Spaniards of the preceding century had corrupted logic by their subtilities. En se jettant dans des spéculations creuses qui n'avoient rien de réel, leur philosophes trouvèrent l'art d'avoir de la raison malgré le bon sens, et de donner de la couleur, et même je ne scai quoi de spécieuse, à ce qui étoit de plus déraisonnable, p 382. But this must have been rather the fault of their metaphysics than of what is strictly called logic

† Atque hoe signanter notatum velim, quia novus forte hie videar, et præter aliorum loquendi formulam hæc dicere Nam plerique logici propositionem quam vocant singularem, hoe est, de subjecto individuo sive singulari, pro particulari habent, non universali. Sed perperam

hoc faciunt, et præter mentem Aristotelis, (qui, quantum memini, nunquam ejusmodi singularem, την κατα μέρος appellat aut pro tali habet,) et præter rei naturam Non enim lue agitur de particularitate subjecti (quod ατομον rocat Aristotelis, non κατα μέρος) sed de partin-litate prædicationis. Neque ego interim novator censendus suin qui lime dixerim, sed illi potius novatores qui ab Aristoteliea doctrina recesserint, eque multa introduxerint incommoda de qui-bus suo loco dicetur, p 125 IIc lins afterwards a separate dissertation or thesis to prove this more at length seems that the Ramists held a third class of propositions, neither universal nor particular, to which they gave the name of propria, equivalent to singular

‡ Art de Penser, part 11 chap 111

right in any . Of the Art de Penser itself we shall have

something to say in the course of this chapter 4 Before we proceed to these whose philosophy may be reckoned original or at least modern, in very few deserve mention who have endeavoured to maintain or restore that of antiquity Stanley's History of Philosophy, in 1655, is in great measure confined to biography, und comprehends no numo later than Carneades. Most is derived from Diogenes Laertius, but an analysis of the Platonic philosophy is given from Alcinous, and tho author has compiled one of the Peripatetic system from Aristotle himself The doctrine of the Stoics is also claborately deduced from various sources Stunley, on the whole, brengbt n good deal from an almost untrodden field, but lie is merely an lustorian, and never a critic of philosophy He does not mention Epicurus nt all, probably because Gassendi

had so well written that philosopher's life

5 Gale's Court of the Gentiles, which appeared partly in 1669 and partly in later years, is incomparably n Gian Court more learned work than that of Stanley Its aim of Gentles. is to prove that all heathen philosophy, whether barbane or Greek, was borrowed from the Scriptures, or at least from the Jews. The first part is entitled Of Philology, which traces the same leading principle by means of language, the second, Of Philosophy, the third treats of the Vunity of Philosophy, and the fourth of Reformed Philosophy, "where in Plato's moral and metaphysic or primo philosophy is reduced to an useful form and niethod' Gale has been reckened among Platonic philosophers, and indeed he professes to find a great resemblance between the philosophy of Plate and his own But he is n determined Calvinist in nil respects, and scruples not to say, "Whatever God wills is just, because he wills it," and again, " God willeth nothing without himself because it is just, but it is therefore just be cause he willeth it. The reasons of good and evil extrusion.

* One of Aldrich's charges against syllogism one that has obviously five terms; thus expecting the Oxford atm dents for whom he wrote to believe that Antony Armsuld neither knew the first book of Euclid, nor the mere rudiments

the author of the Art de Pensor is, that he brings forward as a great discovery the equality of the angles of a chillegon to 1996 right angles; and another is, that he gives an an example of a regular of common logic.

to the divine essence are all dependent on the divine will, either decernent or legislative." It is not likely that Plato would have acknowledged such a disciple.

6. A much more current and enlightened man than Gale, Ralph Cudworth, by his Intellectual System of the Universe, published in 1678, but written Cudworth's Intellectual several years before, placed linuself in a middle point between the declining and using schools of philosophy; more independent of authority, and more close, perhaps, in argument than the former, but more produgal of learning, more technical in language, and less conversant with analytical and inductive processes of reasoning than the latter. Upon the whole, however, he belongs to the school of antiquity, and probably his wish was to be classed with it. Cudworth was one of those whom Hobbes had roused by the atherstic and immoral theories of the Leviathan, nor did any antagomst perhaps of that philosopher bring a more vigorous understanding to the combat. This understanding was not so much obstructed in its own exercise by a vast erudition, as it is sometimes concealed by it from the reader. Cudworth has passed more for a recorder of ancient philosophy, than for one who might stand in a respectable class among philosophers, and his work, though long, being unfunshed, as well as full of digression, its object has not been fully apprehended.

7. This object was to establish the liberty of human actions against the fatalists. Of these he lays it down that there are three kinds: the first atherstic, the second admitting a Deity, but one acting necessarily and without moral perfections, the third granting the moral attributes of God, but asserting all human actions to be governed by necessary laws which he has ordained. The first book of the Intellectual System, which alone is extant, relates wholly to the proofs of the existence of a Deity against the atherstic fatalists, his moral nature being rarely or never touched; so that the greater and more interesting part of the work, for the sake of which the author projected it, is wholly wanting, unless we take for fragments of it some writings of the author preserved in the British Museum.

8 The first chapter contains an account of the ancient corpuscular philosophy, which, till corrupted by make Leucippus and Democritus, Cadworth takes to have with the control only the state, but more consonant to the stic print ciples than any other. These two however, brought to a familism grounded on their own atomic theory. In the second chapter he states very fully and fairly all their arguments, or rather all that have ever been adduced on the atheistic side. In the third he expanded on the hylozoic atheism, as he calls it, of Strato, which accounts the nin verse to be aumated in all its parts, but without a single controlling intelligence, and adverts to another hypothesis, which gives a vegetable hot not sentient life to the world

9 This leads Codworth to his own famous theory of a plastic nature, a device to account for the opera maphatic tions of physical laws without the continued agency of the Deity Of this plastic energy he speaks in rather a confused and indeficite manner, giving it in one place a sort of sentient life, or what he calls "a drowny nnawakened cogitation," and always treating it as an entity or real being This language of Cudworth, and indeed the whole hypothesis of a plastic nature, was unable to stand the searching eye of Bayle, who, in an article of his dictionary, pointed out its unphilosophical and dangerous assumptions. Le Clerc en-deavoured to support Cudworth against Bayle, but with little soccess. It has had however some partizans, though ra ther among physiologists than metaphysicians. Grew adopted it to explain vegetation, and the plastic nature differs only as I conceive, from what Hunter and Abernethy have called life in organised bodies by its more extensive agency, for if we are to believe that there is a vital power, not a mere name for the sequence of phenomens, which marshals the molecules of animal and vegetable substance, we can see no reason why a similar coergy should not determine other molecules to assume geometrical figures in crystallization. The orror or paradox consists in assigning a real unity of exist ence, and a real power of causation, to that which is unin telligent

vast length, and occupying half the entire work, launches into a sea of old philosophy, in order to show the unity of a supreme God to have been a general behef of antiquity. "In this fourth chapter," he says, "we were necessitated by the matter itself to run out into philology and antiquity, as also in the other parts of the book we do often give an account of the doctrine of the ancients; which, however, some over-severe philosophers may look upon fastidiously or undervalue and depreciate, yet as we conceived it often necessary, so possibly may the variety thereof not be ungrateful to others, and this mixture of philosophy to them, the main thing which the book pretends to, in the mean time, being the philosophy of religion. But for our part we neither call philology, nor yet philosophy, our mistress, but serve ourselves of either as occasion requireth." *

11. The whole fourth chapter may be reckoned one great episode, and as it contains a store of useful knowledge on ancient philosophy, it has not only been more read than the remaining part of the Intellectual System, but has been the cause, in more than one respect, that the work has been erroneously judged. Thus Cudworth has been reckoned, by very respectable authorities, in the Platonic school of philosophers, and even in that of the later Platonists; for which I perceive little other reason than that he has gone diffusely into a supposed resemblance between the Platonic and Christian Trimity. Whether we agree with him in this or no, the subject is insulated, and belongs only to the history of theological opinion; in Cudworth's own philosophy he appears to be an eclectic, not the vassal of Plato, Plotinus, or Aristotle, though deeply versed in them all.

12. In the fifth and last chapter of the first and only book of the Intellectual System, Cudworth, reverting to the various atheistical arguments which he had stated in the second chapter, answers them at great length, and though not without much erudition, perhaps

more than was requisite, yet depending chiefly on his own stores of reasoning. And masmich as even a second rate philosopher ranks higher in literary precedence than the most learned reporter of other men's doctrine, it may be infortantle for Cudworth'e reputation that he consumed so much time in the preceding chapter upon mere learning, even though that should be reckoned more useful than his own reasonings. These however are frequently valuable, and, as I have intimated above, he is partially functured by the philosophy of his own generation, while he endeavours in tread in the ancient paths. Yet he seems not aware in the place which Bacon,

own generation, while he endeavours in tread in the ancient paths. Yet he seems not aware of the place which Bacon, Descartes, and Gassendi were to hold, and not only names them sometimes with censure, hardly with praise, but most inexcusably throws out several intimations that they had designedly served the cause of atheism. The disposition of the two former to slight the argument from final causes, though it might justly be animadverted upon, could not warrant this most incandid and intrue aspersion. But justice was even handed, Cudworth himself did not escape the alander of bigots, it was fully said by Dryden, that he had put the arguments against a Deity so well, that some thought he had not answered them, and if Warburton may be believed, the remaining part of the Intellectual System was never published, on account of the world's malignity in judging of the first. Probably it was never written.

13 Cudworth is too credulius and uncritical about ancient writings, defending all as gennine, even where his own age had been sceptical. His terminology is stiff and pedantic, as

13 Cudworth is too creddinus and incritical about ancient writings, defending all as gennine, even where his own age had been sceptical. His terminology is stiff and pedantic, as is the case with all our ilder metaphysicians, abounding in words, which the English language has not recognised. He is full of the ancients, but rarely quotes the schoolmen Hobbes is the adversary with whom he most grapples, the materialism, the resolving all ideas into sensation, the low morality of that writer were obnoxious to the animadversion of so etrenuous an advocate if a more elevated philosophy. In some respects Codworth has as I conceive much the advantage, in others, he will generally be thought by our metaphysicians to want precision and logical reasoning, and

upon the whole we must rank him, in philosophical acumen, far below Hobbes, Malebranche, and Locke, but also far above any mere Austotelians, or retailers of Scotns and Aquinas.

14. Henry More, though by no means less eminent than Cudworth in his own age, ought not to be placed on the same level. More fell not only into the mystical notions of the later Platomsts, but even of the Cabbalistic writers. His metaphysical philosophy was borrowed in great measure from them; and though he was in correspondence with Descartes, and enchanted with the new views that opened upon him, yet we find that he was reckoned much less of a Cartesian afterwards, and even wrote against parts of the theory.* The most peculiar tenet of More was the extension of spirit; acknowledging and even striving for the soul's immateriality, he still could not conceive it to be unextended. Yet it seems evident that if we give extension as well as figure, which is implied in finite extension, to the single self-conscious monad, qualities as heterogeneous to thinking as material impenetrability itself, we shall find it in vain to deny the possibility at least of the latter. Some indeed might question whether what we call matter is any real being at all, except as extension under peculiar conditions. But this conjecture need not here be pressed.

assends himself, by the extensiveness of his crudition, may be said to have united the two schools of speculative philosophy, the historical and the experimental, though the character of his mind determined him far more towards the latter. He belongs in point of time rather to the earlier period of the century; but his Syntagma Philosophicum having been published in 1658, we have deferred the review of it for this volume. This posthumous work, in two volumes folio, and nearly 1600 pages closely printed in double columns, is divided into three parts, the Logic, the Physics, and the Ethics; the second occupying more than

qu'à raison de son essence il n'a absolument aucune rélation au lieu More, who may be called a lover of extension, maintained a strictly local presence Œuvres de Descartes, vol x p 239

^{*} Baillet, Vie de Deseartes, liv vii It must be observed that More never wholly agreed with Deseartes. Thus they differed about the omnipresence of the Deity, Deseartes thought that he was partout à raison de sa puissance, et

five sixths of the whole. The Logic is introduced by two procemial books; one containing a history of the science from Zeno of Elea, the parent of systematic logic, to Bacon and Descartes , the other, still more valuable, on the criteria of truth, shortly criticising also in a chapter of this book, the several schemes of logic which he had merely described in the former After stating very prolixly, as is usual with him the urguments of the sceptics against the evidence of the senses, and those of the dogmatics, as he calls them, who refer the sole criterian of truth to the understanding, he propounds a sort of middle course. It is necessary, he observes, before we can infer truth, that there should be some sensible sign, authors organies, for, since all the knowledge we possess is derived from the sense, the mind must first have some sensible image, by which it may be led to a knowledge of what is latent and not perceived by sense. Hence we may distinguish in nurselves a double criterion, one by which we perceive the sign, namely the senses, another, by which we understand through reasoning the latent thing namely, the intellect or rational faculty † This ho illustrates by the pores of the skin which we do not perceive, but infer

16 In the first part of the treatise itself on Logic, to which these two books are introductory, Gassendi lays methodown again his fivourite principle, that every idea of them, in the mind is ultimately derived from the senses. But while what the senses transmit are nuly singular ideas, the mind has the faculty of making general ideas out of a number of these singular ones when they resemble each other ‡ Iu this

their existence by observing the permeation of moisture

Practure and mm porro non est ob cam, qua est, calebrinatem Organum, sive logica Francisce Baconis Verulamil. He extols Bacon highly but gives an analysis of the Novum Organum without much articlesian. De Logico Origina, e.x.

Logics Verulamii, Gassendi sere in another place, tota so per es ad physicum, store adoe ad veritatem notilizares rerum germanam habondam contendit. Practipule attenti no seat, ut base imaginemur quataeurs vult essa imprimis extencia comia prejudicia se nores de-inde notiones ideavre ex novis debitique facti septementi induceradas. Logica

Cartadi rectà quidem Verulamii infitaticos ab so sxorditur quod ad bene imaginandum prava prejudicia excenda, recta

eres indused a vali, étc. p. 90.

† P 81 If this passage be well at tended to, it will show how the philosophy of Gesseodi has been misunder stood by those who confound it with the neersly sensual school of metaphysicians. No one has more clearly or more at length, distinguished the entires requested in scrabble sensoriated sign, from the unimaginable objects of pure intellect, as we shall soon see

part of his Logic he expresses himself clearly and unequivo-

cally a conceptualist.

17. The Physics were expanded with a prodigality of learning upon every province of natine. Gassendi is full of quotation, and his systematic method manifests the comprehensiveness of his researches. In the third book of the second part of the third section of the Physics, he treats of the immateriality, and, in the fourteenth, of the immortality of the soul, and maintains the affirmative of both propositions. This may not be what those who judge of Gassendi merely from his objections to the Meditations of Descartes have supposed. But a clearer insight into his metaphysical theory will be obtained from the minth book of the same part of the Physics, entitled De Intellectu, on the Human Understanding.

18. In this book, after much display of emdition on the tenets of philosophers, he determines the soul to be an incorporeal substance, created by God, and innature of the soul fused into the body, so that it resides in it as an informing and not merely a present nature, forma informans, et non simplicites assistens." He next distinguishes intellection or understanding from imagination or perception, which is worthy of particular notice, because in his controversy with Descartes he had thrown out doubts as to any distinction between them. We have in ourselves a kind of faculty which enables us, by means of reasoning, to understand that which by no endeavours we can imagine or represent to the mind. Of this the size of the sun, or innumerable other examples might be given, the mind having no idea suggested by the

sed tantum vi propria, seu ratioeinando, eam esse in sole magnitudinem compreliendit, ac pari modo extera. Nempe ex lioc efficitur, ut rem sine specie materiali intelligens, esse immaterialis debeat, sicuti pliantasia ex eo materialis arguitur, quod materiali specie utatur. Ac intitur quidem etiam intellectus speciebus phantasia perceptis, tanquam gradibus, ut ratioeinando assequatur ea, quie deineeps sine speciebus phantasmatisve intelligit sed lioc ipsum est quod illius immaterialitatem arguit, quod ultra omnem speciem materialem se proveliat, quid-piamque cujus nullam liabeat pliantasma revera agnoscat

^{*} P 440

[†] Itaque est in nobis intellectûs species, qua ratiocinindo eo provelimur, ut aliquid intelligamus, quod imaginari, vel cujus libere obversintem imiginem, quantumeunque animi vires contenderimus, non possimus. After instancing the size of the sun, possunt consimilia sexcenta afferri. Verum quidem istud sufficiat, ut constet quidpiam nos intelligere quod imaginari non liceat, et intellectum ita esse distinctum a phantasia, ut cum phantasia habeat materiales species, sub quibus res imaginatur, non habeat tamen intellectus, sub quibus res intelligat neque enim ullam, v g liabet illius magnitudinis quam in sole intelligit,

imagination of the sin's magnitude, but knowing it by n'pecil har operation of reason And hence we infer that the intel lectual soul is immoterial, because it understands that which no material image presents to it, as we infer also that tho no material image presents to it, as we much also that the imagenative faculty is moterial, because it employs the images supplied by sense. It is true that the intellect makes as of these sensible images, as steps towards its reasoning upon things which caunot be imagined, but the proof of its imma terrality is given by this, that it passes beyond oll material images, and attains a true knowledge of that whereof it has no image

19 Bublo observes that in what Gassendi has said on the power of the mied to understand what it cannot conceive, there is o forgetfuloess of his principle, that nothing is in the understanding which has not been in the sense But, unless we impute repeated contradictions to this philosopher, he must have meant that axiom in a less extended sense than it has been taken by some who have since employed it. By that which is "in the understanding, he could only intend defi nite images derived from sense, which must be present before the miod can exercise may faculty, or proceed to reason up to ummaginable things The fallacy of the sensualist school, English and Trench, has been to conclude that we can have no knowledge of that which is not 'in the understanding ," nn inference true in the popular sense of words, but false in the metaphysical.

There is, moreover, Gassendi proceeds, n class of re flex operations, whereby the mind understands itself piers and its own faculties, and is conscious that it is seemed exercising such acts. And this faculty is superior references. to any that a material substance possesses; for no body can act reflexly on itself, but must move from one ploce to another . Our observation therefore of our own imaginings must be by n power superior to imagination itself, for imagination is employed on the image, not on the perception of the image, since there is no image of the act of perception

Alterum est genus reflexarum cotionum, quibes intellectus seipsum, suseque functiones intelligit, se speciatim se intelligere animadvertit. Videliert hoc munus est omni facultate corporea su- procedera possit.

perios; quociam quiequid corporcum est, ita certo loco, si e permanenter alve soccodenter alligatum est, ut nob versus se, sed solum versus allud diversum a se 21. The intellect also not only forms universal ideas, but perceives the nature of universality. And this seems peculiar to mankind; for brutes do not show any thing more than a power of association by resemblance. In our own conception of an universal, it may be urged, there is always some admixture of singularity, as of a particular form, magnitude, or colour, yet we are able, Gassendi thinks, to strip the image successively of all these particular adjuncts.* He seems therefore, as has been remarked above, to have held the conceptualist theory in the strictest manner, admitting the reality of universal ideas even as images present to the mind.

22. Intellection being the proper operation of the soul, it is needless to inquire whether it does this by its own nature, or by a peculiar faculty called understanding, nor should we trouble ourselves about the Aristotelian distinction of the active and passive intellect. The we have only to distinguish this intellection from mere conception derived from the pliantasy, which is necessarily associated with it. We cannot conceive God in this life, except under some image thus supplied, and it is the same with all other incorporeal things. Nor do we comprehend infinite quantities, but have a soit of confused image of indefinite extension. This is surely a right account of the matter; and if Stewart had paid any attention to these and several other passages, he could not have so much misconceived the philosophy of Gassendi.

23. The mind, as long as it dwells in the body, seems to have no intelligible species, except phantasms derived from sense. These he takes for impressions on the brain, driven to and fro by the animal spirits till they reach the *phantasia*, or imaginative faculty, and cause it to imagine sensible things. The soul, in Gassendi's theory, consists of an incorporeal part or intellect, and of a corporeal part, the phantasy or sensitive soul, which he conceives to be diffused throughout the body. The intellectual soul instantly perceives, by its union with the phantasy, the images impressed

^{*} Et ne instes in nobis quoque, dum universale concipimus, admisceri semper aliquid singularitatis, ut certæ magnitudinis, certæ figuræ, certi coloris, &c experimur tamen, nisi [sic] simul, saltem suc-

cessive spoliari à nobis naturam qualibet speciali magnitudine, qualibet speciali figura, quolibet speciali colore, atque ita de cæteris

[†] P 446

upon the latter, not by impulse of these sensible and material species, but by intuition of their images in the phantasy. Thus, if I rightly apprehend his meaning, we are to dustin guish, first, the species in the brain, derived from image date sense or reminiscence, secondly, the image of these conceived by the phantasy, thirdly, the act of perception in the mind itself, by which it knows the phantasy to have imagined these species, and knows also the species themselves to have, or to have had, their external archetypes. This distinction of the animus, or reasonable, from the anima, or sensitive soil, he took, as he did a great part of his philosophy from Epicinus.

24 The phantasy and intellect proceed together, so that they might appear at first to be the same faculty. Not only, however, are they different in their operation even as to objects which fall under the senses, and are represented to the mind, but the intellect has certain operations peculiar to itself. Such is the apprehension of things which cannot be perceived by sense, as the Deity, whom though we can only imagine as corporeal, we apprehend or understand to be otherwise. The repeats a good deal of what he had before said on the distinctive province of the understanding, by which we reason on things incapable of being imagined, drawing several instances from the geometry of infinites, as in asymptotes, wherein he says, something is always inferred by reasoning which we presume to be true, and yet cannot reach by any effort of the imagination.

25 I have given a few extracts from Gassendi in order to confirm what has been said, his writings being metallic than the read in England, and his philosophy not having been always represented in the same man

Eodem momento intellectus 6b intimum sul presentium coherentiamque cum phantaria rem emdem contuctur P 450.

† Hoo est untem preter phantade cancellos, intellestisque liptins proprium, portenças adec talis apprehendo non Jam imaginatio, sed intelligentia rel intellectio dici. Non quod intellection non scelpist ansura sit ipas phantasis ratiocinandi esse alquid ultra id, quod apeda imaginere representatur neque non simul comutan-

tem talem speciem vel imaginationem habest; sed quod apprehendat, intiligatre aliquid, al quod apprehendemdum aive pereipiendum saungree phandem non possit, ut que omnino tertumetur ed componus speciero, seu imaginem, az qua fillus operatio imaginatio appellatur lidd.

ind, † In cultus semper aliquid argumentando colligitur quod et verum esse intalligimus et imaginando pon assequimur

ner. - Degerando has claimed, on two occasions, the priority for Gassendi in that theory of the generation of ideas which has usually been ascribed to Locke.* But Stewart protests against this alleged similarity in the tenets of the French and English philosophers. "The remark," he says, "is certainly just, if restrained to Locke's doctrine as interpreted by the greater part of philosophers on the Continent; but it is very wide of the truth, if applied to it as now explained and modified by the most intelligent of his disciples in this country. The main scope, indeed, of Gassendi's argument against Descartes is to materialise that class of our ideas which the Lockists as well as the Cartesians consider as the exclusive objects of the power of reflection, and to show that these ideas are all ultimately resolvable into images or conceptions borrowed from things external. It is not therefore what is sound and valuable in this part of Locke's system,. but the errors grafted on it in the comments of some of his followers, that can justly be said to have been borrowed from Gassendi. Nor has Gassendi the merit of originality even in these errors, for scarcely a remark on the subject occurs in his works, but what is copied from the accounts transmitted to us of the Epicurean metaphysics."1

26. It will probably appear to those who consider what I have quoted from Gassendi, that in his latest writings he did not differ so much from Locke, and lead the way so much to the school of the French metaphysicians of the eighteenth century as Stewart has supposed. The resemblance to the Essay on the Human Understanding in several points, especially in the important distinction of what Locke has called ideas of reflection from those of sense, is too evident to be denied. I am at the same time unable to account in a satisfactory manner for the apparent discrepancy between the

Loeke's positive obligations to his predecessor, I should be perhaps inclined to doubt whether he, who was no great lover of large books, had read so unwieldy a work as the Syntagma Philosophicum, but the abridgement of Bernier would have sufficed

^{*} Histoire comparce des Systèmes (1804, vol 1 p 301, and Biogr Universelle, art Gassendi Yet in neither of these does M Degerando advert expressly to the peculiar resemblance between the systems of Gassendi and Locke, in the account they give of ideas of reflection He refers however to a more particular essay of his own on the Gassendian philosophy, which I have not seen. As to

[†] Preliminary Dissertation to Encyclopædia

language of Gassendi in the Syntagma Philosophicum, until that which we find in his objections to the Meditations of Descartes. No great interval of time had intervened be tween the two works, for his correspondence with Descartes bears date in 1641, and it appears by that with Louis, Count of Angonleme, in the succeeding year, that he was already employed on the first part of the Syntagma Philosophicum Whether he urged some of his abjections against the Cartesian metaphysics with a regard to victory rather than troth, or, as would be the more caudid and perhaps more reason able hypothesis, his was indoced by the neuteness of his great untignist to review and reform his awa apanians, I must leave to the philosophical reader †

27 Stewart had avidently httln nr nn knowledge of tho Syntagma Philasuplucum But he had seen in Abridgement of the Philosophy of Gassendi by Therefore Bermer published at Lyons in 1678, and finding in this the doctrine of Locke on ideas of reflection, conceived that it did not faithfully represent its nwn uriginal this was hardly a very plausible conjecture, Bernier being a man of considerable ability, an intimate friend of Gas sends, and his epitoma being so far from cancise that it extends to eight small volumes. Having nut indeed collated the two books, but read them within a short interval of time. I can say that Bermer has given a faithful necount of thu philosophy of Gassendi, as it is contained in the Syntagma Philosophicom, for ho takes notice of no other work, nor has he here added any thing of his own But in 1682 he published another little book, entitled Dontes do M Bernier sur quelques uns des principaux Chipitres do son Abrege de la Philosophio de Gassendi One of these doobts relutes to

Gasendi Opera, vol. rl. p. 130. These letters are interesting to those who would study the philosophy of Gasendi. † Beillet, in his Life of Decentes, would lead us to think that Gasendi was too much influenced by personal motives in writing against Descartes, who had mentioned the phenomena of parhelia, without siluding to a dissertation of Gasendi on the subject. The latter it activor, owns in a letter to River.

that be abould not have examined so closely the metaphysics of Descattes, if he had been treated by him with as much politeness as he laid expected. Vie de Descattes, liv vl. The retor of Descottes, O caro I (see Vol. II. of this work p. 445) offended Joseonal and caused a colorest which according to Balliet Sorkion aggravated, acting a treatherous part in examperating the mind of Georgial.

the existence of space; and in another place he denies the reality of eternity or abstract duration. Bernier observes, as Descartes had done, that it is vain and even dangerous to attempt a definition of evident things, such as motion, because we are apt to inistake a definition of the word for one of the thing; and philosophers seem to conceive that motion is a real being, when they talk of a billiard-ball communicating or losing it.*

28. The Cartesian philosophy, which its adversaries had expected to expire with its founder, spread more Process of and more after his death, nor had it ever depended Cartesian philosophy on any personal favour or popularity of Descartes, since he did not possess such except with a few friends. The churches and schools of Holland were full of Cartesians. The old scholastic philosophy became ridiculous, its distinctions, its maxims were laughed it, as its adherents complain; and probably a more fatal blow was given to the Aristotelian system by Descartes than even by Bacon. The Cartesian theories were obnoxious to the rigid class of theologians; but two parties of considerable importance in Holland, the Arminians and the Coccejans, generally espoused the new plu-losophy. Many speculations in theology were immediately connected with it, and it acted on the free and scrutimising spirit which began to sap the bulwarks of established orthodoxy. The Cartesians were denounced in ecclesiastical synods, and were hardly admitted to any office in the church. They were condemned by several universities, and especially by that of Leyden in 1678, for the position that the truth of Scripture must be proved by reason.† Nor were they less exposed to persecution in France.‡

que nomuns Cartesiam in disputationibus lectionibus ant publicis alus exercitus, nee pro nee contra mentio fiat. Utrecht, in 1644, had gone farther, and her decree is couched in terms which might have been used by any one who wished to ridicule university prejudice by a forgery Rejicere novam istam philosophiam, primo quia veteri philosophiae, quam Academiæ toto orbi terrarum hietenus optimo consilio docuere, adversatur, cjusque fundamenta subvertit, deinde quis juventutem a veteri et sana philosophia

^{*} Even Gassendi has defined duration "an incorporeal flowing extension," which is a good instance of the success that can attend such definitions of simple ideas

[†] Leyden had condemned the whole Cartesian system as early as 1651, on the ground that it was an innovation on the Aristotelian philosophy so long received, and ordained, ut in Academia intra Aristotelieæ philosophiæ limites, quæ lic hactenus recepta fuit, nos contincamus, utque in posterum nec philosophiæ, ne-

29 The Cartesian philosophy, in one sense, carried in itself the seeds of its own decline, it was the Soylla of many dogs, it taught men to think for themselves, and to think often better than Descartes had done. A new eelectic philosophy or rather the genuine spirit of free inquirty, made Cartesianism cease as a sect, though it left much that had been introduced by it. We owe thanks to these Cartesians of the seventeenth century for their strenuous assertion of reason against prescriptive authority the latter part of this age was signalised by the overthrow of a despotism which had fought every inch in its retreat, and it was manifestly after a struggle, on the Continent, with this new philosophy, that it was ultimately vanguished.

30 The Cartesian writers of France, the Low Countries, and Germany, were numerous and respectable Ln La Forge. Forge of Sanmur first developed the theory of occa sional causes to explain the numon of sonl and body, wherein he was followed by Genlinx, Regris, Wittich, and Malebranche † But this and other unnovations displeased the stricter Cartesians who did not find them in their master Clauberg in Germany, Clerselier in France, Le Grand in the Low Countries, should be mentioned among the lenders of the school But no one has left so comprehensive a statement and defence of Cartesiansm, as Jean Silvain Regis, whose Système de la Philosophie, in three quarto volumes, appeared at Paris in

avertit? impediture quo minus ad calmes eraditionia prosekatur; eo quod istius prosumptes philosophies administrato et technologements in anesterum Meris professerumque sectionibus et disputationibus unitata, pereipere sequit; postremo quod e endem varue falore et absurda opiniones partim consignantur partim ab impro-vida juvantuta deduct possi t pugnantas cum cataria disciplinia et facultatibus, atque imprimie cum orthodoxa theologia ; censere unitur et statuere omnes philosophism in has Asademia docentes imposterum a tali instituto et incento abetinere debere, contentos modica liberate dissentiendi in singularibus noun llis opinionibus ad aliarum calebrum Academiatum exemplum his usitata, its ut veter's et receptse philosophise funda-menta non labefacteut, Tepel, Hist. Philos. Cartesianes, p. 75.

† An account of the manner in which the Cartesians were harswed through the Jecuits is given by M. Coueln, in the Jurnal des Savan, March, 1836.

For the fate of the Cartesian philoEvent the life of the Cartesian philoLife in the life of the foundary so the
life of the life of the foundary so the
life of the life of the life of the life
Limo. After the death of Deserges, it
may be best traced by mean of Brusker
Behls, as urual, is a mere cepying of his
predecease. He has however girm a
fuller account of Regis. A contemporay History of Cartesian Philosophy by
Teple contains raiber a nearly written
sammary of the controvaries it active
both in the lifethms of Deserates and
for flow persy aflorwards.

† Twinemarm (Manuel de la Philosophia, Il. 99) asembes this theory to Geulinz. See also Brucker v 704

١ .

1690. It is divided into four parts, on Logic, Metaphysics, Physics, and Ethics. In the three latter Regis claims nothing as his own except some explanations. "All that I have said being due to M. Descartes, whose method and principles I have followed, even in explanations that are different from his own." And in his Logic he professes to have gone little beyond the author of the Art de Penser.* Notwithstanding this rare modesty, Regis is not a writer unworthy of being consulted by the studious of philosophy, nor deficient in clearer and fuller statements than will always be found in Descartes. It might even be said that he has many things which would be sought in vain through his master's writings, though I am unable to prove that they might not be traced in those of the intermediate Cartesiaus. Though our limits will not permit any further account of Regis, I will give a few passages in a note.†

* It is remarkable that Regis says nothing about figures and modes of syllogism. Nous ne dirons rien des figures ne des syllogismes en général, ear bien que tout ecla puisse servir de quelque chose pour la spéculation de la logique, il n'est au moins d'aucun usage pour la pratique, laquelle est l'unique but que nous nous sommes proposés dans ce traité p 37

† Regis, in imitation of his master, and perhaps with more elearness, observes that our knowledge of our own existence is not derived from reasoning, mais par une connoissance simple et intérieure, qui précede toutes les connoissances acquisés, et qui j'appelle conscience En effet, quand je dis que je connois ou que je crois connoître, ce je presuppose lui-même mon existence, étant impossible que je connoisse, ou seulement que je eroie connoître, et que je ne sois pas quelque chose d'existant p 68 Cartesian paradox, as it at first appears, that thinking is the essence of the soul, Regis has explained away After coming to the conclusion, Je suis done une pensée, he immediately corrects himself Cependant je erains encore de me définir mal, quand je dis que je suis une pensée, qui a la propriété de douter et d'avoir de la certitude, car quelle apparence v a-t-il que ma nature, qui doit être une chose fixe et permanente, consiste dans la pensle, puisque je sais par expérience que

mes penses sont dans un flux continuel, et que je ne pense jamais à la même chose deux momens de suite? mais guand je considère la difheulté de plus pres, je conçois aisément qu'elle vient de ce que le mot de pensée est equivoque, et que je m'en sers indifferemment pour signifier la pensce qui constitue ma nature, et pour designer les différentes manières d'etre de cette pensée, ce qui est une erreur extrême, car il y a cette différence entre la pensce qui constitue ma nature, et les pensées qui n'en sont que les manières d'être, que la première est une pensée fixe et permanente, et que les autres sont des pensées changeantes et passagères C'est pourquoi, afin de donner une idée exacte de ma nature, je dirai que je suis une pensée qui existe en elle-même, et qui est le sujet de toutes mes manieres de penser Je dis que je suis une pensée pour marquer ee que la pensée qui constitue ma nature a de commun avec la pensée en genéral qui comprend sous soi toutes les mauieres particulières de penser et j'ajoute, qui existe en elle même, et qui est le sujet de différentes manières de penser, pour designei ce que eette pensée a de particulier qui la distingue de la pensee en général, vu qu'elle n'existe que dans l'entendement de celui qui la conçoit ainsi que toutes les autres natures universelles p 70

Every mode supposes a substance wherein it exists From this axiom

31 Huet, Bishop of Avranches, a man of more general erudition than philosophical acuteness, yet not quite without this, arraigned the whole theory in his Cen sura Philosophie Cartesname He had been for many years, as he tells us, a favourer of Cartesianism, but his retractation is very complete. It cannot be desired that Huet strikes well at the vulnerable parts of the Cartesian metaphysics, and exposes their alternate scepticism and dog matism with some justice. In other respects he displays an inferior knowledge of the human mind and of the principles of reasoning to Descartes He repeats Gassendi's cavil that, Cogito ergo sum, involves the truth of Quod cogitat, est. The Cartesians, Huet observes, assert the major, or nuiversal, to be deduced from the minor, which though true in things known by induction is not so in propositions necessarily known, or as the schools say, a priori, as that the whole is greater than its part. It is not, however, probable that Descartes would have extended his reply to Gassendi's cri ticism so fur as this, some have referred our knowledge of geometrical axioms to mere experience, but this seems not agreeable to the Cartesian theory

82 The influence of the Cartesian philosophy was displayed

Rapia deduces the objective being of press, because we have he kiess of length, breacht, and depth, which semost belong to corredve, our scouls having bones of these properties; nor could the kiede be suggested by a superior being. If space did not crist, because they would be the representations of nonaestly which is impossible. But this transcendental proof is too sabile for the world.

It is an axiom of Regis that we only know throps without us by means of kless, and that things of which we have no kiess, are in regard to us as if they old not exist at all. Another axiom is that all ideas, considered in respect to their representative property depend on objects at their types, or sense screnpisire. And a third, that the "comes exemplate of ideas ment contain all the properties which the ideas represent. There axioms, according to him, are the bease of all certainty in playfeal truth. From the second axiom he deduces the objectivity or "exuse exemplairs of his

idea of a perfect being ; and his proof seems at least more clearly put than by Descartes. Every idea mulbes an objective reality; for otherwise there would be an affect without a canes. Yet in this we have the applisms and begging of questions of which we may see many Instances in Splanes.

In the serood part of the first took of his metaplyraps, Rigin treats of the union of soul and body and emoludes that the motions of the body only ere on the soul by a special will of God, who has determined to prodoes certain thoughts admittaneously with certain bodily motions, p. 194. God is the efficient first causo of all effects, his creatures are but accordantly affected. But as they act immediately we may savelbe all modal beings to the efficiency of accord causes. And be prefer this expression to that of occasional causes, usual among the Cartesians, because he factors the letter wither devogatory to the fixed will of ³ God.

in a treatise of deserved reputation, L'Art de Penser, often called the Port-Royal Logic. It seems to have been the work of Antony Arnauld, with some assistance, perhaps by Nicole. Arnauld was not an entire Cartesian, he had himself been engaged in controversy with Descartes; but his understanding was clear and calm, his love of truth sincere, and he could not avoid recognising the vast superiority of the new philosophy to that received in the schools. This logic accordingly is perhaps the first regular treatise on that science that contained a protestation, though in very moderate language, against the Aristotelian method. The author tells us that after some doubt he had resolved to insert a few things rather troublesome and of little value, such as the rules of conversion and the demonstration of the syllogistic figures, chiefly as exercises of the understanding, for which difficulties are not without utility. The method of syllogism itself he deems little serviceable in the discovery of truth; while many things dwelt upon in books of logic, such as the ten categories, rather injure than improve the reasoning faculties, because they accustom men to satisfy themselves with words, and to mistake a long catalogue of arbitrary definitions for real knowledge. Of Aristotle he speaks in more honourable terms than Bacon had done before, or than Malebranche did afterwards; acknowledging the extraordinary ment of some of his writings, but pointing out with an independent spirit his failings as a master in the art of reasoning.

33. The first part of L'Art de Penser is almost entirely metaphysical, in the usual sense of that word. It considers ideas in their nature and origin, in the chief differences of the objects they represent, in their simplicity or composition, in their extent, as universal, particular, or singular, and, lastly, in their distinctness or confusion. The word idea, it is observed, is among those which are so clear that we cannot explain them by means of others, because none can be more clear and simple than themselves * But here it may be doubtful whether the sense in which the word is to be taken must strike every one in the same way. The clearness of a word does not depend on its association with a distinct con-

ception in our own minds, but on the generality of this same association in the minds of others.

34 No follower of Descurtes has more mambiguously than this author distinguished between imagination and intel-lection, though he gives the name of idea to both Many suppose, he says, that they cannot conceive a thing wher they cannot imagine it. But we cannot imagine a figure of 1000 sides, though we can conceive it and reason upon it. We may indeed get a confused image of a figure with many sides, but these are no more 1000 than they are 999. Thus also we have ideas of thuking affirming, donying, and the like, though we have no imagination of these operations By ideas therefore we mean not images painted in the funcy, but all that is in our minds when we say that we conceive any thing, in whatever manaer we may conceive it Hence it is easy to judge of the falsehood of some opinions held in this age. One philosopher has advanced that we have no idea of God, another that all reasoning is but an assemblage of words connected by an affirmation He glances here at Gassends and Hobbes . Far from all our ideas coming from the senses, as the Aristotelians have said, and as Gassendi asserts in his Logic, we may say, on the centrary, that no idea in out minds is derived from the senses except occasionally (par occasion), that is, the movements of the brain, which is all that the organs of sense can offect, give occasion to the soul to form different ideas which it would not otherwise form, though these ideas have scarce ever any resemblance to what occurs in the organs of sense and in the brain, and though there are also very many ideas, which deriving nothing from any bodily image, cannot without absurdity be referred to the senses.† This is perhaps a clearer statement of an important truth than will be found in Malebranche or in Descartes himself

35 In the second part Arnauld treats of words and propo-

VOI III

The reflection on Gessendil is a mero ovul, as will appose by remarking what be has really said, and which we have quoted a few pages abova. The Carne of the word idea, while Gessendi used an other He bed himself been to blame in

this controversy with the father of the new philosophy and the discripion (calling the author of L Art do Penner such in a general sense) retallated by equal captiousness. + C. 1

sitions. Much of it may be reckoned more within the province of grammar than of logic. But as it is inconvenient to refer the student to works of a different class, especially if it should be the case that no good grammars, written with a regard to logical principles, were then to be found, this cannot justly be made an objection. In the latter chapters of this second part, he comes to much that is strictly logical, and taken from ordinary books on that science. The third part relates to syllogisms, and notwithstanding the author's low estimation of that method, in comparison with the general regard for it in the schools, he has not omitted the common explanations of mood and figure, ending with a concise but good account of the chief sophisms.

36. The fourth and last part is entitled, On Method, and contains the principles of connected reasoning, which he justly observes to be more important than the rules of single syllogisms, wherein few make any mistake. The laws of demonstration given by Pascal are here laid down with some enlargement. Many observations not wholly bearing on merely logical proof are found in this part of the treatise.

37. The Port-Royal Logic, though not, perhaps, very much read in England, has always been reckoned among the best works in that science, and certainly had a great influence in rendering it more metaphysical, more ethical (for much is said by Arnauld on the moral discipline of the mind in order to fit it for the investigation of truth), more exempt from technical barbarisms and trifling definitions and divisions. It became more and more acknowledged that the rules of syllogism go a very little way in rendering the mind able to follow a course of inquiry without error, much less in assisting it to discover truth, and that even their vaunted pierogative of securing us from fallacy is nearly ineffectual in exercise. The substitution of the French language, in its highest polish, for the uncouth Latinity of the Aristotelians, was another advantage of which the Cartesian school legitimately availed themselves.

38. Malebranche, whose Recherche de la Vérité was published in 1674, was a warm and almost enthusiastic admirer of Descartes, but his mind was independent, searching, and fond of its own inventions, he acknowledged no

master, and in some points dissents from the Cartesian school His natural temperament was sincere and rigid, he judges the moral and intellectual failings of mankind with a severe scrutiny, and o contemptuousness not generally unjust in it-self, but displaying too great confidence in his own superinrily This was enhanced by a religious mysticism, which enters as an essential element, into his philosophy of the mind. The fame of Molebranche, and still more the popularity in modern times of his Search for Truth, has been affected by that pecu liar hypothesis, so mystically expressed, the seeing all things in God, which has been more remembered than any other part of that treatise. "The union,' he says, "of the soul to God is the unly means by which we nequire a knowledge of truth This union has indeed been rendered so abscure by original sin, that few can understand what it means, to those who follow blindly the dictates of sense and passion it appears imaginary The same cause has so fortified the connexion between the soul ond body that we look on them as one substance, of which the latter is the principal part. And hence we may all fear that we do not well discern the confused sounds with which the senses fill the imaginetion from that pure voice of truth which speaks to the soul. The body speaks louder than God himself, and our pride makes us presumptuous enough to judge without waiting for those words of truth, without which we cannot truly jodge at all And the present work," ho odds, mny givo evidence of this, for it is not published as being infulhible. But let my renders judge of my opinions according to the clear and distinct answers they shall receive from the only Lord of oll men, after they shall have interrogated him by paying a serions ottention to the subject." This is n strong evidence of the enthusiastic confidence in supernatural illumination which belongs to Malebranche, and which we ore almost sur prised to find united with so much cool and neuto reasoning as his writings contain

39 The Rechercho de la Venté is in six books, that first five in the errors springing from the senses, from the imagination, from the understanding, from the natural inclinations, and from the passions. The sixth contains the method of available these, which however

has been anticipated in great measure throughout the preceding. Malebranche has many repetitions, but little, I think, that can be called digressive, though he takes a large range of illustration, and dwells rather diffusely on topics of subordinate importance. His style is admirable; clear, precise, elegant, sparing in metaphors, yet not wanting them in due place, warm, and sometimes eloquent, a little redundant, but never passionate or declamatory.

40. Error, according to Malebranche, is the source of all letter human misery; man is miserable because he is a sumer, and he would not sin if he did not consent For the will alone judges and reasons, the understanding only perceives things and their relations - a deviation from common language, to say the least, that seems quite unnecessary.* The will is active and free, not that we can avoid willing our own happiness; but it possesses a power of turning the understanding towards such objects as please us, and commanding it to examine every thing thoroughly, else we should be perpetually deceived, and without remedy, by the appearances of truth. And this liberty we should use on every occasion: it is to become slaves, against the will of God, when we acquiesce in false appearances; but it is in obedience to the voice of eternal truth which speaks within us, that we submit to those secret reproaches of reason, which accompany our refusal to yield to evidence. There are, therefore, two fundamental rules, one for science, the other for morals; never to give an entire consent to any propositions, except those which are so evidently true, that we cannot refuse to admit them without an internal uneasiness and reproach of our reason; and, never fully to love any thing, which we can abstain from loving without remorse. We may feel a great inclination to consent absolutely to a probable opinion, yet on reflection, we shall find that we are not compelled to do so by any tacit self-reproach if we do not And we ought to consent to such probable opinions for the time until we have more fully examined the question.

41. The sight is the noblest of our senses, and if they had

been given os to discover truth, it is through vision that we should have dono it. But it deceives us io all that it represents, in the size of bodies, their figures and motions, in light and colours. None of these are such as they oppear, as he proves by many obvious instances. Thus we measure the velocity of motion by duration of time and extent of space, but of duration the mind can form no just estimate, and the eyo cause determine equality of spaces. The dinmeter of the moon is greater by measurement when sho is high in the heavens, it uppears greater to our eyes to the horizon. On all sides we are beset with error through our senses. Not that the sensitions themselves, properly speaking, deceive us. We are not decrived in sopposing that we see an orb of light before the sun has risen above the horizon, but in sopposing that what we see is the sun itself. Were we even delirious, we should see and feel what our senses present to os, though our judgment as to its reality would be errouecos. And this judgmeet we moy withhold by assenting to nothing without perfect certainty.

Were we even delineus, we should see and feel what our senses present to es, though our judgment as to its reality would be erroueoes. And this judgmeet we may withhold by assentiog to nothing without perfect certainty 42. It would have been impossible for a mon endowed with such intreplity and acuteness as Malchinacho to over look the question, so naturally raised by this sceptical theory, as to the objective existence of an external world. There is no necessary connexion, he observes, between the presence of an idea in the soul and the existence of the thing which it represents, as dreams and delirium prove. It we may be confident that extension, figure, and movement do generally exist without us when we perceive them. These are not imaginary, we are not deceived in believing their reality, though it is very difficult to prove it. But it is far otherwise with colours, smells, or sounds, for these do not exist at all with colours, smells, or sounds, for these do not exist at all beyond the mind This he proceeds to show at considerable lougth † In one of the illustrations subsequently written in order to obviate objections, and subjoined to the Recherche do la Vérité, Malebranche comes again to this problem of the reality of matter, and concludes by subverting overy argument in its favour, except what he takes to be the assertion of

L. i. c. 9. Malebranche was engaged afterwards in a controversy with Regis on this perticular question of the horizontal moon. † L. i. c. 10.

Scripture. Berkeley, who did not see this in the same light, had scarcely a step to take in his own famous theory, which we may consider as having been anticipated by Malebranche, with the important exception that what was only scepticism and denial of certainty in the one, became a positive and dogmatic affirmation in the other.

43. In all our sensations, he proceeds to show, there are four things distinct in themselves, but which examined as they arise simultaneously, we are apt to confound; these are the action of the object, the effect upon the organ of sense, the mere sensation, and the judgment we form as to its cause. We fall into errors as to all these, confounding the sensation with the action of bodies, as when we say there is heat in the fire or colour in the rose, or confounding the motion of the nerves with sensation, as when we refer heat to the hand, but most of all, in drawing mistaken inferences as to the nature of objects from our sensations.* It may be here remarked, that what Malebranche has properly called the judgment of the mind as to the cause of its sensations, is precisely what Reid denominates perception; a term less clear, and which seems to have led some of his school into imand which seems to have led some of his school into important errors. The language of the Scottish philosopher appears to imply that he considered perception as a distinct and original faculty of the mind, rather than what it is, a complex operation of the judgment and memory, applying knowledge already acquired by experience. Neither he, nor his disciple Stewart, though aware of the mistakes that have arisen in this province of metaphysics by selecting our instances from the phænomena of vision instead of the other senses, have avoided the same source of error. The sense of sight has the preventive of each line as to preventive in sight has the prelogative of enabling us to pronounce instantly on the external cause of our sensation, and this perception is so intimately blended with the sensation itself, that it does not imply in our minds, whatever may be the case with young children, the least consciousness of a judgment. But we need only make our experiment upon sound or smell, and we shall at once acknowledge that there is no soit of necessary connexion between the sensation and our knowlegge of its corresponding external object. We bear sounds continually, which we are incapable of referring to my particular body, nor does any one I suppose, deny that it is by experience alone we learn to pronounce, with more or less of certainty according to its degree, on the causes from which these sensations proceed

44. Sensation he defines to be "a modification of the sonl in relation to something which passes in the body to which she is united" These sensations we know by experience, it is idle to go about defining or explaining them, this cannot be done by words. It is an error, according to Malebranche, to believe that all men bave like sensations from the same objects. In this he goes farther than Pascal, who thinks it probable that they lave, while Malebranche holds it indubtable, from the organs of men being constructed differently, that they do not receive similar impressions, instancing music, some smells and flavours, and many other things of the same kind. But it is obvious to reply that he has argued from the exception to the rule, the great majority of mink land agreeing as to musical sounds (which is the strongest case that can be put against his paradox), and most other sensations. That the sensations of different men, subject to anch exceptions, if not attrictly nlike, are, so to say, in a constant ratte, seems as indispintable as any conclusion we can draw from their testimony

45 The second book of Malebranche's treatise relates to the imagination, and the errors connected with it. "The imagination consists in the power of the mind to form images of objects by producing a change in the fibres of that part of the brain, which may be called principal because it corresponds with all parts of the body, and is the place where the soul, if we may so speak, immediately resides. This he supposes to be where all the filaments of the brain terminate so difficult was it, especially in that age, for a philosopher who had the clearest perception of the soil's immateriality to free himself from the analogies of extended presence and material impulse. The imagination, he says, comprehends two things, the action of the will and thin obedience of the animal spirits which trace images on the brain. The power of conception-depends partly apon the strength of those animal spirits, is

partly on the qualities of the brain itself. For just as the size, the depth, and the clearness of the lines in an engraving depend on the force with which the graver acts, and on the obedience which the copper yields to it, so the depth and clearness of the traces of the imagination depend on the force of the animal spirits, and on the constitution of the fibres of the brain; and it is the difference of these which occasions almost the whole of that vast inequality which we find in the capacities of men.

of that vast inequality which we find in the capacities of men.
46. This arbitrary, though rather specious hypothesis, which in the present more advanced state of physiology a philosopher might not in all points reject, but would certainly not assume, is spread out by Malebianche over a large part of his work, and especially the second book. The delicacy of the fibres of the brain, he supposes, is one of the chief causes of our not giving sufficient application to difficult subjects. Women possess this delicacy, and hence have more intelligence than men as to all sensible objects, but whatever is abstract is to them incomprehensible. The fibres are soft in children, and become stronger with age, the greatest per-fection of the understanding being between thirty and fifty; but with prejudiced men, and especially when they are advanced in life, the hardness of the cerebral fibre confirms them in error. For we can understand nothing without attention, not attend to it without having a strong image in the brain, nor can that image be formed without a suppleness and susceptibility of motion in the brain itself. It is therefore highly useful to get the habit of thinking on all subjects, and thus to give the brain a facility of motion analogous to that of the fingers in playing on a musical instrument. And this habit is best acquired by seeking truth in difficult things while we are young, because it is then that the fibres are most easily bent in all directions.*

47. This hypothesis, carried so far as it has been by Malebranche, goes very great lengths in asserting not merely a connexion between the cerebral motions and the operations of the mind, but something like a subordination of the latter to a plastic power in the animal spirits of the brain. For if the differences in the intellectual powers of mankind, and also,

as he afterwards mountains, in their meral emotions, are to be necounted for by mern bodily configuration as their regulating cause, little more than a maked individuality of consciousness seems to be left to the immaterial principle No oue, howover, whether he were staggered by this difficulty or not, had a more decided conviction of the essential distinction between mind and matter thon this disciple of Descartes The soul, he says, does not become body, nor the body soul, by their nmon Lach substance remains as it is, the soul incapable of extension and motion, the body incapable of thought and desire. All the alliance between soul and body which is known to us consists in a notural and mutual correspondence of the thoughts of the fermer with the traces on the brain, and of its emotions with the traces of the animal spirits. As soon as the soul receives new ideas, new traces are imprinted on the brain, and as soon as external objects imprint new traces, the soul receives new ideas. Net that it contemplates these traces, for it has no knowledge of them, nor that the traces contain the ideas, since they liovo ne relo tion to them, nor that the soul receives her ideas from the traces, for it is inconceivable that the soul should receive any thing from the body, and become more enlightened, as some philosophers (meaning Gassendi) express it, by turning itself towards the phantasms in the brain This, also, when the sonl wills that the arm should move, the nrin moves, thengh slie does not even know what else is necessary for its motion, and thus, when the animal spirits are put into movement, tho soul is disturbed, though she does not even know that there are animal spirits in the body

48 These remarks of Mulebranche it is important to familiarise to our minds, and those who reflect upon their will neither fall into the gross materialism to which mind physiologists appear prone, nor, on the other hand, out of fear of allowing too much to the bodily organs, reject any sufficient proof that may be addred for the relation between the cerebral system and the intellectual processes. These opposite errors are by no means uncommon in the present age. But, without expressing an opinion on that peculiar hypothesis which is generally called phrenology, we might ask whether it is not quite as conceivable that in certain state

of portions of the biain may be the antecedent condition of memory or imagination, as that a certain state of nervous filaments may be, what we know it is, an invariable antecedent of sensation. In neither instance can there be any resemblance or proper representation of the organic motion transferred to the soul; nor ought we to employ, even in metaphor, the analogies of impulse or communication. But we have two phænomena, between which, by the constitution of our human nature, and probably by that of the very lowest animals, there is a perpetual harmony and concomitance; an ultimate fact, according to the present state of our faculties, which may in some senses be called mysterious, masmuch as we can neither fully apprehend its final causes, nor all the conditions of its operation, but one which seems not to involve any appearance of contradiction, and should therefore not lead us into the useless perplexity of seeking a solution that is almost evidently beyond our reach.

49. The association of ideas is far more extensively developed by Malebranche in this second book than by any of the old writers, not even, I think, with the exception of Hobbes; though he is too fond of mixing the psychological facts which experience furnishes with his precarious, however plausible, theory of cerebral traces. Many of his remarks are acute and valuable. Thus he observes that writers who make use of many new terms in science, under the notion of being more intelligible, are often not understood at all, whatever care they may take to define their words. We grant in theory their right to do this; but nature resists. words, having no ideas previously associated with them, fall out of the reader's mind, except in mathematics, where they can be rendered evident by diagrams. In all this part, Malebranche expatiates on the excessive deference shown to authority, which, because it is great in religion, we suppose equally conclusive in philosophy, and on the waste of time which mere reading of many books entails, experience, he says, having always shown that those who have studied most are the very persons who have led the world into the greatest The whole of the chapters on this subject is worth

50. In another part of this second book, Malebranche has

opened a new ond fertile voin, which he is fur from having exhausted, on what he calls the contagiousness of a powerfol imagination. Minds of this character, he observes, rule those which are feebler in conception they give them by degrees their own habit, they impress their own type, and as men of strong imagination are themselves for the most part very unreasonable, their brains being cut up, as it were, by deep traces, which leave no room for any thing else, no source of human error is more dangerons than this contagiousness of their disorder. This he explains, in his favourite physiology, by a certain natural sympathy between the cerebral fibres of different meo, which being wanting in any ooc with whom we converse, it is vain to expect that he will enter into our views, and we must look for a more sympathetic usano elsewhere.

51 The moral observations of Malebrancho are worth more than these hypotheses with which they are mingled Men of powerful imagination express themselves with force and vivacity, though not always in the most natural manner, and often with great animotion of gesture, they deal with sobjects that excite scosiblo images, and from all this they acquire a great power of persuasion. This is exercised especially over persons in subordinate relations, and thus children, servants, or courtiers adopt the opinions of their superiors. Even in religion nations have been found to take up the doctrines of their rulers, as has been seen in England. In certain no thors; who influence our minds without any weight of urgu ment, this despotism of a strong imagination is exorcised, which he particularly illustrates by the examples of Tertullian, Seneca, and Montaigne. The contagious power of imagi nation is also manifest in the credulity of mankind as to apparations and witchcraft, and he abserves that where witches are burned, there is generally a great number of them, while, since some parliaments have ceased to punish for sorcery, the offence has diminished within their juris diction

52 The application which these striking and original views will bear spreads far into the regions of moral philosophy in the largest sense of that word. It is needless to dwell upon, and idle to cavil at the physiological theories to

which Malebranche has had recourse. False let them be, what is derived from the experience of human nature will always be true. No one general phenomenon in the intercommunity of mankind with each other is more worthy to be remembered, or more evident to an observing eye, than this contagiousness, as Malebranche phrases it, of a powerful imagination, especially when assisted by any circumstances that secure and augment its influence. The history of every popular delusion, and even the petty events of every day in private life, are witnesses to its power.

53. The third book is entitled, Of the Understanding or Pure Spirit (l'Espirit Pur). By the pure understanding he means the faculty of the soul to know the reality of certain things without the aid of images in the brain. And he warns the reader that the inquiry will be found dry and obscure. The essence of the soul, he says, following his Cartesian theory, consists in thinking, as that of matter does in extension; will, imagination, memory, and the like, are modifications of thought or forms of the soul, as water, wood, or fire are modifications of matter. This sort of expression has been adopted by our metaphysicians of the Scots school in preference to the ideas of reflection, as these operations are called by Locke. But by the word thought (pensée) Malebranche, hke Regis, does not mean these modifications, but the soul or thinking principle absolutely, capable of all these modifications, as extension is neither round nor square, though capable of either form. The power of volution and, by parity of reasoning we may add, of thinking, is inseparable from the soul, but not the acts of volution or thinking themselves, as a body is always moveable, though it be not always in motion.

54. In this book it does not seem that Malebranche has been very successful in distinguishing the ideas of pure intellect from those which the senses or imagination present to us, nor do we clearly see what he means by the former, except those of existence and a few more. But he now hastens to his peculiar hypothesis as to the mode of perception. By ideas he understands the immediate object of the soul, which all the world, he supposes, will agree not to be the same with the external object of sense. Ideas are real existences; for they have properties, and represent very different

things, but nothing can have no property . How then do they enter into the mind, or become present to it? Is it, as the Aristotelians hold, by means of species transmitted from the external objects? Or are they produced instantaneously by some faculty of the soul? Or have they been created and posited as it were in the sonl, when it began to exist? Or does God produce them in ns whenever we think or perceive? Or does the sonl contain in herself in some transcen dental manner whatever is in the sensible world? These hypotheses of elder philosophers, some of which are not quite intelligibly distinct from each other, Malebranche having successively refuted, comes to what he considers the only possible alternative, namely, that the soul is united to an all perfect Being in whom all that belongs to his creatures is contained. Besides the exclusion of overy other supposition which by his sorites he conceives himself to have given, he antijoins several direct arguments in favour of his own theory, hat in general so obscure and full of arbitrary assumption that they cannot be stated in this brief sketch †

55 The mysticism of this eminent man displays itself throughout this part of his treatise, but rarely leading him muto that figurative and immeaning language from which tho inferior class of enthusiasts are never free. His philosophy which has hitherto appeared so sceptical assumes now the character of intense irresistible conviction. The scepticism of Malebranche is merely ancillary to his mysticism. His philosophy, if we may use so quaint a description of it, is subjectivity leading objectivity in chains. He seems to triumph in his restoration of the inner man to his pristing greatness, by subduing those false traitors and rebels, the nerves and brain, to whom, since the great lapse of Adam, his posterity had been in thrall. It has been justly remarked by

[[]Codworth uses the same argument for the reality of kiesa. "It is a ridje-enlous concent of a modern athelatio writer that universals are nothing else but names, attributed to many singular Host houses whatever is, is singular Host though whatever is, it singular Host though whatever exist without the mind be singular yet it is plain that there are conceptions in our minds objectively universal. Which universal objects of our recent.

mind, though they arist not as such any where without it, yet are they not therefore nothing but have an intalligible entity for this very reson, because they are concervable; for since nonemity is not conceivable, whatever is conceivable as an object of the mind is therefore something. Intallectual System, p. 731—1842.7

—1.842.7

Brown, that in the writings of Malebranche, as in all theological metaphysicians of the catholic church, we perceive the commanding influence of Augustin.* From him, rather than, in the first instance, from Plato or Plotinus, it may be suspected that Malebranche, who was not very learned in ancient philosophy, derived the manifest tinge of Platonism, that, mingling with his warm admiration of Descartes, has rendered him a link between two famous systems, not very harmonious in their spirit and turn of reasoning. But his genius more clear, or at least disciplined in a more accurate logic than that of Augustin, taught him to dissent from that father by denying objective reality to eternal truths, such as that two and two are equal to four; descending thus one step from unintelligible mysticism.

step from unintelligible mysticism.

56. "Let us repose," he concludes, "in this tenet, that God is the intelligible world, or the place of spirits, like as the material world is the place of bodies; that it is from his power they receive all their modifications; that it is in his wisdom they find all their ideas; and that it is by his love they feel all their well-regulated emotions. And since his power and his wisdom and his love are but himself, let us believe with St. Paul, that he is not far from each of us, and that in him we live, and move, and have our being." But sometimes Malebranche does not content himself with these fine effusions of piety. His theism, as has often been the case with mystical writers, expands till it becomes as it were dark with excessive light, and almost vanishes in its own effulgence. He has passages that approach very closely to the pantheism of Jordano Bruno and Spinosa; one especially, wherein he vindicates the Cartesian argument for a being of necessary existence in a strain which perhaps renders that argument less incomprehensible, but certainly cannot be said, in any legitimate sense, to establish the existence of a Deity.†

57. It is from the effect which the invention of so original and striking an hypothesis, and one that raises such magnificent conceptions of the union between the Deity and the human soul, would produce on a man of an elevated and contem-

^{*} Philosophy of the Human Mind, paradoxical, in expression at least, as any Lecture xx Brown's own position, that thing in Malebranche.

"the idea is the mind," seems to me as

† L iii. c 8

plative genius, that we must account for Mulehranche's for getfulness of much that he has judiciously said in part of his treatise, on the limitation of our faculties and the imperfect knowledge we can attain as in nur intellectual nature. For, if we should udmit that ideas are substances, and not accordents of the thinking spirit, it would still be doubtful whether he has wholly enumerated, or conclusively refuted, the possible hypotheses as to their existence in the mind. And his more direct reasonings labour under the same difficulty from the mainfest incapacity of our nuderstandings to do more than form conjectures and dim notions of what we can so imper-

fectly hring before them

58 The fourth and fifth hooks of the Recherche de la Verité treat of the natural inclinations and passions and of the errors which spring from those sources These books are various and discursive, and very characteristic of the outhor e mind, abounding with a mystical theology, which extends to an absolute negation of secondary causes, as well as with polynant satire on the follies of maukod In every part of his treatise, but especially in these books, Malebranche pursues with unsparing ridicule two classes, the men of learning, and the men of the world With Aristotle and the whole school of his disciples he has an inveterate quarrel, and omits no occasion of holding them forth to contempt. This seeme to have been in a great measure warranted by their dogmatism, their higotry, their pertinacions resistance to modern science, especially to the Cartesian philosophy, which Malebranche to general followed "Let them," he exclaims, "prove, if they can, that Aristotle, or any of themselves, has deduced one truth in physical philosophy from any principle peculiar to himself, and we will promise never to speak of him but in enlogy? • But, until this grainflet should be taken up he thought himself at liberty to use very different language. "The works of the Stagirite,' he observes, are so obscure and full of indefinite words, that we have a colour for ascribing to him the most opposite opinions. In fact, we make him say what we please, because he says very little though with much parade, just as children fancy bells to say

any thing, because they make a great noise, and in reality

say nothing at all."

- 59 But such philosophers are not the only class of the learned he depreciates. Those who pass their time in gazing through telescopes, and distribute provinces in the moon to their friends, those who note over worthless books, such as the Rabbinical and other Oriental writers, or compose folio volumes on the animals mentioned in Scripture, while they can hardly tell what are found in their own province, those who accumulate quotations to inform us not of truth, but of what other men have taken for truth, are exposed to his sharp, but doubtless exaggerated and unreasonable ridicule. Malebranche, like many men of genius, was much too intolerant of what might give pleasure to other men, and too narrow in his measure of utility. He seems to think little valuable in human learning but metaphysics and algebra.* From the learned he passes to the great, and after enumerating the circumstances which obstruct their perception of truth, comes to the blunt conclusion that men "much raised above the rest by rank, dignity, or wealth, or whose minds are occupied in gaming these advantages, are remarkably subject to error, and hardly capable of discerning any truths which he a little out of the common way."†
- 60. The sixth and last book announces a method of directing our pursuit of truth, by which we may avoid the many errors to which our understandings are hable. It promises to give them all the perfection of which our nature is capable, by prescribing the rules we should invariably observe. But it must, I think, be confessed that there is less originality

La plupart de livres de certains savans ne sont fabriqués qu'a comps de dictionnaires, et ils n'ont gueres la que les tables des livres qu'ils citent, ou quelques lieux communs, ramassés de differens auteurs. On n'oseroit entrer d'avantage dans le détail de ces choses, ni en donner des exemples, de peur de choquer des personnes, aussi fieres et aussi bilicuses que sont ces faux savans, car on ne prend pas plaisir à se faire injurier en Gree et en Arabe

† C 9

^{*} It is rather amusing to find that, while lamenting the want of a review of books, he predicts that we shall never see one, on account of the prejudice of mankind in favour of authors. The prophecy was falsified almost at the time. On regarde ordinairement les auteurs comme des hommes rares et extraordinaires et beaucoup clevés au dessus des autres, on les révère done au heu de les mépriser et de les punir. Ainsi il n'y a guères d'apparence que les hommes erigent jamais un tribunal pour examiner et pour condainner tous les livres, qui ne font que corrompre la raison.

in this method than we might expect. We find, however, many neute and useful, if not plways novel, observations on the conduct of the understanding, and it may be reckoned among the books which would supply materials for what is still wanting to philosophical hterature, nu amplo and useful logic. We are so frequently mattentive, he observes, especially to the pure ideas of the understanding, that all resources should be employed to fix our thoughts And for this purpose we may make use of the passions, the senses or the imagin ation, but the second with less danger than the first, and the third than the second Geometrical figures he ranges under the mids supplied to the imagination rather than to the senses. Ho dwells ninch of length on the utility of geometry in fixing our attention, and of algebra in compressing and arranging our thoughts All sciences, he well remurl's, and I do not know that it had been said before, which trent of things distioguishable by more or less in quantity, and which conse queotly may be represented by extension, are enpalle of illustration by diagrams But these, he conceives, are inapplicable to moral truths, though sure consequences may be derived from them Algebra, however is far more useful in improving the understanding than geometry and is in fact with its mater orithmetic, the best means that we possess * But as men like better to exercise the imagination than the pure intellect geometry is the more forourite study of the two

I. l. c. 4. All conception of absisted ideas, he jouly remarks in another place, are accompanied with some imagination, though we are often not aware of it; because these ideas have no neutral images or traces associated with them, but such only as the will of man or chance has given. Thus in analysis, however genoral the ideas, we use letter and signs, always associated with the lease of the though though they are not really related, and for this reason do not give us false and confessed notions. If cook, he thinks, the ideas of things which can only be preserved by the understanding may become associated with the traces on the brain, I. c. 2. This is evidently as applicable to language as it is to algebra.

Codworth ha a somewhat similar remark in his Immutable Morality that the cogitations we have of corporcal things are usually in his technical style ; both normatical and phanta-matical together the one being as it were the soul. and the other the body of them. Whenever we think of a phantamatical univer sal or universalised phantasm, or a thing which we have no clear intellection of (as for example of the nature of a rose in general,) there is a complication of something momentical and something phantasmatical together; for phantasms themselves as well as sensations are always individual things. p. 143. - [See also the quotation from Gassendi, supra, \$15.- 1842.]

61. Malebranche may, perhaps, be thought to have occupied too much of our attention at the expense of more popular writers. But for this very reason, Character of Malethat the Recherche de la Vérité is not at present much read, I have dwelt long on a treatise of so great celebrity in its own age, and which, even more perhaps than the metaphysical writings of Descartes, has influenced that department of philosophy. Malebranche never loses sight of the great principle of the soul's immateriality, even in his long and rather hypothetical disquisitions on the instrumentality of the brain in acts of thought; and his language is far less objectionable on this subject than that of succeeding philosophers. He is always consistent and clear in distinguishing the soul itself from its modifications and properties. He knew well and had deeply considered the application of mathematical and physical science to the philosophy of the human mind. He is very copious and diligent in illustration, and very clear in definition. His principal errors, and the sources of them in his peculiar temperament, have appeared in the course of these pages. And to these we milay add his maintaining some Cartesian paradoxes, such errorthe system of vortices, and the want of sensation in bilities. The latter he deduced from the immateriality of a thinking branche. that the Recherche de la Vérité is not at present The latter he deduced from the immateriality of a thinking principle, supposing it incredible, though he owns it had been the tenet of Augustin, that there could be an immaterial spirit in the lower animals, and also from the incompatibility of any unmerited suffering with the justice of God.* Nor was Malebranche exempt from some prejudices of scholastic theology, and though he generally took care to avoid its technical language, is content to repel the objection to his denial of all secondary causation from its making God the sole author of sin, by saying that sin, being a privation of righteousness, is negative, and consequently requires no cause.

62. Malebranche bears a striking resemblance to his great contemporary Pascal, though they were not, I bewith Pascal. lieve, in any personal relation to each other, not could either have availed himself of the other's writings. Both of

whence, it seems, that father had inferred the imputation of original sin to infants, a happy mode of escaping the difficulty

This he had borrowed from a maxim of Augustin sub justo Deo quisquam nisi mereatur, miser esse non potest,

ardent minds, endowed with strong imagination and lively wit, sarcastic, severe, fearless, disdainful of popular opinion and necredited reputations, both imbined with the notion of a vast difference between the original and actual state of man, and this solving many phenomena of his being, both, in different modes and degrees, sceptical, and rigorous in the exaction of proof, both undervalning all human knowledge beyond the regions of mathematics, both of rigid strictness in morals, and a fervid enthusiastic piety. But in Malebranche there is a less overpowering sense of religion, his eyo reams inblenched in the light, before which that of Pascal had been veiled to ane, he is sustained by a less timid desire of truth, by greater confidence in the inspirations that are breathed into his mind, he is more quick in adopting a novel opiniou, but less apt to embrace a sophism in defence of an old one; he has less energy; but more copiousness and variety

O3 Arnanld, who though it first in personal friendship with Malebranche, held no friendship in a balance with his rigid love of truth, combated the chief points of the other's theory in a treatise on true and falso ideas. This work I have never had the good fortune to see, it appears to assail a leading principle of Malebranche, the separate existence of ideas, as objects in the mind independent and distinguishable from the sensation itself. Arnauld maintained, as Reid and others have since done, that we do not perceive or feel ideas, but real objects, and thos led the way to a school which has been called that of Scotland, and has had a great popularity among our later metaphysicians. It would require a critical examination of his work, which I have not been able to make, to determine precisely what were the opinious of this philosopher.

64 The peculiar hypothesis of Malebranche, that we see all things to God, was examined by Locke to a short piece, contained in the collection of his works. It will rendily be conceived that two philosophers, one eminentity mystical, and andeavoortog upon this highly transcendental theme to grasp to his mind and express in his language something beyond

the faculties of man, the other as characteristically averse to mystery, and slow to admit any thing without proof, would have hardly any common ground even to fight upon. Locke, therefore, does little else than complain that he cannot understand what Malebranche has advanced, and most of his readers will probably find themselves in the same position.

65. He had, however, an English supporter of some celebrity in his own age, Norris, a disciple, and one of the latest we have had, of the Platonic school of Henry More. The principal metaphysical treatise of Norris, his Essay on the Ideal World, was published in two parts, 1701 and 1702. It does not therefore come within our limits. Norris is more thoroughly Platonic than Malebranche, to whom, however, he pays great deference, and adopts his fundamental hypothesis of seeing all things in God. He is a writer of fine genius and a noble elevation of moral sentiments, such as predisposes men for the Platonic schemes of theosophy. He looked up to Augustin with as much veneration as to Plato, and respected, more perhaps than Malebranche, certainly more than the generality of English writers, the theological metaphysicians of the schools. With these he mingled some visions of a later mysticism. But his reasonings will seldom bear a close scrutiny.

of Pascal we find many striking remarks on the logic of that science with which he was peculiarly conversant, and upon the general foundations of certainty. He had reflected deeply upon the sceptical objections to all human reasoning, and, though sometimes out of a desire to elevate religious faith at its expense, he seems to consider them unanswerable, he was too clear-headed to believe them just. "Reason," he says, "confounds the dogmatists, and nature the sceptics." "We have an incapacity of demonstration, which one cannot overcome; we have a conception of truth which the others cannot disturb." † He throws out a notion of a more complete method of reasoning than that of geometry, wherein every thing shall be demonstrated, which however he holds to be unattainable ‡, and perhaps on this account he might

^{*} Œuvres de Pascal, vol 1 p 205 † Pensées de Pascal, part 1 art 2 † P 208

think the cavils of pyrrhonism invincible by pure reason But as he afterwards edmits that we may have a full certainty of propositions that cannot be demonstrated, such as the infinity of number and space, end that such incapability of direct proof is rather e perfection than a defect, this notion of a greater completeness in evidence seems neither clear nor consistent.

67 Geometry Pascal observes, is almost the only sub-ject, as to which we find truths wherein all men agree And one cause of this is that geometers alone regard the true laws of demonstration. These as enumerated by him true laws of demonstration. These as enumerated by him ere eight in number. I To define nothing which cannot be expressed in clearer terms than those in which it is already expressed. 2 To leave no obscure or equivocal terms in defined. 3 To employ in the definition no terms not already known. 4 To omit nothing in the principles from which we argue in less we are sure it is granted. 5 To lay down no axiom which is not perfectly evident. 6 To demonstrate nothing which is as clear already as we can make it 7. To prove every thing in the least doubtful, by means of self-evident axioms, or of propositions already demonstrated 8 To substitute mentally the definition instead of the thing defined Of these rules, be says, the first, fourth, and sixth are not absolutely necessary in order to avoid error, but the order to absolutely necessary in order to avoid error, but the other five are indispensable. Yet, though they may be found in books of logic, none but the geometers have paid any regard to them. The enthors of these books seem not to have entered into the spirit of their own precepts. All other rules then those he has given are useless or mischievous,

they contain, he says, the whole art of demonstration † 68 The reverence of Pascal, like that of Malebranche, for what is established in religion does not extend to philosophy. We do not find in them, as we may sometimes per ceive in the present day, all sorts of prejudices against the liberties of the human mind clustering together like n berd of bats, by an instructive association. He has the same idea as Bacon, that the ancients were properly the children emong

Comme la came qui les rend incapables de démonstration n'est pas leur un défaut, mais plutôt une perfection,
obseurité, mais au contraire leur extrème ... Couvres de Pascal, i. 66,

Z "3"

mankind. Not only each man, he says, advances daily in science, but all men collectively make a constant progress, so that all generations of mankind during so many ages may be considered as one man, always subsisting and always learning; and the old age of this universal man is not to be sought in the period next to his birth, but in that which is most removed from it. Those we call ancients were truly novices in all things, and we who have added to all they knew the experience of so many succeeding ages, have a better claim to that antiquity which we revere in them. In this, with much ingenuity and much truth, there is a certain mixture of fallacy, which I shall not wait to point out.

60. The genius of Pascal was admirably fitted for acute

observation on the constitution of human nature, if he had not seen every thing through a refracting medium of religious prejudice. When this does not interfere to bias his judgment he abounds with fine remarks, though always a little tending towards severity. One of the most useful and original is the following: "When we would show any one that he is mistaken, our best course is to observe on what side he considers the subject, for his view of it is generally right on this side, and admit to him that he is right so far. He will be satisfied with this acknowledgment that he was not wrong in his judgment, but only inadvertent in not looking at the whole of the case. For we are less ashamed of not having seen the whole, than of being deceived in what we do see, and this may perhaps arise from an impossibility of the understanding's being deceived in what it does see, just as the perceptions of the senses, as such, must be always true." *

70. The Cartesian philosophy has been supposed to have produced a metaphysician very divergent in most of his theory from that school, Benedict Spinosa. No treatise is written in a more rigidly geometrical method than his Ethics. It rests on definitions and axioms, from which the propositions are derived in close, brief, and usually perspicuous demonstrations. The few explanations he has thought necessary are contained in scholia. Thus a fabric is erected,

^{*} Œuvres de Pascal, p 149 Though contrary asserted in other passages, he Pascal here says that the perceptions of is not uniformly consistent with himself the senses are always true, we find the

astonishing and bewildering in its entire effect, yet so regu larly constructed, that the reader must pause and return on his steps to discover an error in the workmanship, while he cannot also but acknowledge the good faith and intimate persuasion of having attained the truth, which the acute and deep-reflecting anthor overy where displays.

71 Spinosa was born in 1632, we find by his corre

already developed his entire scheme, and in the Ethics are alluded to numerically, as we now read them. It was therefore the frait of early meditation, as its fearlessness, its general disregard of the slow process of observation its un heatating dogmansm, might lead as to expect. In what degree he had availed himself of prior writers is not evident; with Descartes and Lord Bacon he was familiar, and from the former he had derived some lending tenets, but he observes both in him and Bacon what he calls mistakes as to the first cause and origin of things, their ignorance of the real nature of the human mind, and of the true sources of error † The pantheistic theory of Jordano Brono is not very remote from that of Spinosa, but the rhapsodies of the Italian, who seldom aims at proof, can hardly have supplied much to the subtle mind of the Jew of Amsterdam Buhlo has given us an exposition of the Spinosistic theory ‡ But several propositions in this I do not find in the enthor, and Buble has et least, without any necessity, entirely deviated from the arrangement he found in the Ethics. This seems as anreasonable in a work so rigorously systemetic, as it would be in the elements of Euclid, and I believe the fol lowing pages will prove more faithful to the text. But it is no easy task to translate and abridge a writer of such extra ordinary conciseness as well as subtility, nor is it probable that my attempt will be intelligible to those who heve not habituated themselves to metaphysical inquiry

Spinose Opera Posthuma, p. 596. humane mentis non cognoverunt

[†] Cartes et Bacon tam kmgs a cogni-tione primer camer et oraginis omnium † Hi rerum aberrarunt, Veram naturam

versin causam errors aunquam operatil † Hist. de la Philosophie, vol. ili

72. The first book or part of the Ethics is entitled Concerning God, and contains the entire theory of Spinosa. It may even be said that this is found in metaphysical theory a few of the first propositions, which being granted, the rest could not easily be denied, presenting, as they do, little more than new aspects of the former, or evident deductions from them. Upon eight definitions and seven axioms reposes this philosophical superstructure. A substance, by the third definition, is that, the conception of which does not require the conception of any thing else as antecedent to it.* The attribute of a substance is whatever the mind perceives to constitute its essence.† The mode of a substance is its accident or affection, by means of which it is conceived. In the sixth definition he says, I understand by the name of God a being absolutely infinite, that is, a substance consisting of infinite attributes, each of which expresses an eternal and infinite essence. Whatever expresses an essence, and involves no contradiction, may be predicated of an absolutely infinite being.§ The most important of the axioms are the following: From a given determinate cause the effect necessarily follows, but if there be no determinate cause, no effect can follow. - The knowledge of an effect depends upon the knowledge of the cause, and includes it. - Things that have nothing in common with each other cannot be understood by means of each other, that is, the conception of one does not include that of the other. — A true idea must agree with its object ||

73. Spinosa proceeds to his demonstrations upon the basis of these assumptions alone. Two substances, having different attributes, have nothing in common with each other; and hence one cannot be the cause of the other, since one may be

† Per attributum intelligo id quod intellectus de substantia percipit, tanquam ejusdem essentiam constituens.

| Axiomata, 111, 1v, v, and vi

^{*} Per substantiam intelligo id quod in se est, et per se concipitur, hoc est, id cujus conceptus non indiget conceptu alterius rei, a quo formari debeat. The last words are omitted by Spinosa in a letter to De Vries (p 463), where he repeats this definition

[†] Per modum intelligo substantiæ affectiones, sive id, quod in also est, per quod etiam concipitur

[§] Per Deum intelligo Ens absolutè infinitum, hoc est, substantiam constantem infinitis attributis, quorum unumquodque æternam et infinitam essentiam exprimit. Dico absolutè infinitum, non autem in suo genere, quiequid enim in suo genere tantum infinitum est, infinita de co attributa negare possumus, quod autem absolutè infinitum est, ad ejus essentiam pertinet, quiequid essentiam exprimit et negationein nullam involvit

conceived without involving the conception of the other, but an effect cannot be conceived without involving the knowledge of the cause. It seems to be in this fourth axion, and in the proposition grounded upon it, that the fundamental fallacy lurks. The relation between a cause and effect is surely something different from our perfect comprehension of it, or indeed from our having any knowledge of it at all, much less can the contrary assertion be deemed axiomatic. But if we should concede this postulate, it night perhaps be very difficult to resist the subsequent proofs, so ingeniously and with such geometrical rigour are they arranged

74 Two or more things cannot be distinguished, except by the diversity of their nitributes, or by that of their modes For there is nothing out of ourselves except substances and their modes. But there cannot be two substances of the same attribute, since there would be no means of distin guishing them except their modes or affections, and every substance, being prior in order of time to its modes, may be considered independently of them, hence two such substances could not be distinguished at all One substance therefore cannot be the cause of mother, for they cannot have the same attribute, that is, any thing in common with one an other † Every substance therefore is self-caused, that is, its essence implies its existence ! It is also necessarily infinite, for it would otherwise be terminated by some other of the same nature and necessarily existing, but two substances cannot have the same attribute, and therefore cannot both possess necessary existence § The more reality or existence any being possesses, the more attributes are to be ascribed to It This ho says appears by the definition of an attribute II The proof however is surely not munifest, nor do we clearly apprehend what he meant by degrees of reality or existence But of this theorem he was very proud I look upon the demonstration, he says in a letter, as capital (palmuram), that the more attributes we ascribe to any being, the more we are compelled to neknowledge its existence, that is the more we conceive it as true and not a mere claimera.

Prop. II. and III. † Prop. L † Prop. II. § Prop. viiI.

Prop lx ¶ P 463 This is in the letter to De Vries, above quoted.

from this he derived the real existence of God, though the former proof seems collateral to it. God, or a substance consisting of infinite attributes, each expressing an eternal and infinite power, necessarily exists.* For such an essence involves existence. And, besides this, if any thing does not exist, a cause must be given for its non-existence, since this requires one as much as existence itself.† The cause may be either in the nature of the thing, as, e. gi. a square circle cannot exist by the circle's nature, or in something extrinsic. But neither of these can prevent the existence of God. The later propositions in Spinosa are chiefly obvious corollaries from the definitions and a few of the first propositions which contain the whole theory, which he proceeds to expand.

75. There can be no substance but God. Whatever is, is in God, and nothing can be conceived without God. ‡ For he is the sole substance, and modes cannot be conceived without a substance; but besides substance and mode nothing exists. God is not corporeal, but body is a mode of God, and therefore uncreated. God is the permanent, but not the transient cause of all things. § He is the efficient cause of their essence, as well as their existence, since otherwise their essence might be conceived without God, which has been shown to be absurd. Thus particular things are but the affections of God's attributes, or modes in which they are determinately expressed. ||

76. This pantheistic scheme is the fruitful mother of many paradoxes, upon which Spinosa proceeds to dwell. There is no contingency, but every thing is determined by the necessity of the divine nature, both as to its existence and operation; nor could any thing be produced by God otherwise than as it is. \(\Pi\) His power is the same as his essence; for he is the necessary cause both of himself and of all things, and it is as impossible for us to conceive him not to act as not to exist.** God, considered in the attributes of his in-

^{*} Prop x1
† If twenty men exist, neither more
nor less, an extrinsic reason must be
given for this precise number, since the
definition of a man does not involve it.
Prop viii. Schol ii

[‡] Prop xiv

[§] Deus est omnium rerum causa immanens, sed non transiens. Prop xviii

Prop xxv and coroll Prop xxix—xxxiii

^{**} Prop xxxx, and part n prop m Schol

finite sabstance, is the same as nature, that is, natura naturans, but nature, in unother sense, or natara naturata, expresses but the modes under which the diviac uttributes appear. And intelligence, considered in uct, oven though infinite, should be referred to natura naturata, for intelligence, in this sense is but a mode of thinking, which can only be conceived by means of our conception of thinking in the abstract, that is, by no attribute of God. The faculty of thinking, as distinguished from the act, as also those of desiring, loving, and the rest, Spinosa explicitly denies to exist at all

77 In an appendix to the first chapter, De Deo, Spinosa controverts what he calls the prejudice about final causes. Men are born ignorant of causes, but merely conscious of their own appetites, by which they desire their own good Hence they only care for the final cause of their own actions or those of others, and inquire no farther when they are entisfied about these. And finding mony things in them selves and in nature, serving as means to a certain good, which things they know not to be provided by themselves, they have believed that some one has provided them, arguing from the analogy of the means which they in other instances themselves employ Hence they have imagined gods, and these gods they suppose to consult the good of men in order to be worshipped by them, and have devised every mode of su perstitious devotion to insure the favour of these divinities. And finding in the midst of so many beneficial things in na tare not a few of an opposite effect, they have ascribed them to the anger of the gods on account of the neglect of men to worship them, nor has experience of calamities falling aliko on the prous and improns cured them of this belief, choosing rather to acknowledge their ignorance of the reason why good and ovil are than distributed, than to give up their theory Spinosa thinks the hypothesis of final causes refated by his proposition that all things happen by eternal necessity Moreover, if God were to act for an end, ho must desire something which he wants; for it is ucknowledged by theo-

Sebol, in prop. xxix.

† Prop. xxxi. The atheism of Spisaltion.

logians that he acts for his own sake, and not for the sake of things created.

- 78. Men having satisfied themselves that all things were created for them, have invented names to distinguish that as good which tends to their benefit, and believing themselves free, have gotten the notions of right and wrong, praise and dispraise. And when they can easily apprehend and recollect the relations of things, they call them well ordered, if not, ill ordered, and then say that God created all things in order, as if order were any thing except in regard to our imagination of it, and thus they ascribe imagination to God himself, unless they mean that he created things for the sake of our imagining them.
 - 79. It has been sometimes doubted whether the Spinosistic philosophy excludes altogether an infinite intelligence. That it rejected a moral providence or creative mind is manifest in every proposition. His Deity could at most be but a cold passive intelligence, lost to our understandings and feelings in its metaphysical infinity. It was not however in fact so much as this. It is time that in a few passages we find what seems at first a dim recognition of the fundamental principle of theism. In one of his letters to Oldenburg, he asserts an infinite power of thinking, which considered in its infinity, embraces all nature as its object, and of which the thoughts proceed according to the order of nature, being its correlative ideas. * But afterwards he rejected the term, power of thinking, altogether. The first proposition of the second part of the Ethics, or that entitled On the Mind, runs thus: Thought is an attribute of God, or, God is a thinking being. Yet this, when we look at the demonstration, vanishes in an abstraction destructive of personality.† And in fact we can-
 - * Statuo dari in natura potentiam infinitam cogitandi quæ quatenus infinita in se continet totam naturam objectivè, et cujus cogitationes procedunt eodem modo ac natura, ejus nimirum edictum p 441. In another place he says, perhaps at some expense of his usual candour, Agnosco interim, id quod summam inihi præbet satisfactionem et mentis tranquillitatem, cuncta potentia Entis summè perfecti et ejus immutabili ita fieri decreto p 498. What follows is in the same strain. But Spinosa had

wrought himself up, like Bruno, to a mystical personification of his infinite unity

† Singulares cogitationes, sive hee et illa cogitatio, modi sunt, qui Dei naturam certo et determinato modo exprimunt. Competit ergo Dei attributum, cujus conceptum singulares omnes cogitationes involvunt, per quod etiam concipiuntur Est igitur cogitatio unum ex infinitis Dei attributis quod Dei reternam et infinitam essentiam exprimit, sive Deus est res cogitans

not reflect at all on the propositions already laid down by Spinosa, without perceiving that they annihilate every possible hypothesis in which the being of a God can be intelligibly stated.

80 The second book of the Ethics begins, like the first, with definitions and axioms. Body he defines to be n certain and determinate mode expressing the essence of God considered as extended. The essence of any thing he defines to be that according to the affirmation in negation of which the thing exists or otherwise. An idea is n conception which the mind firms as o thinking being. And he would rather say conception than perception, because the latter seems to imply the presence of an object. In the third axiom he says, Modes of thinking such as love, desire, or whatever name we may give to the affections of the mind, cannot exist with out in idea of their object, but an idea may exist with no other mode of thinking. And in the fifth We perceive no singular things beardes bodies and modes of thinking, thes distinguishing, like Locke, between ideas of sensation and of reflection.

81 Extension, by the second proposition, is an attribute of God as well as thought. As it follows from the infinite extension of God, that all bodies are portions of his substance, masuach as they cannot be conceived without it, so all particular acts of intelligence are portions of God s in finite intelligence, and thus all things are in him. Man is not a substance, but something which is in God, and cannot be conceived without him, that is, an affection or mode of the divine substance expressing its nature in a determinate manner † The human mind is int is substance, but an idea constitutes its actual being, and it must be the idea of an existing thing ‡ In this he plandy loses sight in the percupient in the perception, but it was the inevitable result of the fundamental sophisms of Spinosa to annihilate personal

Modi cogitandi, ut amor cupiditas, val quocumquo nomina sifiottus ariuni insigniantur non dantur nisi in codem individuo datur idea rei amatus, desidaratus, de. At idea dari potest, quanvis milina alius datur cogitandi modus.

† Prop. z. † Quod actuale mentis humanus esse constituit, nihil aliud est quem idea rei albeujns singularia eatu kietentia. This is an antidipation of what we find in Humes Treatise ou Human Nature, the negution of a substance, or Ego, to which paradox to one can come except professed mataphysicism.

consciousness. The human mind, he afterwards asserts, is part of the infinite intellect of God, and when we say, the mind perceives this or that, it is only that God, not as infinite, but so far as he constitutes the essence of the human mind, has such or such ideas."

- 82. The object of the human mind is body actually existing.† He proceeds to explain the connexion of the human body with the mind, and the association of ideas. But in all this advancing always synthetically and by demonstration, he becomes frequently obscure if not sophistical. The idea of the human mind is in God, and is united to the mind itself in the same manner as the latter is to the body \$ The obscurity and subtilty of this proposition are not relieved by the demonstration; but in some of these passages we may observe a singular approximation to the theory of Male-Both, though with very different tenets on the linghest subjects, had been trained in the same school, and it Spinosa had brought himself to acknowledge the personal distractness of the Supreme Being from his intelligent creation, he might have passed for one of those mystical theosophists, who were not averse to an objective pantheism.
- 83. The mind does not know itself, except so far as it receives ideas of the affections of the body. § But these ideas of sensation do not give an adequate knowledge of an external body, nor of the human body itself. || The mind therefore has but an madequate and confused knowledge of any thing, so long as it judges only by fortuitous perceptions; but may attain one clear and distinct by internal reflection and comparison. No positive idea can be called false; for there can be no such idea without God, and all ideas in God are true, that is, correspond with their object.** Falsity therefore consists in that privation of truth, which arises from madequate An adequate idea he has defined to be one which contams no incompatibility, without regard to the reality of its supposed correlative object.

codem modo unita est menti, ne ipsa mens unita est corpori

[·] Prop x1, coroll + Prop vin

Mentis liumane datur etiam in Deo idea, sive cognitio, que in Deo codem modo sequitur, et ad Deum codem modo refertur, ac idea sive cognitio corporis humani Prop xx Hee mentis idea

[§] Prop xxiii || Prop xxv

Schol, prop xxix
Prop xxxii, xxxiii xxxx

84 All bodies agree in some things, of have something in common of these all men have adequate ideas *, and this has the origin of what are called common notions, which all men possess, as extension, daration, number But to ex plan the nature of universals, Spinosa observes, that the human body can only form at the same time n certain name ber of distinct images, if this number be exceeded, they be come confused, and as the mind perceives distinctly just so many images as can be formed in the body, when these are confused the mind will also perceive them confusedly and will comprehend them under one attribute, as Man, Horse, Dog , the mind perceiving a number of such images, but not their differences of stature, colours, and the like And these notions will not be alike in all minds, varying according to the frequency with which the parts of the complex image have occurred. Thus those who have contemplated most frequently the erect figure of man will think of him as a per pendicular animal, others as two-legged, others as unfeathered, others as rational Hence so many disputes among philosophers who have tried to explain natural things by mern mages.†

85 Thus we form universal ideas, first by singulars, represented by the senses confusedly, imperfectly, and disorderly, secondly, by signs, that is, by associating the remembrance of things with words, both of which be calls imagination, or primi generis cognitio, thirdly by what he calls reason, or secund generis cognitio, and fourthly, by in tunitive knowledge, or tertil generis cognitio. The Knowledge of the first kind, or imagination, is the only source of error, the second and third being necessarily true. These alone can ble us to distinguish truth from falsehood Reason contemplates things not as contingent but necessary, and whoever has a true idea, knows certainly that his idea is true. Every idea of a singular existing thing involves the eternal and infinite being of God For nothing can be conceived without God, and the ideas of all things, having God for their cause, considered under the attribute of which they are modes must

Prop. viii. † Schol. prop. 11.

involve the conception of the attribute, that is, the being of God.*

86. It is highly necessary to distinguish images, ideas, and words, which many confound. Those who think ideas consist in images which they perceive, faircy that ideas of which we can form no image are but arbitrary figments. They look at ideas, as pictures on a tablet, and hence do not understand that an idea, as such, involves an affirmation or negation. And those who confound words with ideas, fancy they can will something contrary to what they perceive, because they can affirm or deny it in words. But these prejudices will be laid aside by him who reflects that thought does not involve the conception of extension, and therefore that an idea, being a mode of thought, neither consists in images nor in words, the essence of which consists in corporeal motions, not involving the conception of thought †

87. The human mind has an adequate knowledge of the eternal and infinite being of God. But men cannot imagine God as they can bodies, and hence have not that clear perception of his being which they have of that of bodies, and have also perplexed themselves by associating the word God with sensible images, which it is haid to avoid. This is the chief source of all error, that men do not apply names to things rightly. For they do not err in their own minds, but in this application; as men who cast up wrong see different numbers in their minds from those in the true result ‡

88. The mind has no free will, but is determined by a cause, which itself is determined by some other, and so for ever. For the mind is but a mode of thinking, and therefore cannot be the free cause of its own actions. Nor has it any absolute faculty of loving, desiring, understanding, these being only metaphysical abstractions. Will and understanding are one and the same thing; and volitions are only affirmations or negations, each of which belongs to the essence of the idea affirmed or denied. In this there seems to be not only an extraordinary deviation from common lan-

^{*} Prop xlv + Schol. prop xlix

[†] Prop vlvii Atque hinc pleræque oriuntur controversiæ, nempe, quia homines mentem suam non recte explicant,

vel quia alterius mentem male interpre-

[§] Prop xlv111

guage but an absence of any meaning which, to my apprehen sion in least, is capable of being given to his words. Yet we have seen something of the same kind said by Malebranche, and it will also be found in a recently published work of Cud worth •, in writer certainly uninfluenced by either of these, so that it may be suspected of having some older nuthority.

89 In the third part of this treatise, Spinosa comes to the consideration of the passions. Most who have written on moral subjects, he says, have rather interested man as something out of nature, or as a land of imperium in imperio, than as part of the general order. They have conceived him to enjoy a power of distorbing that order by his own determination, and ascribed his weakness and monstancy not to the necessary laws of the system, but to some strange defect in himself, which they cease not to lament, deride, or execute. But the acts of mankind, and the passions from which they proceed, are in reality but links in the series, and proceed in harmony with the common laws of universal nature.

90 We are said to act when any thing takes place within us, or without us, for which we are an adequate cause, that is, when it may be explained by means of our own nature alone. We are said to be acted upon, when any thing takes place within us which cannot wholly be explained by our The affections of the body which increase or diminish its power of action, and the ideas of those affections he denominates passions (affectus) Neither the body can determine the mind to thinking nor can the mind determine the body to motion or rest. For all that takes place in body must be caused by God, considered under his attribute of extension, and all that takes place in mind must be caused by God ander his attribute of thinking. The mind and hody are but one thing, considered under different attributes, the order of action and passion in the body being the same in nature with that of uction and passion in the mind But men, though ignorant how far the natural powers of the body reach, ascribe its operations to the determination of the mind, veiling their ignorance in specious words. For if they allege

See Codworth's Treatise on Free- understanding are purposely and, I will (1838), p. 20., where the will and think, very erromeously confounded.

VOL. III

that the body cannot act without the mind, it may be answered that the mind cannot think till it is impelled by the body, nor are the volitions of the mind any thing else than its appetites, which are modified by the body.

91. All things endeavour to continue in their actual being; this endeavour being nothing else than their essence, which causes them to be, until some exterior cause destroys their being. The mind is conscious of its own endeavour to continue and the appetite that their earliest and the appetite that their earliest and the appetite that their earliest and the appetite that the appetite that and the appetite that and the appetite that the appet time as it is, which is in other words the appetite that seeks self-preservation, what the mind is thus conscious of seeking, it judges to be good, and not inversely. Many things increase or diminish the power of action in the body, and all such things have a corresponding effect on the power of thinking in the mind. Thus it undergoes many changes, and passes through different stages of more or less perfect power of thinking. Joy is the name of a passion, in which the mind masses to a greater perfection or name of thinking. passes to a greater perfection or power of thinking, grief, one in which it passes to a less. Spinosa, in the rest of this book, deduces all the passions from these two and from desire; but as the development of his theory is rather long, and we have already seen that its basis is not quite intelligible, it will be unnecessary to dwell longer upon the subject. His analysis of the passions may be compared with that of Hobbes. that of Hobbes.

92. Such is the metaphysical theory of Spinosa, in as Character of concise a form as I have found myself able to derive it from his Ethics. It is a remarkable proof, and his moral system will furnish another, how an undeviating adherence to strict reasoning may lead a man of great acuteness and sincerity from the paths of truth. Spinosa was truly, what Voltaire has with rather less justice called Clarke, a reasoning machine. A few leading theorems, too hastily taken up as axiomatic, were sufficient to make him sacrifice, with no compromise or hesitation, not only every principle of religion and moral right, but the clear intuitive notions of common sense. If there are two axioms more indisputable than any others, they are that ourselves exist, and that our existence, simply considered, is independent of any other existence, simply considered, is independent of any other being. Yet both these are lost in the pantheism of Spinosa, as they had always been in that delusive reverse of the imagnation In asserting that the being of the human mind consists in the idea of an existing thing presented to it, this subtle metaphysician fell into the error of the Ichool which he most disdained, as deriving all knowledge from perception, that of the Aristotelanis. And, extending this confinsion of consciousness with perception to the infinite substance, or substratum of particular ideas, he was led to deny it the self, or conscious personality, without which the name of Deity can only be given in a sense deceptive of the careless reader, and inconsistent with the use of lenguage. It was an equally legitimate consequence of his original sophism to deny all moral agency, in the sense usually received, to the human mind, and even, as we have seen, to confoond action and passion themselves, in all lint name, as mere phenomena in the eternal sequence of things.

93 It was one great error of Spinosa to entertain too ar rogant a notion of the himan faculties, in which, hy dint of his own sintle demonstrations he pretended to show a capacity of adequately comprehending the nature of what he denominated God. And this was accompanied by a rigid dogmatism, no one proposition being stated with lessitation, by a disregard of experience, at least as the basis of reasoning, and by an uniform preference of the synthetic method Most of those, he says, who have turned their minds to those subjects have fallen into error, because they have not begun with the contemplation of the Divine neture, which both in itself and in order of knowledge is first, but with sensible things, which ought to have been last. Hence he seems to have recknowld Baran, and even Descartes, wastaken in themselved.

94 All panthess must have originated in overstraining the infinity of the divine attributes till the moral part of religion was annihilated in its metaphysics. It was the corruption or rather, if we mey venture the phrase, the saucide of theism, nor could this theory have arisen, except where we know it did arise, among those who had elevated their conceptions above the vulgar polytheism that surrounded them to a sense of the unity of the Divine nature

95 Spinosa does not essentially differ from the pantheists of old He conceived, as they had done, that the infinity of

God required the exclusion of all other substance; that he was infinite ab omni parte, and not only in certain senses. And probably the loose and hyperbolical tenets of the schoolmen, derived from ancient philosophy, ascribing, as a matter of course, a metaphysical infinity to all the divine attributes, might appear to sanction those primary positions, from which Spinosa, unfettered by religion, even in outward profession, went on "sounding his dim and perilous track" to the paradoxes that have thrown discredit on his name. He had certainly built much on the notion that the essence or definition of the Deity involved his actuality or existence, to which Descartes had given vogue.

96. Notwithstanding the leading errors of this philosopher, his clear and acute understanding perceived many things which baffle ordinary minds. Thus he well saw and well stated the immateriality of thought. Oldenburg, in one of his letters, had demurred to this, and reminded Spinosa that it was still controverted whether thought night not be a bodily motion. "Be it so," replied the other, "though I am far from admitting it; but at least you must allow that extension, so far as extension, is not the same as thought."*

It is from mattention to this simple truth that all materialism, as it has been called, has sprung. Its advocates confound the union between thinking and extension or matter (be it, if they will, an indissoluble one) with the identity of the two, which is absurd and inconceivable. "Body," says Spinosa, in one of his definitions, "is not terminated by thinking, nor thinking by body."† This also does not ill express the fundamental difference of matter and mind, there is an incommensurability about them, which prevents one from bounding the other, because they can never be placed in juxta-position.

97. England, about the era of the Restoration, began to make a struggle against the metaphysical creed of the Aristotelians, as well as against their natural philosophy. A remarkable work, but one so scarce

^{*} At as, forte cogitatio est actus corporcus Sit, quamvis nullus concedam, sed hoc unum non negrbis, extensionem, quoad extensionem, non esse cogitationem. Epist 1v

[†] Corpus dicitur finitum, quia aliud semper majus concipimus Sic cogitatio alia cogitatione terminatur At corpus non terminatur cogitatione, nee cogitatio corpore

as to be hardly known at all, except by name, was published by Glanvil in 1661, with the title Thi Vanity of Dogmatizing A second edition, in 1665, considerably altered, is entitled Scepsis Scientifica. This edition has a dedication in tho Royal Society, which comes in place of a fauciful preface, wherein he had expaniated on the bodily and mental perfections of his pretoplast, the father of mankind ! But in proportion to the extravagant language he employs to extel Adam before his lapse, is the depreciation of his unfortunate posterity, not, as common umong theologians, with respect to their moral nature, but to their reasoning faculties. Thin scheme of Glanvil's book is to display the ignorance of man, and especially in censure the Peripatetic philosophy of the schools. It is, he says, captions and verbal, and yet does not adhere itself to my constant sense of words, but huddles together unsignificant terms, and unintelligible definitions, it deals with controversies, and seeks for no new discovery or physical truth Nuthing, he says, can be deministrated but when the contrary is impossible, and if this there are not many instances. He lannehes into a strain of what may be called scepticism but answered his purpose in combating thin, dogmatic spirit still meconquered in nur neademical schools Glanvil had studied the new philosophy, and speaks with ardent enlogy of " that miracle of men, the illustrious Descartes." Many, if not most, of his own speculations are tinged with a Cartesian columning Ho was, however, far more sceptical than Descartes, or oven than Malchranche Some passages from so ram and so nente a work may deserve to be chosen, both for their own sakes, and in order

This book, I ballere, especially in the second edition, is exceedingly correct the editors, however of the bilographic Britannics, art. Glanvil, had seen it, and also Dugald Stewart. The first edition, or Vanity of Dogmatizing is in the Bodisian Catalogue, and both are in the British Museum.

† Thus, among other extravagances worthy of the Talamid, he says, "Adam needed no spectasies. The autoress of his natural optics (if conjecture may have credit) showed him much of the catestal magnifecture and bravery with-

cut a Gallico tube; and it is most probable that his naked spee could reach near as much of this upper words are with all the alreatage of er. I have been a superfixed over the second of the second

to display the revolution which was at work in speculative

philosophy.

98. "In the unions which we understand the extremes are reconciled by interceding participations of natures, which have somewhat of either. But body and spirit stand at such a distance in their essential compositions, that to suppose an uniter of a middle construction that should partake of some of the qualities of both, is unwarranted by any of our faculties, yea most absonous to our reasons, since there is not any the least affinity betwixt length, breadth, and thickness, and apprehension, judgment, and discourse, the former of which are the most immediate results, if not essentials of matter, the latter of spirit."*

99. "How is it, and by what art does it (the soul) read that such an image or stroke in matter (whether that of her vehicle or of the brain, the case is the same,) signifies such an object? Did we learn an alphabet in our embryo state? And how comes it to pass that we are not aware of any such congenite appreliensions? We know what we know; but do we know any more? That by diversity of motions we should spell out figures, distances, magnitudes, colours, things not resembled by them, we must attribute to some secret deduction. But what this deduction should be, or by what medium this knowledge is advanced, is as dark as ignorance. One that hath not the knowledge of letters may see the figures, but comprehends not the meaning included in them, an infant may hear the sounds and see the motion of the lips, but hath no conception conveyed by them, not knowing what they are intended to signify. So our souls, though they might have perceived the motions and images themselves by simple sense, yet without some implicit inference it seems inconceivable how by that means they should apprehend their antitypes. The striking of divers filaments of the brain cannot well be supposed to represent distances, except some kind of inference be allotted us in our faculties; the concession of which will only stead us as a reference for any the concession of which will only stead us as a refuge for ignorance, when we shall meet what we would seem to shui." †

^{*} Scepsis Scientifica, p 16 We have just seen something similar in Spinosa † P 22, 23

Glavel, io this forcible statement of the heterogeomy of sensations with the objects that soggest them, has but tred in the steps of the whole Cartesian school, but he did out mix this op with those crude notions that half half way between immaterialism and its opposite, and ofterwards well exposes the theories of occonding for the memory by means of images in the brain, which, io various ways, Aristotle, Descartes, Digby, Gassendi, ood Hobbes land propounded, ood which we have seen so fovorite a speculation of Male branche.

100 It would be easy to quote mony paragraphs of on common vivacity nod ocoteness from this forgottee treatuse. The style is emicently spirited and eloquent, a little too figurative, like that of Locke, but less blameably, because Glanvil is arather destroying than boilding up. Every bold and original thought of others fieds a willing reception in Glanvil's mind, and his confident impetious style gives them an air of covelty which mokes them pass for his own. Ho strods forward as a mutineer against oothority, against educational prejodice, against reverece for initioally. No one thicks more intreptibly for himself, nod it is probable that, even in what seems incre soperstition, he had been rather misled by some paradoxical hypothesis of his own ardent go mus, that by slovishly treading in the steps of others †

101 Glaovil sometimes quotes Lord Bacco, but he seems to have had the ambition of contending with the Novom Or

be learned from an Index and a poor ambition to be rich in the inventory of another a treasure. To boast a memory the most that these pedants can aim at, is but humble octentation, p. 104

[&]quot; Now if we inquire the rection why the mathematics and mechanic arts have so much got the start in growth of other sciences, we shall find it probably resolved into this as one conciderable cause that their progress both not been returned by that reverential awe of farmer discoveries, which both been so great a hindrance to theorical improvements. For se the noble Lord Verulam hath noted, we have a mistaken apprehension of antiquity calling that so which in truth is the world's non-age. Antiquites sendl jest juventus mundil. Twes this value idolating of authors which gave birth to that silly vanity of impertinent citations, and indealing authority in things retilier requiring no or deserving it.—Metholis it is a pittid piece of knowledge that can

^{† &}quot;That the fancy of one man should blad the shoughts of another and determine them to their particular objects will be thought the possible; which yet, if we look deeply into the matter wants not jus probability p. 146. He dwells move on this, but the passage is too long to extract. It is remarkable that is empposes subtle ether (like that of the modern Memoriestic), to be the medium of communication in such caser; and had also a notion of explain glother sympothies by help of the anima murdi, or mundans subtle.

ganum in some of his brilliant passages, and has really developed the doctrine of idols with uncommon penetration, as well as force of language. "Our initial age is like the melted wax to the prepared seal, capable of any impression from the documents of our teachers. The half-moon or cross are indifferent to its reception; and we may with equal facility write on this rasa tabula Turk or Christian. To determine this indifferency our first task is to learn the creed of our country, and our next to maintain it. We seldom examine our receptions, more than children do their catechisms, but by a careless greediness swallow all at a venture. For implicit faith is a virtue, where orthodoxy is the object. Some will not be at the trouble of a trial, others are scared from attempting it. If we do, 'tis not by a sun-beam or ray of light, but by a flame that is kindled by our affections, and fed by the fuel of our auticipations. And thus, like the hermit, we think the sun shines no where but in our cell, and all the world to be darkness but curselves. We judge truth to be circumscribed by the confines of our belief and the doctrines we were brought up in."* Few books, I think, are more deserving of being reprinted than the Scepsis Scientifica of Glanvil.

His Plus Ultra, or the Progress and Advancement of Knowledge since the Days of Aristotle, 1668." His tone is peremptory and imposing, animated and intrepid, such as befits a warrior in literature. Yet he was rather acute by nature, than deeply versed in learning, and talks of Vieta and Descartes's algebra so as to show he had little knowledge of the science, or of what they had done for it. † His animosity against Aristotle is unreasonable, and he was plainly an incompetent judge of that philosopher's general deserts. Of Bacon and Boyle he speaks with just eulogy. Nothing can be more free and bold than Glanvil's assertion of the privilege of judging for himself in religion ‡, and he had doubtless a perfect right to believe in witchcraft.

103. George Dalgarno, a native of Aberdeen, conceived

and, as it seemed to him, carried into effect the idea of an uni versal language and character His Ars Signorum, vulgo Character Universalis et Lingoo Philosophica, Lond. 1661, is dedicated to Charles II in this philosophical character, which must have been as great a mystery to the sovereign as to his subjects. This dedication is fol lowed by a royal proclamation in good English, inviting all to study this useful art, which had been recommended by divers learned men, Wilkins, Wallis, Ward, and others, "Indging it to be of singular use for focilitating the motter of communication and intercourse between people of different languages " The scheme of Dalgarno is fundamentally bad, in that he assomes himself, or the nuthors ho follows, to have given a complete distribution of all things and ideas, ofter which his language is only on orthical schemo of symbols It is evident that until objects are truly classified a representative method of signs can only rivet and perpetuate error We have but to look at his tabular synopsis to see that his ignorance of physics, in the largest sense of the word ren ders his scheme deficient, and he has also committed the error of adopting the combinations of the ordinary alphabet, with a little help from the Greek, which, even with his slender knowledge of species, soon leave him incapable of expressing them But Dalgarno has several neute remorks. and it deserves especially to be observed, that he unticipated the famous discovery of the Dutch philologers, namely, that all other parts of speech may be redeced to the noun dexterously, if not soccessfully, resolving the verb-substantivo into an offirmative particle *

104 Wilkins, Bishop of Chester, one of the most ingenioos men of his age, published in 1668 his Essay towards a Philosophical Language, which has this william.

Tendem mihi afuluit clarior lur; accuratios enim szandanod omalum non-tionum analymi logicam, percept sullam case particulam que non deriretur a nomine altquo predicamentali, et omnes particulas ese vare cauxo shu modos no-tionum nezainalium, p. 190. He does not seem to have arrived at this coed sion by stymological analysis, but by his own logical theories.

The verb-substantire be says, is equivalent to fur. Thus, Petrus ex in dono, meurs, Petrus — its — in dono. That is, it supresses as it dos of apposition or conformity between a subject and predicate. This is a theory to which man might be led by the habit of considering propositions logically and thus rethering all verbs to the verb-substantive; and it is not deficient, at least, in plantifility.

advantage over that of Dalgarno, that it abandons the alphabet, and consequently admits of a greater variety of characters. It is not a new language, but a more analytical scheme of characters for English. Dalgarno seems to have known something of it, though he was the first to publish, and glances at "a more difficult way of writing English." Wilkins also intimates that Dalgarno's compendious method would not succeed. His own has the same fault of a premature classification of things; and it is very fortunate that neither of these ingenious but presumptions attempts to fasten down the progressive powers of the human mind by the cramps of association had the least success."

105. But from these partial and now very obscure endeayours of English writers in metaphysical philosophy Locke on Human we come at length to the work that has eclipsed Underevery other, and given to such inquiries whatever standing. popularity they ever possessed, the Esssay of Locke on the Human Understanding. Neither the writings of Descartes, as I conceive, nor perhaps those of Hobbes, so far as strictly metaphysical, had excited much attention in England beyond the class of merely studious men. But the Essay on Human Understanding was frequently reprinted within a few years from its publication, and became the acknowledged code of English philosophy.† The assaults it had to endure in the author's lifetime, being deemed to fail, were of service to its reputation, and considerably more than half a century was afterwards to elapse before any writer in our language (nor was the case very different in France, after the patronage accorded to it by Voltaire) could with much chance of success question any leading doctrine of its author.

and Locke, as is well known, answered the bishop. I do not know that the latter makes altogether so poor a figure as has been taken for granted, but the defence of Locke will seem in most instances satisfactory. Its success in public opinion contributed much to the renown of his work, for Stillingfleet, though not at all conspicuous as a philosopher, enjoyed a great deal of reputation, and the world can seldom understand why a man who excels in one province of literature should fail in another

^{*} Dalgarno, many years afterwards, turned his attention to a subject of no slight interest, even in merc philosophy, the instruction of the deaf and dumb His Didascaloeophus is perhaps the first attempt to found this on the analysis of language. But it is not so philosophical as what has since been effected.

[†] It was abridged at Oxford, and used by some tutors as early as 1695 But the heads of the university came afterwards to a resolution to discourage the reading of it Stillingfleet, among many others, wrote against the Essay,

Several circumstances no doubt conspired with its intrinsic excellence to establish so paramount'n rule in an age that boasted of peculiar independence of thinking and full of intelligent and inquisitive spirits. The sympathy of nn English public with Locko'e tenets as to government and religion was among the cluef of these, and the re-action that took place in a large portion of the reading classes towards tho close of the eighteenth century turned in some measure the tide even in metaphysical disquisition. It then became fashionable somotimes to necuse Locko of preparing the way for scepticism, n charge which, if it had been truly opplicable to some of his opinions, ought rather to hove been made" against the long line of earlier writers with whom he held them in common, sometimes, with more pretence, to ollego that he had conceded too much to materiolism, sometimes to point out and exaggerate other faults and errors of his Essay, till we have seemed in danger of forgetting that it is per haps the first, and still the most complete chart of the homan mind which has been laid down, the most emple repertory of truths relating to our intellectual being, and the one book which we ore still compelled to name as the most important 10 memphysical science. Locke had not, it may be said, the loninguage perspectates of language we find in Descartes, and, when he does not soar too high, in Milebrancho, but he hod more judgment, more caotion more patience, mere freedom from paradox, and from the sources of paradox, vacity and love of system, than either We have no denial of sensation to brutes, no reference of mathematical truths to the will of God no oscillation between the extremes of doubt and of positiveness, no bewildering mysticism, no unintelligible clinos of words Certainly neither Gassendi nor even Hobbes could be compared with him , and it might be asked of the od mirers of loter philosophers, those of Berkeley, or Hume, or Hartley or Reid, or Stewart, or Brown, without uoming any on the continent of Europe, whether in the extent of their researches or in the originality of their discoveries, nny of these names ought to stand on a level with that of Locke One of the greatest whom I have mentioned, and one who. though candid towards Locke, had no prejudice whatever in his favour, has extelled the first two books of the Essay on

Human Understanding, which yet he deems in many respects inferior to the third and fourth, as "a precious accession to the theory of the human mind; as the richest contribution of well-observed and well-described facts which was ever bequeathed by a single individual; and as the indisputable, though not always acknowledged, source of some of the most refined conclusions with respect to the intellectual phenomena, which have been since brought to light by succeeding inquirers."

106. It would be an unnecessary prolisity to offer in this place an analysis of so well-known a book as the Essay on the Human Understanding. Few have turned their attention to metaphysical inquiries without reading it. It has however no inconsiderable faults, which, though much over-balanced, are not to be passed over in a general enlogy. The style of Locke is wanting in philoso-phical precision; it is a good model of English language; but too idiomatic and colloquial, too indefinite and figurative, for the abstruce subjects with which he has to deal. We miss in every page the translucent simplicity of his great French predecessors. This seems to have been owing, in a considerable degree, to an excessive desire of popularising the subject, and shunning the technical pedantry which had repelled the world from intellectual philosophy. Locke displays in all his writings a respect which can hardly he too great, for men of sound understanding imprepulated by authority, nungled with a scorn, perhaps a little exaggerated, of the gown-men or learned world; little suspecting that the same appeal to the people, the same policy of setting up equivocal words and loose notions, called the common sense of mankind, to discomfit subtle reasoning, would afterwards be turned against lumself, as it was, very unfairly and unsparingly, by Reid and Beattle. Hence he falls a little into a laxity of phrase, not unusual, and not always important, in popular and practical discourse, but an inevitable source of confusion in the very abstract speculations which his Essay contains. And it may perhaps be suspected, without disparagement to his great powers, that he did not always preserve the utmost distinct-

^{*} Stewart's Preliminary Dissertation to Encyclopadia, part ii

ness of conception, and was hable, as almost every other, metaphysician has been, to be entangled in the ambiguines of language.

107 The leading doctrine of Locke, as is well known, is the derivation of all our ideas from sensation and from reflection. The former present no great difficulty, we know what is meant by the expression, hat he is not very clear or counstent about the latter He seems in general to limit the word to the various operations of our own minds in thinking, believing, willing, and so forth This, as has been shown formerly, is taken from, or at least coincident with, the theory of Gassendi in his Syntagma Philosophicum It is highly probable that Locke was acquainted with that work, if not immediately, yet through the account of the philosophy of Gassendi, published in English by Dr Charleton, in 1663 which I have not seen, or through the excellent and copious abridgement of the Syntagma by Bernier But he does not strictly confine his ideas of reflection to this class. Duration is certainly no mode of thinking, yet the idea of duration is reckoned by Locke among those with which we are furnished by reflection The same mey perheps be said, though I do not know that he expresses himself with equal clearness, as to his account of several other ideas, which cannot be deduced from external sensation nor yet can be reckoned modifications or operations of the soul itself, such as number, power existence.

108 Stewart has been so much struck by this indefiniteness, with which the phrase "ideas of reflection" has been used in the Essay or the Haman Understanding, that he "does not think, notivithstanding some casual expressions which may seem to favour the contrary supposition that Locke would have healtated for a moment to admit, with Cadworth and Price, that the understanding is the source of new ideas." And though some might object that this is too much in opposition, not to casual expressions, but to the whole tenour of Locke's Essay his language concerning substance almost bears it out. Most of the perplexity which has arisen on this subject, the combats

of some metaphysicians with Locke, the portentous errors into which others have been led by want of attention to his language, may be referred to the equivocal meaning of the word idea. The Cartesians understood by this whatever is the object of thought, including an intellection as well as an imagination. By an intellection they meant that which the mind conceives to exist, and to be the subject of knowledge, though it may be unimaginable and incomprehensible. sendi and Locke (at least in this part of his essay) limit the word idea to something which the mind sees and grasps as immediately present to it. "That," as Locke not very well expresses it, "which the mind is applied about while thinking being the ideas that are there." Hence he speaks with some udicule of "men who persuade themselves that they have clear comprehensive ideas of infinity." Such men can hardly have existed; but it is by annexing the epithets clear and comprehensive, that he shows the dispute to be merely verbal. For that we know the existence of infinites as objectively real, and can reason upon them, Locke would not have denied, and it is this knowledge to which others gave the name of idea.

109. The different manuer in which this all-important word was understood by philosophers is strikingly shown when they make use of the same illustration. Arnauld, if he is author of L'Art de Penser, mentions the idea of a chiliagon, or figure of 1000 sides, as an instance of the distinction between that which we imagine, and that which we conceive or understand Locke has employed the same instance to exemplify the difference between clear and obscure ideas. cording to the former, we do not imagine a figure with 1000 sides at all; according to the latter, we form a confused image of it. We have an idea of such a figure, it is agreed by both, but in the sense of Arnauld, it is an idea of the understanding alone; in the sense of Locke, it is an idea of sensation, framed, like other complex ideas, by putting together those we have formerly received, though we may never have seen the precise figure. That the word suggests to the mind an image of a polygon with many sides is indubitable, but it is urged by the Cartesians, that as we are wholly incapable of distinguishing the exact number, we cannot be said

to have, in Locke's sense of the word, any idea, even any indistinct one, of a figure with 1000 aides, since all we do
imagine is a polygon. And it is evident that in geometry
we do not reason from the properties of the image, but froir
those of a figure which the understanding apprehends
Locke, however, who generally preferred a popular mediung
to one more metaphysically exact, thought it enough to call
this a confused idea. He was unt, I believe, conversant with
any hit elementary geometry. Had he reflected npon that
which in his age had made such a wonderful beginning or
even npon the fundamental principles of it, which might be
found in Enclid, the theory of infinitesimal quantities, he
must, one would suppose, have been more puzzled to apply
his narrow definition of an idea. For what image can we
form of a differential, which can pretend to represent it in
any other sense than as dx represents it, hy suggestion, not
hy resemblance?

110 The case is however much worse when Locke de viates, as in the third and fourth books he constantly does, from this sense that he has put on the word idea, and takes it either in the Cartesian meaning, or in one still more general and popular Thus, in the excellent chapter on the abuse of words, he maists upon the advantage of using none without clear and distinct ideas, he who does not this " only making a noise without any sense or signification." If we combine this position with that in the second book, that we have no clear and distinct idea of a figure with 1000 aides, it follows, with all the force of syllogism that we should not argue about a figure of 1000 sides at all, nor, by parity of reason, about many other things of far higher importance. It will be found, I include to think, that the large use of the word idea for that about which we have some knowledge, without limiting it to what can be imagined, pervades the third and Stewart has ingeninusly conjectured that they were written before the second, and probably before the mind of Locke had been much turned to the psychological ahalysis which that contains It is however certain that in the Treatise upon the Conduct of the Understanding which was not published till after the Essay, he uses the word idea with full as much latitude as in the third and fourth books of the latter. We cannot, upon the whole, help admitting that the story of a lady who, after the perusal of the Essay on the Human Understanding, laid it down with a remark, that the book would be perfectly charming were it not for the frequent recurrence of one very hard word, idea, though told, possibly, in ridicule of the fair philosopher, pretty well represents the state of mind in which many at first have found themselves.

111. Locke, as I have just intimated, seems to have possessed but a slight knowledge of geometry; a science which, both from the clearness of the illustrations it affords, and from its admitted efficacy in rendering the logical powers acute and cautious, may be reckoned, without excepting physiology, the most valuable of all to the metaphysician. But it did not require any geometrical knowledge, strictly so called, to avoid one material error into which he has fallen; and which I mention the rather, because even Descartes, in one place, has said something of the same kind, and I have met with it not only in Norris very distinctly and positively, but, more or less, in many or most of those who have treated of the metaphysics of abstract principles of geometry. "I doubt not," says Locke*, "but it will be easily granted that the knowledge we have of mathematical truths is not only certain but real knowledge, and not the bare empty vision of vain insignifi-cant chimeras of the brain, and yet if we well consider, we shall find, that it is only of our own ideas. The mathematician considers the truth and properties belonging to a rectangle or circle only as they are in idea in his own mind; for it is possible he never found either of them existing mathematically, that is, precisely true, in his life. . . . All the discourses of the mathematicians about the squaring of a circle, conic sections, or any other part of mathematics, concern not the existence of any of those figures; but their demonstrations, which depend on their ideas, are the same, whether there be any square or circle in the world or no." And the inference he draws from this is, that moral as well as mathematical ideas, being archetypes themselves, and so adequate

30

and complete ideas, all the agreement or disagreement which to be shall fied to them will produce real knowledge, as well as

in máthematical figures.

'112 It is not perhaps necessary to inquire how far, opon the hypothesis of Berkeley, this notion of mathematical ffigures, as mere creations of the mind, could be sustained. But on the supposition of the objectivity of space, as truly existing without us, which Locko undoobtedly believed, it is, certain that the passage just quoted is entirely erroneous, and that it involves a confusion between the geometrical figure itself and its delineation to the eye A geometrical figure is a portion of space contained to boundaries determined by given relations. It exists to the infinite round about us, as the states exists in the block. No one can doubt, if he turns his mind to the subject, that every point in space is equidistant, in oll directions, frem certoin other points. Draw a line through all these, and you have the circumference of a circle, but the circle itself and its circumference exist before the lotter is delineated. Thus the orbit of a plooet is out a regular geometrical figure, because certain forces distorb it. But this distorbance means only a deviation from a line which exists really in space, and which the planet woold octuolly describe, if there were nothing in tho oniverse but itself and the centre of attraction. The expression therefore of Locke, "whether there be ony square or carele existing to the world or no," is highly inoccurate, the latter alternative being an absordity All possible figures, and that "in number numberless," exist every where, nor cao we ovade the perplexities into which the geometry of infinites throws our imaginotion, by considering them os mere beiogs of reason, the creatures of the geometer, which I beheve some are half disposed to do, nor by substituting the

Michael Angelo has well conveyed this idea in four lines, which I quote from Cerolani

[&]quot; Hen hall estimo artista ascem concreta, a
the en starme sole in so ann circoncretă.
Coi son occreta, solo sendia artira
La unne che ubbreliere sei institutio.
The geometer trees not the same obedient

hand, but he equally feels and perceives VOL III

the reality of that figure which the broad infinite around him comprehends can see secretia.

[[]Cierro has a similar expression:— Quasi non I own! marmore necesse sitinerse vel Praxitella capita! Illa enim ipea effetimetur detractione De Divinations Il. 21 — 1842]

vague and unphilosophical notion of indefinitude for a positive objective infinity.

113. The distinction between ideas of mere sensation and those of intellection, between what the mind comprehends, and what it conceives without comprehending, is the point of divergence between the two sects of psychology which still exist in the world. Nothing is in the intellect which has not before been in the sense, said the Aristotelian schoolmen. Every idea has its original in the senses, repeated the disciple of Epicurus, Gassendi. Locke indeed, as Gassendi had done before him, assigned another origin to one class of ideas; but these were few in number, and in the next century two writers of considerable influence, Hartley and Condillac, attempted to resolve them all into sensation. The Cartesian school, a name rather used for brevity, as a short denomination of all who, like Cudworth, held the same tenets as to the nature of ideas, lost ground both in France and England; nor had Leibnitz, who was deemed an enemy to some of our great English names, sufficient weight to restore it. hands of some who followed in both countries, the worst phrases of Locke were preferred to the best; whatever could be turned to the account of pyrihonism, materialism, or atheism, made a figure in the Epicurean system of a popular phi-losophy. The names alluded to will suggest themselves to the reader. The German metaphysicians from the time of Kant deserve at least the credit of having successfully withstood this coarse sensualism, though they may have borrowed much that their disciples take for original, and added much that is hardly better than what they have overthrown. France has also made a rapid retuin, since the beginning of this century, and with more soundness of judgment than Germany, towards the doctrines of the Cartesian school. Yet the opposite philosophy to that which never rises above sensible images is exposed to a danger of its own; it is one which the infirmity of the human faculties renders perpetually at hand; few there are who in reasoning on subjects where we cannot attain what Locke has called "positive comprehensive ideas" are secure from falling into mere nonsense and repugnancy. part of physics which is simply conversant with quantity, this danger is probably not great, but in all such inquiries as are

sometimes called transcendental, it has perpetually shipwrecked the adventurous navigator

4 114 In the language and probably the notions of Locke as to the nature of the soul there is an indistinctness more worthy of the Aristotelian schoolmen than of

one conversant with the Cartesian philosophy

'Bodies," he says, "manifestly produce ideas in as by im pulse, the only way which we can conceive hodies to operate If, then, external objects be not united to our minds, when they produce ideas in it, and yet we perceive these original qualities in such of them as singly fall under our senses, it is evident that some motion must be thence continued by our nerves, or animal spirits, by some parts of our bodies to the brain, or the seat of sensation, there to produce in our minds the particular ideas we have of them. And since the exten sion, figure, number, and motion of bodies of an observable biguess may be perceived at a distance by the sight, it is evident some singly imperceptible bodies must come from them to the eyes, and thereby convey to the brain some motion which produces those ideas, which we have of them, in us." He so far retracts his first position afterwards, as to admit, "in consequence of what Mr Newton has shown in the Principle on the gravitation of matter towards matter, ' that God not only can put into bothes powers and ways of oper ation above what can be explained from what we know of matter, but that he has actually done so And he promises to correct the former passage, which however he has never performed. In fact he seems, by the use of phrases which recur too often to be thought merely figurative, to have supposed that something in the brain comes into local contact with the mind. He was here mable to divest himself, any more than the schoolmen had done, of the notion that then is a proper action of the body on the soul in perception. The Cartesians had brought in the theory of occasional causes and other solutions of the phænomena, so as to uvoid what seems so arreconcileable with an immaterial principle. No one is se layish of a cerebral justrumentality in mental images as Malchranche, he seems at every moment on the vergeto materialism , he coquets, as it were, with an Epicurean phy stology, but if I may be allowed to continue the metaphor,

he perceives the moment where to stop, and retires, like a dexterous fair one, with unsmirched honour to his immateriality. It cannot be said that Locke is equally successful.

115. In another and a well-known passage, he has thrown out a doubt whether God might not superadd the faculty of thinking to matter; and, though he thinks it probable that this has not been the case, leaves it at last a debateable question, wherein nothing else than presumptions are to be had. Yet he has strongly argued against the possibility of a material Deity upon reasons derived from the nature of matter. Locke almost appears to have taken the union of a thinking being with matter for the thinking of matter itself. What is there, Stillingfleet well asks, like self-consciousness in matter? "Nothing at all," Locke replies, "in matter as matter. But that God cannot bestow on some parcels of matter a power of thinking, and with it self-consciousness, will never be proved by asking how it is possible to apprehend that mere body should perceive that it doth perceive." But if that we call mind, and of which we are self-conscious, were thus superadded to matter, would it the less be something real? In what sense can it be compared to an accident or quality? It has been justly observed that we are much more certain of the independent existence of mind than of that of matter. But that, by the constitution of our nature, a definite organisation, or what will be generally thought the preferable hypothesis, an organic molecule, should be a necessary concomitant of this immaterial principle, does not involve any absurdity at all, whatever want of evidence may be objected to it.

116. It is remarkable that in the controversy with Stilling-fleet on this passage, Locke seems to take for granted that there is no immaterial principle in brutes; and as he had too much plain sense to adopt the Cartesian theory of their insensibility, he draws the most plausible argument for the possibility of thought in matter by the admitted fact of sensation and voluntary motion in these animal organisations. "It is not doubted but that the properties of a rose, a peach, or an elephant superadded to matter change not the properties of matter, but matter is in these things matter still." Few perhaps at present who believe in the imma-

teriality of the himan soil would deny the same to an elephant, but it must be owned that the discoveries of zoology have pushed this to consequences which some might not readily adopt. The spiritual being of a sponge revolts a little one prejudices, yet there is no resting place, and ave must admit this, or be content to sank ourselves into a mass of medullary fibre. Brutes have been as slowly emancipated in philosophy as some classes of mankind have been in civil polity, their soils, we see, were almost universally disputed to them at the end of the seventeenth century, even by those who did not absolutely bring them down to machinery. Even within the recollection of many, it was common to deny them any kind of reasoning faculty, and to solve their most sagacious actions by the vague word instinct. We have come of late years to think better of our humble companions, and as usual in similar cases, the predominant bas, at least with foreign naturalists, seems rather too much of a levelling character

117 No quality more remarkably distinguishes Locke than his love of truth He is of no sect or party, has no oblique design, such as we so frequently per ceive, of sustaining some tenet which he suppresses, no submissiveness to the opinions of others, nor, what very few lay ande, to his own Without having adopted certaindominant ideas, like Descartes and Malebranche, he follows with inflexible impartiality and nuwearied patience the long, process of analysis to which he has subjected the human mmd. No great writer has been more exempt from vanity, in which he is very advantageously contrasted with Bacon and Descartes, but he is sometimes a little sharp and con temptuous of his predecessors The originality of Locke is real and nuaffected, not that he has derived nothing from others, which would be a great reproach to himself or to them, but in whatever he has in common with other philosophers, there is always a tinge of his own thoughts, a modi fication of the particular tenet, or at least n peculiarity of language which renders it not very easy of detection , "It was not to be expected," says Stewart, "that in a work to composed by snatches, to borrow a phrase of the anthor, he should be able securately to draw the line between his own

ideas and the hints for which he was indebted to others. To those who are well acquainted with his speculations it must appear evident that he had studied diligently the metaphysical writings both of Hobbes and Gassendi, and that he was no stranger to the Essays of Montaigne, to the philosophical works of Bacon, and to Malebranche's Inquiry after Truth. That he was familiarly conversant with the Cartesian system may be presumed from what we are told by his biographer, that it was this which first inspired him with a disgust at the jargon of the schools, and led him into that train of thinking which he afterwards prosecuted so successfully. I do not, however, recollect that he has any where in his Essay mentioned the name of any one of those authors. It is probable that when he sat down to write, he found the result of his youthful reading so completely identified with the fruits of his subsequent reflections, that it was impossible for him to attempt a separation of the one from the other, and that he was thus occasionally led to mistake the treasures of memory for those of invention. That this was really the case may be further presumed from the peculiar and original cast of his phraseology, which, though in general careless and un-polished, has always the merit of that characteristical unity and raciness of style, which demonstrate that while he was writing he conceived himself to be drawing only from his own resources." *

not quite done justice to the originality of Locke in more than one instance. Thus on this very passage we find a note in these words:—"Mr. Addison has remarked that Malebranche had the start of Locke by several years in his notions on the subject of duration. Some other coincidences not less remarkable might be easily pointed out in the opinions of the English and of the French philosopher." I am not prepared to dispute, nor do I doubt, the truth of the latter sentence. But with respect to the notions of Malebranche and Locke on duration, it must be said, that they are neither the same, nor has Addison asserted them to be so.† The one threw out an hypothesis with no attempt

^{*} Preliminary Dissertation

375

et proof, the other offered an explanation of the phænomena. What Locke has advanced as to our gotting the idea of defa-tion by reflecting on the succession of our ideas seems to be truly his own. Whether it be entirely the right explanation, is another question. It rather appears to me that the internal sense, as we may not improperly call it, of duration belongs separately to each idea, and is rather lost than sug gested by their succession. Direction is best perceived when we are able to detain an idea for some time without change, as in watching the motion of a pendulum And though it is impossible for the mind to continue in this state of immobility more perhaps than about a second or two, this is sufficient to give us an idea of duration as the necessary condition of existence. Whether this be an objective or merely a subjective necessity, is an abstruce question, which our sensations do not enable us to decide. But Locke appears to have looked rather at the measure of duration, by which we divide it into portions, than at the mere simplicity of the idea itself. Such a measure, it is certain, can only be obtained through the medium of a succession in our ideas.

119 It has been also remarked by Stewart, that Locke claims a discovery rather due to Descartes, namely the im possibility of defining simple ideas Descrites however, as well as the authors of the Port-Royal Logic, merely says that words already as clear as we can make them do not require. or even admit, of definition But I do not perceive that he has made the distruction we find in the Essay on the Human, Understanding, that the names of simple ideas are not capable of any definition while the names of all complex ideas "It has not, that I know,' Locke says, ' been observed by any body what words are and what words are not capable of being defined" The passage which I have quoted in another place, from Descartes's posthimous dia logue, even if it went to this length, was unknown to Locke, yet he might have acknowledged that he had been in some; measure anticipated in other observations by that philosopher 120 The first book of the Essay on the Human Under-

standing is directed, as is well known, against the doctrine of innate ideas, or innate principles in the mind. This has been often censured, as com

bating in some places a tenet which no one would support, and as, in other passages, breaking in upon moral distinctions themselves, by disputing the universality of their acknowledgment. With respect to the former charge, it is not perhaps easy for us to determine what might be the crude and confused notions, or at least language, of many who held the theory of innate ideas. It is by no means evident that Locke had Descartes chiefly or even at all in his view. Lord Herbert, whom he distinctly answers, and many others, especially the Platonists, had dwelt upon innate ideas in far stronger terms than the great French metaphysician, if indeed he can be said to have maintained them at all. The latter and more important accusation rests upon no other pretext, than that Locke must be reckoned among those who have not admitted a moral faculty of discovering right from wrong to be a part of our constitution. But that there is a law of nature imposed by the Supreme Being, and consequently universal, has been so repeatedly asserted in his writings, that it would imply great mattention to question it. Stewart has justly vindicated Locke in this respect from some hasty and indefinite charges of Beattie; but I must venture to think that he goes much too far when he attempts to identify the doctrines of the Essay with those of Shaftesbury. These two philosophers were in opposite schools as to the test of moral sentiments. Locke seems always to adopt what is called the selfish system in morals, resolving all morality into religion, and all religion into a regard to our own interest. And he seems to have paid less attention to the emotions than to the intellectual powers of the soul.

121. It would by no means be difficult to controvert other tenets of this great man. But the obligations we owe to him for the Essay on the Human Understanding are never to be forgotten. It is truly the first real chart of the coasts; wherein some may be laid down incorrectly, but the general relations of all are perceived. And we who find some things to censure in Locke have perhaps learned how to censure them from himself; we have thrown off so many false notions and films of prejudice by his help that we are become capable of judging our master. This is what has been the fate of all who who have pushed

sonward the landmarks of science, they have made that easy of for inferior men which was painfully laboured through by themselves. Among many excellent things in the Essay on Human Understanding none are more admirable than they whole third book on the nature of words, especially the three chapters on their imperfection and almost. In earlier treatises of logic, at least in thet of Port-Royal, some of this mightible found, but nowhere are verbal fallness, and above all, exposed

122. The same praiseworthy diligence in hunting error to its larking places distinguishes the short trentise on the Conduct of the Understanding, which having heen originally designed as nn additional chapter to riside the Essay , is as it were the othical application of its theory, and ought always to be read with it, if indeed, for the sake of its practical ntility, it should not come sooner into the course of education Aristotle himself, and the whole of his dialectical school, had pointed ont Juany of the sophisms . against which we should guard our reasoning feculties, but these are chiefly such as others attempt to put upon us in dispute. There ere more dangerous fallacies by which wo cheat ourselves, prejudice, partiality, self interest, vanity, mattention, and indifference to truth Locke, who was as exempt from these as almost any man who has turned his mind to so many subjects where their influence is to be suspected has dwelled on the moral discipline of the intellect." in this treatise better, as I conceive, than any of his predecessors, though we have already seen, and it might appear far more at length to those who should have recourse to the books that Arnanid and Malebranche, besides other French philosophers of the age, had not been remiss in this indispensable part of logic.

123 Locke throughout this treatise Isbours to seems the inquirer from that previous persuasion of his own opinion, which generally renders all his pretended investigations of its truth little more than illusive and nugatory. But the indifferency which he recommends to every thing except truth

See a letter to Molymens, dated April, 1697 Locke a Works (fol., 1759), vol. iii. p. 559.

before we have examined whether it be so, seems to involve the impossible hypothesis that man is but a purely reasoning being. It is vain to press the recommendation of freedom from prejudice so far; since we cannot but conceive some propositions to be more connected with our welfare than others, and consequently to desire their truth. These exaggerations lay a fundamental condition of honest inquiry open to the sneers of its adversaries; and it is sufficient, because nothing more is really attainable, first to dispossess ourselves of the notion that our interests are concerned where they are not, and next, even when we cannot but wish one result of our inquiries rather than another, to be the more unremitting in our endeavours to exclude this bias from our reasoning.

124. I cannot think any parent or instructor justified in neglecting to put this little treatise in the hands of a boy about the time when the reasoning faculties become developed. It will give him a sober and serious, not flippant or self-conceited, independency of thinking; and while it teaches how to distrust ourselves and to watch those prejudices which necessarily grow up from one cause or another, will inspire a reasonable confidence in what he has well considered, by taking off a little of that deference to authority, which is the more to be regretted in its excess, that, like its cousin-german, party-spirit, it is frequently united to loyalty of heart and the generous enthusiasm of youth.

Char IV] C PROM 1050 TO T

CHAPTER*IV

INSTORY OF MORAL AND POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY AND OF JURISPRUDENCE, FROM 1650 TO 1700 ~

SECT I .- ON MORAL PHILOSOPHY

Pascal's Procincial Letters — Taylor — Cudworth — Spinosa — Cumberland's Love of hatter — Fuffendor's Treatise on the same Subject — Rockefoucsult and La Brayers — Locks on Education — Fencion.

1 The casustical writers of the Romm church, and especially of the Jesuit order, belong to earlier periods; for liftle room was left for any thing but popular com plations from large works of vast labour and accredited au thority. But the false principles imputed to the latter school now raised a londer cry than before. Implacible and unsparing enemies, as well as ambitious intriguers themselves, they were encountered by a host of those who envired, feared, and hated them. Among those none were such willing or abloaccusers as the Jansenists whom they persecuted by his Provincial Letters, did more to ruin the name of Jesuit than all the controversies of Protestantism, when they persecuted by the Provincial Letters, did more to ruin the name of Jesuit than all the controversies of Protestantism, when they persecuted by the Provincial Letters, did more to ruin the name of Jesuit than all the controversies of Protestantism, when they persecuted by the Provincial Letters, did more to ruin the name of Jesuit than all the controversies of Protestantism, when they persecuted by the Provincial Letters, and the full minimum to the part of Portestantism, when they persecuted by the Provincial Letters are the provincial Letters and the full minimum to the persecuted by the provincial Letters are the persecuted by the persecuted by the provincial Letters are the persecuted by the persecuted are the persecuted and the persecuted by the persecuted are the persecuted by the persecuted are the persecuted by the persecuted by the persecuted by the pers

A letter of Antony Arnauld, published in 1655, wherein he declared that he could not find in Jansenius the propositions condemned by the pope, and laid limited open to centure by some of his own, provoked the Sorbonne, of which he was not inember, to exclude him from the faculty of theology. Before this resolution was taken, Pascal came forward in defence of his friend, under n licitions name, in the first of what have been always called Lettres Provinciales, but more accurately, Lettres cerites par Louis de Montalte a un Provincial de aes

Amis. In the first four of them he discusses the thorny problems of Jansenism, aiming chiefly to show that St. Thomas Aquinas had maintained the same doctrine on efficacious grace which his disciples the Dominicans now rejected from another quarter. But he passed from hence to a theme more generally intelligible and interesting, the false morality of the Jesuit casuists. He has accumulated so long a list of scandalous decisions, and dwelled upon them with so much wit and spirit, and yet with so serious a severity, that the order of Loyola became a by-word with mainkind. I do not agree with those who think the Provincial Letters a greater proof of the genius of Pascal than his Thoughts, in spite of the many weaknesses in reasoning which these display. The former are at present, finely written as all confess them to be, too much filled with obsolete controversy, they quote books too much forgotten, they have too little bearing on any permanent sympathies, to be read with much interest or pleasure.

2. The Jesuits had, unfortunately for themselves, no writers at that time of sufficient ability to defend them; and being disliked by many who were not Jansenists, could make little stand against their adversaries, till public opinion had already taken its line. They have since not failed to charge Pascal with extreme misrepresentation of their eminent casuists, Escobar, Busenbaum, and many others, so that some later disciples of their school have ventured to call the Provincial Letters the immortal liars (les immortelles menteuses). It has been insinuated, since Pascal's veracity is hard to attack, that he was deceived by those from whom he borrowed his quotations. But he has declared himself, in a remarkable passage, not only that, far from repenting of these letters, he would make them yet stronger if it were to be done again, but that, although he had not read all the books he has quoted, else he must have spent great part of his life in reading bad books, yet he had read Escobar twice through, and with respect to the rest, he had not quoted a single passage without having seen it in the book, and examined the context before and after, that he might not confound an objection with an answer, which would have been reprehensible and unjust*: it is therefore impossible to save

^{*} Œuvres de Pascal, vol 1 p 400

the honour of Pascal, if his quotations are not fair. Nor did the stand alone in his imputations on the Jesuit casnistry. A book, called Morale des Jesuites, by Nicolas Perrault, published at Mons in 1667, goes over the same ground with less pleasantry but not less learning.

'S The most extensive and learned work on casustry which has appeared in the English language is the Ductor Dubitantium of Jeremy Tuylor, published in 1660 This, as its title shows, treats of subjective morality,

or the guidance of the conscience. "But this cannot be much discussed without establishing some principles of objective right and wrong, some standard by which the conscience is to be ruled "The whole measure and rule of conscience," uccording to Taylor, "18 the law of God, or God's will signified to us by nature or revolution; and by the several manners and times and parts of its communication it hath nations—right reason—the Decalogue—the consent of nations—right reason—the Decalogue—the sermon of Christ—the canons of the aposites—the laws ecclesinstical and civil of princes and governors—fame or the public reputation of things, expressed by proverbs and other instances and manuers of public honesty These being the full measures of right and wrong, of lanful and unlawful, will be the rule of conscience and the subject of the present book"

4 The heterogeneous combination of things so different in nature and authority, as if they were all expressions Turkinger of the law of God, does not augur well for the distinciness of Taylor's moral philosophy, and would be dis-udvantageously compared with the Ecclesiastical Polity of Hooker Nor are we deceived in the nutripations we might draw With many of Tuylor's excellences, his vast fertility and his frequent acuteness, the Ductor Dubitanium exhibits his characteristic defects, thi waste of quotations is even greater than in his other, writings, and his own exuberance of mind degenerates into an intolerable prolixity His solution of moral difficulties is often unsutisfactory; after an accumu Lation of arguments and nuthorities we have the disappoint ment to perceive that the knot is neither united nor cut, there seems a want of close investigation of principles, a frequent confusion and obscurity, which Tuylor's two chief faults,

excessive display of erudition and redundancy of language, conspire to produce. Paley is no doubt often superficial, and sometimes mistaken; yet in clearness, in conciseness, in freedom from impertment reference to authority, he is far superiore to Taylor.

- 5. Taylor seems too much inclined to side with those who resolve all right and wrong into the positive will of God. The law of nature he defines to be "the universal law of the world, or of mankind, to which we are inclined by nature, invited by consent, prompted by reason, but which is bound upon us only by the command of God." Though in the strict meaning of the word, law, this may be truly said, it was surely required, considering the large sense which that word has obtained as coincident with moral right, that a fuller explanation should be given than Taylor has even intimated, lest the goodness of the Deity should seem something arbitrary and precarious. And, though in maintaining, against most of the scholastic metaphysicians, that God can dispense with the precepts of the Decalogue, he may be substantially right, yet his reasons seem by no means the clearest and most satisfactory that might be assigned. It may be added, that in his prolix rules concerning what he calls a probable conscience, he comes very near to the much decried theories of There was indeed a vem of subtilty in Taylor's understanding which was not always without influence on his candour.
- by Cudworth, was first published in 1731. This may be almost reckoned a portion of his Intellectual System, the object being what he has declared to be one of those which he had there in view. This was to prove that moral differences of right and wrong are antecedent to any divine law. He wrote therefore not only against the Calvinistic school, but in some measure against Taylor, though he abstains from mentioning any recent author except Descartes, who had gone far in referring all moral distinctions to the abstains are satisfactory, and rests too much on the dogmatic metaphysics which were going out of use. The nature or essence of nothing, he maintains, can depend upon the will of God

alone, which is the efficient, but not the formal, cause of all things; e distinction not very mitalligible, but on which he's seems to huld his theory. For, though admitting that smoral relations have no objective existence out of the mind, he holds that they have a positive existence out of the mind, he holds that they have a positive essence, and therefore are not nothing, whence it follows that they must be independent of will. He pours out much ancient learning, though not so havebly as in the Intellectual System.

lavishly as in the Intellectual System 7 The urgent necessity of contracting my sails in this last period, far the most ahundant as it is in the variety Missie—La and extent of its literature, restrains me from more Piscetta. than a bare mention of several works not undeserving of regard The Essais de Morale of Nicole are less read than esteemed says n lete biographer † Voltsure however pro-- phesied that they would not perish "The chapter especially," be proceeds, "on the means of preserving peace among men is a master piece to which nothing equal has been left to us hy antiquity" These Essays are properly contained in six volumes, but so many other pieces are edded in some editions that the collection under that title is very long La Placette, minister of a French church et Copenhagen, has been called the Protestant Nicole His Essais de Morale, in 1692 and other years, are full of a solid morality, rather strict in casuistry, and apparently not deficient in observation, and analytical views of human nature. They were much esteemed in their own age. Works of this kind tread so very closely on the department of practical religion that it is sometimes difficult to separate them on eny fixed principle. A less homiletical form, a comperative absence of acriptoral quota tion, a more reasoning and observing mode of dealing with the subject, are the chief distinctions. But in the sermons of Barrow and some others we find a great deal of what may be justly called moral philosophy

8 A book by Sharrock, De Officus secundum Rationis Humane Dictata, 1660 is occasionally quoted and consistent to be of a philosophical nature § Velthuysen, wither a Dutch minister, was of more reputation. His name was

P 15. † Blog Unl † Stècle de Louis XIV

Cumberland (in prefations) De

rather obnoxious to the orthodox, since he was a strenuous advocate of toleration, a Cartesian in philosophy, and inclined to judge for himself. His clinef works are De Principis Justi et Decori, and De Naturali Pudore.* But we must now pass on to those who have exercised a greater influence in moral philosophy, Cumberland and Puffendorf, after giving a short consideration to Spinosa.

9. The moral system, if so it may be called, of Spinosa, has Moral system of Spinosa of his Tellus W of his Ethics. We are not deceived in what might naturally be expected from the unlesitating adherence of Spinosa to a rigorous line of reasoning, that his ethical scheme would offer nothing inconsistent with the fundamental pantheisin of his philosophy. In nature itself, he maintains as before, there is neither perfection nor imperfection, neither good nor evil; but these are modes of speaking, adopted to express the relations of things as they appear to our nunds. Whatever contains more positive attributes capable of being apprehended by us than another contains, is more perfect than it. Whatever we know to be useful to ourselves, that is good, and whatever impedes our attainment of good is evil. By this utility Spinosa does not understand happiness, if by that is meant pleasurable sensation, but the extension of our mental and bodily capacities. The passions restrain and overpower these capacities; and coming from without, that is, from the body, render the mind a less powerful agent than it seems to be. It is only, we may remember in a popular sense, and subject to his own definitions, that Spinosa acknowledges the mind to be an agent at all; it is increly so, in so far as its causes of action cannot be referred by us to any thing external. No passion can be restrained except by a stronger passion. Hence even a knowledge of what is really good or evil for us can of itself restrain no passion, but only as it is associated with a perception of joy and sorrow, which is a mode of passion. This perception is necessarily accompanied by desire or aversion; but they may often be so weak as to be controlled by other sentiments of the same class, inspired by conflicting passions. This is the cause of the

^{*} Biogr Univ Barbeyrac's notes on Puffendorf, passim.

weakness and inconstancy of many, and he alone is wise and virtuous who steadily pursues what is useful to limitelf, that is, what reason points out as the best means of preserving his well being, and extending his capacities. Nothing is usefultely good, nothing therefore is principally sought by a virtuous man, but knowledge, not of things external, which gives us only madequate ideas, but of God. Other things are good or evil to us, so far as they snit our nature or con tradict it, and so far as men uct by reason, they must agree in seeking what is conformable to their nature. And those who agree with us in living by reason, are themselves of all things most suitable to our nuture, so that the society of such men is most to be desired, and to enlarge that society by rendering men virtuous, and by promoting their advantage when they are so, is most useful to ourselves For the good of such as pursue virtue may be enjoyed by all, and does not obstruct our own Whatever conduces to the common society of mankind and promotes concord among them is useful to all, and whatever has an opposite tendency is pernicions. The passions are sometimes incapable of excess, but of this the only instances are joy and cheerfulness, more frequently they become permesons by being indolged, and in some cases, such as hatred can never be useful. We should therefore, for our own sakes, meet the hatred and malevolence of others with love and liberality Spinosa dwells much on the proference due to a social above a solitary life, to cheerfulness above austerity, and alludes frequently to the current theologreal ethics with consures

10 The fourth part of the ethics is entitled On Human Slavery meaning the subjugation of the reason to the passions, the fifth On Human Liberty, is designed to show, as had been partly done in the former, how the mind or in tellectual man is to preserve its supremacy. This is to be effected, not by the extinction, which is impossible, but the moderation of the passions, and the secret of doing this, inccording to Spinosa, is to contemplate such things as are natu rally associated with affections of no great violence. We find that when we look at things simply in themselves, and not in their necessary relations, they affect us more powerfully whence it may be inferred that we shall weaken the passion VOL III

by viewing them as parts of a necessary series. We promote the same end by considering the object of the passion in many different relations, and in general, by enlarging the sphere of our knowledge concerning it. Hence the more adequate ideas we attain of things that affect us, the less we shall be overcome by the passion they excite. But most of all it should be our endeavour to refer all things to the idea of God. The more we understand ourselves and our passions, the more we shall love God; for the more we understand any thing, the more pleasure we have in contemplating it, and we shall associate the idea of God with this pleasurable contemplation, which is the essence of love. The love of God should be the chief employment of the mind. But God has no passions; therefore he who desires that God should love him, desires in fact that he should cease to be God. And the more we believe others to be united in the same love of God, the more we shall love him ourselves.

- 11. The great aim of the mind, and the greatest degree of virtue, is the knowledge of things in their essence. This knowledge is the perfection of human nature; it is accompanied with the greatest joy and contentment; it leads to a love of God, intellectual, not imaginative, eternal, because not springing from passions that perish with the body, being itself a portion of that infinite love with which God intellectually loves himself. In this love towards God our chief felicity consists, which is not the reward of virtue, but virtue itself; nor is any one happy because he has overcome the passions, but it is by being happy, that is, by enjoying the fulness of divine love, that he has become capable of overcoming them.
- 12. These extraordinary effusions confirm what has been hinted in another place, that Spinosa, in the midst of his atheism, seemed often to hover over the regions of mystical theology. This last book of the Ethics speaks, as is evident, the very language of Quietism. In Spinosa himself it is not easy to understand the meaning; his sincerity ought not, I think, to be called in question, and this enthusiasm may be set down to the rapture of the imagination expatiating in the enchanting wilderness of its creation. But the possibility of combining such a tone of contemplative devotion with the

systematic denial of a Supreme Being, in any personal sense, may put us on our guard against the tendency of mysticism, which may again, as it has frequently, degenerate into a

emilar chaos;

13 The science of ethics, in the third quarter of the seven teenth century, seemed to be cultivated by three very control of the theologians who was the property of the theologians who went no farther than revelation, or at least than the positive law of God for moral distinctions, by that of the Platonic philosophers, who sought them in eternal and intrinsic relations, and that of Hobbes and Spinosa, who reduced them all to selfish prudence. A fourth theory, which, in some of its modifications, has greatly prevailed in the last two centures, may be referred to Richard Cumberland, afterwards Bishop of Peterborough. His finnous work De Legihus Nature Disquisito Philosophica, was published in 1672. It is contained in nine chapters, besides the preface or prolegoments.

14 Cumberland begins by mentioning Grotins, Solden, and one or two more who have investigated the laws of nature d posteriori, that is, hy the testi mony of authors and the consent of nations. But

mony of authors and the consent of nations. But make some objections may be started against this mode of proof, which, though he does not hold them to be valid, are likely to have some effect, he prefers another line of demonstration, deducing the laws of initure, as effects, from their real causes in the constitution of nature itself. The Platonic theory of initute moral ideas, sufficient to establish natural law, he does not admit. "For myself at least I may say that I have no been so fortunate as to arrive at the knowledge of this law by so compendious a road." He deems it therefore necessary to begin with what we learn by daily use and experience, assuming nothing but the physical laws of motion shown by mathe maticians, and the derivation of all their operations from the will of a First Cause.

be justly reckoned general moral laws of nature, he finds that they may be reduced to one, the pursuit of the cominon good of all rational agents, which tends to our own good as par of the whole, as its opposite tends not only to the misery o

the whole system, but to our own.* This tendency, he takes care to tell us, though he uses the present tense (conduct), has respect to the most remote consequences, and is so understood by him. The means which serve to this end, the general good, may be treated as theorems in a geometrical method.† Cumberland, as we have seen in Spinosa, was captivated by the apparent security of this road to truth.

16. This scheme, he observes, may at first sight want the two requisites of a law, a legislator and a sauction. But whatever is naturally assented to by our minds must spring from the author of nature. God is proved to be the author of every proposition which is proved to be true by the constitution of nature, which has him for its author.‡ Nor is stitution of nature, which has him for its author.‡ Nor is a sanction wanting in the rewards, that is, the happiness which attends the observance of the law of nature, and in the opposite effects of its neglect; and in a lax sense, though not that of the jurists, reward as well as punishment may be included in the word sanction.§ But benevolence, that is, love and desire of good towards all rational beings, includes piety towards God, the greatest of them all, as well as humanity. Cumberland altogether abstains from arguments founded on revelation, and is perhaps the first writer on natural law who has done so, for they may even be found in Hobbes. And I think that he may be reckoned the founder of what is awkwardly and invidiously called the utilitarian school, for though similar expressions about the common good may sometimes be found in the ancients, it does not seem to have been the basis of any ethical system.

17. This common good, not any minute particle of it, as

17. This common good, not any minute particle of it, as the benefit of a single man, is the great end of the legislator and of him who obeys his will. And such human actions as by their natural tendency promote the common good may be called naturally good, more than those which tend only to the good of any one man, by how much the whole is greater than this small part. And whatever is directed in the shortest way to this end may be called right, as a right line is the shortest of all. And as the whole system of the universe, when all things are arranged so as to produce happiness, is

^{*} Prolegomena, sect. 9 § Sect 14

[†] Sect. 12

beautiful, being aptly disposed to its end, which is the definition of beauty, so particular actions contributing to this general hurmony may be called heautiful and becoming •

18 Cumberland acutely remarks, in answer to the objection to the practice of virtue from the evils which full on good men, and the success of the wicked, that no good or evil is to be considered, in this point of view, which arises from mere necessity, or external causes, and not from our virtue or vice itself. He then shows that a regard for piety and peace, for mutual intercourse, and civil and domestic polity, tends to the happiness of every one, and in reckoning the good con-sequences of virtuous behaviour we are not only to estimate the pleasure intimately connected with it, which the love of God and of good men produces, but the contingent benefits we obtain by civil society which we promote by such conduct † And we see that in all nations there is some regard to good faith and the distribution of property, some respect to the obligation of oaths, some attachments to relations and friends All men therefore acknowledge, und to a certain extent perform, those things which really tend to the common good. And though crime and violence sometimes pravail, yet these are like diseases in the body which it shakes off, or if, like them they prove sometimes mortal to a single commanity, yet human society is immortal, and the conser vative principles of common good have in the end fur more efficacy than those which dissolve and destroy states

19 We may reckon the happiness consequent on virtue as a true sanction of natural law annexed to it by its author, and thus fulfilling the necessary conditions of its definition. And though some have laid less stress on these sanctions, and deemed virtue its own reward, and grantitude to God and man its best motive, yet the consent of nations and common experience show us that the observance of the first end, which is the common good, will not be maintained without

remnueration or penal consequences.

20 By this single principle of common good, we simplify the method of natural law, and arrange its secondary precepts in such subordination as best conduces to the general

- end. Hence moral rules give way in particular cases, when they come in collision with others of more extensive importance. For all ideas of right or virtue imply a relation to the system and nature of all rational beings. And the principles thus deduced as to moral conduct are generally applicable to political societies, which in their two leading institutions, the division of property and the coercive power of the magistrate, follow the steps of natural law, and adopt these rules of polity, because they perceive them to promote the common weal
- 21. From all intermixture of scriptural authority Cumberland proposes to abstain, building only on reason and experience, since we believe the Scriptures to proceed from God because they illustrate and promote the law of nature. He seems to have been the first Christian writer who sought to establish systematically the principles of moral right independently of revelation. They are indeed taken for granted by many, especially those who adopted the Platonic language; or the schoolmen may have demonstrated them by arguments derived from reason, but seldom, if ever, without some collateral reference to theological authority. In this respect, therefore, Cumberland may be deemed to make an epoch in the history of ethical philosophy, though Puffendorf, whose work was published the same year, may have nearly equal claims to it. If we compare the Treatise on the Laws of Nature with the Ductor Dubitantium of Taylor, written a very few years before, we shall find ourselves in a new world of moral reasoning. The schoolmen and fathers, the canonists and casusts, have vanished like ghosts at the first daylight; the continual appeal is to experience, and never to authority, or if authority can be said to appear at all in the pages of Cumberland, it is that of the great apostles of experimental philosophy, Descartes or Huygens, or Harvey or Willis. His mind, liberal and comprehensive as well as acute, had been forcibly impressed with the discoveries of his own age, both in mathematical science and in what is now more strictly called physiology. From this armoury he chose his weapons, and employed them, in some instances, with great sagacity and depth of thought. From the brilliant success, also, of the modern analysis, as well as from the natural prejudice in

favour of a mathematical method, which prises from the neknowledged superiority of that science in the determination of its proper truths, he was led to expect more from the use of similar processes in mural reasoning than we have found justified by experience And this analogy had probably some effect on one of the chief errors of his ethical system, tho reduction, at least in theory, of the murality of actions to definite calculation.

22. The prolegomenn or preface to Comberland's treatise contains that statement of his system with which we have been hitherth concerned, and which the whole volume does not expand. His manner of reason iog is diffose, abconding in repetitions, and often excursive, we cannot avaid perceiving that he labours long on proposi

tions which no adversary would dispute, or on which the dispote could be little else than one of verbal definition. This however is almost the universal failing of preceding philosophers, and was only put an end to, if it can be said yet to have ceased, by the sherper logic of controversy, which a innre general regard to metaphysical inquiries, and a juster sensa of the value of words, brought into use

23 The question between Cumberland and his adversaries, that is, the school of Hobbes, is stated to be, whether certain propositions of immutable truth, directing the volon tary netions of men in choosing good and avoiding evil, and imposing an obligation upon their, independently of civil laws, are necessarily suggested to the mind by the nature of things and by that of mankind And the affirmative of this ques tion he undertakes to prove from a consideration of the nature of both, from which many particular rules might be dedoced, but above all that which comprehends all the rest, and is the basis of his theory, namely, that the greatest possible becevolence (not n mere languid desire bot nn ener gene priociple) of overy ratioonl agent towards all the rest constitutes the happiest coodition of each and of all, so far as depends on their own power, and is necessarily required for their greatest happiness; whence the commoo good is the supreme law That God is the author of this law appears evident from his being the nother of all nature and of all the

physical laws according to which impressions are made on our minds.

- 24. It is easy to observe by daily experience that we have the power of doing good to others, and that no men are so happy or so secure as they who most exert this. And this may be proved synthetically and in that more rigorous method which he affects, though it now and then leads the reader away from the simplest argument, by considering our own faculties of speech and language, the capacities of the hand and countenance, the skill we possess in sciences and in useful arts, all of which conduce to the social life of mankind and to their mutual co-operation and benefit. Whatever preserves and perfects the nature of any thing, that is to be called good, and the opposite evil; so that Hobbes has crudely asserted good to respect only the agent desiring it, and consequently to be variable. In this it will be seen that the dispute is chiefly verbal.
- 25. Two corollaries of great importance in the theory of ethics spring from a consideration of our physical powers. The first 1s, that masmuch as they are limited by their nature, we should never seek to transgress their bounds, but distinguish, as the Stoics did, things within our reach, τα εφ' ήμιν, from those beyond it, τα ουκ εφ' ήμιν, thus relieving our minds from anxious passions, and turning them to the prudent use of the means assigned to us. The other is one which applies more closely to his general principle of morals; that as all we can do in respect of others, and all the enjoyment we or they can have of particular things, is limited to certain persons, as well as by space and time, we perceive the necessity of distribution, both as to things, from which spring the rights of property, and as to persons, by which our benevolence, though a general rule in itself, is practically directed towards individuals. For the conservation of an aggregate whole is the same as that of its divided parts, that is, of single persons, which requires a distributive exercise of the powers of each. Hence property and dominion, or meum and tuum, in the most general sense, are consequences from the general law of nature. Without a support from that law, according to Cumberland, without a positive tendency to the good of all rational agents, we should have no right even to things necessary for

our preservation, nor have we that right, if a greater evil would be incurred by our preservation than by our destruction. It may be indeed, as a more inniversal reflection, that as all which we see to enture is so framed in to persevere in its inpopulated state, and as the hamma body is endowed with the power of throwing off whatever is noxions and threatens the integrity of its condition, we may judge from this that the conservation of macking in its best state must be the design of nature, and that their own voluntary notions conducing to that end must be such as the nuther of nature commands and

npproves.

26 Cumberland next endeavours, by an enlarged analysis of the mental and bodily structure of mankind, to evince their uptitode for the social virtues that is, for the general benevolence which is the primary law of nature. We have the power of knowing these by our rational faculty, which is the judge of right and wrong, that is, of what is conform able to the great law, and by the other faculties of the mind, as well as by the use of language, we generalise and reduce to propositions the determinations of reason have also the power of comparison, and of perceiving analogies, by means of which we estimate degrees of good And if we are careful to guard against deciding withour clear and adequate apprehensions of things, our reason will not mislead us. The observance of something like this ge neral law of nature by toferior anunals, which rarely, as Comberland supposes, attack those of the same species, and to certaio instances live together, as if by a compact for inn tual nid, the peculiar contrivances to the homan body which seem designed for the maintenance of society, the possession of speech, the pathognomic conotenance, the efficiency of the hand, a longevity beyond the lower animals, the duration of the sexual appetito throughout the year, with several other arguments derived from anntomy, are urged throughout this chapter against the unsocial theory of Hobbes

27 Natural good is defined by Cumberland with more latitude than has been used by Paley and by those of a later school, who confine it to happiness or pleasorable perception. Whatover conduces to the preservation of no intelligent being or to the perfection of his powers, he necounts to be good,

without regard to enjoyment. And for this he appeals to experience, since we desire existence, as well as the extension of our powers of action, for their own sakes. It is of great importance to acquire a clear notion of what is truly good, that is, of what serves most to the happiness and perfection of every one; since all the secondary laws of nature, that is, the rules of particular virtues, derive their authority from this effect. These rules may be compared one with another as to the probability, as well as the value of their effects upon the general good, and he anticipates greater advantage from the employment of mathematical reasoning and even analytical forms in moral philosophy than the different nature of the subjects would justify, even if the fundamental principle of converting the theory of ethics into calculation could be allowed.*

28. A law of nature, meaning one subordinate to the great principle of benevolence, is defined by Cumberland to be a proposition manifested by the nature of things to the mind according to the will of the First Cause, and pointing out an action tending to the good of rational beings, from the performance of which an adequate reward, or from the neglect of which a punishment, will ensue by the nature of such rational beings. Every part of this definition he proves with exceeding prolixity in the longest chapter, namely, the fifth, of his treatise, but we have already seen the foundations of his theory upon which it rests. It will be evident to the reader of this chapter that both Butler and Paley have been largely indebted to Cumberland.† Natural obligation he defines thus:—No other necessity determines the will to act than that of avoiding evil and of seeking good, so far as appears to be in our power.‡ Moral obligation is more limited, and is differently defined.§ But the main point, as

^{*} Ea quippe tota (disciplina morum) versatur in estimandis rationibus virium humanarum ad commune bonum entium rationalium quicquam facientium, que quidem variant in omni casuum possibilium varietate. Cap ii sect. 9 The same is laid down in several other passages. By rationibus we must understand ratios, which brings out the calculating theory in the strongest light.

[†] A great part of the second and third chapters of Butler's Analogy will be found in Cumberland See cap v sect 22

[‡] Non alia necessitas voluntatem ad agendum determinat, quam malum in quantum tale esse nobis constat fugicadi, bonumque quatenus nobis apparet prosequendi Cap v sect 7

[§] Sect 27

he justly observes, of the controversy is the connexion between the tendency of each man's actions, taking them collectively through his life, to the good of the whole, and that to his own greatest happiness and perfection. This he undertakes to show, premising that it is twofold, consisting immediately in the pleasare attached to virtue, and ultimately in the rewards which it obtains from God and from man. God, as a rational being, cannot be supposed to act within an end, or to have a greater end than the general good, that is, the happiness and perfection of his creatures. And his will may not only be shown a priori, by the consideration of his essence and attributes, but by the effects of virtue and vice in the order of nature which he has established. The rewards and punish ments which follow at the hands of men are equally obvious, and whether we regard men as God's instruments or as voluntary agents, demonstrate that virtue is the highest pru dence. These arguments are arged rather tediously, and in such a manner as to encounter none of the difficulties which it is desirable to overcome

29 Two objections might be alleged ngainst this kind of proof, that the rewards and punishments of moral nctions are too uncertain to be accounted clear proofs of the will of God, and consequently of their natural obligation, and that hy laying so much stress upon them we make private happiness the measure of good. These he endeavours to repel The contingency of a future consequence has a determinate value, which, if it more than compensates, for good or evil, the evil or good of a present action, ought to be deemed a proof given by the anthir of nature that reward or punishment are annexed to the action as much as if they were its necessary consequences.† This argument, perhaps sophistical is an instance of the calculating method affected by Cumberland, and which we may presume, from the then recent application of analysis to probability, he was the first to adopt on such an occasion. Paley is sometimes fond of a similar process. But after these mathematical reasonings, he dwells, as before, on the beneficial effects of virtue, and conclodes that many of them are so uniform as to leave no

doubt as to the intention of the Creator. Against the charge of postponing the public good to that of the agent, he protests that it is wholly contiany to his principle, which permits no one to preserve his life, or what is necessary for it, at the expense of a greater good to the whole.* But his explication of the question ends in repeating that no single man's greatest felicity can by the nature of things be inconsistent with that of all; and that every such hypothesis is to be rejected as an impossible condition of the problem. It seems doubtful whether Cumberland uses always the same language on the question whether private happiness is the final motive of action, which in this part of the chapter he wholly denies.

30. From the establishment of this primary law of uni-

- 30. From the establishment of this primary law of unversal benevolence Cumberland next deduces the chief secondary principles, which are commonly called the moral virtues. And among these he gives the first place to justice, which he seems to consider, by too lax an use of terms, or too imperfect an analogy, as comprehending the social duties of liberality, courtesy, and domestic affection. The right of property, which is the foundation of justice, he rests entirely on its necessity for the common good; whatever is required for that prime end of moral action being itself obligatory on moral agents, they are bound to establish and to maintain separate rights. And all right so wholly depends on this instrumentality to good, that the rightful sovereignty of God over his creatures is not founded on that relation which he bears to them as their Maker, much less on his mere power, but on his wisdom and goodness, through which his omnipotence works only for their happiness. But this happiness can only be attained by means of an absolute right over them in their Maker, which is therefore to be reckoned a natural law.
- 31. The good of all rational beings is a complex whole, being nothing but the aggregate of good enjoyed by each. We can only act in our proper spheres, labouring to do good.

rationem quam habet unus homo ad aggregatum ex omnibus rationalibus, quæ minor est quam habet unica arenula ad molem universi corporis Sect 23 and sect 28

^{*} Sua cujusque felicitas est pars valde exigua finis illius, quem vir verè rationalis prosequitur, et ad totum finem, scilicet commune bonum cui a natura seu a Deo intertexitur, cam tantum habet

But this labour will be fruitless, or rather mischievous, if we do not keep in mind the higher gradations which termindte in universal benevolence. No man must seek his own indvantage otherwise than that of his family permits, or provide for his fomily to the detriment of his country, or promote the good of his country at the expense of monkind, or serve mankind, if it were possible, without regard to the mijesty of God.* It is indeed sufficient that the mind should acknowledge and recollect this principle of conduct, without having it present on every single occasion. But where moral difficulties arise, Camberland contends that the general good is the only measure by which we are to determine the lowfulness of actions, or the preference due to one above moother

32. In conclusion he passes to political unthority, deriving it from the same principle, and comments with severity and success, though in the verbose style usual to him, on the system of Hobbes. It is, however, worthy of remark, that he not only peremptorily declares the irresponsibility of the supreme magistrate in all cases, but seems to give him a more arbitrary latitude in the choice of measures, so long as he does not violate the chief negative precepts of the Deca logue, than is consistent with his own fundamental rule of always seeking the greatest good. He endeavours to throw upon Hobbes as was not uncommon with the latter's theological opponents, the imputation of encorraging rebellion while he seemed to support absolute power, and observes with full as much truth that, if kings are bound by no natural law, the reason for their institution namely, the security of mankind, assigned by the unther of the Leviathan, falls to the ground.

Si I have gone rather nt length into a kind of anolysis of this treatise because it is now very little read and yet was of great importance in the annols of ethical philosophy It was, if not a text-book in inther of our universities concerning which I nm not confident, the basis of the system therein tanght, and of the books which have had most influence in this country. Hutcheson, Law, Paley, Priestley, Bentham belong no doubt some of them nn

consciously, to the school founded by Cumberland. Hutcheson adopted the principle of general benevolence as the standard of virtue; but by limiting the definition of good to happiness alone, he simplified the scheme of Cumberland, who had included conservation and enlargement of capacity in its definition. He rejected also what encumbers the whole system of his predecessor, the including the Supreme Being among those rational agents whose good we are bound to promote. The schoolmen, as well as those whom they followed, deeming it necessary to predicate metaphysical infinity of all the divine attributes, reckoned unalterable beatitude in the number. Upon such a subject no wise man would like to dogmatise. The difficulties on both sides are very great, and perhaps among the most intricate to which the momentous problem concerning the cause of evil has given rise. Cumberland, whose mind does not seem to have been much framed to wrestle with mysteries, evades, in his lax verbosity, what might perplex his readers.

34. In establishing the will of a supreme lawgiver as essential to the law of nature, he is followed by the bishop of Carlisle and Paley, as well as by the majority of English moralists in the eighteenth century. But while Paley deems the recognition of a future state so essential, that he even includes in the definition of virtue that it is performed "for the sake of everlasting happiness," Cumberland not only omits this erioneous and almost paradoxical condition, but very slightly alludes to another life, though he thinks it probable from the stings of conscience and on other grounds, resting the whole argument on the certain consequences of virtue and vice in the present, but guarding justly against the supposition that any difference of happiness in moral agents can affect the immediate question except such as is the mere result of their own behaviour. If any one had urged, like Paley, that unless we take a future state into consideration, the result of calculating our own advantage will either not always be in favour of virtue, or in consequence of the violence of passion will not always seem so, Cumberland would probably have denied the former alternative, and replied to the other, that we can only prove the truth of our theorems in moral philosophy, and cannot compel men to adopt them.

35 Sir James Mackantosh, whose notice of Camberland is rather too superficial, and hardly recognises his influence on philosophy, observes, that "the forms of scholastic argument serve more to encumber his style than to insure lus exactness ". There is not however much of scholastic form in the treatise on the Laws of Niture, and this is expressly dis-claimed in the prefine. But he has, as we have intimuted, in great deal too much of a mathematical line of argument which never illustrates his meaning and has sometimes misled his indgment. We own probably to his fondness for this specious illusion, I mean the application of reasonings upon quantity to moral subjects, the dangerous sophism that a di rect calculation of the highest good, and that not relatively to particulars but to all rational beings, is the measure of vir tuous actions, the test by which we are to try our own con duct and that of others And the intervention of general rules, by which Paley endeavonred to dilute and render pa latable this calculating scheme of utility, seems no more to have occurred to Cumberland than it was adopted by Bentham

36 Thus as Taylor's Ductor Dubitantium is nearly tho last of a declining school, Camberland's Law of Nature may be justly considered as the herald, especially in England, of a new ethical philosophy, of which the main characteristics were, first, that it stood complete in itself without the aid of revelation; secondly, that it appealed to no unthority of earlier writers whatever, though it sometimes used them in illustration, thirdly, that it availed itself of abservation and experience, alleging them generally, but abstaining from particular instances in either, and making, above all no display of erudition, and, forithly, that it entered very little inpoucasimstry, leaving the application of principles to the reader

37 In the same year, 1672 n work still more generally distinguished than that of Cumberland was published to Lind, in Sweden, by Samnel Prifendarf, n Saxon by lurth, who filled the chair of mirral philosophy in that recently founded nuiversity This large treatise, On the Law of Nature and Nations, in eight books, was abridged by

the author, but not without some variations, in one perhaps more useful, On the Duties of a Man and a Citizen. Both have been translated into French and English; both were long studied in the foreign universities, and even in our own. Puffendorf has been perhaps, in moral philosophy, of greater authority than Grotius, with whom he is frequently named in conjunction; but this is not the case in international jurisprudence.

- 38. Puffendorf, after a very diffuse and technical chapter and an appear on moral beings, or modes, proceeds to assert a demonstrative certainty in moral science, but seems not to maintain an inherent right and wrong in actions antecedent to all law, referring the rule of morality altogether to the divine appointment. He ends however by admitting that man's constitution being what it is, God could not without inconsistency have given him any other law than that underwhich he lives.* We discern good from evil by the understanding, which judgment when exercised on our own actions is called conscience, but he strongly protests against any such jurisdiction of conscience, independent of reason and knowledge, as some have asserted. This notion "was first introduced by the schoolmen, and has been maintained in these latter ages by the crafty casuists for the better securing of men's minds and foitunes to their own fortune and advantage."† Puffendorf was a good deal imbued with the Lutheran bigotry which did no justice to any religion but its own.
- 39. Law alone creates obligation; no one can be obliged except towards a superior. But to compel and to oblige being different things, it is required for this latter that we should have received some great good at the hands of a superior, or have voluntarily submitted to his will. This seems to involve an antecedent moral right, which Puffendorf's general theory denies.‡ Barbeyrac, his able and watchful commentator, derives obligation from our natural dependence on the supreme authority of God, who can punish the disobedient and reward others. In order to make laws obligatory, it is necessary, according to Puffendorf, that we should know both

the law and the lawgiver's authority Actions are good or evil, as they conform more or less to law And, coming to consider the peculiar qualities of moral actions, lie introduces the distinction of perfect and imperfect rights, objecting to that of Grotius and the Roman lawyers, expletive and distri butive justice. * This first book of Poffendorf is very diffuse, and some chapters are wholly omitted in the abridgement.

40 The intural state of man, such as in theory we may suppose, is one in which be was never placed, " thrown into the world at a venture, and then left entirely to himself with no larger ondowments of body or mind than such as we now discover in men' This, however, he seems to think phy sically possible to have been, which I should incline to question Man in a state of nature is subject to no earthly superior, but we must not infer thence that he is incapable of law and has a right to every thing that is profitable to him self But, after discussing the position of Hobbes that a state of nature is a state of war, he ends by admitting that the desire of peace is too weak and uncertain is security for its preservation among mankind †

41 The law of nature he derives not from consent of na tions, nor from personal utility, but from the condition of man It is discoverable by reason, its obligation is from God He denies that it is founded on the intrinsic honesty or turpitude of actions. It was free to God whether he would create an animal to whom the present law of anture should be applicable. But supposing all things human in romain con stant, the law of nature, though owing its institution to the free will of God, remains analterable Ho therefore neither agrees wholly with those who deem of this law as of one ar bitrary and matable at God's pleasure, nor with those who look upon it as an image of his essential holiuess and justice For he doubts whether the law of nature is altogether conformed to the divine attributes as to a type, since we cannot acquire a right with respect to God, so that his justice must be af a different kind from opra. Comman consent, again, is an ausufficient basis of natural law, fow men having searched into the foundations of their assent, even if we could find n

more general consent than is the case. And here he expatiates, in the style of Montaigne's school, on the variety of moral opinions.* Puffendorf next attacks those who resolve right into self-interest. But unfortunately he only proves that men often mistake their interest. "It is a great mistake to fancy it will be profitable to you to take away either by fraud or violence what another man has acquired by his labour; since others have not only the power of resisting you, but of taking the same freedom with your goods and possessions."† This is evidently no answer to Hobbes of Spinosa.

- 42 The nature of man, his wants, his powers of doing mischief to others, his means of mutual assistance, show that he cannot be supported in things necessary and convenient to him without society, so that others may promote his interests. Hence sociableness is a primary law of nature, and all actions tending towards it are commanded, as the opposite are forbidden by that law. In this he agrees with Grotius; and, after he had become acquainted with Cumberland's work, observes that the fundamental law of that writer, to live for the common good and show benevoleuce towards all men, does not differ from his own. He partly explains, and partly answers, the theory of Hobbes. From Grotius he dissents in denying that the law of nature would be binding without religion, but does not think the soul's immortality essential to it. ‡ The best division of natural law is into duties towards ourselves and towards others. But in the abridged work, the Duties of a Mau and a Citizen, he adds those towards God.
- 43. The former class of duties he illustrates with much prolixity and needless quotation §, and passes to the right of self-defence, which seems to be the debatable frontier between the two classes of obligation. In this chapter Puffendorf is free from the extreme scrupulousness of Grotius; yet he differs from him, as well as from Barbeyrac and Locke, in denying the right of attacking the aggressor, where a stranger has been injured, unless where we are bound to him by promise.

44 All persons, as is evident, are bound to repair wilful. injury, and even that arising from their neglect, but not, where they have not been in fault. Yet the civil action of where they have not been in failt. The the civil action to pauperiem, for casual damage by a beast or slave, which Grotius held to be merely of positive law, and which our uwn (in the only applicable case) does not recognise, Puffer dorf thinks grounded on natural right. He considers several questions of reparation, chiefly anch as we find in Grotius. From these, after some intermediate disquisitions on moral duties, he comes to the more extensive province in casuatry, the obligation of promises. These, for the most part, give perfect rights which may be enforced, though this is not nuiversal, hence promises may themselves be called imperperfect or perfect. The former, or nuda pacta, seem to be obligatory rather by the rules of veracity, and for the sake of maintaining confidence among men, than in strict justice, yet he endeavours to refute the opinion of n jurist who held nuda pacta to involve no obligation beyond a compensation for damage Free consent and knowledge of the whole subject are required for the validity of a promise, hence drink enness takes away its obligation \$\frac{1}{2}\$ Whether a minor is bound in conscience, though not in law, has been disputed, the Roman cannots all denying it unless he has received an advantage. La Placette, it seems, after the time of Puffen durf, though a very rigid moralist, confines the obligation to cases where the other party sustains any real damage by the non-performance The world, in some instances at least, would exact more than the strictest casnists. Promises were invalidated, though not always mutual contracts, by error , and fraud in the other party annuls a contract. There can be no obligation, Puffendurf maintains, without a correspond ing right, hence fear arising from the fault of the other party nuvalidates a prumise. But those made to pirates or rebels, nut being extorted by fear, are hinding Vows to God be deems not binding, unless accepted by him, but be thinks that we may presume their acceptance when they serve to define or specify an indeterminate duty § Unlawful promises must not be performed by the party promising to com

. mit an evil act, and as to performance of the other party's promise, he differs from Grotius in thinking it not binding. Barbeyrac concurs with Puffendorf, but Paley holds the contrary; and the common sentiments of mankind seem to be on that side.*

- 45. The obligations of veracity Puffendorf, after much needless prolixity on the nature of signs'and words, deduces from a tacit contract among mankind, that words, or signs of intention, shall be used in a definite sense which others may understand.† He is rather fond of these imaginary compacts The laxer casuists are in nothing more distinguishable from the more nigid than in the exceptions they allow to the general rule of veracity. Many, like Augustin and most of the fathers, have laid it down that all falsehood is unlawful; even some of the jurists, when treating of morality, had done the same. But Puffendorf gives considerable latitude to deviations from truth, by mental reserve, by ambiguous words, by direct falsehood. Barbeyrac, in a long note, goes a good deal farther, and indeed beyond any safe limit. ‡ An oath, according to these writers, adds no peculiar obligation; another remarkable discrepancy between their system and that of the theological casusts. Oaths may be released by the party in favour of whom they are made, but it is necessary to observe whether the dispensing authority is really the obligee.
- 46. We now advance to a different part of moral philosophy, the rights of property. Puffendorf first inquires into the natural right of killing animals for food, but does not defend it very well, resting this right on the want of mutual obligation between man and brutes. The arguments from physiology, and the manifest propensity in mankind to devour

any sound theory of ethics. Lying, he says, as condemned in Scripture, always means fraud or injury to others. His doctrine is, that we are to speak the truth, or to be silent, or to feign and dissemble, according as our own lawful interest, or that of our neighbour, may demand it. This is surely as untenable one way as any paradox in Augustin or La Placette can be the other.

^{*} C 7 + L 1v c 1

[‡] Barbeyrac admits that several writers of authority since Puffendorf had maintained the strict obligation of veracity for its own sake, Thomasius, Buddæus, Noodt, and, above all, La Placette His own notions are too much the other way, both according to the received standard of honourable and decorous character among men, and according to

animals, are much stronger He censures cruelty towards animals, but hardly in clear graunds, the disregard of morals emotion which belongs to his philosophy, prevents his judging it rightly. Property itself in things he grannels in an express or tacat contract of mankind, while all was yet in common, that each should possess a separate portion. This covenant he supposes to have been gradually extended, as men perceived the advantage of separate possession, lands having been cultivated in common after severalty had been established in hauses and movable goods, and he refutes those who maintain property to be coeval with mankind, and immediately founded on the law of nature, † Nothing can be the antiject of property which is incapable of exclusive oc cupation, not therefore the ocean, though some narrow seas may be appropriated ‡ In the remainder of this foarth book he treats on a variety of subjects connected with property, which carry us over a wide field of natural and positive prispradence.

47 The fifth book of Puffendarf relates to price, and to all contracts onerous or increative, according to the distinction of the jurists, with the rules of their interpretation. It is a running criticism on the Roman law, comparing it with right reason and justice Price he divides into proper and eminent, the first being what we call real value, or capacity of procuring things desirable by means of exchange, the second the maney value. What is said on this subject would now seem common place and proix, but it is rather interesting to observe the beginnings of political ecouniny. Maney he thinks was introduced by an agreement of civilised nations, as a measure of value. Puffendarf, of more enlarged views than Grotins, viudicates usury which the other had given up, and mentions the evasions usually practised such as the grant of an anunty for a limited term

48 In the sixth book we have disquisitions on matrimous and the rights incident to it, on paternal and on benie power Among other questions he raises one whether the husband has any natural domining over the wife This be thinks hard

C. 5 right of property on individual occurs the second compact, and rests the \$10.5 D \$1.5 C. 5.

to prove, except as his sex gives him an advantage, but fitness to govern does not create a right. He has recourse therefore to his usual solution, her tacit or express promise of obedience. Polygamy he deems contrary to the law of nature, but not meest, except in the direct line. This is consonant to what had been the general determination of philosophers.* The right of parents he derives from the general duty of sociableness, which makes preservation of children necessary, and on the affection implanted in them by nature, also on a presumed consent of the children in return for their maintenance. † In a state of nature this command belongs to the mother, unless she has wanted it by a matrimonial contract. In childhood, the first of the child's labour belong to the father, though the former seems to be capable of 1eceiving gifts. Fathers, as heads of families, have a kind of sovereignty, distinct from the paternal, to which adult children residing with them are submitted. But after their emancipation by leaving their father's house, which does not absolutely require his consent, they are bound only to duty and reverence. The power of a master over his servant is not by nature, nor by the law of war, but originally by a contract founded on necessity. Was increased the number of those A slave, whatever Hobbes may say, is capable of being injured by his master; but the laws of some nations give more power to the latter than is warranted by those of nature. Servitude implies only an obligation to perpetual labour for a recompence (namely, at least maintenance), the evil necessary to this condition has been much exaggerated by opinion. ‡

49. Puffendorf and Cumberland are the two great promoters, if not founders, of that school in ethics, which abandoning the higher ground of both philosophers and theologians, that of an intrinsic fitness and propriety in actions, resolved them all into their conduciveness towards good. Their utile indeed is very different from what Cicero has so named, which is merely personal, but it is different also from his honestum. The sociableness of Puffendorf is perhaps much the same with the general good of

Comberland, but is somowhat less comprehensive and less clear. Paley, who had not read a great deal, had certuinly read Puffendorf, he has borrowed from him several minor allustrations and he the comments of the several minor. read Puffendorf, he has borrowed from him several minor illustrations, such as the equivocal promise of Timur (called by Paley Temures) to the garrison of Schastin, and the rules for division of profits in partnership. Their minds were in some respects alike, both phlegmutte, honest, and sincere, without warmth or fancy, yet there seems a more thorough good nuture and kindliness of heart in our countryman. Though in emobiled German, Puffendorf had as littlu respect for the law of honour as Paley himself. They do not, indeed, resemble each other in their modes of writing, one was very lahorious, the other very indolent, one sometimes misses his mark by circuity, the other by precipitance. The quotitions in Puffendorf are often as thickly strewed as in Grotus, though he takes less from the poets, but he seems not to build upon their authority, which gives them still more the air of superfloity. His theory, indeed, which assigns no weight to any thing but a close geometrical dedoction from amoms, is incompatible with moch deference to nuthority, and he sets aside the customs of mankind as unstablu and arhitrary. He has not taken much from Hobbes, whose prin arhitrary He has not taken much from Hobbes, whose prin ciples are far from his, but a great deal from Grotios Thu leading difference between the treatises of these celebrated men 15 that, while the former contemplated the law that ought to be observed among independent communities as his primary object, to render which more avident he lays down the fundamental principles of private right or the law of nature, the latter, on the other hand, not only begins with natural law, but makes it the great theme of his inquiries

natural law, but makes it the great theme of his judgmes 50. Few books have been more highly extelled or more severely blamed than the Thoughts or Maxims of natural the Duke of lu Rochefoncanit. They have, indeed the greatest advantages for popularity, the production of n man less distinguished by his high rank than by his netwo participation in the factions of his country at a timu when they reached the limits of civil war, and by his brilliancy among the accomplished courtiers of Louis XIV, concise and greatest in accomplished courtiers of Louis XIV, concise among the uccompnished contains of Louis Living and und energetic in expression, reduced to those short uphorisms which leave much to the reader's acuteness, and yet save his labour; not often obscure, and never wearisome; an evident generalisation of long experience, without pedantry, without method, without deductive reasonings, yet wearing an appearance at least of profundity, they delight the intelligent though indolent man of the world, and must be read with some admiration by the philosopher. Among the books in ancient and modern times which record the conclusions of observing men on the moral qualities of their fellows, a high place should be reserved for the Maxims of Rochefoucault.

51. The censure that has so heavily fallen upon this writer is founded on his proneness to assign a low and selfish motive to human actions, and even to those which are most usually denominated virtuous. It is impossible to dispute the partial truth of this charge. Yet it may be pleaded, that many of his maxims are not universal even in their enunciation; and that, in others, where, for the sake of a more effective expression, the position seems general, we ought to understand it with such limitations as our experience may suggest. The society with which the Duke of la Rochefoucault was convensant could not elevate his notions of disinterested probity in man, or of unblemished purity in woman. Those who call themselves the world, it is easy to perceive, set aside, in their remarks on human nature, all the species but themselves, and sometimes generalise their maxims, to an amusing degree, from the manners and sentiments which have grown up in the atmosphere of a court or an aristocratic society. Rochefoucault was of far too reflecting a mind to be confounded with such mere worldlings, yet he bears witness to the contracted observation and the precipitate inferences which an intercourse with a single class of society scarcely fails to generate. The malignity of Rochefoucault is always directed against the false virtues of mankind, but never touches the reality of moral truths, and leaves us less injured than the cold, heartless indifference to right which distils from the pages of Hobbes Nor does he deal in those sweeping denials of goodness to human nature which are so frequently hazarded under the mask of religion. His maxims are not exempt from defects of a different kind; they are sometimes refined to a degree of obscurity, and sometimes, under an epigiammatic tuin, convey little more than a trivial meaning.

Perhaps, however, it would be just to say that one third of the number deserve to be remembered, as at least partially true and neeful, and this is a large proportion, if we exclude

all that are not in some measure original

52 The Characters of La Bruyere, published in 1687, approach to the Maxims of La Rochefoncault by their refinement, their brevity, their general ten dency to an unfavourable explanation of human conduct This novertheless is not so strongly marked, and the picture of selfishuess wants the darkest touches of his contomporary a colonring La Bruyere had a model in antiquity, Theophrastus, whose short book of Characters he had hunself translated, and prefixed to his own, a step not impolitio for his own glory, since the Greek writer, with no contemptible degree of ment, has been incomparably surpassed by his imitator Many changes in the condition of society, the greater diversity of ranks and occupatione in modern Europe, the influence of women over the other sex, as well as their own varieties of character and manners, the effects of religion, learning chi valry, royalty, have given a range to this very pleasing department of moral literature which no uncient could have compassed. Nor has Theophrastus taken much pains to search the springs of character, his deliuentione are bold and clear, but merely in outline, we see more of manners than of nature, and the former more in general classes than in portraiture. La Bruyere has often painted single persons, whether accurately or no, we cannot ut this time determine. hat with a felicity of description which at once renders the likeuess probable, and suggests its application to those we ourselves have seen His general reflections, like those of Rochefoncault, are brilliant with untithesis and epigrammatic conciseness, sometimes perhaps not quite just or quite per spicuous But he pleases more, on the whole, from his greater variety, his greater liveliness, and his gentler spirit of raillery Nor does he forget to mingle the pruse of some with his saure. But he is rather a bold writer for his age and his position in the court, and what looks like flattery may well have been ironical. Few have been more imitated, as well as more admired, than La Bruyere, who fills up the list of those whon! France has boasted as most conspicuous for then knowledge of human nature. The others are Montaigne, Charlon, Pascal, and Rochefoucault; but we might withdraw the second name without injustice.

53. Moral philosophy comprehends in its literature whatever has been written on the best theory and precepts of moral education, disregarding what is confined to erudition, though this may frequently be partially treated in works of the former class Education, notwithstanding its recognised importance, was miserably neglected in England, and quite as much, perhaps, in every part of Europe. Schools, kept by low-born illiberal pedants, teaching little, and that little ill, without regard to any judicious discipline or moial culture, on the one hand, or, on the other, a pietence of instruction at home under some ignorant and servile tutor, seem to have been the alternatives of our Juvenile gentry. Milton raised his voice against these faulty methods in his short Tractate on Education. This abounds with bursts of his elevated spirit; and sketches out a model of public colleges, wherein the teaching should be more comprehensive, more liberal, more accommodated to what he deems the great aim of education than what was in use. "That," he says, "I call a complete and generous education which fits a man to perform justly, skilfully, and magnanimously all the offices both private and public, of peace and war." But when Milton descends to specify the course of studies he would recommend, it appears singularly ill-chosen and impracticable, nearly confined to ancient writers, even in mathematics and other subjects where they could not be suffi-cient, and likely to leave the student very far from that aptitude for offices of war and peace which he had held forth as the reward of his diligence.

54. Locke, many years afterwards, turned his thoughts to education with all the advantages that a strong un-Locke on Education Its merits, derstanding and entire disinterestedness could give him, but, as we should imagine, with some necessary deficiencies of experience, though we hardly perceive much of them in his writings. He looked on the methods usual in his age with severity, or, some would say, with prejudice, yet I know not by what proof we can refute his tes-timony. In his Treatise on Education, which may be

reckoned an introduction to thet ou the Conduct of the Understanding since the latter is but a scheme of that edu cation an adult person should give himself, he has uttered to say the least, more good sense on the subject then will be found in any preceding writer Locke was not like the pedants of his own or other ages, who think that to pour their wordy book learning into the memory is the true disci pline of childhood The culture of the intellectual and moral faculties in their most extensive sense, the licalth of the body, the accomplishments which common utility or social custom have rendered valuable, euter into his idea of the best model of education, conjointly et least with any knowledge that can be imparted by books. The ancients had written in the same spirit, in Xenophun in Plato, in Aristotle, the noble conception which Milton has expressed, of forming the perfect man is alweys predominant over mere literary instruction, if indeed the latter can be said to appear at all in their writings on this subject, but we had become the dapes of schoolmasters in our riper years, as we had been their sleves in our youth. Much has been written, and often well since the days of Locke, but he is the chief source from which it. has been ultimately derived, and though the Emile is more attractive in manner, it mey be doubtful whether it is as rational and practicable as the Treatise on Education they have both the same defect, that their authors wanted sufficient observation of children, it is certain that the caution and sound judgment of Locke have rescued him better from

55 There are, indeed, from this or from other causes several passages in the Treatise on Education to which we cannot give in unbestitating assent. Locke appears to have somewhat enggerated the efficacy of education. This is an error on the right aide in a work that aims at persuasion in a practical matter, but we are now looking at theoretical trath alone. "I think I may say he begins, that of all the men we meet with nine parts of ten are what they are good or evil, useful or not, by their education. It is this which makes the great difference in mankind. The little or almost insensible impressions on our tender infances have very important and lasting consequences, and there its

as in the fountains of some rivers, where a gentle application of the hand turns the flexible waters into channels that make them take quite contrary courses; and by this little direction given them at first in the source, they receive different ten-

given them at first in the source, they receive different tendencies, and arrive at last at very remote and distant places" "I imagine," he adds soon afterwards, "the minds of children as easily turned this or that way as water itself."*

56. This passage is an instance of Locke's unfortunate fondness for analogical parallels, which, as far as I have observed, much more frequently obscure a philosophical theorem than shed any light upon it. Nothing would be easier than to confirm the contrary proposition by such fanciful analogies from external nature. In itself, the position is hyperbolical to extravagance. It is no more disparagement to the uses of education, that it will not produce the like effects upon every individual, than it is to those of agriculture (I purposely use this sort of idle analogy) that we do not reap the same quantity of corn from every soil. Those who are conversant with children on a large scale will, I believe, unanimously deny this levelling efficacy of tuition. The variety of characters even in children of the same family, where the domestic associations of infancy have run in the same trains, and where many physical congenialities may produce, and ordinarily do many physical congenialities may produce, and ordinarily do produce, a moral resemblance, is of sufficiently frequent occurrence to prove that in human beings there are intrinsic dissimilitudes, which no education can essentially overcome. Among mere theorists, however, this hypothesis seems to be popular. And as many of these extend their notion of the plasticity of human nature to the effects of government and legislation, which is a sort of continuance of the same controlling power, they are generally induced to disregard past experience of human affairs, because they flatter themselves that under a more scientific administration, mankind will

become something very different from what they have been.

57. In the age of Locke, if we may confide in what he tells us, the domestic education of children must have been of "If we look," he says, "into the common the worst kind.

^{*} Treatise on Education, § 2 "The difference," he afterwards says, "to be found in the manners and abilities of

management of children we shall have reason to wonder, in the great dissoluteness of munners which the world complains of, that there are any footsteps at all left of virtue Adesire to know what vice can be named which parents and those about children du not season them with, and drop into them thu seeds of as often as they are capable to receive them" The mode of treatment seems to have been massionate und often barbarous severity niteranting with foolish indulgence Their spirits were aften broken down, and their ingenuousness destroyed by the former, their habits of self will and sen suality confirmed by the latter. This was the method nur sued by parents, but the pedagogues of course confined theniselves to their favourite scheme of instruction and reformation by punishment. Dugald Sterrart has unimodverted on the nusterity of Locke's rules of education . And this is certainly the case in some respects. He recommends that children should be taught to expect nuthing because it will give them pleasure, but only what will be useful to them, a rule fit, in its rigid meaning to destroy the pleasure of the present mument, in the unly period of life that the present moment can be really enjoyed No futher himself, Locko neither knew how ill a parent can spare the love of his child, nor haw ill a child can want the constant and practical sense of a parent's love. But if he was led too far by deprecating the mischievous indulgance he had sometimes writiessed, he made some amends by his censures on the prevalent discipling of stripes Of this ho speaks with the disapprobation natural to a mind already schooled in the habits of reason and virtue t "I cannot think any correction useful to a child where the shame of suffering for having done minss does not work more upon him than the pain " Lsteem and disgrace are the rewards and punishments to which he principally looks And surely this is a mubble foundation for moral discipline. He

Preliminary Discretation to Pascy clop. Britann.

spirited moped creature who however with bit annatural sobracty be may pleane silly people who commend tame inactive children, because they make no noise nor gi a them any trouble; yet at last will probably prove as unconfortable e thing to his friends, as the will be all his life annucless thing to himself and others." 5.51

[†] If severity carried to the highest pitch does prevail and works a cure from the present unruly destroper it is often beinging in the room of it a worse and more dangerous directs by breaking the mind; and then in the place of e disorderly young fellow you have a low

also recommends that children should be much with their parents, and allowed all reasonable liberty. I cannot think that Stewart's phrase "hardness of character," which he accounts for by the early intercourse of Locke with the Puritains, is justly applicable to any thing that we know of him, and many more passages in this very treatise might be adduced to prove his kindliness of disposition, than will appear to any judicious person over-austere. He found, in fact, every thing wrong, a false system of reward and punishment, a false view of the objects of education, a false selection of studies, false methods of pursuing them. Where so much was to be corrected, it was perhaps natural to be too sanguine about the effects of the remedy.

58. Of the old dispute as to public and private education he says, that both sides have their inconveniencies, but in-clines to prefer the latter, influenced, as is evident, rather by disgust at the state of our schools than by any general principle.* For he missts much on the necessity of giving a boy a sufficient knowledge of what he is to expect in the world. "The longer he is kept hoodwinked, the less he will see when he comes abroad into open daylight, and be the more exposed to be a prey to himself and others." But this experience will, as is daily seen, not be supplied by a tutor's lectures, any more than by books; nor can be given by any course save a public education. Locke urges the necessity of having a tutor well-bred, and with knowledge of the world, the ways, the humours, the follies, the cheats the faults of the ways, the humours, the follies, the cheats, the faults of the age he is fallen into, and particularly of the country he lives in, as of far more importance than his scholarship. "The only fence against the world is a thorough knowledge of it. . . . He that thinks not this of more moment to his son, and for which he more needs a governor, than the languages and learned sciences, forgets of how much more use it is to judge right of men and manage his affairs wisely with them, than to speak Greek'and Latin, and argue in mood and figure, or to have his head filled with the abstruse speculations of natural philosophy and metaphysics; nay, than to be well versed in Greek and Roman writers, though that be much better for

n gentleman, than to be a good Peripatetic or Cartesian, be cause these ancient nothers observed and pointed mankind well, and give the best light into that kind of knowledge. He that goes not the eastern parts of Asia will find able and acceptable men without any of these, but without virtue, knowledge of the world, and civility an accomplished and valuable man can be found nowhere.

59 It is to be remembered, that the person whose education Locke undertakes to fashion is an English gentleman Virtne, wisdom, breeding, end learning, are desirable for such a one in their order, but the last not so much as the rest. † It must be hed, he says, but only as subservient to greater qualities. No objections have been more frequently raised against the scheme of Locke than on eccount of his depreciation of classical literature end of the study of the learned lenguages. This is not wholly true, Latin he reckons absolutely necessary for a gentlemen, though it is absurd that those should learn Latin who ere designed for trade, und never look again et u Lann book ! If he lays not so much stress on Greek as e gentleman's study, though he by no means would abandon it, it is because, in fact most gentlemen, especially in his age, have done very well without it, and nothing can be deemed indispensable in education of n child, the want of which does not leave a manifest deficiency in the man "No man,' ho observes, 'can pass fur a scholar who is ignorant of the Greek language But I am not here con sidering of the education of a professed echolar, but of a gentleman '§

60 The peculiar methods recommended by Locke in learning languages, especially the Latin, appear to be of very doubtful utility, though some of them do not want strennous supporters in the present day. Such are the method of interlinear translation the learning of mere words without grainmar and above all, the practice of talking Latin with a tutor who speaks it well—in pheenix whom he has not shown as where to find I in general, he seems to underrate the difficulty of acquiring what even he would call a competent learning, and what is of more importance, and no rare mis-

take in those who write on this subject, to confound the acquisition of a language with the knowledge of its literature. The best ancient writers both in Freek and Latin furnish so much of wise reflection, of noble sentiment, of all that is beautiful and salutary, that no one who has had the happiness to know and feel what they are, will desire to see their study excluded or stinted in its just extent, wherever the education of those who are to be the first and best of the country is carried forward. And though by far the greater portion of mankind must, by the very force of terms, remain in the ranks of intellectual mediocrity, it is an ominous sign of any times when no thought is taken for those who may rise beyond it.

61. In every other part of instruction, Locke has still an eye to what is useful for a gentleman. French he justly thinks should be taught before Latin; no geometry is required by him beyond Euclid, but he recommends geography, history and chronology, drawing, and what may be thought now as little necessary for a gentleman as Homer, the jurisprudence of Grotius and Puffendorf. He strongly urges the writing English well, though a thing commonly neglected; and after speaking with contempt of the artificial systems of logic and rhetoric, sends the pupil to Chillingworth for the best example of reasoning, and to Tully for the best idea of eloquence. "And let him read those things that are well writ in English to perfect his style in the purity of our language."*

62. It would be to transcribe half this treatise, were we to mention all the judicious and minute observations on the management of children it contains. Whatever may have been Locke's opportunities, he certainly availed himself of them to the utmost. It is as far as possible from a theoretical book, and in many respects the best of modern times, such as those of the Edgeworth name, might pass for developments of his principles. The patient attention to every circumstance, a peculiar characteristic of the genius of Locke, is in none of his works better displayed. His rules for the health of children, though sometimes trivial, since the subject

has been more regarded, his excellent advice as to checking effeminacy and timorousness, his abservations on their ca, rosity, presumption, idlents, on their plays and recreations, bespeak en intense, though calm, love of truth and goodness, a quality which few have possessed more fully or known so well how to exert as this admirable philosopher

68 No one had condescended to apare any thoughts for female education, till Fenelon, in 1688, published his carliest work Sur l'Education des Filles This was the occasion of his appointment as preceptor to the grandchildren of Louis XIV, for much of this treatise, and perhaps the most valuable part, is equally applicable to both sexes It may be compared with that of Locke, written nearly at the same time, and bearing a great resemblance in its spirit. Both have the education of n polished and high hred class, rather than of scholars, before them; and Fenelon rarely loses sight of his peculiar object, or gives any rule which is not capable of being practised in female education In many respects he coincides with our English philosopher, and observes with him that a child learns much before he speaks, so that the cultivation of his moral qualities can hardly begin too soon Both complain of the severity of parents, and deprecate the mode of bringing up by punishment. Both advise the exhibition of virtue and religion in pleasing lights, and censure the austere dogmatism with which they were in culcated, before the mind was sufficiently developed to apprehend them But the characteristic sweetness of Fenelon's disposition is often shown in contrast with the somewhat stern inflexibility of Locke His theory is uniformly indulgent, his method of education is a labour of love, a desire to ren der children happy for the time as well as afterwards, runs through his book, and he may perhaps be considered the founder of that school which has endeavoured to dissipate the terrors and dry the tears of childhood. "I have seen, says, "many children who have learned to read in play, we have only to read entertaining stories to them out of a book and mensibly teach them the letters, they will soon desire to go for themselves to the source of their amnsement." " Books should be given them well bound and gilt, with good engravings, clear types, for all that captivates the imagination

facilitates study; the choice should be such as contain short and marvellous stories." These details are now trivial, but in the days of Fenelon they may have been otherwise.

64. In several passages he displays not only a judicious spirit, but an observation that must have been long exercised. "Of all the qualities we perceive in children," he remarks, "there is only one that can be trusted as likely to be durable, which is sound judgment, it always grows with their growth, if it is well cultivated, but the grace of childhood is effaced; its vivacity is extinguished; even its sensibility is often lost, because their own passions and the intercourse of others insensibly harden the hearts of young persons who enter into the world." It is, therefore, a solid and just way of thinking which we should most value and most improve, and this not by any means less in girls than in the other sex, since their duties and the occupations they are called upon to fill do not less require it. Hence he not only deprecates an excessive taste for dress, but, with more originality, points out the danger of that extreme delicacy and refinement which incapacitate women for the ordinary affairs of life, and give them a contempt for a country life and rural economy.

65. It will be justly thought at present, that he discourages too much the acquisition of knowledge by women. "Keep their minds," he says in one place, "as much as you can within the usual limits, and let them understand that the modesty of their sex ought to shrink from science with almost as much delicacy as from vice." This seems, however, to be confined to science or philosophy in a strict sense, for he permits afterwards a larger compass of reading. Women should write a good hand, understand orthography and the four rules of arithmetic, which they will want in domestic affairs. To these he requires a close attention, and even recommends to women an acquaintance with some of the common forms and maxims of law. Greek, Roman, and French history, with the best travels, will be valuable, and keep them from seeking pernicious fictions. Books also of eloquence and poetry may be read with selection, taking care to avoid any that relate to love; music and painting may be taught with the same precaution. The Italian and Spanish languages are of no use but to enlarge their knowledge of

dangèrous books; Latin is better as the language of the chirch; bot this he would recommend only for girls of good sense and discreet conduct, who will make no display of the acquisition

SECT II - ON POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY

Puffeudorf — Spinons — Harrington v Ocesna — Locke on Covernment — Political Economy

66 In the seventh book of Puffendorf's great work, he comes to political philosophy, towards which he had been gradually tending for some time, primary societies, or those of families, leading the way to the consider ation of civil government. Grotius derives the origin of this from the natoral sociableness of mankind But this, as Puf fendorf remarks, may be satisfied by the primary societies. The real cause was experience of the injuries which one man can inflict on another . And, after n prolix disquisition, he concludes that civil society must have been constituted, first, by a covenant of a number of men, each with each, to form o commonwealth, and to be bound by the majority, in which primary covenant they must be unanimous, that is, every dissentient would retain lus initiral liberty; next, by a resolution or decree of the minjority, that certain rulers shall govern the rest, and, lastly, by a second covenant between these rulers and the rest, one promising to take care of the nublic weal, and the other to obey lawful commands † This covenant as he attempts to show, exists oven in a decreeracy, though it is less evident than in other forms. Hobbes had admitted the first of these covenants, but denied the second, Bar beyrac, the able commentator on Puffeudorf, has done exactly the reverse A state once formed may be conceived to exist as one person, with a single will, represented by that of the sovereign, wherever the sovereignty may be placed This sovereignty is founded on the covenants, and is not conferred.

except indirectly like every other human power, by God. Puffendorf here combats the opposite opinion, which churchmen were as prone to hold, it seems, in Germany as in England.*

67. The legislative, punitive, and judiciary powers, those of making war and peace, of appointing magistrates, and levying taxes, are so closely connected that no one can be demed to the sovereign. As to his right in ecclesiastical matters, Puffendorf leaves it for others to determine. I He seems in this part of the work too favourable to unlimited monarchy, declaring himself against a mixed government. The sovereign power must be presponsible, and cannot be bound by the law which itself has given. He even demes that all government is intended for the good of the governed—a position strangely inconsistent with his theory of a covenant—but he contends that, if it were, this end, the public good, may be more probably discerned by the prince than by the people.‡ Yet he admits that the exorbitances of a prince should be restrained by certain fundamental laws, and holds, that having accepted such, and ratified them by oath, he as not at liberty to break them. he is not at liberty to break them, arguing, with some apparent inconsistency, against those who maintain such limitations to be inconsistent with monarchy, and even recommending the institution of councils, without whose consent certain acts of the sovereign shall not be valid. This can only be reconciled with his former declaration against a mixed sovereignty, by the distinction familiar to our own constitutional lawyers, between the joint acts of A. and B., and the acts of A. with B.'s consent. But this is a little too technical and unreal for philosophical politics. Governments not reducible to one of the three simple forms he calls irregular, such as the Roman republic or German empire. But there may be systems of states, or aggregate communi-ties, either subject to one king by different titles, or united by federation. He inclines to deny that the majority can bind the minority in the latter case, and seems to take it for granted that some of the confederates can quit the league at

68. Sovereignty over persons cannot be acquired, strictly

* C 3

† C 4

‡ C 6

§ C 5

speaking, by seizure or occupation, as in the case of lands, und requires, even ufter couquest, their consent to obey, which will be given, in order to secure themselves from the other rights of war. It is a problem whether, ofter in unjust conquest, the forced consent of the people can give a lawful title to sovereignty. Puffendorf distinguishes between a monarchy and or republic thus unjustly subdited. In the former case, so long as the lawful heirs exist or preserve their clum, the duty of restitution continues. But in the lutter, as the people may live as happily under a monorchy as under a republic, he thinks that an usurper has only to treat them well, without scruple as to his title. If he oppresses them, no course of years will make his title lawful, or bind them in conscience to obey, length of possession being only length of mjury. If a sovereign has been justly divested of his power, the commannty becomes immediately free, but if by mjust rebellion his right continues till by silence he has appeared to abandom it.*

69 Every one will agree that a lawful ruler must not be opposed within the limits of his authority. But let us put the case that he should command what is unlowful or inal treat his subjects. Whatever Hobbes may say a subject may be injured by his sovereign. But we should bear minor injuries patiently, and in the worst cases avoid personal resistance. Those are not to be listened to who assert that a king, degenerating into a tyrant, may be resisted and punished by his people. He udmits only a right of self-defence, if he manifestly becomes a public enemy in all this he seems to go quito as far as Grotius hinself. The next question is as to the right of invaders and insurers to obedience. This, it will be observed, he had already in some measure discussed; but Paffondorf is neither strict in method, nor free from repetitions. He inhours much about the rights of the lawful prince insisting upon them, where the subjects have promised allegrance to the usingper. Thus, he thinks, must be deemed temporary until the legitimate sovereign has recovered his dominious. But what may be done towards promoting this end by such as have sworn fidelity to the actual ruler, he does not intimute, if

70. Civil laws are such as emanate from the supreme power, with respect to things left indifferent by the laws of God and nature. What chiefly belongs to them is the form and method of acquiring rights or obtaining redress for wrongs. If we give the law of nature all that belongs to it, and take away from the civilians what they have hither to engrossed and promiscuously treated, we shall bring the civil law to a much narrower compass; not to say that at present whenever the latter is deficient we must have recourse to the law of nature, and that therefore in all commonwealths the natural laws supply the defects of the civil.* He argues against Hobbes's tenet that the civil law cannot be contrary to the law of nature; and that what shall be deemed theft, murder, or adultery, depends on the former. The subject is bound generally not to obey the unjust commands of his sovereign, but in the case of war he thinks it, on the whole, safest, considering the usual difficulties of such questions, that the subject should serve, and throw the responsibility before God on the prince.† In this problem of casuistry, common usage is wholly against the stricter theory.

71. Punishment may be defined an evil inflicted by authorized.

71. Punishment may be defined an evil inflicted by authority upon view of antecedent transgression.‡ Hence exclusion, on political grounds, from public office, or separation of the sick for the sake of the healthy, is not punishment. It does not belong to distributive justice, nor is the magistrate bound to apportion it to the malignity of the offence, though this is usual. Superior authority is necessary to punishment; and he differs from Grotius by denying that we have a right to avenge the injuries of those who have no claim upon us. Punishment ought never to be inflicted without the prospect of some advantage from it, either the correction of the offender, or the prevention of his repeating the offence. But example he seems not to think a direct end of punishment, though it should be regarded in its infliction. It is not necessary that all offences which the law denounces should be actually punished, though some jurists have questioned the right of pardon. Punishments ought to be measured according to the object of the crime, the injury to the commonwealth,

und the malice of the delinquent. Hence offences against God should be deemed most criminal, and next, such as disturb the state, then whatover affect life, the pence or honour of families, private property or reputation, following the scale of the Decalogue. But though all crimes do not require equal severity, an exact proportion of penalties is not required Most of this chapter exhibits the vacillating, indistinct, and almost self-contradictory resolutions of difficulties so frequent in Paffendorf Ho concludes by establishing a great truth, that no men can be justly punished for the offence of onother, not even a community for the nets of their forefuthers, not withstanding their fictations immortality.

72 After some chapters on the law of nations, Possend off concludes with discussing the cessation of subjection. This may ordinarily be by voluntarily removing to unother state with permission of the sovereign. And if no law or custom interferes, the subject has a right to do this in his discretion. The state has not a right to expel citizens with more offence. It loses all authority over a banished man He concludes by considering the rare case of so great a diminution of the people, as to raise a doubt of their political

identity †

78 The political portion of this large work is not, as will appear, very fertile in original or sagacious reflection. A greater degree of both, though by no means between the companied with a sound theory, distinguishes the Political Treatise of Spinosa, one which must not be coofcueded with the Theologico-political Treatise, a very different work. In this he undertakes to show how a state under in regal or oristocratic government ought to be constituted so as to seeme the tranquillity and freedom of the catazens. Whether Spinosa borrowed his theory on the origin of government from Hobbes, is perhaps hard to determine the seems inconnoted with the treatise De Cive, but the philosophical system of both was such as, in minds habituated like theirs to close reasonog, could not lead to any other result. Political theory, as Spinosa justly observes, is to be founded on our experience of homan kind as it is, and on no visionary

notions of an Utopia or golden age, and hence politicians of practical knowledge have written better on these subjects than philosophers. We must treat of men as hable to passions, prone more to revenge than to pity, eager to rule and to compel others to act like themselves, more pleased with having done harm to others than with procuring their own good. Hence no state wherein the public affairs are intrusted to any one's good faith can be secure of their due administration, but means should be devised that neither reason nor passion should induce those who govern to obstruct the public weal, it being indifferent by what motive men act if they can be brought to act for the common good.

74. Natural law is the same as natural power; it is that which the laws of nature, that is the order of the world, give to each individual. Nothing is forbidden by this law, except what no one desires, or what no one can perform. Thus no one is bound to keep the faith he has plighted any longer than he will, and than he judges it useful to himself; for he has not lost the power of breaking it, and power is right in natural law. But he may easily perceive that the power of one man in a state of nature is limited by that of all the rest, and in effect is reduced to nothing; all men being naturally enemies to each other, while, on the other hand, by uniting their force, and establishing bounds by common consent to the natural powers of each, it becomes really more effective than while it was unlimited. This is the principle of civil government, and now the distinctions of just and unjust, right and wrong, begin to appear.

75. The right of the supreme magnitate is nothing but

75. The right of the supreme magistrate is nothing but the collective rights of the citizens; that is, their powers. Neither he nor they in their natural state can do wrong, but after the institution of government, each citizen may do wrong by disobeying the magistrate; that, in fact, being the test of wrong. He has not to inquire whether the commands of the supreme power are just or unjust, prous or improve, that is, as to action, for the state has no jurisdiction over the state has no jurisdiction over the state has no jurisdiction.

tion over his judgment.

76. Two independent states are naturally enemies, and may make war on each other whenever they please. If they

make peace or alliance, it is no longer binding than the cause, that is, hope or fear in the contracting parties, shall endure. All this is founded on the universal law of nature, the desire of preserving onriselves, which, whiether men are conscious of it or no, animates all their actions. Spinosa in this, as in his other writings, is more fearless than Hobbes, and though he sometimes may threw a light veil over his alignment of moral and religious principle, it is frequently placed in a more prominent view than his English precursor in the same system had deemed it seeme to exhibit. Yet so slight is often the connexion between theoretical tenets and human practice, that Spicosa bore the character of a virtuous and benevolent man. We do not know, indeed, how for he was placed in circomstances to put his fidelity to the test. In this treatise of politics, especially in the broad assertion that good faith is only to be preserved so long as it is advantageous, he leaves Machiavel and Hobbes at some distance, and may be reckoned the most phlegimatically impudent of the whole school

77 The contract or fundamental laws, he proceeds, ac cording to which the mulattude transfers its right to a king or a senate, may unquestionably be broken, when it is independent on the property of the supermost of the whole to do so. But Spinosa denies to private citizens the right of judging concerning the public good in such a point, reserving, apparently, to the supreme magnetate an ultimate power of breaking the conditions appearable in the was chosen. Notwithstanding this dangerous of mission, he strongly protests against intrusting absolute power to any one man, and observes, in answer to the common argument of the stability of despotism, as in the instance of the Torkish monarchy, that if barbarism slavery and desolution are to be called peace, nothing can be more wretched than peace itself. Nor is this sole power of one man a thing so possible as we imagine, the kings who seem most despote trusting the public safety and their own to conoscilors and fevorities, often the worst and weakest in the state.

78 He next proceeds to his scheme of n well reguleted mooarchy, which is in some measors original and including logolous. The people are to be divided into families, by which he seems to mean something like the exempts.

of Attica. From each of these, counsellors, fifty years of age, are to be chosen by the king, succeeding in a rotation quinquennial, or less, so as to form a numerous senate. This assembly is to be consulted upon all public affairs, and the king is to be guided by its unanimous opinion. In case, however, of disagreement, the different propositions being laid before the king, he may choose that of the minority, provided at least one hundred counsellors have recommended it. The less remarkable provisions of this ideal polity it would be waste of time to mention; except that he advises that all the citizens should be armed as a militia, and that the principal towns should be fortified, and, consequently, as it seems, in their power. A monarchy thus constituted would probably not degenerate into the despotic form. Spinosa appeals to the ancient government of Aragon, as a proof of the possibility of carrying his theory into execution.

79. From this imaginary monarchy he comes to an aristocratical republic. In this he seems to have taken Venice, the idol of theoretical politicians, as his primary model, but with such deviations as affect the whole scheme of government. He objects to the supremacy of an elective doge, justly observing that the precautions adopted in the election of that magistrate show the danger of the office itself, which was rather retained in the aristocratical polity as an ancient institution than from any persuasion of its usefulness. the most remarkable discrepancy between the aristocracy of Spinosa and that of Venice is that his great council, which ought, as he strongly urges, not to consist of less than 5000, the greatness of its number being the only safeguard against the close oligarchy of a few families, is not to be hereditary, but its vacancies to be filled up by self-election. In this elec-tion, indeed, he considers the essence of aristocracy to consist, being, as is implied in its meaning, a government by the best, who can only be pronounced such by the choice of many. It is singular that he never adverts to popular representation, of which he must have known examples. Democracy, on the contrary, he defines to be a government where political power falls to men by chance of birth, or by some means which has rendered them citizens, and who can claim it as then right, without regard to the choice of others. And a

states

democracy, according to Spinosa, may exist, if the law should limit this privilege of power to the seniors in age, or to the elder branches of families, or to those who pay a certain amount in taxation, although the numbers enjoying it should be a smaller portion of the community than in an aristocracy of the form he has recommended. His treatise breaks off near the beginning of the chapters intended to delineate the best model of democracy, which he declares to be one wherein all persons, in their own power, and not infumous by crime, should have a share in the public government. I do not know that it can be inferred from the writings of Spinosa, nor is his euthority, perhaps, sufficient to render the question of any interest, to which of the three plans devised by him as the best in their respective forms, he would have ascribed the preference.

80 The condition of France under Lonis XIV was not very tempting to speculators on political theory. Whatever short remarks may be found in those excellent writers on other subjects who distinguish this period, we can select no one book that falls readily into this class. For Telemagne we must find another place. It is scarcely worth while to mention the political discourses on Tacitus, by Amelot de la Houssaye. These are a tedious and pedantic running commentary on Tacitus, affecting to deduce general principles, but much unlike the short and poignant observations of Machiavel and Bacon. A whole volume on the regu alone of Tiberius and printed at Paris, is not likely to repay a reader's trouble, at least I have found nothing in it above the common level. I have no acquaintance with the other political writings of Amelot de la Houssaye, one of those who thought they could make great

81 England, thrown at the commencement of this period upon the resources of her own invention to replace an ancient monarchy by something new, and rich at the time in reflecting as well as learned men, with an unahackled press, and a growing disdain of anthority as opposed to argument, was the natural soil of political theory. The earliest fruit was Sir Jemes Harrington's Oceana, pub-

discoveries by analysing the constitution of Venice and other

lished in 1656. This once famous hook is a political allegory, partly suggested, perhaps, by the Dodona's Grove of Howell, or by Barclay's Argems, and a few other fictions of the preceding age. His Oceana represents England, the listory of which is shadowed out with fictitions names. But this is preliminary to the great object, the scheme of a new commonwealth, which, under the anspices of Olphaus Megaletor, the Lord Archon, meaning, of course, Cromwell, not as he was, but as he ought to have been, the anthor feights to have been established. The various laws and constitutions of this polity occupy the whole work.

of this polity occupy the whole work.

82. The leading principle of Harrington is that power depends on property; denying the common saying, that knowledge or prince is power. But this property must be in land, "because, as to property producing empire, it is required that it should have some certain root or foot-hold, which except in land it cannot have, being otherwise, as it were, upon the wing. Nevertheless in such cities as subsist mostly by trade, and have little or no land, as Holland and Genoa, the balance of treasure may be equal to that of land "* The law fixing the balance of lands is called by him agrarian, and without an agrarian law, he holds that no government, whether monarchical, aristocratic, or popular, has any long duration; this is rather paradoxical, but his distribution of lands varies according to the form of the commonwealth. In one best constituted the possession of lands is limited to 2000% a year, which, of comise, in his time was a much greater estate than at present

83. Harrington's general scheme of a good government is one "established upon an equal agrarian arising into the superstructure, or three orders, the senate debating and proposing, the people resolving, and the magistracy executing by an equal rotation through the suffrage of the people given by the ballot." His more particular form of polity, devised for his Oceana, it would be tedious to give in detail: the result is a moderate aristocracy, property, though under the control of his agrarian, which prevents its excess, having so great a share in the elections that it must predominate. But it

is an aristocracy of what we should call the middle ranks, and might not be unfit for n small state. In general it may be said of Harrington that he is prolix, dall, pedantic, yet seldom profound, but sometimes redeems himself by just observations. Like most theoretical politicians of that age be had an excessive admiration for the republic of Venic the His other political writings are in the same spirit as the Oceana, but still less interesting

84 The manly republicanism of Harrington, though somecomparison with a very opposite theory which have the resulting been countenanced in the early part of the century by our clergy, revived with additional favour after the Restoration This was maintained in the Patriarcha of Sir Robert Filmer, written, as it appears, in the reign of Charles I hat not royal prerogative were as well received by one party as the were indignantly rejected by another The object, as the anthor declares, was to prove that the first kings were fathers of families, that it is onnatural for the people to govern or to choose governors, that positive laws do not infringe the na toral and fatherly power of kings. He refers the teoet of natural liberty and the popular origin of government to the schoolmen allowing that all papiets and the reformed divines have imbibed it, but denying that it is found in the fathers. He seems, bowever, to claim the credit of an original hypothesis, those who have vindicated the rights of kings in most points not having thought of this but with one consent nd mitted the natural liberty and equality of mankind. It is ment as the basis of actual right was laid down as explicitly as by bimself in what is called Bishop Overall's Convocation Book at the beginning of the reign of James I But this book had not been published when Filmer wrote His argu ments are singularly insufficient, he quotes nothing but a few, irrelevant texts from Genesis, he seems not to have known

[&]quot;If I be worthy to give advice to total that adding the difference that is in a mean that would study pointer, let him every policy right of any government understand Venice; be that understands in the world. Harrington's Works, Venice right, shall go nearrow to judge u. 192.

at all the strength, whatever it may be, of his own case, and it is hardly possible to find a more trifling and feeble work. It had however the advantage of opportunity to be received

by a party with approbation.

S5. Algernon Sidney was the first who devoted his time to a refutation of this patriarchal theory, propounded as it was, not as a plausible hypothesis to explain the origin of civil communities, but as a paramount title, by virtue of which all actual sovereigns, who were not manifest usurpers, were to reign with an unmitigated despotism. Sidney's Discourses on Government, not published till 1698, are a diffuse reply to Filmer. They contain indeed many chapters full of historical learning and judicious reflection; yet the constant anxiety to refute that which needs no refutation renders them a little tedious. Sidney does not condemn a limited monarchy like the English, but his partiality is for a form of republic which would be deemed too aristocratical for our popular theories.

86. Locke, immediately after the Revolution, attacked the Patriarcha with more brevity, and laid down his own celebrated theory of government. The fundamental principle of Filmer is, that paternal authority is naturally absolute. Adam received it from God, exercised it over his own children, and transmitted it to the eldest born This assumption Locke combats rather too diffusely according to our notions. Filmer had not only to show this absolute monarchy of a lineal ancestor, but his power of transmitting it in course of primogeniture. Locke denies that there is any natural right of this kind, maintaining the equality of children. The incapacity of Filmer renders his Locke, as will be seen, acknowdiscomfiture not difficult. ledges a certain de facto authority in fathers of families, and possibly he might have found, as indeed he seems to admit, considerable traces of a legard to primogeniture in the early ages of the world. It is the question of natural right with which he is here concerned; and as no proof of this had been offered, he had nothing to answer.

87. In the second part of Locke's Treatise on Civil Government, he proceeds to lay down what he holds to be the true principles upon which society is founded. A state of

nature is a state of perfect freedom and equality, but within the bounds of the law of nature, which obliges every one, and renders n state of liberty no state of licence. And the exe cution of this law, in such a state, is put into every one s hands, so that he may punish trangressors ugainst it, not merely by way of reparation for his own wrongs, but for those of others "Every offence that can be committed in the state of nature may, in the state of nature, be punished equally, and as far forth, as it may in a commonwealth," And not only independent communities, but all men, as he thinks, till they voluntarily enter into some society, are in a state of nature *

88 Whoever declares by word or action a settled design against mother's life, puts himself in a state of war against him, and exposes his own life to be taken away, either by the other party, or by any one who shall espouse his cause. And he who endeavours to obtain absolute power over an other, may be construed to have a design ou his life, or at least to take away his property Where laws prevail, they most determine the punishment of those who injore others, but if the law is silenced, it is hard to think bot that the uppeal to Heaven returns, and the aggressor may be treated as ona in n sinte of war f

89 Natural liberty is freedom from any superior power except the law of nature Civil liberty is freedom from the dominion of any authority except that which a legislature, established by consent of the commonwealth, shall confirm No man, according to Locke, can by his own consent enslavo himself, or give power to mother to take away his life. For slavery, in a strict sense, is but a continuance of the state of

war between a conqueror and his captive.\$

90 The excellent chapter on property which follows would be sufficient, if all Locke's other writings had perished to leave him nehigh name in philosophy. Nothing can be more luminous than his deduction of the natoral right of property from labour, not merely in gathering the fruits of the earth, or catching wild animals, but in the cultivation of land, for which occupancy is but the preliminary, and gives as it

were an inchaate title. "As much land as a man tills, plants, improves, cultivates, and can use the product of, so much is improves, cultivates, and can use the product of, so much is his property. He by his labour does, as it were, inclose it from the common." Whatever is beyond the scanty limits of individual or family labour, has been appropriated under the authority of civil society. But labour is the primary basis of natural right. Nor can it be thought increasonable that labour should confer an exclusive right, when it is remembered how much of every thing's value depends upon labour alone. "Whatever bread is more worth than acords, wine than water, and cloth or silk than leaves, skins, or moss, that is wholly owing to labour and industry." The supemonty in good sense and satisfactory elucidation of his principle, which Locke has mamfested in this important chapter over Grotius and Puffendorf, will strike those who consult those writers, or look at the brief sketch of their theories in the foregoing pages It is no less contrasted with the puerile rant of Rousseau against all territorial property. That property owes its origin to occupancy accompanied with labour, is now generally admitted, the care of cattle being of course to be considered as one species of labour, and requiring at least a temporary ownership of the soil."

91 Locke, after acutely remarking that the common arguments for the power of a father over his children would extend equally to the mother, so that it should be called parental power, reverts to the train of reasoning in the first book of this treatise against the regal authority of fathers. What they possess is not derived from generation, but from the care they necessarily take of the infant child, and during his minority; the power then terminates, though reverence, support, and even compliance are still due. Children are also held in subordination to their parents by the institutions of property, which commonly make them dependent both as to maintenance and succession. But Locke, which is worthy to be remarked, inclines to derive the origin of civil government from the patriarchal authority, one not strictly coercive, yet voluntarily conceded by habit and family consent. Thus the natural fathers of families, by an insensible change, be-

came the poline monarchs of them too, and as they chanced to live long, and leave worthy and able hers for several successions or otherwise, so they laid the foundations of liere-

ditary or elective kingdoms "*

92 The necessity that men should not live clone, produced the primary society of bushand end wife, parent and children, to which that of master and servant was early added, whether of freemen engaging their service for hire, or of slaves taken in just war, who are by the right of nature sabject to the absolute domicioe of the captor Such a family may sometimes resemble a little commonwealth by its numbers, but is essentially distinct from one, because its chief has no imperial power of life and death except over his slaves, nature having given him nooe over his children, though all men have a right to punish breaches of the law of nature in others according to the offcoce Bot this netural power they quit aed reagn 10to the hands of the community, when civil society is restituted, and it is in this union of the several rights of its members that the legislative right of the commonwealth con sists, whether this be done by general consect at the first formation of government, or by the odhesion which any iedi vidnal may give to eee already established. By either of these ways mee pass from a state of nature to occ of political society, the magistrate having now that power to redress tojuries, which had previously been each man's right. Hence absolute monarchy, to Locke's opinion, is no form of civil government, for there being no common withouty to upped to, the sovereign is still in a state of natore with regard to his anbjects.†

98 A community is formed by the unanimous consent of any body of men, but when thus become one body, the determination of the majority must bind the rest, olse it would not be one. Unanimity, after a community is once formed, can no longer be required, but this consent of men to form a civil society is that which alone did or could give beginning to my lawful government in the world. It is alle to object that we have no records of such an event, for few commonwealths preserve the tradition of their own infancy; and whatever we

P F

C. a.

+ C.7

do know of the origin of particular states gives indications of this mode of union. Yet he again inclines to deduce the usual origin of civil societies from imitation of patriarchal authority, which having been recognised by each family in the arbitration of disputes and even punishment of offences, was transferred with more readiness to some one person, as the father and representative head of the infant community. He even admits that this authority might tacitly devolve upon the eldest son. Thus the first governments were monarchies, and those with no express limitations of power, till exposure of its abuse gave occasion to social laws, or to co-ordinate authority. In all this he follows Hooker, from the first book of whose Ecclesiastical Polity he quotes largely in his notes.*

- whose Ecclesiastical Polity he quotes largely in his notes.*

 94. A difficulty commonly raised against the theory of compact is, that all men being born under some government, they cannot be at liberty to erect a new one, or even to make choice whether they will obey or no. This objection Locke does not meet, like Hooker and the jurists, by supposing the agreement of a distant ancestor to oblige all his posterity. But explicitly acknowledging that nothing can bind freemen to obey any government save their own consent, he rests the evidence of a tacit consent on the enjoyment of land, or even on mere residence within the dominions of the community; every man being at liberty to relinquish his possessions, or change his residence, and either incorporate himself with another commonwealth, or, if he can find an opportunity, set up for himself in some unoccupied part of the world. But nothing can make a man irrevocably a member of one society, except his own voluntary declaration; such perhaps as the oath of allegiance, which Locke does not mention, ought to be reckoned.†
- 95. The majority having, in the first constitution of a state, the whole power, may retain it themselves, or delegate it to one or more persons. ‡ And the supreme power is, in other words, the legislature, sacred and unalterable in the hands where the community have once placed it, without which no law can exist, and in which all obedience terminates. Yet this legislative authority itself is not absolute or arbitrary over

the lives and fortunes of its subjects. It is the joint power of individuals surrendered to the state, but no man has power over his own life or his neighbour's property. The laws enacted by the legislature must be conformable to the will of God, or natural justice. Nor can it take any part of the subject's property without his own consent, or that of the majority. "For if ony one shall claim a power to lay and levy taxes on the people by his own nonthority, and without such consent of the people, he thereby invades the fundamental law of property, and subverts the end of government. For what property have I in that which mother may by right take, when he pleases, to lumself?" Lastly, the legislative power is inalienable, being but delegated from the people, it cannot be transferred to others. This is the part of Locko's treatise which has been open to most objection, and which in some measure seems to charge with usurpation all the established governments of Europe. It has been a theory fertile of great revolutions, and perhaps pregnant with more. In some part of this chapter also, though by no means in the onward his more lardy discaple.

96 Though the legislative power is alone supreme in the constitution, it is yet subject to the people themselves, who may alter it whenever they find that it acts against the trust reposed in it; all power given in trust for n particular end being evidently forfeited when that end is manifestly disregarded or obstructed. But while the government subsists the legislature is alone sovereign, though it may be the usage to call a single executive magnistrate sovereign, if he has also a share in legislation. Where this is not the case, the nppel lation is plauly improper. Locke has in this chapter a romarkable passage, one perhaps of the first declarations in favour of a change in the electoral system of England. "To what gross absurdates the following of custom, when reason has left it, may lead, we may be satisfied when we see the bare name of a town, of which there remains not so much as the ruins, where scarce so much honsing as a sheep-cote, or more inhabitants than in shepherd is to be found, send as

many representatives to the grand assembly of law-makers as a whole county, numerous in people, and powerful in tiches. This strangers stand amazed at, and every one must confess needs a remedy, though most think it hard to find one, because the constitution of the legislative being the original and supreme act of the society, antecedent to all positive laws in it, and depending wholly on the people, no inferior power can alter it." But Locke is less timid about a remedy, and suggests that the executive magistrate might regulate the number of representatives, not according to old custom but reason, which is not setting up a new legislature, but restoring an old one. "Whatsoever shall be done manifestly for the good of the people and the establishing the government on its true foundation, is, and always will be, just prerogative ";" a maxim of too dangerous latitude for a constitutional monarchy.

97. Prerogative he defines to be "a power of acting according to discretion for the public good without the pre-

97. Prerogative he defines to be "a power of acting according to discretion for the public good without the prescription of the law, and sometimes even against it." This, however, is not by any means a good definition in the eyes of a lawyer; and the word, being merely technical, ought not to have been employed in so partial if not so incorrect a sense. Nor is it very precise to say, that in England the prerogative was always largest in the hands of our wisest and best princes, not only because the fact is otherwise, but because he confounds the legal prerogative with its actual exercise. This chapter is the most loosely reasoned of any in the treatise.†

founds the legal prerogative with its actual exercise. This chapter is the most loosely reasoned of any in the treatise.†

98. Conquest, in an unjust war, can give no right at all, unless robbers and pirates may acquire a right. Nor is any one bound by promises which unjust force extorts from him. If we are not strong enough to resist, we have no remedy save patience; but our children may appeal to Heaven, and repeat their appeals till they recover their ancestral rights, which was to be governed by such a legislation as themselves approve. He that appeals to Heaven must be sure that he has right on his side, and right too that is worth the trouble and cost of his appeal, as he will answer at a tribunal that cannot be deceived. Even just conquest gives no further right than to reparation of injury; and the posterity of the

vanquished, he seems to hold, can forfeit nothing by their parent's offence, so that they have always a right to throw off the yoke. The title of prescription, which has commonly been admitted to silence the complaints, if not to heal the wounds, of the injured, finds no fuvour with Locke. But hence it seems to follow that no state composed, as most have been, out of the spoils of conquest, can exercise a legitimate unthority over the latest posterity of these it has incorporated Wales, for instance, has an eternal right to shake off the yoke of England, for what Locke says of consent to laws by representatives, is of little weight when these must be out numbered in the general legislature of both countries, and indeed the first question for the Cambro-Britons would be to determine whether they would form part of such a common

legislation

99 Usurpation, which is a kind of domestic conquest, gives no more right to obedience than unjust war , it is necessary that the people should both be at liberty to consent, and have actually consented to allow and confirm a power which the constitution of their commonwealth does not recognise † But tyranny may exist without usurpation, whenever the power reposed in any one's hands for the people's benefit is abused to their impoverishment or slavery. Force may never be opposed but to aujust and unlawful force, in any other case it is condemued before God und man Tho king's person is in some countries sacred by law, but this, as Locke thinks, does not extend to the case where, by patting himself in u state of war with his people, he dissolves the government. A prince dissolves the government by ruling against law, by hindering the regular assembly of the legislature, by changing the form of election, or by rendering the people subject to a foreign power Ho dissolves it also by neglecting or abandoning it, so that the laws cannot be put into exe cution The government is also dissolved by breach of trust in other the legislature or the prince, by the former when it nsurps an arbitrary power over the lives, liberties, and fortunes of the subject, by the latter, when he endeavours to corrupt the representatives or to influence the choice of the

electors. If it be objected that no government will be able long to subsist, if the people may set up a new legislature whenever they take offence at the old one, he replies that mankind are too slow and averse to quit their old institutions for this danger to be apprehended. Much will be endured from rulers without mutiny or murmur. Nor is any thing more likely to restrain governments than this doctrine of the right of resistance. It is as reasonable to tell men they should not defend themselves against robbers, because it may occasion disorder, as to use the same argument for passive obedience to illegal dominion. And he observes, after quoting some other writers, that Hooker alone might be enough to satisfy those who rely on him for their ecclesiastical polity.*

100. Such is, in substance, the celebrated treatise of Locke on civil government, which, with the favour of political circumstances, and the authority of his name, became the creed of a numerous party at home; while silently spreading the fibres from its root over Europe and America, it prepared the way for theories of political society, hardly bolder in their announcement, but expressed with more passionate ardour, from which the great revolutions of the last and present age have sprung. But as we do not launch our bark upon a stormy sea, we shall merely observe that neither the Revolution of 1688, nor the administration of William III., could have borne the test by which Locke has tried the legitimacy of government. There which Locke has tried the legitimacy of government. There was certainly no appeal to the people in the former, nor would it have been convenient for the latter to have had the would it have been convenient for the latter to have nad the maxim established, that an attempt to corrupt the legislature entails a forfeiture of the entrusted power. Whether the opinion of Locke, that mankind are slow to political change, be conformable to an enlarged experience, must be judged by every one according to his reading and observation; it is at least very different from that which Hooker, to whom he defers so greatly in most of his doctrine, has uttered in the very first sentence of his Ecclesiastical Polity. For my own part I must confess, that in these latter chapters of Locke on

Government I see, what sometimes appears in his other writings, that the influence of temporary circumstances on a mind a little too susceptible of passion and resentment, had prevented that calm and patient examination of all the bearings of this extensive subject which true philosophy requires.

101 But whatever may be oor judgment of this work, it is equally true that it opened a new era of political opinion in Europe. The earlier writings on the side of popular sove reignty, whether those of Buchanan and Languet, of the Jesuits, or of the English republicans, had been either too closely dependent on temporary circumstances, or too moch bound up with odious and unsuccessful factions, to sink very deep into the hearts of monkind Their odversaries, with the countenance of every government on their side, kept possession of the field, and nu later jurist, nor theologian, nor philosopher on the Continent, while they generally followed their predecessors in deriving the unign of civil society from compact, ventured to meet the delicate problem of resistance to tyranny, or of the right to reform a constitution, except in the most enotions and iodeficite language We have seen this already to Grotius and Poffendorf But the soccess of the English Revolution, the necessity which the powers allied against France found of maintaining the title of William, the peculiar juterest of Holland and Hanuver, states at that time very strong in the literary world, to our new scheme of government, gave a weight and anthurity to principles which, without some such application, it might still have been . thought seditions to propound Locke too, long an exile 10 Holland, was intimate with Le Clerc, who exerted a consider able influence over the Protestant part of Europe Barbeyrnc, some time afterwards, trod nearly in the same steps, and without going all the lengths of Locke, did not fail to take u very different tone from the two ulder writers upon whom he has commented

102 It was very natural that the French Protestints, among whom traditions of a turn of thinking not arrest the most favoorable to kings may have been preserved, should, in the hour of severe persecution, muting in words and writings against the despotism that oppressed them Such, it appears, had been the language of F ¥ 4

those exiles, as it is of all exiles, when an anonymous tract, entitled Avis aux Refugiéz, was published with the date of Amsterdam in 1690. This, under pretext of giving advice, in the event of their being permitted to return home, that they should get rid of their spirit of satire, and of their republican theories, is a bitter and able attack on those who had taken refuge in Holland. It asserts the principle of passive obedience, extolling also the King of France and his government, and censuring the English Revolution. Public rumour ascribed this to Bayle; it has usually passed for his, and is even inserted in the collection of his miscellaneous works. Some, however, have ascribed it to Pelisson, and others to Larroque; one already, and the other soon after, proselytes to the church of Rome. Basnage thought it written by the latter, and published by Bayle, to whom he ascribed the preface. This is apparently in a totally opposite strain, but not without strong suspicion of irony or ill faith. The style and manner of the whole appear to suggest Bayle; and though the supposition is very discreditable to his memory, the weight of presumption seems much to incline that way.

103. The separation of political economy from the general science which regards the well-being of communities, was not so strictly made by the earlier philosophers as in modern times. It does not follow that national wealth engaged none of their attention. Few, on the contrary, of those who have taken comprehensive views could have failed to regard it. In Bodin, Botero, Bacon, Hobbes, Puffendorf, we have already seen proofs of this. These may be said to have discussed the subject, not systematically, nor always with thorough knowledge, but with acuteness and in a philosophical tone. Others there were of a more limited range, whose habits of life and experience led them to particular departments of economical inquiry, especially as to commerce, the precious metals, and the laws affecting them. The Italians led the way, Seria has been mentioned in the last period, and a few more might find a place in this. De Witt's Interest of Holland can hardly be reckoned among economical writings; and it is said by Morhof, that the Dutch were not fond of promulgating them

commercial knowledge ., little at least was contributed from that cocotry, oven at o later period, towards the theory of becoming rich
new hteratore.

Tree, inquisitive, thriving rapidly 10 com merce, so that her progress even in the moeteenth centery has hardly been in a greater ratio than before and after the middle of the seventeenth, if we may trust the statements of cootemporaries, sho produced some writers who, though few of them ment the name of philosophers, moy yet not here be overlooked, on occount of their influence, their repot ation, or their position as lioks in the chain of science

104 The first of these was Thomas Mun, an totelligent merchant to the earlier part of the centors, whose posthumous treatise, England a Treasure by Fareign France, was poblished to 1664, bot seems to have

been written soon after the accession of Charles I † Mon is generally reckoood the feender of what has been called the mercantile system. His main position is that "the ordioary menos to increase our wealth and treasure is by foreign trade, whereio we most ever observe this rule to sell more to stran gers yearly than we consume of theirs in value ' Wo must therefore sell as cheap as possible, it was by ooderselling the Venetians of late years, that we had exported o great deal of cloth to Turkey § It is singular that Minn should not have perceived the difficulty of selling very cheap the productions of a country's labour, whose gold and silver were to great abundance. He was, however, too good o merchant not to ocknowledge the inefficacy and impolicy of restraining by law the exportation of coin, which is often o means of increasing our treasure in the long run, advising instead o due regard to the balance of trade, or general surples of experted goods, by which we shall refailably obtain a stock of gold ood silver These notions have long since been covered with ridicule, and it is plane that, in n merely economical view, they most always be delusive. Mon, however, looked to the necomo lation of o portion of this imported treasure by the state, o

Polyhlator, part III. lib. lil. § 8. † Mr McCulloch says (Introductory Discourse to Smith's Wealth of Nations) it had most probably been written about

¹⁶⁵⁵ or 1640. I remarked some things which serve to carry it up a little bigher i P 11 (edit 1661). 5 P 16.

resource in critical emergencies which we have now learned to despise, since others have been at hand, but which in reality had made a great difference in the events of war, and changed the balance of power between many commonwealths.

changed the balance of power between many commonwealths.

Mun was followed, about 1670, by Sir Josiah Child, in a discourse on Trade, written on the same principles of the mercantile system, but more copious and varied. The chief aim of Child is to effect a reduction of the legal interest of money from six to four per cent., drawing an erroneous inference from the increase of wealth which had followed similar enactments.

105. Among the many difficulties with which the government of William III. had to contend, one of the most embarrassing was the scarcity of the precious metals and depreciated condition of the coin. This opened the whole field of controversy in that province of political economy; and the bold spirit of inquiry, unshackled by pre-judice in favour of ancient custom, which in all respects was characteristic of that age, began to work by reasonings on general theorems, instead of collecting insulated and inconclusive details. Locke stood forward on this, as on so many subjects, with his masculine sense and habitual closeness of thinking. His "Considerations of the Consequences of lowering Interest, and raising the Value of Money" were published in 1691. Two further treatises are in answer to the pamphlets of Lowndes. These economical writings of Locke are not in all points conformable to the modern principles of the science. He seems to incline rather too much towards the mercantile theory, and to lay too much stress on the possession of the precious metals. From his excellent sense, however, as well as from some expressions, I should conceive that he only considers them, as they doubtless are, a portion of the exchangeable wealth of the nation, and by their inconsumable nature, as well as by the constancy of the demand for them, one of the most important. "Riches do not consist," he says, "in having more gold and silver, but in having more in proportion than the rest of the world or than our neighbours, whereby we are enabled to procure to ourselves a greater plenty of the conveniences of life."

106. Locke had the sagacity to perceive the impossibility

of regulating the interest of money by law. It was an empirical proposition at that time, as we have just seen in Sir Josiah Child, to render leaus more easy to the borrower by reducing the legal rate to four per cent. The whole drift of his reasoning is against only limitation, though from fear of appearing too paradoxical, he does not arrive at that inference. For the reasons he gives in fovour of a legal limit of interest, namely, that courts of law may have some rule where nothing is stipulated in the contract, and that a few money lenders in the metropolis may not have the monopoly of all loans in Eugland, ure, especially the first, so trifling, that he could not have relied upon them; and indeed he udmits that, in other carcumstances, there would be no donger from the second. But his prudence howing restrained him from speaking out, a famons writer almost a century afterwards came forward to assert o paradox, which he loved the better for seeming such, and finally to convince the thinking part of mankind

107 Laws fixing the value of silver Locke perceived to be nugatory, and is averse to probibit its exportation value of money, he maintaios, does not depend on the rate of interest, but on its plenty relatively to commodities. Hence the rate of interest, he thinks, but perhaps erroncously, does not govern the price of land, arguing from the lugher rate of lond relatively to money, that is, the worse interest it gave, in the reigns of Elizabeth and Jumes, than in his own time But one of Locke's positions, if generally received, would alone have sufficed to lower the value of land. "It is in vam," he says, "in a country whose great fued is land, to hope to lay the public charges of the government on ony thing else, there ut last it will terminate." The legislaturo soon proceeded to act ou this mistaken theory in the ununal land tax, an impost of tremendous severity at that time, tho gross unfarmess, howover, of which has been compensated in later times by the taxes on personal succession

108 In such a monetary crisis as that of his time, Locko was usturally obliged to consider the usual resource of raising the denomination of the coin This, he truly says, would to rob all creditors of such a proportion of their debts It is probable, that his influence, which was very considerable.

may have put a stop to the scheme. He contends in his Further Considerations, in answer to a tract by Lowndes, that clipped money should go only by weight. This seems to have been agreed by both parties; but Lowndes thought the loss should be defrayed by a tax; Locke that it should fall on the holders. Honourably for the government, the former opinion prevailed.

109. The Italians were the first who laid any thing like a foundation for statistics or political arithmetic; that which is to the political economist what general history is to the philosopher. But their numerical reckonings of population, houses, value of lands or stock, and the like, though very curious, and sometimes taken from public documents, were not always more than conjectural, nor are they so full and minute as the spirit of calculation demands. England here again took the lead, in Graunt's Observations on the Bills of Mortality, 1661, in Petty's Political Arithmetic (posthumous in 1691), and other treatises of the same ingenious and philosophical person, and we may add in the Observations of Gregory King on the Natural and Political State of England; for though these were not published till near the end of the eighteenth century, the manuscripts had fallen into the hands of Dr. Charles Davenant, who has made extracts from them in his own valuable contributions to political arithmetic. King seems to have possessed a sagacity which has sometimes brought his conjectures nearer to the mark, than from the imperfection of his data it was reasonable to expect. Yet he supposes that the population of England, which he estimated, perhaps rightly, at five millions and a half, would not reach the double of that number before A.D. 2300. Sir William Petty, with a mind capable of just and novel theories, was struck by the necessary consequences of an uniformly progressive population. Though the rate of movement seemed to him, as in truth it then was, much slower than we have latterly found it, he clearly saw that its continuance would in an ascertainable length of time overload the world. "And then according to the prediction of the Scriptures there must be wais and great slaughter." He conceived that in the ordinary course of things, the population of a country would be doubled in two hundred

years, but the whole conditions of the problem were far less understood than ut present. Davenant's Essay on Ways and Means, 1693, gained him a high reputation, which he en deavoured to ungment by many subsequent works, some falling within the seventeenth century. He was a min of more enlarged reading than his predecessors, with the exception of Petty, and of close attention to the statistical documents which were now more copiously published than before, but he seldom launches into any extensive theory, confining himself rather to the accumulation of facts and to the immediate inferences, generally for temporary purposes, which they supplied.

Sect III - On Junisprudence

110 In 1667, a short book was published at Frankfort, by a young man of twenty two years, entitled Methodi Novie discende docendaque Jurisprudentia. The Medical as science which of all had been deemed to require the most protracted labour, the ripest judgment, the most experienced discrimination, was, as it were, invaded by a boy, but hy one who had the genius of nu Alexander, and for whom the glories of an Alexander were reserved. This is the first production of Leibniz, and it is probably in many points of view the most remarkable work that has prematurely nuited. erudition and solidity. We admire in it the vast range of learning, (for though he could not have read all the books he names, there is evidence of his acquaintance with a great number, and at least with a well filled chart of literature,) the originality of some ideas, the commanding and comprehensive views he embraces, the philosophical spirit, the compressed style in which it is written, the entire obsence of juvenility, of ostentatious paradox , of imagination, ardour,

I use the spithet estantations, be-cause some of his original theories are a immertality of the soul; the living beirs notion that the right of bequeathing pro- we suppose to be dead. Quie morth

little paradoxical; thus he has a singular being as it were the attorneys of those

may have put a stop to the scheme. He contends in his Further Considerations, in answer to a tract by Lowndes, that clipped money should go only by weight. This seems to have been agreed by both parties; but Lowndes thought the loss should be defrayed by a tax; Locke that it should fall on the holders. Honourably for the government, the former opinion prevailed.

109. The Italians were the first who laid any thing like a foundation for statistics or political arithmetic; that which is to the political economist what general history is to the philosopher. But their numerical reckonings of population, houses, value of lands or stock, and the like, though very curious, and sometimes taken from public documents, were not always more than conjectural, nor are they so full and minute as the spirit of calculation demands. England here again took the lead, in Graunt's Observations on the Bills of Mortality, 1661, in Petty's Political Arithmetic (posthumous in 1691), and other treatises of the same ingenious and philosophical person, and we may add in the Observations of Gregory King on the Natural and Political State of England; for though these were not published till near the end of the eighteenth century, the manuscripts had fallen into the hands of Dr. Charles Davenant, who has made extracts from them in his own valuable contributions to political arithmetic. King seems to have possessed a sagacity which has sometimes brought his conjectures nearer to the mark, than from the imperfection of his data it was reasonable to expect. Yet he supposes that the population of England, which he estimated, perhaps rightly, at five millions and a half, would not reach the double of that number before A.D. 2300. Sir William Petty, with a mind capable of just and novel theories, was struck by the necessary consequences of an uniformly progressive population. Though the rate of movement seemed to him, as in truth it then was, much slower than we have latterly found it, he clearly saw that its continuance would in an ascertainable length of time overload the world. "And then according to the prediction of the Scriptures there must be wars and great slaughter." He conceived that in the ordinary course of things, the population of a country would be doubled in two hundred



and enthusiasm, which, though Leibnitz did not always want them, would have been wholly misplaced on such a subject. Faults have been censured in this early performance, and the author declared himself afterwards dissatisfied with it.*

- 111. Leibnitz was a passionate admirer of the Roman jurisprudence; he held the great lawyers of antiquity second only to the best geometers for strong, and subtle, and profound reasoning; not even acknowledging, to any considerable degree, the contradictions (antinomiæ juris), which had perplexed their disciples in later times, and on which many volumes had been written. But the arrangement of Justinian he entirely disapproved; and in another work, Corporis Juris reconcinnandi Ratio, published in 1668, he pointed out the necessity and what he deemed the best method of a new distribution. This appears to be not quite like what he had previously sketched, and which was rather a philosophical than a very convenient method; in this new arrangement, he proposes to retain the texts of the Corpus Juris Civilis, but in a form rather like that of the Pandects than of the Institutes; to the latter of which, followed as it has been among us by Hale and Blackstone, he was very averse.
 - 112. There was only one man in the world who could have left so noble a science as philosophical jurisprudence for pursuits of a still more exalted nature, and for which he was still more fitted; and that man was Leibnitz himself. He passed onward to reap the golden harvests of other fields. Yet the study of law has owed much to him; he did much to unite it with moral philosophy on the one hand, and with

revera adhuc vivunt, ideo manent domini rerum, quos vero hæredes reliquerunt, concipiendi sunt ut procuratores in rem suam. In our own discussions on the law of cutail, I am not aware that this argument has ever been explicitly urged, though the advocates of perpetual control seem to have none better

• This tract, and all the other works of Leibnitz on jurisprudence, will be found in the fourth volume of his works by Dutens. An analysis by Bon, professor of law at Turin, is prefixed to the Methodi Novæ, and he has pointed out a few errors. Leibnitz says in a letter,

about 1676, that his book was effusus potius quam scriptus, in itinere, sine libris, &c., and that it contained some things he no longer would have said, though there were others of which he did not repent. Lerminier, Hist du Droit, p 150

† In his Methodi Novæ he divides law, in the didactic part, according to the several sources of rights, namely, 1 Nature, which gives us right over res nullius, things where there is no prior property 2 Succession 3 Possession 4 Contract, 5 Injury, which gives right to reparation

history on the other, a great master of both, he exacted perhaps a more comprehensive coorse of legal studies than the capacity of ordinary lowyers could grasp In England also, its condociveness to professional excellence might be hard to prove. It is however certain that, in Germany of least, philology, history, and philosophy linvo more or less cince the time of Leiboitz murched together ouder the robe of law "He did but pass over that kingdom," says Ler mioier, " and he has reformed and cularged at."

119 Jomes Godefroy was thirty years eognged on on edition of the Theodosian Code, published several years after hie death, in 1665. It is by for the Godeffer Dosety best edition of that body of lows, and retains u standard value to the historical department of jurisprudence. Domat, a French lawyer, and ooe of the Port-Royal connexion, in his Loix Civiles daos leor Ordre Naturel, the first of five volumes of which appeared in 1689, carried toto effect the project of Leiboitz, by re-arranging the lows of Justinian, which, especially the Paedects, are well known to be coofusedly distributed, in a more regular method, pre fixing a book of his own on the nature and spirit of law in geocral This appears to be an useful digest or abridgement, something like those made by Viner and earlier writers of oor own text, but perhaps with more compression oud choice, two editions of an English translotion were published Domat's Public Law, which might, perhaps, in our language, base been called constitutional, when we generally confine the epithet public to the law of nations, forms o second part of the same work, and contains o more extensive system, wherein theological morality, ecclesiastical ordinances, und the fundamental laws of the French monarchy are reduced into method Domat is much extolled by his countrymen, bot in philosophical jurisprudence, he seems to display little force or originality Gravina, who obtained o high name in this literature at the beginning of the next century, was known merely as o professor at the close of this, but a Dutch jurist, Gerard Noodt, may deserve mention goods for his treatise on Usory, in 1698, wherein he both there

endeavours to prove its natural and religious lawfulness, and traces its history through the Roman law. Several other works of Noodt on subjects of historical jurisprudence seem to fall within this century, though I do not find their exact dates of publication.

114. Grotius was the acknowledged master of all who studied the theory of international right. Nations - perhaps, the design of Puffendorf, as we may conjecture by the title of his great work on the Law of Nature and Nations, to range over the latter field with as assiduous diligence as the former. But from the length of his prolix labour on natural law and the rights of sovereigns, he has not more than one twentieth of the whole volume to spare for international questions; and this is in great measure copied or abridged from Giotius. In some instances he disagrees with his master. Puffendorf singularly denies that compacts made during war are binding by the law of nature, but for weak and unintelligible reasons.* Treaties of peace extorted by unjust force, he denies with more reason to be binding; though Grotius had held the contrary. † The inferior writers on the law of nations, or those who, like Wicquefort in his Ambassador, confined themselves to merely conventional usages, it is needless to mention.

^{*} B viu chap 7

CHAPTER

HISTORY OF POETRY, FROM 1650 TO 1700

SECT L.—ON ITALIAN POETRY

Filicaja - Gueli - Mouriel - Arcadian Society

1 The imitators of Marini, full of extravagant metaphors, and the false thoughts usually called concetts, were necessarian their vigour at the commencement of this period intention. But their names are now obscure, and have been postry overwhelmed by the change of public taste which has con demned and proscribed what it once most applauded. This change came on loug before the close of the century, though not so decidedly but that some traces of the former manner are discoverable in the majority of popular writers. The general characteristics, however, of Italian poetry became a more masculine tone, a wider reach of topics, and a selection of the most noble, an abandonment, except in the lighter lyrics, of amatory strains, and especially of such as were lan guishing and querulous, an anticipation, in short, as far as the circumstances of the age would permit, of that severe and elevated style which has been most affected for the last fifty years It would be fittle to seek an explanation of this manlier spirit in any social or political causes, never had Italy in these respects been so lifeless, but the world of poets is often not the world around them, and their stream of living waters may flow, like that of Arethusa, without imbibing much from the surrounding brine. Chiabrera had led the way by the Pindaric majesty of his odes, and had disciples of at least equal name with himself

2. Florence was the mother of one who did most to invigorate Italian poetry, Vincenzo Filicaja, a man gifted with a serious, pine, and noble spirit, from gifted with a serious, pure, and noble spirit, from which congenial thoughts spontaneously arose, and with an imagination rather vigorous than fertile. The siege of Vienna in 1683, and its glorious deliverance by Sobieski, are the subjects of six odes. The third of these, addressed to the King of Poland limself, is generally most esteemed, though I do not perceive that the first or second are inferior. His ode to Rome, on Christina's taking up her residence there, is in many parts lighly poetical, but the flattery of representing this event as sufficient to restore the eternal city from decay is too gross. It is not on the whole so successful as those on the siege of Vienna. A better is that addressed to Florence on leaving it for a rural solutide, in consequence to Florence on leaving it for a rural solitude, in consequence of his poverty and the neglect he had experienced. breathes an injured spirit, something like the complaint of Cowley, with which posterity are sure to sympathise. The sonnet of Filicaja, "Italia mia," is known by every one who cares for this poetry at all. This sonnet is conspicuous for its depth of feeling, for the spirit of its commencement, and above all, for the noble lines with which it ends, but there are surely awkward and feeble expressions in the intermediate part. Armenti for regiments of dragoous could only be excused by frequent usage in poetry, which, I presume, is not the case, though we find the same word in one of Filicaja's odes. A foreigner may venture upon this kind of criticisin.

3. Filicaja was formed in the school of Chiabrera; but with his pomp of sound and boldness of imagery he is ammated by a deeper sense both of religion and patriotism. We perceive more the language of the heart, the man speaks in his genuine character, not with assumed and mercenary sensibility, like that of Pindar and Chiabrera. His genius is greater than his skill; he abandons himself to an impetuosity which he cannot sustain, forgetful of the economy of strength and breath, as necessary for a poet as a race-horse. He has rarely or never any concerts or frivolous thoughts; but the expression is sometimes rather feeble. There is a general want of sunshine in Filicaja's poetry; unprosperous

lumself, he views nothing with a worldly eye, his notes of tromph are without brilliancy, his predictions of soccess new without joy Ho seems also deficient in the charins of grace, and felicity But his poetry is always the effusion of a fine soul, we renerate and love Flicing as a man, but we also acknowledge that he was n real port.

4 Guidi, a native of Pavia, raised limiself to the lighest point that any lyric poet of Italy has attained. His odes are written at Rome from about the year 1085

to the end of the century Compared with Chiabrera, or oven Filicaja, he may be allowed the superiority, if he never rises to a higher pitch than the latter, if ha has never chosen subjects so animating, if he has never displayed so much depth and truth of feeling, his enthusiasm is more constant, his imagination more creative, his power of language more extensive and more felicitous. "Ho falls sometimes," says Corninni, "into extravagance, but never into His peculiar excellence is poetical expression, always brilliant with a light of his own. The inagic of his language used to excite a lively movement among tha hearers when he recited his verses in the Arcadian society? Corman andds that he is sometimes explorant in words and hyperbolical in images.*

5 The ode of Gaids on Fortune appears to me at least equal to any in the Italian language. If it has been sug gested by that of Cho Magno, intitled Iddio, the resem-blance does not deserve the name of unitation; a nobleness of thought, imagery, and language prevails throughout Bat this is the character of all his odes. Ho chose better subjects than Chimbrera, for the ruins of Raino are more glorious that the living house of Medici He resembles him indeed rather than any other poet, so that it might not always be easy to discern one from the other in a single stanza, but Guidi is o bolder, a more imaginative in more enthusiastic poet. Both adorn and amplify a little to excess, and it may be impoted to Guidi that he has aliused an advantage which his nativo language afforded Tha Italian is rich in words, where the sound so well answers to the meaning, that it is

hardly possible to hear them without an associated sentiment; their effect is closely analogous to musical expression. Such are the adjectives denoting mental elevation, as superbo, altrero, audace, gagliardo, indomito, maestoso. These recur in the poems of Guidi with every noun that will admit of them, but sometimes the artifice is a little too transparent, and though the meaning is not sacrificed to sound, we feel that it is too much enveloped in it, and are not quite pleased that a great poet should rely so much on a resource which the most mechanical slave of music can employ.

- 6. The odes of Benedetto Menzini are elegant and in poetical language, but such as does not seem very original, nor do they strike us by much vigour or animation of thought. The allusions to mythology which we never find in Filicaja, and rarely in Guidi, are too frequent. Some of these odes are of considerable beauty, among which we may distinguish that addressed to Magalotti, beginning, "Un verde ramuscello in piaggia aprica." Menzini was far from confining himself to this species of poetry; he was better known in others. As an Anacreontic poet he stands, I believe, only below Chiabrera and Redi. His satires have been preferred by some to those of Ariosto; but neither Corniani noi Salfi acquiesce in this praise. Their style is a mixture of obsolete phrases from Dante with the idioms of the Florentine populace; and though spirited in substance, they are rather full of common-place invective. Menzini strikes boldly at priests and governments, and, what was dangerous to Orpheus, at the whole sex of women. His Art of Poetry, in five books, published in 1681, deserves some praise. As his atrabilious humoui prompted, he inveighs against the corruption of contemporary literature, especially on the stage, ridiculing also the Pindaric pomp that some affected, not perhaps without allusion to his enemy Guidi. His own style is pointed, animated, sometimes poetical, where didactic verse will admit of such ornament, but a little too diffuse and minute in criticism.
 - 7. These three are the great restorers of Italian poetry after the usurpation of false taste. And it is to be observed that they introduced a new manner, very different from that of the sixteenth century.

deserve to be mentioned, though we can only do so briefly The Saures of Salvetor Rosa, full of force and vehemence, more vigorous than elegant, are such as hie ordent genius and rather savage temper would lead us to expect A far enperior poet was a man not less eminent than Salvator, the philosophical and every wny necomplished Redi Few have done so much in any part of science who have elso shone so brightly in the walks of taste The sonnets of Redi are esteemed, but line famous dithyrambic, Bacco in Toscana, 16 admitted to be the first poem of that kind in modern language, and ie as worthy of Monte Pulciano wine, ne the wine ie worthy of it.

8 Maggi and Lemene bore on hononroble part in the restoration of poetry, though neither of them is reckoned altogether to have purified himself from the infec tion of the preceding age. The sonnet of Pastorini on the imagined resistance of Genoa to the oppression of Louis XIV in 1684, though not borne out by historical truth, is one of those breathings of Italian nationality which we always admire, end which had now become more common than for a century before It must be confessed, in general, that when the protestations of n people against tyranny become lond enough to be heard, we may suspect that the tyranny has been relaxed.

9 Rome was to poetry in this age what Florence had once been, though Rome had lutherto dono less for the Italian muses than any other great city Nor patronne was this so much due to her hishops and cardinals, as to a stranger and a woman Christina finally took up her abode there in 1688 Her palace became the resort of all the learning and genius she could assemble round her, a literary academy was established and her revenue was liberally dispensed in pensione If Filicaja and Guidi, both sharers of her bounty, have exaggerated her praises much may be par doned to gratitude, and much also to the natural admiration which those who look up to power must feel for those who have renounced it. Christian died in 1690 and her own academy could last no longer, but a phœnix sprang nt once from its ashes. Crescimbent then young has the credit of having planned the Society of Arcadians,

which began in 1690, and has eclipsed in celebrity most of the earlier academies of Italy. Fourteen, says Cormani, were the original founders of this society; among whom were Crescimbeni, and Giavina, and Zappi. In course of time the Arcadians vastly increased, and established colonies in the chief cities of Italy. They determined to assume every one a pastoral name and a Greek buthplace, to hold their meetings in some verdant meadow, and to mingle with all their compositions, as far as possible, images from pastoral life; images always agreeable, because they recall the times of primitive innocence. This poetical tribe adopted as their device the pipe of seven reeds bound with laurel, and their president or director was denominated general shepherd or keeper (custode generale).* The fantastical part of the Arcadian society was common to them with all similar institutions; and mankind has generally required some ceremonial follies to keep alive the wholesome spirit of association. Their solid aim was to purify the national taste. Much had been already done, and in great measure by their own members, Menzini and Guidi, but their influence, which was of course more felt in the next century, has always been reckoned both important and auspicious to Italian literature.

SECT. II. - ON FRENCH POETRY.

Fontaine - Boileau - Minor French Poets

10. We must pass over Spain and Portugal as absolutely destitute of any name which requires commemoration. In France it was very different; if some earlier periods had been not less rich in the number of versifiers, none had produced poets who have descended with so much renown to posterity. The most popular of these was La Fontaine. Few writers have left such a number of verses

^{*} Cormani, viii 301 Tiraboschi, xi. 43 Crescimbeni, Storia d'Arcadia (reprinted by Mathias)

which, in the phrase of his country, have made their fortune, and been, like ready money, always at hand for prompt questation. His lines have at once a proverbial truth and a humour of expression which render them constantly applied hie. This is chiefly true of his Tables, for his Tales, though no one will deny that they are lively enough, are not reckened so well written, nor do they supply so much for general use.

11 The models of La Tontune's style were partly the uncent fabrilists whem he copied, for he pretends to congrandity, partly the old French poets, especially Marot. From the one he took the real gold of his

cally Marot. From the one he took the real gold of his cam's rando. From the one he caught a peculiar arch ness and vivacity, which some of them had possessed, per haps, in no less degree but which becomes more captivating from his intermixture at a solid and serious wisdom. For notwithstanding the common unecdotes sometimes, as we may suspect, rather exaggerated, of La l'outaine's simplicity, he was evidently a man who had thought and abserved much ubout human nature, and knew u little more of the world than he cared to let the world perceive Muny of his fibles are admirable, the grace of the poetry, the happy inspiration that seems to have dietated the turns of expression, place him in the first rank among fabulists. Let the praise of La Fontaine should not be indiscriminate. It is said that he gave the preference to Phedrus and Asop above hunself, and some have thought that in this he could not have been sincere It was at least a proof of his modesty. But, though we cannot think of patting Phiedrus on a level with La Fon tuine, were it only for this reason, that in a work designed for the general render, and surely fables are of this descrip-tion, the qualities that please the many are to be valued above those that please the few, yet it is true that the French poet might envy some talents of the Roman Pluedrus, a writer scarcely prized enough, because he is no early school book, has a perfection of elegant beauty which very few have rivalled No word is out of its place none is redundant, or could be changed for a better, his perspicinty and case make every thing appear appremediated, yet every thing is wronght by consummate art. In many fables of La Fontaine this is not the case, he beats round the subject, and misses often

before he hits. Much, whatever La Harpe may assert to the contrary, could be retrenched, in much the exigencies of rhyme and metre are too manifest.* He has, on the other hand, far more humonr than Phiedrus; and, whether it be praise or not, thinks less of his fable and more of its moral. One pleases by enhvening, the other pleases but does not enhven; one has more felicity, the other more skill; but in such skill there is felicity.

12. The first seven satires of Boilean appeared in 1666; and these, though much inferior to his later produc-His epistles tions, are characterised by La Harpe as the earliest poetry in the French language where the mechanism of its verse was fully understood, where the style was always pure and elegant, where the ear was umformly gratified. The Art of Poetry was published in 1673, the Lutrin in 1674; the Epistles followed at various periods. Their elaborate though equable strain, in a kind of poetry which, never requiring high flights of fancy, escapes the censure of mediocrity and monotony which might sometimes fall upon it, generally excites more admiration in those who have been accustomed to the numerous defects of less finished poets, than it retains in a later age, when others have learned to emulate and preserve the same uniformity. The fame of Pope was transcendant for this reason, and Boileau is the analogue of Pope in French literature.

13. The Art of Poetry has been the model of the Essay on Criticism, few poems more resemble each other. I will not weigh in opposite scales two compositions, of which one claims an advantage from its having been the original, the other from the youth of its author. Both are uncommon efforts of critical good sense, and both are distin-

* Let us take, for example, the first lines of L'Homme et la Couleuvre

Un homme vit une couleuvre
Ah méchante, dit il, je m'en vals faire un œuvre
Agréable à tout l'univers l
A ces mots l'animal perver's
(C'est le serpent que je veux dire,
Et non l'homne, on pourroit aisément s y
tromper)
A ces mots le serpent se laissant attrapper
Est pris, mis ea un sac, et, ce qui fut le pire,
On resolut sa mort, fût il coupable ou non

None of these lines appear to me very

happy, but there can be no doubt about that in italies, which spoils the effect of the preceding, and is feebly redundant. The last words are almost equally bad, no question could arise about the serpent's guilt, which had been assumed before. But these petty blemishes are abundantly redeemed by the rest of the fable, which is beautiful in choice of thoughts and language, and may be classed with the best in the collection.

guished by their short and pointed language, which remnins in the memory. Boileau has very well incorporated tho thoughts of Horace with his own, and given them it skilful adaptation to his own traits. Hu was a bolder critic of his contemporaries than Pope. Ho took up arms against those who shared the public favour, and were placed by half Paris among great dramatists and poets, Pradon, Desmarests, Brebeuf. This was not true of the heroes of the Dunciad. His scorn was always bitter and probably sometimes unjust, yet posterity has ratified nimost all his judgments. Talse taste, it should be remembered, had long infected the poetry of Europe, some steps had been littely taken to repress it, but extravagance, affectation, and excess of refinement are weeds that can only be eradicated by a thorough cleaning of the soil, by a process of burning and paring which leaves not a seed of them in the public mind. And when we consider the gross blemishes of this description that deform the earlier poetry of France, as of other nations, we cannot blame the severity of Boilean, though he may occasionally have condemned in the mass what contained some intermixture of real excellence. We have become of inte years in England so ena moured of the benatics of our old writers, mid certainly they are of a superior kind, that we are sometimes more than a little blind to their faults.

14 By writing satires, epistles, and an art of poetry, Boilean has challenged an obvious comparison with Horace Yet they are very unlike, one easy, col with logical plantal, abandoning himself to every change that arises in his mind, the other uniform as a regiment under arms, always equal, always laboared, incapoble of a bold neglect. Poetry seems to have been the delight of one, the task of the other Tho pain that Boilean must have felt in writing communicates itself in some measure to the reader, we exercise for losing some point, of passing over some epithet without sufficiently perceiving its selection, it is as with those pictures, which are to be viewed long and attentively till our admiration of detached proofs of skall becomes wearisome by repetition

15 The Lutrin is the most popular of the poems of Boileau Its subject is ill chosen, mether interest nor variety

this respect, if their leading theme is trifling, we lose sight of it in the gay liveliness of description and episode. In Boileau, after we have once been told that the canons of a church spend their lives in sleep and eating, we have no more to learn, and grow tired of keeping company with a race so stupid and sensual. But the poignant wit and satire, the elegance and correctness of numberless couplets, as well as the ingenious adaptation of classical passages, redeem, this poem, and confirm its high place in the mock-heroic line.

- 16. The great deficiency of Boileau is in sensibility. Far below Pope or even Dryden in this essential quality, which the moral epistle or satire not only admits but requires, he raiely quits two paths, those of reason and of raillery. His tone on moral subjects is firm and severe, but not very noble, a trait of pathos, a single touch of pity or tenderness, will rarely be found. This of itself serves to give a dryness to his poetry, and it may be doubtful, though most have read Boileau, whether many have read him twice.
- 17. The pompous tone of Ronsard and Du Bartas had become indiculous in the reign of Louis XIV. Even that of Malheibe was too elevated for the public taste; none at least imitated that writer, though the critics had set the example of admiring him. Boileau, who had done much to turn away the world from imagniation to plain sense, once attempted to emulate the grandiloquent strains of Pindar in an ode on the taking of Namur, but with no such success as could encourage himself or others to repeat the experiment. Yet there was no want of gravity or elevation in the prose writers of France, nor in the tragedies of Racine. But the French language is not very well adapted for the higher kind of lync poetry, while it suits admirably the lighter forms of song and epigram. And their poets, in this age, were almost entirely men living at Paris, either in the court, or at least in a refined society, the most adverse of all to the poetical character. The influence of wit and politeness is generally directed towards rendering enthusiasm or warmth of fancy ridiculous, and without these no great energy

of genius can be displayed But, in their proper department,

several poets of considerable merit appeared

18 Benserado was called peculiorly the poet of the court, for twenty years it was his business to compose personnel werses for the ballets represented before the king. His skill ond tact were shown in delicate contrivances to moke those who supported the characters of gods and god desses in these fictions, being the nobles and ladies of the coart, betray their real inclinations, and sometimes their gallontries. He even presumed to shadow in this maoner the passion of Louis for Mademoisello La Voluce, before it was publicly acknowledged Beoserade must have hod no small ingrounty and odroitness, but his verses did not survivo those who called them forth In a different school, not essentially, perhaps, much more vicious than the coort, but more careless of appearances, and rather prood of an unino-rality which it liad no interest to conceal, that of Ninon l Enclos, several of higher reputation grew up, Chapello (whose real name was L'Hailher), La l'are, Bachauniont, Lannezer, and Chaulieu The first, perhaps, and certainly the last of these, are worthy to be remembered La Chaute. Harpo line said, that Chaulieu alone retains a claim to be read in a style where Voltaire has so much left all others behind, that no comparison with him can ever be admitted. Chanlieu was on original genius, his poetry has a marked choracter, being a happy mixture of n gentle and peaceable philosophy with a lively imagination. This verses flow from his soul, ood though often negligeot through iodo-lence, are never in bad taste or affected Harmony of versification, grace and gaiety, with a voluptuous ond Lpicurean, but mild and benevolent, torn of thought, beloog to Chaulico, and these are qualities which do not full to attract the majority of readers .

19 It is rather singular that a style so uncongenial to the spirit of that age as pastoral poetry appears was pastoral quite as much cultivated as before. But it is still ready true that the spirit of the age gained the victory, and drove the shepherds from their shady bowers, though without sub-

stituting any thing more rational in the fairy tales which superseded the pastoral romance. At the middle of the century, and partially till near its close, the style of D'Urfé and Scudery retained its popularity. Three poets of the age of Louis were known in pastoral, Segrais, Madame Deshouhères, and Fontenelle. The first belongs most to the genuine school of modern pastoral; he is elegant, romantic, full of complaining love; the Spanish and French romances had been his model in invention, as Viigil was in style. La Harpe allows him nature, sweetness, and sentiment; but he cannot emulate the vivid colouring of Viigil, and the language of his shepherds. sweetness, and sentiment; but he cannot emulate the vivid colouring of Viigil, and the language of his shepherds, though simple, wants elegance and harmony. The tone of his pastorals seems rather misipid, though La Harpe has quoted some pleasing lines. Madame Deshouhères, with a purer style than Segrais, according to the same critic, has less genius. Others have thought her Idylls the best in the language.* But these seem to be merely trivial moralities addressed to flowers, brooks, and sheep, sometimes expressed in a manner both ingenious and natural, but on the whole too feeble to give much pleasure. Bouterwek observes that her poetry is to be considered as that of a woman, and that its pastoral morality would be somewhat childish in the mouth of man, whether this says more for the lady, or against her sex, I must leave to the reader. She has occasionally some very pleasing and even reader. She has occasionally some very pleasing and even poetical passages.† The third among these poets of the pipe is Fontenelle. But his pastorals, as Bouterwek says, are too aitificial for the ancient school, and too cold for the romantic. La Haipe blames, besides this general fault, the negligence and prosaic phrases of his style. The best is that entitled Ismene. It is in fact a poem for the world; yet as love and its artifices are found every where, we cannot censure any passage as absolutely unfit for pastoral, save a certain refinement which belonged to the author in every thing, and which interferes with our sense of rural simplicity.

20. In the superior walks of poetry France had nothing of

^{*} Biogr Univ

which she has been inclined to hoast. Chapelon, a fifth of some credit as n critic, produced his long laboured pic, La Pocelle, to 1656, which is only remembered by the insulting ridicule of Boileau. A similar fote has fallen on the Clovis of Desmarests, published to 1684, though the Germon historian of literaturo has extolled the richness of imagination it shows, and observed that if those who saw nothing but o fantastic writer in Desmarests had possessed is moch foncy, the national poetry would have been of a lighter character. Brebeuf's translotion of the Pharsaha is spirited, but very extravagant

rited, but very extravagant

21 The hterature of Germiny was new more corrupted by had taste than ever A second Silevan school, German but much inferior to that of Opitz, was founded by Perior Hoffmanswaldan and Lolienstein. The first had great fa cility, and initiated Ovid and Marini with some success. The second, with worse taste, always turnid and striving at something elevated, so that the Lohenstein swell became a by word with later critics, is superior to Hoffmanswaldan in richness of fancy, in poetical invention, and in warmth of feeling for all that is noble and great. About the end of the century arcso a new style, known by the unhappy name spiritless (geistles), which, avoiding the tone of Lohenstein, became wholly tame and flat †

SECT III -OY ENGLISH POFTRY

II aller - Buller - Milton - Dryden - The Minor Poets

22 We might have placed Waller in the former division of the seventeenth century, with no more impropriety water than we might have reserved Cowley for the latter, both belong by the dots of their writings to the two periods. And perhops the poetry of Woller bears rather the stamp of

Bouterwek, vi. 157 237 Eichborn, Geschichte der Cultur † Id. vol. z. p. 238. Heinslus, iv iv 776.

the first Charles's age than of that which ensued. His reputation was great, and somewhat more durable than that of similar poets has generally been; he did not witness its decay in his own protracted life, nor was it much diminished at the beginning of the next century. Nor was this wholly undeserved. Waller has a more uniform elegance, a more undeserved. Waller has a more uniform elegance, a more sure facility and happiness of expression, and, above all, a greater exemption from glaring faults, such as pedantry, extravagance, conceit, quaintness, obscurity, ungrammatical and unmeaning constructions, than any of the Caroline era with whom he would naturally be compared. We have only to open Carew or Lovelace to perceive the difference, not that Waller is wholly without some of these faults, but that they are much less frequent. If others may have brighter passages of fancy or sentiment, which is not difficult, he husbands better his resources, and though left behind in the beginning of the race, comes sooner to the goal. His Panegyric on Cromwell was celebrated. "Such a series of verses," it is said by Johnson, "had rarely appeared before in the English said by Johnson, "had rarely appeared before in the English language. Of these lines some are grand, some are graceful, and all are musical. There is now and then a feeble verse, and all are musical. There is now and then a feeble verse, or a trifling thought; but its great fault is the choice of its hero." It may not be the opinion of all, that Cromwell's actions were of that obscure and pitiful character which the majesty of song rejects, and Johnson has before observed, that Waller's choice of encomiastic topics in this poem is very judicious. Yet his deficiency in poetical vigour will surely be traced in this composition; if he rarely sinks, he never rises very high, and we find much good sense and selection, much shall in the mechanism of language and metre, without much skill in the mechanism of language and metre, without ardon and without imagination. In his amorous poetry, he ardout and without imagination. In his amorous poetry, he has little passion or sensibility, but he is never free and petulant, never tedious, and never absurd. His praise consists much in negations, but in a comparative estimate, perhaps negations ought to count for a good deal.

23. Hudibias was incomparably more popular than Parabutler's dise Lost, no poem in our language rose at once to greater reputation. Nor can this be called ephemeral, like that of most political poetry. For at least half a century after its publication it was generally read, and per-

petually quoted. The wit of Butler has still preserved many haes, but Hodibras una uttracts comparatively faw readers. The cologies of Johnson seem rather adopted to what ha remembered to have been the fama of Butler, that to the remembered to how deep the familiar before the sure in the feelings of the surrounding generatian, nod since his time, new sources of amusement have spring up, ood writers of u more intelligible pleasactry have superseded those of the seventeenth century. In the fiction of Hudbras there was never moch ta divert the reader, and there is still less left at present. Bot what has been censored as a foult, the length of dialogue which puts the fiction out of sight, is in fact tha source of all the pleasure that the work affords. The sense of Botler is mascultoe, his wit toexhoustible, und it is sopplied from avery source of reading and abservation But these sources are aften so nuknawn to the reader that the wit loses its effect through the abscurity of its allusions, and he yields to the base of wit, a porblind male like pedantry. His ver sification is sometimes spirited, and his rhymes humarous, yet his wants that case and flow which we require in light poetry

21 The subject of Paradise Lost is the finest that has ever beec chosen for herate poetry, it is also man unged by Milton with remarkable skill. The Ihad wants completeness, it has an unity of its owo, but where we miss the relation to a The Odyssey is not imperfect in this point of view, bot the subject is bardly extensive coorgli for a legitimite epic. The Aneid is spread over too long a space, and per-hops the latter books, by the diversity of secon and sobject, lose part of that iotimote connexino with the former which nn epic poem regoires Tho Phorsalin is open to the same enticism as the Ilind. The Thebaid is not deficient to unity or greatness of action, but it is one that possesses of cort of interest 10 our oyes

Tasso is for superior both in choice odd management of his subject to most of these. Yet the Fall of Mao has o more general toterest than the Crusade.

25 It must be owned nevertheless, that a religious epic labors ouder some disadvantages, in proportion as it attracts those who hold the same tenets with the author, it is regarded by those who dissent from him

with indifference or aversion. It is said that the discovery of Milton's Arianism, in this rigid generation, has already impaired the sale of Paradise Lost. It is also difficult to enlarge or adoin such a story by fiction. Milton has done much in this way, yet he was partly restrained by the necessity of conforming to Scripture.

26. The ordonnance or composition of the Paradise Lost is admirable; and here we perceive the advantage which Milton's great familiarity with the Greck theatre and his own original scheme of the poem had given him. Every part succeeds in an order, noble, clear, and natural. It might have been wished indeed that the vision of the eleventh book had not been changed into the colder narrative of the twelfth. But what can be more majestic than the first two books, which open this great drama it is true that they rather serve to confirm the sneer of Dryden that Satan is Milton's hero; since they develop a plan of action in that potentate, which is ultimately successful, the triumph that he and his host must experience in the fall of man being haidly compensated by their temporary conversion into serpents, a fiction 1ather too grotesque. But it is, perhaps, only pedantry to talk about the hero, as if a high personage were absolutely required in an epic poem to predominate over the rest. The conception of Satan is doubtless the first effort of Milton's genius. Dante could not have ventured to spare so much lustre for a ruined archangel, in an age when nothing less than horns and a tail were the orthodox creed.*

* Coleridge has a fine passage which I cannot resist my desire to transcribe "The character of Satan is pride and sensual indulgence, finding in itself the It is the character so motive of action often seen in little on the political stage It exhibits all the restlessness, temerity, and cunning which have marked the mighty hunters of mankind from Nimrod to Napoleon The common fascination of man is that these great men, as they are called, must act from some great motive Milton has earefully marked in his Satan the intense selfishness, the alcohol of egotism, which would rather reign in hell than serve in heaven place this lust of self in opposition to denial of self or duty, and to show what exertions it would make, and what pains endure, to accomplish its end, is Milton's particular object in the character of Satan But around this character he has thrown a singularity of daring, a grandeur of sufferance, and a rumed splendour, which constitute the very height of poetic sublimity "Coleridge's Remains, p 176

In reading such a paragraph as this, we are struck by the vast improvement of the highest criticism, the philosophy of esthetics, since the days of Addison. His papers in the Spectator on Paradise Lost were perhaps superior to any criticism that had been written in our language, and we must always acknowledge their good sense, their judiciousness, and the

27 Milton has displayed great skill in the dehneations of Adam und Eve, he does not dress them up, nfter the fashion of orthodox theology, which had no spell fast E to bind his free spirit, in the fancied robes of primi tive righteousness. South, in one of his sermons, has drawn n justure of unfallen man, which is even poetical, but it might be asked by the reader. Why then did he fall? The first pair of Milton are innocent of course, but not less frail than their posterity, nor except one circumstance, which seems rather physical intoxication than any thing else, do we find any sign of depravity superinduced upon their transgression. It implit even be made a question for profound theologians whether Eve, by taking aniss what Adam had" said, and by self-conceit, did not sin before she tasted the fatal upple. The necessary paneity of actors in Paradise Lost is perhaps the apology of Sin and Death, they will not bear exact criticism, yet we do not wish them nway

28 The comparison of Milton with Homer has been founded on the acknowledged pre-eminence of each in his own language, and on the lax application of the word epic to their great poems. But there was not much in common either between their genius or its products, and Milton has taken less in direct imitation from Homer than from several other poets. His favuurites had rather been Sophocles and Euripides, to them he owes the structure of his blank verse, his swell and dignity of style his grave cumeration of moral and abstract sentiment, his tone of description, neither condensed like that of Danto, nor spread out with the diffuseness of the other Italians and

vast service they did to our literature in settling the Paradise Lott on its proper level. Bothow Bittl they satisfy up even in treating of the natives nativenes, the peem itself and how little conception they show of the actives nativenes, the individual gentino of the nutber 1 Fren in the periodical criticism of the present day in the midst of much that is affected, much that is precipitate much that is written for mere display we find occasional reflections of a profundity and discrimination which we should seek in win through Dryden or Addison, or the tw Warton, or even Jointon, thoseif

much superior to the rest. Hard has perhaps the marit of being the first who in this country aimed at philosophical crilleits; be had great ingenuity a good deal of reading, and a facility in applying it; but be did not feel very deeply was somewhat of cortownh, and hard galways before his eyes a model seither good in tueft, nor made for him to consist the assumes a dogmatic arrospace which, it always off mas the reader so for the most part stand in the way of the author's own search for

of Homer himself. Next to these Greek tragedians, Virgil seems to have been his model; with the minor Latin poets, except Ovid, he does not, I think, show any great familiarity, and though abundantly conversant with Ariosto, Tasso, and Marini, we cannot say that they influenced his manner, which, unlike theirs, is severe and stately, never light, nor in the sense we should apply the words to them, rapid and animated.*

29. To Dante, however, he bears a much greater likeness. He has in common with that poet an uniform seriousness, for the brighter colouring of both is but the smile of a pensive mind, a fondness for argumentative speech, and for the same strain of argument. This indeed proceeds in part from the general similarity, the religious and even theological cast of their subjects; I advert particularly to the last part of Dante's poem. We may almost say, when we look to the resemblance of their prose writings, in the proud sense of being born for some great achievement, which breathes through the Vita Nuova, as it does through Milton's earlier treatises, that they were twin spirits, and that each might have animated the other's body, that each would, as it were, have been the other, if he had lived in the other's age. As it is, I incline to prefer Milton, that is, the Paradise Lost, both because the subject is more extensive, and because the resources of his genius are more multifarious. Dante sins more against good taste, but only perhaps because there was no good taste in his time, for Milton has also too much a disposition to make the grotesque accessory to the terrible. Could Milton have written the lines on Ugolino? Perhaps he could. Those on Francesca? Not, I think, every line. Could Dante have planned such a poem as Paradise Lost? Not certainly, being Dante in 1300, but living when Milton did, perliaps he could. It is however useless to go on with questions that no one can fully answer. To compare the two poets, read two or three cantos of the Purgatory or Paradise, and then two or three

wholly uncongenial to him A few lines in Paradise Lost are rather too plain, and their gravity makes them worse

^{*} The solemnity of Milton is striking in those passages where some other poets would indulge a little in voluptuousness, and the more so, because this is not

ไกรรถ

hondred lines of Priradise Lost. Then take Homer, or even Virgil, the difference will be striking. Yet notwithstanding this analogy of their minds, I have not perceived that Milton mintates Dante very often, probably from linving committed less to memory while young (and Dante was not the favourite poet of Italy when Milton was there), than of Ariosto and

30 Each of these great men chose the subject that suited his natural temper and genius. What, it is curious to con jectore, would have been Milton's success in his original design, n British story? Far less surely than in Paradisc Lost, he wanted the rapidity of the common heroic poem and would always have been sententious, perhaps and and heavy I et even as religious poets there are several re markublo distinctions between Milton and Dante It has been justly observed that, in the Paradise of Dante, he makes use of but three leading ideas, light, music, and motion, and that Milton has drawn Heaven in less pure and spiritual colours. The philosophical imagination of the former, in this third part of his poem, almost defecated from ill sublanary things by long and solitary masing, spiritualises all that it touches The genius of Milton, though it elf subjective, was less so than that of Dante, and he has to recount to describe, to bring deeds and passions before the eye two pecolin causes may be assigned for this difference in the treatment of celestial things between the Divine Comedy and the Paradise Lost, the dramatic form which Milton had originally designed to adopt, and his own theological bias towards nothropomorphitism, which his postlimnous trentise on religion has brought to light. This was no doubt in some nica. soro mevitable in such a subject as that of Paradise Lost, yet much that is ascribed to God, sometimes with the sanc tion of Scripture, sometimes without it, is not wholly pleasing, such as " the oath that shook Heaven's whole erreumference," and several other images of the same kind, which bring down the Deity in a manner not consonant to philosophical religion,

^{*} Quarterly Review June 1823, thown in the delineation of Even an above a raidely contains some good and optake not that of Addison or of many some questionship remarks on Millong among the latter I revices the propolition, that his contempt for women is

however it may be borne out by the sensual analogies, or mythic symbolism of Oriental writing.*

though with many that are hard, and, in a common use of the word, might be called prosaic. Yet few are truly prosaic; few wherein the tone is not some way distinguished from prose. The very artificial style of Milton, sparing in English idiom, and his study of a rhythm, not always the most grateful to our ears, but preserving his blank verse from a trivial flow, is the cause of this elevation. It is at least more removed from a prosaic cadence than the slovenly rhymes of such contemporary poets as Chamberlayne. His versification is entirely his own, framed on a Latin and chiefly a Virgilian model, the pause less frequently resting on the close of the line than in Homer, and much less than in our own dramatic poets. But it is also possible that the Italian and Spanish blank verse may have had some effect upon his ear.

32. In the numerous imitations, and still more numerous traces of older poetry which we perceive in Paradise Lost, it is always to be kept in mind that he had only his recollection to rely upon. His blindness seems to have been complete before 1654; and I scarcely think that he had begun his poem, before the anxiety and trouble into which the public strife of the commonwealth and the restoration had thrown him gave leisure for immortal occupations. Then the remembrance of early reading came over his dark and lonely path like the moon emerging from the clouds. Then it was that the muse was truly his, not only as she

* Johnson thinks that Milton should have secured the consistency of this poem by keeping immateriality out of sight, and enticing his reader to drop it from his thoughts But here the subject forbad him to preserve consistency, if indeed there be inconsistency in supposing a rapid assumption of form by spiritual beings For though the instance that Johnson alleges of inconsistency in Satan's animating a toad was not necessary, yet his animation of the serpent was absolutely indispensable And the same has been done by other poets, who do not scruple to suppose their gods, their fairies or devils, or their allegorical personages, inspiring thoughts, and even

uniting themselves with the soul, as well as assuming all kinds of form, though their natural appearance is almost always anthropomorphic. And, after all, Satan does not animate a real toad, but takes the shape of one "Squat like a toad close by the ear of Eve" But he does enter a real serpent, so that the instance of Johnson is ill chosen. If he had mentioned the serpent, every one would have seen that the identity of the animal serpent with Satan is part of the original account.

† One of the few exceptions is in the sublime description of Death, where a wretched hemistich, "Fierce as ten furies," stands as an unsightly blemish.

poared her creative inspiration into his mind, but as the doughter of Maniery, canning with fragments of ancient inelodies, that voice of Enripides, and Homer and Tasso, sounds that he had leved in youth, and treasmed up for the solace of his ago. They wha, though ant enduring the calamity of Milton, have known what it is, when after from books, in solitude or in travelling, in in the intervals of worldly care, to feed an poetical recollections, to numinar aver the beautiful lines whose cadence has lang delighted their car, to recall the sentiments and images which retain by association the claim that early years eace gave them—they will feel the inestimable valua of committing in the memory, to the prime of its power, what it will easily receive and indicibly retoin. I know not indeed whether an education that deals much with poetry, such as is still usual in England, has any more solid argument among many in its favour, than that it lays the foundation of life.

33 It is awag, in part, to his blindness, but more per haps to his general residence in a city, that Miltan, in the words of Coleridge, is "not a picturesque but for make in the words of Coleridge, is "not a picturesque but for make in the words of Coleridge, is "not a picturesque but for make in the word. He describes visible things, and often with great powers of rendering them manifest, what the Greeks called mapping, though seldom with so much circumstantial exactness of observation as Spenser or Danto; but he feels music. The sense of vision delighted his imagination, but that of sound wrapped his whole soil in cestasy. One of his trifling finelts may be connected with this, the excessive passion he displays for stringing together sonorous inmess, sometimes so obscure that the reader associates nothing with them, as the word Nomancos in Lycidas, which long buffled the commentators. Hence his catalogues, unlike those of Homer and Virgil, are sometimes merely ornamental and misplaced. Thus the oames in unbuilt cities come strangely forward to Adam's vision, though he has afterwards gone over the same graind with better effect in Paradise Regained. In this there was also a maxture of his pedantry. But, though he was rather too estentatious of learning, the ooture

of his subject demanded a good deal of episodical ornament. And this, rather than the precedents he might have alleged from the Italians and others, is perhaps the best

from the Italians and others, is perhaps the best apology for what some grave critics have censured, his frequent allusions to fable and mythology. These give much rehef to the severity of the poem, and few readers would dispense with them. Less excuse can be made for some affectation of science which has produced hard and unpleasing lines; but he had been born in an age when more credit was gained by reading much than by writing well. The faults, however, of Paradise Lost are in general less to be called faults, than necessary adjuncts of the qualities we most admire, and idosyncrasies of a mighty genius. The verse of Milton is sometimes wanting in grace, and almost always in ease; but what better can be said of his prose? His foreign idoms are too frequent in the one, but they predominate in the other.

34. The slowness of Milton's advance to glory is now generally owned to have been much exaggerated; we might say that the reverse was nearer the truth. "The sale of 1300 copies in two years," says Johnson, "in opposition to so much recent enmity, and to a style of versification new to all and disgusting to many, was an uncommon example of the prevalence of genius. The demand did not immediately increase; for many more readers than were supplied at first the nation did not afford. Only 3000 were sold in eleven years." It would hardly however be said, even in this age, of a poem 3000 copies of which had been sold in eleven years, that its success had been small; and some, perhaps, might doubt whether Paradise Lost, published eleven years since, would have met with a greater demand. There as sometimes a want of congeniality in public taste which no power of genius will overcome. For Milton it must be said by every one conversant with the literature of the age that preceded Addison's famous criticism, from which some have dated the reputation of Paradise Lost, that he took his place among great poets from the beginning. The fancy of Johnson that few dared to praise it, and that "the revolution put an end to the secrecy of love," is without foundation; the government of Charles II. was not so absurdly tyrannical,

nor did Dryden, the coort's own poet, heatate in his prefece to the State of Innoceuce, poblished soon after Milton's death, to speak of its originol, Paradise Lost, as "undoobtedly one of the greatest, nost noble, and most subimo poems which either this ago or nation has produced"

35 The neglect which Paradise Lost never experienced seems to have been long the lot of Poradise Re seems to have been long the lot of Poradise Re randing guined. It was not populor with the world, it was regained long believed to manifest a decay of the poet's genios, and in spite of all that the critics have written, it is still but the favourito of some whose predilections for the Miltonic style are very strong The sobject is so much less capable of calling forth the vast powers of lus mind, that we should be unfair in comparing it throughout with the greater poces, it has been called a model of the shorter epic, an action compre heoding few characters and a brief space of time . The love of Milton for dramatic dinlogue imbibed from Greece, 18 still more apparent than in Paradise Lost, the whole poem in foct may almost be accounted a drama of primal simplicity, the narrativo ood descriptive part acrying radiur to diversify and relieve the speeches of the octors, than their speeches, as in the legitimete epic, to unliven the narration Paradise Regataed abounds with passages equol to any of the same nature in Poradise Lost, but the orgamentative tone is kept up till it produces some tediousness, and perlinps on the whole less pains have been excrted to adore and elevate even that which oppeals to the imagination

S6 Samson Agonistes is the lotest of Milton's poems, we see in it, perhops more distinctly than in Paradise Regained, the cub of a mighty tide. An air of amount of the language is less poetical than in Paradise Lost; the vigour of thought remains, but it wants much if its oncient eloquence. Nor is the lyric tone well kept up by the chorus, they are too sen tentious, too slow in movement, and, except by the metre, are not easily distinguishable from the other personages. But this metre is itself infelicitous, the lines being frequently of a number of syllables not recognised in the usage of English

poetry, and, destitute of rhythmical measure, fall into prose. Milton seems to have forgotten that the ancient chorus had a

musical accompaniment.

87. The style of Samson, being essentially that of Paradise Lost, may show us how much more the latter poem is founded on the Greek tragedians than on Homer. In Sunson we have sometimes the pompous tone of Eschylus, more frequently the sustained majesty of Sophocles; but the religious solemnty of Milton's own temperament, as well as the nature of the subject, have given a sort of breadth, an unpopular even with the lovers of poetry, yet upon close comparison we should find that it descrives a ligher place than many of its prototypes. We might search the Greek tragedies long for a character so powerfully conceived and maintained as that of Samson limited, and it is but conformable to the sculptural simplicity of that form of drama which Milton adopted, that all the rest should be kept in subordination to it. "It is only," Johnson says, "by a blind confidence in the reputation of Milton, that a drama can be praised in which the intermediate parts have neither cause not consequence, neither hasten nor retaid the catas-Such a drama is certainly not to be ranked with Othello and Macbeth, or even with the Œdipus or the Hippolytus; but a similar criticism is applicable to several famous tragedies in the less artificial school of antiquity, to the Prometheus and the Perse of Æschylns, and if we look strictly, to not a few of the two other masters.

38. The poetical genus of Dryden came slowly to perfection. Born in 1631, his first short poems, or, as we might rather say, copies of verses, were not written till he approached thirty, and though some of his dramas, not indeed of the best, belong to the next period of his life, he had reached the age of fifty, before his high rank as a poet had been confirmed by indubitable proof. Yet he had manifested a superiority to his immediate contemporaries; his Astræa Redux, on the Restoration, is well versified; the hines are seldom weak, the couplets have that pointed manner which Cowley and Denham had taught the world to require; they are harmomous, but not so varied as

the style he afterwards edopted The Annus Mirabihs, in 1667, is of a higher cast, it is not so animated as the later poetry of Dryden, because the alternate quatrain, in which ho followed Davenant's Gondibert, is hostile to animation, but it is not less for ograble to another excellence, condensed and vigorous thought Davenant indeed and Denham moy be reckoned the models of Dryden, so for as this can be said of a man of original genus, and one far superior to theirs. The distinguishing characteristic of Dryden, it has been said by Scott, was the power of reasoning and expressing the result in appropriate language. This indeed was the characteristic of the two where we have named, and so for as Dryden has displayed it, which he eminently has done, he bears a resemblance to them But it is insufficient praise for this great poet. His rapidity of conception and radiness of expression are lighter qualities. He never lotters about n single thought or image, never labours about the turn of a single theorem or image, never innois about the turn of a phrase. The impression upon our ininds that he wrote with exceeding case is irresistible, and I do not know that we have any evidence to repel it. The admiration of Dryden gaios apon us, if I may speak from my own experience, with advancing years, as we become more sensible of the difficulty of his style, and of the comparative facility of that which is merely imaginative.

39 Dryden may be considered as a saturcal, a reasoning, a descriptive and narrative, a lyric poet, and as a hardest ranslator. As a dramatist, we must return to him hardest again. The greatest of his satures is Absalom and Achitophel, that work in which his powers became fully known to the world, and which, as mony think, he never surpassed. The admirable fitness of the English couplet for satire had never been shown before, in less skilfal hands it had been ineffective. He does not frequently, in this poem, carry the sense beyond the second line, which, except when skilfally contrived, as it often is by himself is apt to enfeeble the emphasis, his triplets are less immerous than usual, but energetic. The spontaneous ease of expression, the rapid transitions, the general elasticity and movement, have nover been excelled it is saperfluous to pruise the discrimination and vivacity of the chief characters, especially Shaftesbury and Buckingliam.

Satire, however, is so much easier than panegyric, that with Ormond, Ossory, and Mulgrave he has not been quite so successful. In the second part of Absalom and Achitophel, written by Tate, one long passage alone is inserted by Dryden. It is excellent in its line of satire, but the line is less elevated; the persons delineated are less important, and he has indulged more his natural proneness to virulent ribaldry. This fault of Dryden's writings, it is just to observe, belonged less to the man than to the age. No libellous invective, no coarseness of allusion, had ever been spared towards a private or political enemy. We read with nothing but disgust the satirical poetry of Cleveland, Butler, Oldham, and Marvell, or even of men whose high rank did not soften their style, Rochester, Dorset, Mulgrave. In Dryden, there was, for the first time, a poignancy of wit which atones for his severity, and a discretion even in his taunts which made them more cutting.

40. The Medal, which is in some measure a continuation of Absalom and Achitophel, since it bears wholly on Shaftesbury, is of unequal merit, and on the whole In Mac Flecknoe, his satire falls much below the former. on his rival Shadwell, we must allow for the inferiority of the subject, which could not bring out so much of Dryden's higher powers of mind; but scarcely one of his poems is more perfect. Johnson, who admired Dryden almost as much as he could any one, has yet, from his proneness to critical censure, very much exaggerated the poet's defects. "His faults of negligence are beyond recital. Such is the unevenness of his compositions, that ten lines are seldom found together without something of which the reader is ashamed." This might be true, or more nearly true, of other poets of the seventeenth century. Ten good consecutive poets of the seventeenth century. Ten good consecutive lines will, perhaps, rarely be found, except in Denham, Davenant, and Waller. But it seems a great exaggeration as to Dryden. I would particularly instance Mac Flecknoe as a poem of about four hundred lines, in which no one will be condemned as weak or negligent, though three or four are rather too ribaldrous for our taste. There are also passages, much exceeding ten lines, in Absalom and Achitophel, as well as in the later works, the Fables, which excite in the reader

nooe of the shame for the poet's carelessness, with which Johnson has farmshed him

41 The argumentative talents of Dryden appear, more or less, to the greater part of his poetry, reason in the present of this poetry, reason in the present of the property than in prose. His productions more exclusively reasoning are the Religio Laici and the Hiod and Panther The latter of the production of the property way an extraordinory poem. It was written in the hey day of exultation, by a recent prosely to to u winning side, as he dreamed it to be, by one who never spared a weaker foe, nor repressed his triumph with a dignified moderation. A year was hardly to clapse before he exchanged this falaess of pride for an old age of disappointment and even his satire was not less severe.

42. The first hoes in the Hind and Panther are jurily repoted among the most mosteal in our language, it markets and perhaps we observe their rhythin the better because it does not gain much by the sense, for the allegory and the fable are seen, even in this commencement, to be awkwardly blended Yet, notwithstanding their evident in coherence, which sometimes leads to the vergo of absurdity, and the focility they give to ridicule, I am not sure that Dryden was wrong in choosing this singular fiction It was his aim to bring forward an old orgument in as aovel a style as he coold, o dialogue between n priest and o parson would have made but a dull poem, even if it had cootmood some of the excellent paragraphs we read to the Hand and Panther It is the grotesqueness and originality of the fable that give this poem its peculiar zest, of which no reader, I cooccive, is inscouble, and it is also by this means that Drydeo has cootrived to relieve his reasoning by short but beautiful tooches of description, such as the sodden stream of light from heaven which occounces the conception of James s unfortunate heir oear the end of the second book

43 The wit is the Hiod and Paother is sharp, ready, and pleasant, the reasoning is sometimes admirably close and strong, it is the energy of Bossuet to verse. I

do not know that the main argument of the Roman church could be better stated, all that has been well said for tradition and authority, all that serves to expose the inconsistencies of a vacillating protestantism, is in the Hind's mouth. It is such an answer as a candid man should admit to any doubts of Dryden's sincerity. He who could argue as powerfully as the Hind may well be allowed to have thought himself in the right. Yet he could not forget a few bold thoughts of his more sceptical days, and such is his bias to sarcasm that he cannot restrain himself from reflections on kings and priests when he is most contending for them."

44. The Fables of Dryden, or stories modernised from Boccaccio and Chaucer, are at this day probably the most read and the most popular of Dryden's poems. They contain passages of so much more impressive beauty, and are altogether so far more adapted to general sympathy than those we have mentioned, that I should not hesitate to concur in this judgment. Yet Johnson's accusation of negligence is better supported by these than by the earlier poems. Whether it were that age and misfortune, though they had not impaired the poet's vigoui, had rendered its continual exertion more wearisome, or, as is perhaps the better supposition, he reckoned an easy style, sustained above prose, in some places, rather by metre than expression, more fitted to nariation, we find much which might appear slovenly to critics of Johnson's The latter seems, in fact, to have conceived, like Milton, a theory, that good writing, at least in verse, is never either to follow the change of fashion, or to sink into familiar phrase, and that any deviation from this rigour should be branded as low and colloquial. But Dryden wrote on a different plan. He thought like Ariosto, and like Chaucer Immself, whom he had to improve, that a story, especially when not heroic, should be told in easy and flowing language, without too much difference from that of prose, relying on his harmony, his occasional inversions, and his concealed skill in the choice of words, for its effect on the reader. He found

^{*} By education most have been misled, So they believe because they so were bred The priest continues what the nurse began, And thus the child imposes on the man Part ili

[&]quot;Call you this backing of your friends?" his new allies might have said

also a tone of popular idiom, not perhaps old English idiom, but such as had crept into society, current among his contemporaries, and though this has in many cases now become in sufferably vulgar, and in others looks like affectation, we should make some allowance for the times in condemning it. This last blemish, however, is not much imputable to the Fables. Their beauties are immunerable, yet few are very well chosen, some, as Guiscard and Sigismunda, he has injured through coarseness of mind, which neither years nor religion had purified, and we want in all the power ever emotion, the charm of sympathy, the skilful arrangement and selection of circumstance, which narrative poetry clums, as its highest graces

45 Dryden's fame as a lyric poet depends a very little on his Ode on Mrs. Killigrew's death, but almost en tirely on that for St. Ceciliu's Day, commonly called the factor of the former which is much praised by Johnson, has a few fine lines, imigled with a far greater number ill conceived and ill expressed, the whole composition has that spirit which Dryden hardly ever wanted, but it is too faulty for high praise. The latter used to pass for the best work of Dryden and the hest ode in the lan guage. Many would now agree with me that is neither one nor the other, and that it was rather over rated during a period when criticism was not ut a high point. Its beauties indeed are undenable, it has the raciness, the rapidity, the mastery of language which belong to Dryden, the transitions are animated, the contrasts effective. But few lines are highly poetical, and some sink to the level of n common drinking song. It has the defects, as well as the morits of that poetry which is written for musical necompaniment.

46 Of Dryden as a translator it is needless to say much In some instances, us in an ode of Horace, he has done extremely well, but his Virgil is, in my upprelienson, the least successful of his chief works. Lines of consummate excellence are frequently shot, like threads of gold, through the web, but the general texture is of an ordinary material. Dryden was little fitted for a translator of Virgil, his mind was more rapid and vehement thau that of his original, but by far less elegant and judicious. This

translation seems to have been made in haste; it is more negligent than any of his own poetry, and the style is often almost studiously, and as it were spitefully, vulgar.

47. The supremacy of Dryden from the death of Milton in 1674 to his own in 1700 was not only unapproached by any English poet, but he held almost a complete monopoly of English poetry. This latter period of the seventeenth century, setting aside these two great names, is one remarkably sterile as postered games. is one remarkably sterile in poetical genius. Under the first Stuarts, men of warm imagination and sensibility, though with deficient taste and little command of language, had done some honour to our literature; though once neglected, they have come forward again in public esteem, and if not very extensively read, have been valued by men of kindred minds full as much as they deserve. The versifiers of Charles II. and William's days have experienced the opposite fate; popular for a time, and long so far known at least by name as to have entered rather largely into collections of poetry, they are now held in no regard, nor do they claim much favour from just criticism. Their object in general was to write like men of the world, with ease, wit, sense, and spirit, but dreadmen of the world, with ease, wit, sense, and spirit, out dreading any soaring of fancy, any ardour of moral emotion, as the probable source of ridicule in their readers. Nothing quenches the flame of poetry more than this fear of the prosaic multitude, unless it is the community of habits with this very multitude, a life such as these poets generally led, of taverus and brothels, or, what came much to the same, of the court. We cannot say of Dryden, that "he bears no traces of those sable streams," they sully too much the plumage of that stately swan, but his indomitable genius carries him upwards to a purer empyrean. The test are just distinguishable from one another, not by any high gifts of the muse, but by degrees of spirit, of ease, of poignancy, of skill and harmony in versification, of good sense and acuteness. They may easily be disposed of. Cleveland is sometimes humorous, but succeeds only in the lightest kinds of poetry. Marvell wrote sometimes with more taste and feeling than was usual, but his satires are gross and stupid. Oldham, far superior in this respect, ranks perhaps next to Dryden, he is spirited and pointed, but his versification is so Some minor poets enu-merated

too negligent, and his subjects temporary Roscommon, one of the best for harmony and correctness of language, has httle vigour, but he nover offends, and Pope has justly praised his "nuspotted bays." Mulgrave affects case and spirit, but his Essay on Satire belies the supposition that Dryden had any share in it. Rochester, endewed by nutare with more considerable and varied genius, might have raised himself to a higher place than he holds. Of Otway, Duke, and several more, it is not worth while to give any character The Re volution did nothing for poetry, William's reign, always ex cepting Dryden, is our nadir in works of imagination Then camo Bluckmere with his epic poems of Prince Arthar and King Arthar, and Pomfret with his Choice, both popular in their own age, and both intolerable by their frigid and tamo monotony in the next. The lighter poetry, meantime, of song and epigram did not sink along with the serious, the state of society was much less adverso to it. Rochester, Dorset, and some more whose names are unknown, or not easily traced, do credit to the Carolino period

48 In the year 1000, n poem was published, Garth's Dispensary, which deserves attention, not so much for its own ment, though it comes nearest to Drydon, at whatever interval, as from its indicating a transitional state in our versification The general structure of the couplet through the seventeenth century may be called abnormous, the sense is not only often carried beyond the second line, which the French uvoid, but the second line of one couplet and the first of the next are not seldom united in a single sentence or a portion of one, so that the two, though not rhyming, must be read as a couplet. The former, when as dexterously managed as it was by Dryden, adds much to the beauty of the general versification, but the latter, u sort of adultery of the lines already wedded to uther companions at rhymo's altar, can scarcely ever be pleasing, unless it be in narrative poetry where it muy bring the sound nearer to prose A tendency, however, tu the French rule of constantly termin ating the sense with the couplet will be perceived to have in creased from the Restoration Rescommen seldem deviates from it, and in long passages of Dryden himself there will hardly be found an exception But, perhaps, it had not been so

uniform in any former production as in the Dispensary. The versification of this once famous mock-heroic poem is smooth and regular, but not forcible; the language clear and neat; the parodies and allusions happy. Many lines are excellent in the way of pointed application, and some are remembered and quoted, where few call to mind the author. remarked that Garth enlarged and altered the Dispensary in almost every edition, and what is more uncommon, that every alteration was for the better. This poem may be called an imitation of the Lutrin, inasmuch as but for the Lutrin it might probably not have been written, and there are even particular resemblances. The subject, which is a quarrel between the physicians and apothecaries of London, may vie with that of Boileau in want of general interest; yet it seems to afford more diversity to the satirical poet. Garth, as has been observed, is a link of transition between the style and turn of poetry under Charles and William, and that we find in Addison, Prior, Tickell, and Pope, during the reign of Anne.

SECT. IV. - ON LATIN POETRY.

49. The Jesuits were not unmindful of the credit their Latin poets Latin verses had done them in periods more favourable to that exercise of taste than the present. Even in Italy, which had ceased to be a very genial soil, one of their number, Ceva, may deserve mention. His Jesus Puer is a long poem, not inelegantly written, but rather singular in some of its descriptions, where the poet has been more solicitous to adorn his subject than attentive to its proper character, and the same objection might be made to some of its episodes. Ceva wrote also a philosophical poem, extolled by Corniani, but which has not fallen into my hands.* Averani, a Florentine of various erudition, Cappellari, Stiozzi, author of a poem on chocolate, and

^{*} Corniani, viii 214 , Salfi, xiv 257

several others, both within the order of Loyela and without it, cultivated Latin poetry with some success.* But, though some might be superior as poets, none were mere remarkable or famous than Sergardi, best known by some biting satires under the nome of Q Sections, which he levelled at his personal enemy Gravina. The reputation, indeed, of Gravina with posterity has not been affected by such libels, but they are not wanting either in poignaucy and spirit, or in a command of Latin phrase.†

50 The superiority of France in Latin verse was ne lenger contested by Holland or Germony Several or France poets of real ment belong to this period. This first Challeng in time was Claudo Quillet, who, in his Callipsedia, bears the Latinised name of Lett. This is written with much elegance of style and a very larmemons versification. No writer has a more Virgilian cadence. Though inferior to Sammartha nus, he may be reckoned high among the French poets. Hu has been repreached with too open an exposition of some parts of his subject, which applies only to the second book.

51 The Latin poems of Menage are not unpleasing, he has indeed no great fire or originality, but the har monious complets glide over the car, and the mind is pleased to recognise the tesselated fragments of Ovid and Tbinlius. His affected passion for Mademoiselle Lavergne and lamentations obout her cruelty are ludicrous enough, when we consider the character of the man, as Vodius in the l'emmes Savantes of Mohère. They are perfect models af want of truth, but it is a want in truth to noture, not to the conventional forms of modern Latin yorse.

52 A far superior performance is the poem on gardens by the Jeant Réné Rapin Far skill in varying and hadron moderning his subject, for a truly Virgilian spirit in superson, for the exclusion of feeble, pressie, in involved lines, he may perhaps be equal to may poet, to Sammarthanas, or to Samazarius himself His cadences are generally very grantfying to the car, and in this respect he is much above Vida. Dut his subject, in his genius, has prevented

him from using very high; he is the poet of gardens, and what gardens are to nature, that is lie to mightier poets. There is also too monotonous a repetition of nearly the same images, as in his long enumeration of flowers in the first book; the descriptions are separately good, and great artifice is shown in varying them; but the variety could not be sufficient to remove the general sameness that belongs to an horticultural catalogue. Rapin was a great admirer of box and all topiary works, or trees cut into artificial forms.

53. The first book of the Gardens of Rapin is on flowers, the second on trees, the third on waters, and the fourth on fruits. The poem is of about 3000 lines, sustained with equa-All kinds of graceful associations are mingled with the description of his flowers, in the fanciful style of Ovid and Daiwin; the violet is Ianthis, who lurked in valleys to shun the love of Apollo, and stamed her face with purple to preserve her chastity; the rose is Rhodanthe, proud of her beauty, and worshipped by the people in the place of Diana, but changed by the indignant Apollo to a tree, while the populace, who had adored her, are converted into her thorns, and her chief lovers into snails and butterflies. A tendency to concert is perceived in Rapin, as in the two poets to whom we have just compared him. Thus, in some pretty lines, he supposes Nature to have "tried her prentice hand" in making a convolvulus before she ventured upon a hly.*

54. In Rapin there will generally be remarked a certain redundancy, which fastidious critics might call tautology of expression. But this is not uncommon in Virgil. Georgics have rarely been more happily imitated, especially

hands of every one who has taste for Latin poetry, I will give as a specimen the introduction to the second book -

Me nemora atque omnis nemorum pulcherri-

Ale nemora atque omnis nemorum pulcherrimus ordo,
Et spatia umbrandum latè fundanda per hortum
Invitant, hortis nam si florentibus umbra
Abfuerit, reliquo deerit sua gratia ruri
Vos grandes luci et slivæ aspirate canenti,
Is mihi contingat vestro de munere ramus,
Unde sacri quando velant sua tempora vates,
Ipse et amem meritam capiti imposuisse coronam

Jam se cantanti frondosa cacumina quercus Inclinant, plauduntque comis nemora alta coru-

Ipsa mihi læto fremitu, assensuque secundo E totis plausum responsat Gallia silvis

Nec me deindo suo tenent clamore Citheron, Menalaque Arcadicis totics lustrata deabus, Menalaque Arcadicis totics lustrata deabus, Non Dodonæl saltus silvæque Molorchi, Aut nigris laté lileibus nemorosa Calydne, It quos carminibus eciebravit fabula lucos Una meos cantus telius jam Franca moretur, Quæ tot nobilibus passim lætissima silvis, Conspicienda sul laté miracula ruris. Ostendit, lucisque solum commendat amænis

One or two words in these lines are not strictly correct, but they are highly Virgilian, both in manner and rhythm

* Et tu rumpis humum, et muito te sloro pro-fundis, Qui riguas inter serpis, convolvule, valles, Duice rudimentum meditantis Illia quondam Naturæ, cum sese opera ad majora pararet

in their didactic parts, than by Rapin 10 the Gardens, but be has not the high flights of his pretotype, his digressions are short and belong closely to the subject, we flavo no plague, no civil war, no Eurydice. If he praises Louis XIV, it is more as the founder of the garden of Versulles, than as the conqueror of Flanders, though his concluding lines omu late, with no nuworthy spirit, those of the last Georgic. It may be added, that some French critics have thought the famous poem of Dehlle on the same subject inferior to that of Rapin

55 Santeal (or Santolus) has been reckoned one of the best Latin poets whom France ever produced. He began by celebrating the victories of Louis and the virtues of contemporary heroes. A nobleness of thought and a splendour of language distinguish the poetry of Santoul, who formshed many toscriptions for public monuments. The hymns which he afterwards wrote for the breviary of the chirch of Ports have been still more admired, and at the request of athers he cularged his collection of sacred verse. But I have not read the poetry of Santeul, and give only the testimony of Freech critics.

56 England night justly boast, in the earlier part of the century, her Milton, any, I do not know that, with the exception of a well known and very pleasing process though perhaps hardly of classical simplicity, by Cowley on himself, Epitaplaum Vivi Auctoria, we can produce any thing equally good in this period. The Latin verse of Barrow is forcible and full of mind, but not sufficiently redolent of uniquity ‡ Yet versification became about the time of the Restoration, if not the distinctive study at least the favourite exercise, of the university of Oxford. The collection entitled Museo Anglicance, published near the end of the century, contains little from any other quarter. Many of these poems relate to the political themes of the day, and

Hase maged insisten westigts sacra Marcule, mayor hortenst, Claim de morte canebane, Lastela in magas 1 que tempore Francisca talms lege besta sou, rebusque moperha secmella, Et para per populos laté dars pura volocita. Compente, et toti jara socresa impessore mondo. A Ballile When Perfections.

Baillet. Blogr Universelle.
The following stances on an erring conscience will sufficiently prove this —

Tyrame Ins, fix temeraria, lands dors, ignobile visculira, Bidas dolorm, analysas presents, I geomi labyrinabe oil. Assoura errors, invalida potes Alextia propago, quan retulti Dees Mach, ed orte principatum Attribuit, regimenque analysis, &c.

eulogise the reigning king, Charles, James, or William, others are on philosophical subjects, which they endeavour to decorate with classical phrase. Their character does not, on the whole, pass mediocrity; they are often incorrect and somewhat turgid, but occasionally display a certain felicity in adapting ancient lines to their subject, and some liveliness of invention. The golden age of Latin verse in England was yet to come.

CHAPTER VI

HISTORY OF DRAMATIC LITERATURE, FROM 1650 TO 1700

SECT I

Racine - Minor French Tragediens - Mobile - Regnard, and other C me

TEW tragedies or dramatic works of any kind are now recorded by historians of Italian literature, those of Delfino, afterwards patriarch of Aquilian, which are esteemed among the best, were possibly written before the middle of the century, and were not published till after its termination The Corradine of Caraccie, in 1694, was also valued at the time . Nor can Spain arrest us longer, the school of Calderon in national comedy extended no doubt beyond the death of Philip IV in 1665, and many of his own religious pieces are of as late a dato, nur werk numes wholly wanting, which are said to merit remembrance, in the feeble reign of Charles II, but they must be left for such as make a particular study of Spanish literature † Wu are called to n nubler stage.

2. Corneille belungs in his glury to the earlier period of this century, though his inferior tragedies, mure Rectors for numerous than the better, would fall within the later trapelles. Fontenelle, judeed, as a devuted adulirer, attributes considerable ment to those which the general veice both of critics and of the public had condemued # Meantime, another luminary

Hlet, du Théâtre François, in Œuvres de Fontenelle III. 111 8ŧ.

Walker's Memoir on Italian Trs- Evremond also despised the French gedy p 201 Self, xll, 57 † Bouterwek,

public for not admiring the Sophonishe of Cornellie, which he had made too Roman for their taste. 1 .

arose on the opposite side of the horizon. The first tragedy of Jean Racine, Les Frères Ennemis, was represented in 1664, when he was twenty-five years of age. It is so far below his great works as to be scarcely mentioned, yet does not want indications of the genius they were to display. Alexandre, in 1665, raised the young poet to more distinction. It is said that he showed this tragedy to Corneille, who praised his versification, but advised him to avoid a path which he was not fitted to tread. It is acknowledged by the advocates of Racine that the characters are feebly drawn, and that the conqueror of Asia sinks to the level of a hero in one of those romances of gallanting which had vitiated the taste of France.

3. The glory of Racine commenced with the representation of his Andromaque in 1667, which was not printed till the end of the following year. He was now at once compared with Cornelle, and the scales long continued to oscillate. Criticism, satire, epigrams, were unsparingly launched against the rising poet. But his rival pursued the worst policy by obstinately writing bad tragedies. The public naturally compare the present with the present, and forget the past. When he gave them Pertharite, they were dispensed from looking back to Cinna. It is acknowledged even by Fontenelle that, during the height of Racine's fame, the world placed him at least on an equality with his predecessor; a decision from which that critic, the relation and friend of Corneille, appeals to what he takes to be the verdict of a later age.

4. The Andromaque was sufficient to show that Racine had more skill in the management of a plot, in the display of emotion, in power over the sympathy of the spectator, at least where the gentler feelings are concerned, in beauty and grace of style, in all except nobleness of character, strength of thought, and impetuosity of language. He took his fable from Euripides, but changed it according to the requisitions of the French theatre and of French manners. Some of these changes are for the better, as the substitution of Astyanax for an unknown Molossus of the Greek tragedian, the supposed son of Andromache by Pyrrhus. "Most of those," says Racine himself very justly, "who have heard of Andromache, know her only as the widow of Hector and the mother

of Astyanax. They cannot recouch themselves to her loving another husband and another sou" And he has finely improved this happy idea of preserving Astyanax, by making the Greeks, jealous of his name, send an embassy by Orestes to demand his life, at ouce deepening the interest and deve-

loping the plot.

5 The female characters Andromache and Hermioue, uro drawn with all Racine's delicate perception of ideal beauty, the one, indeed, prepared for his hand by those great masters in whose school he had disciplined his own gifts of nature, Homer, Enripides, Virgil, the other more original and more full of dramatic effect. It was, as we are told, the fine act 10g of Mademoiselle de Champmelé in this part, generally reckoned one of the most difficult on the French stage, which secured the success of the play Racine, after the first re presentation, threw himself at her feet in a transport of gra titude, which was soon changed to love. It is more easy to consure some of the other characters Pyrrhus is bold, hanghty, passionate, the true sou of Achilles, except where he appears as the lover of Andromache. It is iocooccurable and truly ridicolous that n Greek of the heroic age, and such a Greek as Pyrrhus is represented by those whose imagina tion has given him existence, should feel the respectfol passion towards his captive which we might reasonably expect in the remances of chivalry, or chould express it in the tone of conventional gallentry that suited the court of Versailles But Orestes is far worse, love-mud, and yet talking in gal lant concerts, cold and pointe, be discredits the poet, the tra gedy, and the sou of Agamemuon himself It is better to kill oue's mother than to utter such trash In hinting that the previous maduess of Orestes was for the love of Her mione, Racine has presumed too much on the ignorance, and too much on the bad taste, of his audiouce. But far more injudicious is his fantastic remorse and the supposed vision of the Furies in the last scene. It is astonishing that Racino should have challenged comparison with one of the most celebrated scenes of Euripides in circumstances that deprived him of the possibility of rendering hie own effective. For the style of the Andromeque it abounds with grace and beauty, but there ere, to my epprehension more insipid and feeblo

lines, and a more effeminate tone, than in his later tra-

gedies.

6. Britannicus appeared in 1669; and in this admirable play Racine first showed that he did not depend on the tone of gallantry usual among his courtly hearers, nor on the languid sympathies that it excites. Terror and pity, the twin spirits of tragedy, to whom Aristotle has assigned the great moral office of purifying the passions, are called forth in their shadowy forms to sustain the consummate beauties of his diction. His subject was original and happy; with that historic truth which usage required, and that poetical probability which fills up the outline of historic truth without disguising it. What can be more entirely dramatic, what more terrible in the sense that Aristotle means, (that is, the spectator's sympathy with the dangers of the innocent,) than the absolute master of the world, like the veiled prophet of Khorasan, throwing off the appearances of virtue, and standing out at once in the maturity of enormous guilt? A presaging gloom, like that which other poets have sought by the hacknied artifices of superstition, hangs over the scenes of this tragedy, and deepens at its close. We sympathise by turns with the guilty alarms of Agrippina, the virtuous consternation of Burrhus, the viigin modesty of Junia, the unsuspecting ingenuousness of Britannicus. Few tragedies on the French stage, or indeed on any stage, save those of Shakspeare, display so great a variety of contrasted characters. None, indeed, are meffective, except the confidante of Agrippina; for Narcissus is very far from being the mere confidant of Neio, he is, as in history, his preceptor in crime, and his cold villainy is well contrasted with the fierce passion of the despot. The criticisms of Fontenelle and others on small incidents in the plot, such as the concealment of Nero behind a curtain that he may hear the dialogue between Junia and Britannicus, which is certainly more fit for comedy*, ought not to weigh against such excellence as we find in all the more essential requisites of a tragic drama. Racine had much improved his language since Andromaque, the conventional phraseology about flames and fine eyes,

^{*} It is, however, taken from Tacitus

though not wholly relinquished, is less frequent, and if he has not here reached, as he never did, the peculiar im petuosity of Corneille, nor given to his Romans the grandenr of his predecessor a conception, he is full of lines wherein, as every word is effective, there can hardly be any deficiency of vigonr. It is the vigonr indeed of Virgil, not of Lucan 7. In one passage, Racine has, I think, excelled Shak

7 In one passage, Racine has, I think, excelled Shak speare. They have both taken the same idea from Plutarch The lines of Shakspeare are in Antony and Cleopatra —,

Thy demon, that's the spirit that keeps thee, is
Noble, courageous, high, unmatchable

Where Centr's is not; but near him, thy singel

Becomes a fear as being o expowered.

These are, to my apprehension, not very forcible, and obscure even to those who know, what many do not, that by "affear" he meant a common gohha, a supernatural being of a more plebenan rank than a demon or angel The single verse of Racine is magnificent

Mon génie étouné tremble devant le sien,

8 Berenice, the next tragedy of Racine, is a surprising proof of what can be done by a great master, but it must be admitted that it wants many of the essential qualities that are required in the drama. It might almost be compared with Timon of Athens by the absence of fible and movement. For nobleness and delicacy of sentiment, for grace of style, it deserves every praise, but is rather tedions with a clear, and move he are move so or the stage. This is the only tragedy of Racine, noless perhaps we except Athalie, in which the story presents an evident moral, but no poet is more uniformly moral in his sentiments. Cornelle, to whom the want of dramatic fable was never any great objection, attempted the subject of Berenice about the same time with far inferior success. It required what he could not give, the picture of two hearts struggling against a noble and a blame-less love.

9 It was nofortunate for Racine that he did not more frequently break through the prejudices of the French theatre in favour of classical subjects. A field was a popen of almost boundless extent, the inedieval history of

Europe, and especially of France herself. His predecessor had been too successful in the Cid to leave it doubtful whether an audience would approve such an innovation at the hands of a favoured tragedian. Racine however did not venture on a step which in the next century Voltaire turned so much to account, and which made the fortune of some inferior tragedies. But considering the distance of place equivalent, for the ends of the drama, to that of time, he founded on an event in the Tuikish history not more than thirty years old, his next tragedy, that of Bajazet. The greater part indeed of the fable is due to his own invention. Bajazet is reckoned to fall below most of his other tragedies in beauty of style; but the fable is well connected; there is a great deal of movement, and an unintermitting interest is sustained by Bajazet and Atalide, two of the noblest characters that Racine has drawn. Atalide has not the ingenuous simplicity of Junie, but displays a more dramatic flow of sentiment and not less dignity or tenderness of soul. The character of Roxane is conceived with truth and spirit; nor is the resemblance some have found in it to that of Hermione greater than belongs to forms of the same type. Acomat, the vizir, is more a favourite with the French critics, but in such parts Racine does not rise to the level of Corneille. No poet is less exposed to the imputation of bombastic exaggeration; yet in the two lines with which Acomat concludes the fourth act, there is at least an approach to burlesque; and one can hardly say that they would have been out of place in Tom Thumb: --

> Mourons, moi, cher Osmin, comme un vizir, et toi, Comme le favori d'un homme tel que moi

has been thought to have wrestled against Corneille on his own ground, the display of the unconquerable mind of a hero. We find in the part of Mithridate, a great depth of thought in compressed and energetic language. But, unlike the masculine characters of Corneille, he is not merely sententious. Racine introduces no one for the sake of the speeches he has to utter. In Mithridates he took what history has delivered to us, blending with it no improbable fiction according to the manners of the East. His love for Monime

has nothing in it extraordinary, or nohike what we might expect from the king of Pentes, it is a fierce, a jealous, a vindictive lave, the necessities of the French language alone, and the usages of the French theatre, could make it appear feeble. His two sons are naturally less effective, but the luveliness of Montme yields to no female character of Racine. There is something not quite satisfactory in the stratagems which Mithridates employs to draw from her a confession of her love for his son. They are not uncongenied to the historic character, but according to our chivalrous standard of heroism, seem derogatory to the poetical

711 Iphigenie followed in 1674 In this Racine had again tn contend with Euripides in one of his most celebrated tragedies. He had even, in the character spacetime. of Achilles, to contend, not with Homer himself, yet with the Homeric associations familiar to every classical schular love, in fect, of Achilles, and his politeness towards Clytem nestra, are natexempt from a tone of gallantry e little repugnint to our conception of his mooners Yet the Achilles of Homer is neither is capable of lave nor of courtesy, so that there is no essential repugnance to his character That of Iphigenia in Euripides has been consured by Aristotle as inconsistent, her extreme distress of the first prospect of death being followed by on unusual displey of courage. Hurd has taken upon him the defence of the Greek tragedian, and observes, ofter Brumoy, that the Iphigenin of Racine being mudelled rather according to the comment of Aristotle thee the example of Euripides, is so much the worse. But his apolingy is too subtle, and requires too lung reflection, for the ordinary spectatur, and though Shakspeare might have menaged the transition of feeling with some of his wonderful knowledge of human nature, it is certainly presented too crudely by Euripides, and much in the styln which I have alsowhere abserved to be too esual with our old dramatists. Iphigenia of Racino is not a character, like those of Shak speare, and of him perhaps alone, which nothing less than? intense meditation can develop to the reader, but one which a good actress might compass and a commun spectator under

stand. Racine, like most other tragedians, wrote for the stage; Shakspeare aimed at a point beyond it, and some-

times too much lost sight of what it required.

12. Several critics have censured the part of Eriphile. Yet Fontenelle, prejudiced as he was against Racine, admits that it is necessary for the catastrophe, though he cavils, I think, against her appearance in the earlier part of the play, laying down a rule, by which our own tragedians would not have chosen to be tried, and which seems far too rigid, that the necessity of the secondary characters should be perceived from their first appearance.* The question for Racine was in what manner he should manage the catastrophe. fabulous truth, the actual sacrifice of Iphigenia, was revolting to the mind, that even Euripides thought himself obliged to depart from it. But this he effected by a contrivance impossible on the French stage, and which would have changed Racine's tragedy to a common melo-drame. It appears to me that he very happily substituted the character of Eriphile, who, as Fontenelle well says, is the hind of the fable; and whose impetuous and somewhat disorderly passions both furnish a contrast to the ideal nobleness of Iphigenia throughout the tragedy, and reconcile us to her own fate at the close.

13. Once more, in Phédre, did the great disciple of Euripides attempt to surpass his master. tragedies the character of Phædra herself throws into shade all the others, but with this important difference, that in Euripides her death occurs about the middle of the piece, while she continues in Racine till the conclusion. The French poet has borrowed much from the Greek, more perhaps than in any former drama, but has surely heightened the interest, and produced a more splendid work of genius. I have never read the particular criticism in which Schlegel has endeavoured to elevate the Hippolytus above the Phédre. Many, even among French critics, have objected to the love of Hippolytus for Aricia, by which Racine has deviated from the older mythological tradition, though not without the authority of Virgil. But we are hardly tied to all the cucumstance of fable, and the cold young huntsman loses nothing in the eyes of a modern reader by a virtuous

[·] Réflexions sur la Poetique Œuvres de l'ontenelle, vol in p 149

attachment. This tragedy is said to be more open to verbal, criticism than the Iphigauie, but in poetical beauty I do not know that Racine has over surpassed it. 'The description of the death of Hippolytus is perhaps his master piece. It is true that, according to the practice of our own stage, long descriptions, especially in olaborate language, are out of use, that it is not, at least, for the advocates of Euripides to blumo

14 The Phedre was represented in 1677, and after this its illustrious author seemed to renounce the stage Hispancreasing attachment to the Jansenists made it almost impossible, with any consistency, to promote an atmusement which they anothematised Bot be was induced, after many years, in 1689, by Madamo de Maintenon, to write Esther for the purpose of representation by the young ladies whose education she protected at St. Cyr Lether, though very mach praised for beauty of language, is admitted to possess little ment as a drama. Much indeed could not be expected in the circumstances. It was neted at St. Cyr, Louis applauded, and it is said that the Priace do Condé wept. The greatest praise of Eather is that it encouraged its author to write Athalie Once more restored to dramatic conceptions, his genius revived from sleep with no loss of the vigour of yesterday Ho was even more in Athalie than in Iphigenie and Britannicus This great work, published in 1691, with a royal prohibition to represent it on any theatre, stands by general consent at the head of all the tragelies of Rucine, for the grandear, simplicity, and suterest of the fuble, for dramatic terror, for theatrical effect, for clear and judicious management, for bold and forcible, rather than subtle delineation of character, for sablime sentiment and imagery It equals, if it does not, as I should incline to think, surpass, all the rest in the perfection of style, and is far more free from every defect, especially from feeblo politeness and gallantry, which of course the subject could not admit. It has been and that he gave himself, the preference to Phédre, but it is more extraordinary that not only his enemies, of whom there were many, but the public itself was for some years incapable of discovering the merit of Athalie. Boilenn declared it to bein master piece,

and one can only be astonished that any could have thought differently from Boileau. It doubtless gained much in general esteem when it came to be represented by good actors, for no tragedy in the French language is more peculiarly fitted for the stage.

- 15. The chorus which he had previously introduced in Esther was a very bold innovation (for the revival of what is forgotten must always be classed as innovation), and it required all the skill of Racine to prevent its appearing in our eyes an impertment excrescence. But though we do not, perhaps, wholly reconcile ourselves to some of the songs, which too much suggest, by association, the Italian opera, the chorus of Athalie enhances the interest as well as the splendour of the tragedy. It was indeed more full of action and scenic pomp than any he had written, and probably than any other which up to that time had been represented in France. The part of Athalie predominates, but not so as to eclipse the rest. The high-priest Joad is drawn with a stern zeal admirably dramatic, and without which the idolatrous queen would have trampled down all before her during the conduct of the fable, whatever justice might have ensued at the last. We feel this want of an adequate resistance to triumphant crime in the Rodogune of Corneille. No character appears superfluous or feeble, while the plot has all the simplicity of the Greek stage, it has all the movement and continual excitation of the modern.
 - beauty; they have the ideal grace and harmony of ancient sculpture, and bear somewhat of the same analogy to those of Shakspeare which that ait does to painting. Andromache, Monimia, Iphigenia, we may add Junia, have a dignity and faultlessness neither unnatural nor insipid, because they are only the ennobling and purifying of human passions. They are the forms of possible excellence, not from individual models, nor likely perhaps to delight every reader, for the same reason that more eyes are pleased by Titian than by Raffaelle. But it is a very narrow criticism which excludes either school from our admiration, which disparages Racine out of idolatry of Shakspeare. The latter, it is unnecessary for me to say, stands out of reach of all competition. But

it is not oo this account that we are to give up an anthor so admirable as Racine.

17 The chief faults of Racine mey partly be ascribed to the influence of national taste, though we must con fes. that Cornelle has better avoided them Though love with the former is always tragic and connected with the beroic passions, never appearing singly, as in several of oor own dramatists, yet it is sometimes unsoitable to the character, and still more frequently feeble end coortier like in the expression In this he complied too much with the times, but we must believe that he did not entirely feel that he Cornello had, even while Racine was in his glöry, a streneous band of supporters. Fontenelle, whing io the next century, declares that time has established a deci sion in which most seem to concur, that the first place is dee to the elder poet, the second to the younger, every one making the interval between them a little greater or less according to his taste. But Voltaire, La Harpe, and in general, I apprehend, the later French critics, have given the. preference to Racine. I presume to join my seffrage to theirs. Racine appears to me the seperior tragedian, and I must add that I think him next to Shakspeare among all the moderns The comparison with Lumpides is so natural that it can hardly be evoided Certaioly no tragedy of the Greek poet is so skilful or so perfect as Athalie or Britan nicus. The tedious scenes during which the ection is stag nant, the impertmences of useless, often perverse morality, the extroction, by bad management, of the sympathy that had been raised in the earlier part of a pley, the foolish alterna tion of repartees to a series of single lines, will never be found in Racine. But, when we look only at the highest excellences of Eoripides, there is, perhaps, o depth of pathos and an intensity of dramatic effect which Racino himself has not attaioed. The difference between the energy and sweetness of the two languages is so important in the comparison, that I shall give even this preference with some besitation

18 The style of Racine is exquisite. Perhaps he is second only to Virgil among all poets give the praise of this in the words of e mative

critic. "His expression is always so happy and so natural, that it seems as if no other could have been found; and every word is placed in such a manner that we cannot fancy any other place to have suited it as well. The structure of his style is such that nothing could be displaced, nothing added, nothing retrenched; it is one unalterable whole. Even his incorrectnesses are often but sacrifices required by good taste, nor would anything be more difficult than to write over again a line of Racine. No one has enriched the language with a greater number of turns of phrase; no one is bold with more felicity and discretion, or figurative with more grace and propriety; no one has handled with more command an idiom often rebellious, or with more skill an instrument always difficult; no one has better understood that delicacy of style which must not be mistaken for feebleness, and is, in fact, but that air of ease which conceals from the reader the labour of the work and the artifices of the composition, or better managed the variety of cadences, the resources of rhythm, the association and deduction of ideas. In short, if we consider that his perfection in these respects may be opposed to that of Virgil, and that he spoke a language less flexible, less poetical, and less harmonious, we shall readily believe that Racine is, of all mankind, the one to whom nature has given the greatest talent for versification."*

Corneille, was yet by the fertility of his pen, by the success of some of his tragedies, and by a certain reputation which two of them have acquired, the next name, but at a vast interval, to Racine. Voltaire says he would have enjoyed a great reputation but for that of his brother—one of those pointed sayings which seem to convey something, but are really devoid of meaning. Thomas Corneille is never compared with his brother; and probably his brother has been rather serviceable to his name with posterity than otherwise. He wrote with more purity, according to the French critics, and it must be owned that, in his Ariane, he has given to love a tone more passionate and natural than the manly scenes of the older tragedian ever present. This is

^{*} La Harpe, Eloge de Racine, as quoted by lumself in Cours de Litérature, vol vi

esteemed his best work, but it depends wholly on the printerpal character, whose tenderness and injuries excito nur sympathy, and from whose hips many lines of great beauty flow. It may be compared with the Berenice of Racine, represented but it short time before, there is enough of resemblance in the fibles in provoke comparison. That of Thomas Cornell o is more tragic, less destitute of theatrical movement, and consequently better chosen, but such relative praise is of hitle value, where none can be given, in this respect, to the object of comparison. We feel that the prose remance is the proper sphere for the display of an inflection, neither intrue to, nature nor unworthy to move the heart, but wanting the majesty of the tragic minse. An efferminacy un congenial to tragedy belongs to this play, and the termina is somewhat insight. The only other tragedy of the younger Cornelle that can be monitioned is the Earl of Essex. In this he has taken greater liberties with history than his critics in Ariane, it seems to engress, in a style rather too rounantic, both the here and his sovereign.

20 Neither of these tragedies, perhaps, deserves to be put on a level with the Minihas of La Poese, to M RED A which La Harpo accords the preference above all of La Porce the seventeenth century after those of Corneille ned Racine It is just to observe what is not denied, that the nother has borrowed the greater part of his story from the Vonice Preserved of Otway The Prench critics maintain that he has far excelled his original It is possible that we might hesi tate to own this general superinrity, but several blemishes have been removed and the conduct is perhaps more noble, or nt least more fitted to the French stage But when we take from La Fosse what belongs to another - characters strongly marked, sympathics powerfully contrasted a dovelopment of the plot probable and interesting, what will remain that is purely his nwn? There will remain a vigorous tone of language, a considerable power of description, and a skill in adapting, we may add with justice, in semetimes in proving, what he found in a foreign language. "We must pass over some other tracedies which have obtained less

honour in their native land, those of Duché, Quinault, and Campistron.

21. Molière is perhaps, of all French writers, the one whom his country has most uniformly admired, and in whom her critics are most unwilling to acknowledge faults; though the observations of Schlegel on the defects of Molière, and especially on his large debts to older comedy, are not altogether without foundation. Molière began with L'Etourdi in 1653, and his pieces followed rapidly till his death in 1673. About one half are in verse, I shall select a few without regard to order of time, and first one written in prose, L'Avaie.

22. Plautus first exposed upon the stage the wretchedness

of avarice, the punishment of a selfish love of gold, not only in the life of pain it has cost to acquire it, but in the terrois that it brings, in the disordered state of mind, which is haunted, as by some mysterious guilt, by the consciousness of secret wealth. The character of Euclio in the Aulularia is dramatic, and, as far as we know, original; the moral effect requires, perhaps, some touches beyond absolute probability, but it must be confessed that a few passages are overcharged. Mohère borrowed L'Avaie from this comedy, and I am not at present aware that the subject, though so well adapted for the stage, had been chosen by any intermediate dramaust. He is indebted not merely for the scheme of his play, but for many strokes of humour, to Plautus. But this takes off little from the merit of this excellent comedy. The plot is expanded without incongruous or improbable circumstances, new characters are well combined with that of Harpagon, and his own is at once more diverting and less extravagant than that of Euclio. The penurrousness of the latter, though by no means without example, leaves no room for any other object than the concealed treasure, in which his thoughts are concentred. But Molière had conceived a more complicated action. Harpagon does not absolutely starve the rats, he possesses horses, though he feeds them ill, he has servants, though he grudges them clothes, he even contemplates a marriage supper at his own expense, though he intends to have a bad one. He has evidently been compelled to make some sacrifices to the usages uf mankind, and is at once a mure common and a more theat trical character than Euclio. In other respects, they are much alike, their avarice has reached that point where it is without pride, the dread of losing their wealth has over powered the desire of being thought to possess it, and though this is a more natural incident in the manners of Greece than in those of France, yet the concealment of treasure even in the time of Mohère, was sufficiently frequent for dramatic probability. A general tone of selfishness, the usual source and necessary consequence of avarice, conspires with the latter quality to render Harpagun odious, and there wants but a little more poetical justice in the conclusion, which, leaves the casket in his possession.

23 Hurd has censured Molière without much justice "For the picture of the avuricious man Plautus and Molière huve presented us with a fantastic, impleasing draught of the passion of avarice." It may be answered to this, that Har pagon's character is, as has been said above, not so mere a delineation of the passion as that of Euclio But as a more general vindication of Molière, it should be kept in mind that every exhibition of a predominant passion within the compass of the five acts of a play must be coloured beyond the truth of nature, or it will not have time to produce its effect. This is one great advantage that romance possesses

uver the drama

24 If Ecole des Femmes is among the most diverting comedies of Molière. Yet it has in a remurkable degree what seems martificial to our own taste, and Femice contraveues of general precept of Horace, the action passes almost wholly in recital. But this is so well connected with the development of the plot and characters, and produces such unusing scenes, that no spectator of teast on the French theatre, would be sensible of any longuor. Arnolphe is an excellent modification of the type which Molière loved to reproduce, the selfish and moroso cyote, whose pretended hatred of the vices of the world springs from an absorbing regard to his own gratification. He has made him as malignant of the sound springs from a consorous, he delights in tales of scandal, he is pleased that Horace should be successful in gallantry, because it degrades ofters. The half writted and ill bred child, of whom he be

comes the dupe, as well as the two idiot servants, are delineated with equal vivacity. In this comedy we find the spirited versification, full of grace and humour, in which no one has rivalled Mohère, and which has never been attempted on the English stage. It was probably its merit which raised a host of petty detractors, on whom the author revenged himself in his admirable piece of satire, La Critique de l'Ecole des Femmes. The affected pedantry of the Hôtel Rambouillet seems to be ridiculed in this retaliation, nothing in fact could be more unlike than the style of Mohère to their own.

25. He gave another proof of contempt for the false taste of some Parisian circles in the Misanthrope; though the criticism of Alceste on the wretched sonnet forms but a subordinate portion of that famous comedy. It is generally placed next to Tartuffe among the works of Molière. Alceste is again the cymc, but more honourable and less openly selfish, and with more of a real disdam of vice in his misanthi opy. Rousseau, upon this account, and many others after hm, have treated the play as a vindication of insincerity against truth, and as making virtue itself ridiculous on the stage. This charge however seems uncanded; neither the rudeness of Alceste, nor the misanthropy from which it springs, are to be called virtues, and we may observe that he displays no positively good quality beyond sincerity, unless his ungrounded and improbable love for a coquette is to pass for such. It is true that the politeness of Philinthe, with whom the Misanthiope is contrasted, borders a little too closely upon flattery, but no oblique end is in his view, he flatters to give pleasure, and if we do not much esteem his character, we are not solicitous for his punishment. The dialogue of the Misanthiope is uniformly of the highest style; the female, and indeed all the characters, are excellently conceived and sustained: if this comedy fails of any thing at present, it is through the difference of manners, and perhaps, in representation, through the want of animated action on the stage.

26. In Les Femmes Savantes, there is a more evident personality in the characters, and a more malicious exposure of absurdity, than in the Misanthrope, but

the ridicule falling on a less numerons class, is not so well calculated to be appreciated by posterity. It is, however, both in reading and representation, a more amusing comedy in no one instance has Molèro delineated each variety of manners, in displayed so much if his inimitable guiety and power of fascinating the andience with very little plot, by the mere exhibition of human follies. The sature falls deservedly on pretenders in taste and literature, for whom Molère always testifies a hitterness of scorn in which we perceive some resentment of their criticisms. The shorter piece, entitled Les Préciences Ridicules, is another chaft directed at the literary ladies of Paris. They had provoked a dangerons enemy, but the good taste of the next age might be ascribed in great measure to his unmerciful exposure of affectation and pedantry.

27 It was not easy, so late as the age of Molièro, for the dramatist to find any untrodden field in the follies and vices of mankind But one had been reserved for him in Tartuffe - religions hypocrisy Wo should have expected the original draught of such a character on the English stage, nor had our old writers been forgetful of their inveterate enemies, the Puritane, who gave such full scope for their saure. But choosing rather the easy path of ridicule, they fell upon the starch dresses and quaint language nf the fanatical party, and where they exhibited these in con junction with hypocrisy, made the latter more ladicrous than hateful The Luke of Massinger is deeply and villamously dissembling, but does not wear so conspicuous a garb of reli gions sanctity as Tartuffe. The comedy of Molière is not only original in this character, but is a new creation in dra matic poetry It has been doubted by some critics, whether the depth of guilt that it exhibits, the serious hatred that it inspires, are not heyond the strict province of comedy But this seems rather a technical cavil If subjects such as the Tartuffe are not fit for comedy, they are at least fit for dra matic representation, and some new phrase most be invented to describe their class.

28 A different kind of objection is still sometimes made to this play, that it brings religion itself into suspicion And this would no doubt have been the case, if the contemporaries

of Mohère in England had dealt with the subject. But the boundaries between the reality and its false appearances are so well guarded in this comedy, that no reasonable ground of exception can be thought to remain. No better advice can be given to those who take umbrage at the Tartuffe than to read it again. For there may be good reason to suspect that they are themselves among those for whose benefit it was intended; the Tartuffes, happily, may be comparatively few, but while the Organs and Pernelles are numerous, they will not want their harvest. Mohère did not invent the prototypes of his hypocrite, they were abundant at Paris in his time.

hypocite, they were abundant at Pais in his time.

29. The interest of this play continually increases, and the fifth act is almost crowded by a rapidity of events, not so usual on the French stage as our own. Tartuffe limiself is a master-piece of skill. Perhaps in the cavils of La Bruyère there may be some justice; but the essayist has forgotten that no character can be rendered entirely effective to an audience without a little exaggeration of its attributes. Nothing can be more happily conceived than the credulity of the honest Orgon, and his more doting mother, it is that which we sometimes witness, incurable except by the evidence of the senses, and fighting every inch of ground against that. In such a subject there was not much opportunity for the comic talent of Mohère, yet in some well known passages, he has enlivened it as far as was possible. The Tartuffe will generally be esteemed the greatest effort of this author's genius; the Misanthrope, the Femmes Savantes, and the Ecole des Femmes will follow in various order, according to our tastes. These are by far the best of his comedies in verse. Among those in prose we may give the first place to L'Avare, and the next either to Le Bourgeois Gentilhomme, or to George Dandin.

on one hand the absurd vanity of plebenais in seeking the alliance or acquaintance of the nobility, on the other, the pride and meanness of the nobility themselves. They are both abundantly diverting, but the sallies of humour are, I think, more frequent in the first three acts of the former. The last two acts are improbable and less amusing. The shorter pieces of Molière border very

much apon farce, he permits himself more vulgarity of character, more grossness in language and incident, but his farces are seldom absurd, and nover duli

31 The French have claimed for Mohère, and few per

haps have disputed the pretension, a superiority over the all earlier and later writers of comedy. He certainly of Modern leaves Plantus, the original model of the school to which ho belonged, at a vast distance. The grace and geatlemanly elegance of Terence he has not equalled, but in the more appropriate merits of comedy, just and forcible delineation of character, skilfal contrivance of circumstances, and humorous dialogue, we must award him the prize The Italian and Spanish dramatists are quite unworthy to be aimed in comparison, and if the French theatro has, in later times, as is certainly the case, produced some excellent consedies, we have, I believe, no reason to contradict the suffrage of the nation itself, that they owe almost as much to what they have caught from this great model, as to the natural genius of their nuthors But it is not for as to alandon the rights of Shakapeare. In all things most essential to comedy, we cannot neknowledge his inferiority to Mohère. Ho had far more invention of characters, with an equal vivacity and force in their deliacation His humour was at least as abundant and natural, his wit incomparably more brilliant, in fact, Molière hardly exhibits this quality at all * The Merry Wives of Windsor, almost the only pare comedy of Shakspeare is sarely not disadvantageously compared with George Dandin or Le Boargeois Gentilhonime, or oven with L'Ecole des Femmes For the Tartaffo or the Misanthrope it is vain to seek a proper counterpart in Shakspeare, they belong to a different state of manners. But the powers of Mohère are directed with greater skill to their object, none of his energy is wasted, the spectator is not interrupted by the serious scenes of tragi comedy, nor his attention drawn aside by poe had the greater genius, but perhaps of Molière, that he had the greater genius, but perhaps of Molière, that he has written the best comedies Wo cannot at least put eay third

⁽A French critic upon the first edition of this work has supposed set to be Mollèrs, especially after-the calogies I the same as reject, and is justly settedated. have been pessing on thim.... 1842.

diamatist in competition with him. Fletcher and Jonson, Wycherley and Congreve, Farquhar and Sheridan, with great excellences of their own, fall short of his ment as well as of his fame. Yet in humorous conception, our admirable play, the Provoked Husband, the best parts of which are due to Vanbrugh, seems to be equal to any thing he has left. His spirited and easy versification stands of course untouched by any English rivalry; we may have been wise in rejecting verse from our stage, but we have certainly given the French a right to claim all the honour that belongs to it.

32. Racine once only attempted comedy. His wit was quick and sarcastic, and in epigram he did not spare deurs of his enemies. In his Plaideurs there is more of humour and stage-effect than of wit. The ridicule falls happily on the pedantry of lawyers and the folly of suitors; but the technical language is lost in great measure upon the audience. This comedy, if it be not rather a farce, is taken from The Wasps of Aristophanes, and that Rabelais of antiquity supplied an extravagance, very improbably introduced into the third act of Les Plaideurs, the trial of the dog. Far from improving the humour, which had been amusingly kept up during the first two acts, this degenerates into absurdity.

33. Regnard is always placed next to Molière among the Regnard—comic writers of France in this, and perhaps in any age. The plays, indeed, which entitle him to such a rank, are but few. Of these the best is acknowledged to be Le Joueur. Regnard, taught by his own experience, has here admirably delineated the character of an inveterate gamester, without parade of morality, few comedies are more usefully moral. We have not the struggling virtues of a Charles Surface, which the dramatist may feight that he may reward at the fifth act, Regnard has better painted the selfish ungrateful being, who, though not incapable of love, pawns his mistress's picture, the instant after she has given it to him, that he may return to the dice-box. Her just abandonment, and his own disgrace, terminate the comedy with a moral dignity which the stage does not always maintain, and which, in the first acts, the spectator does not expect. The other characters seem to me various, spirited, and

humorous, the valet of Valère the gamester is one of the best of that numerous class, to whom comedy has owed so much, but the pretended marquis, though diverting, talks too much like a genuine coxcomb of the world. Mohère did this better in Les Précienses Ridicules. Regnard is in this play full of those gay sallies which cannot be read without laughter, the incidents follow rapidly, there is more movement than in some of the best of Mehère's coincides, and the

speeches are net so prolix

34. Next to Le Joueur among Regnerd's comedies it has been usual to place Le Légature, not by any means The other inferior to the first in humonr and vivacity, but with less force of character, and more of the common tricks of The moral, instead of being excellent, is of the worst kind, being the success and dramatic reward of a gross frand, the forgery of a will by the here of the piece and his servant. This servant is however a very comical regue, end A similar censure might be passed on the comedy of Regnard which stands third in reputation, Les Menechmes The subject, as explained by the title, is old — twin brothers, whose nadistinguishable features are the source of endless confosion, but what neither Plantus nor Shakspeare have thought of, one avails himself of the likeness to receive a large sum of money due to the other, and is thought very generous at the close of the play when he restores a morety Of the plays founded on this diverting exaggeration. Regnard s is perhaps the best , he has more variety of inci dent than Plautus; and by leaving out the second pair of twins, the Dromie servants, who render the Comedy of Errers almost too mextricably confused for the spectator or reader, as well as by making one of the brothers aware of the mistake, and a party in the deception he has given an unity of plot instead of a series of mechanical blunders.

35 The Mère Coquette of Quinant appears a comedy of great merit. Without the fine traits of nature which guinant we find in those of Mohère, without the salles of Searant. himmour which enliven those of Regnard, with a versification perhaps not very fercible, it pleases us by a fable at once novel, as far as I knew, and natural, by the interesting

characters of the lovers, by the decency and tone of good company, which are never lost in the manners, the incidents, or the language. Boursault, whose tragedies are little esteemed, displayed some originality in Le Mercure Galant. The idea is one which has not unfrequently been imitated on the English as well as French stage, but it is rather adapted to the shorter drama than to a regular comedy of five acts. The Mercure Galant was a famous magazine of light periodical amusement, such as was then new in France, which had a great sale, and is described in a few lines by one of the characters in this piece.* Boursault places his hero, by the editor's consent, as a temporary substitute, in the office of this publication, and brings, in a series of detached scenes, a variety of applicants for his notice. A comedy of this kind is like a compound animal, a few chief characters must give unity to the whole, but the effect is produced by the successive personages who pass over the stage, display their humour in a single scene, and disappear. Boursault has been in some instances successful, but such pieces generally owe too much to temporary sources of amusement.

relatively to Mohère in farce, that Regnard does in the higher comedy. He came a little after the former, and when the piejudice that had been created against comedies in prose by the great success of the other kind had begun to subside. The Chevalier à la Mode is the only play of Dancourt that I know; it is much above farce, and if length be a distinctive criterion, it exceeds most coincides. This would be very slight praise, if we could not add that the reader does not find it one page too long, that the ridicule is poignant and happy, the incidents well contrived, the comic situations amusing, the characters clearly marked. La Harpe, who treats Dancourt with a sort of contempt, does not so much as mention this play. It is a satire on the pretensions of a class then rising, the rich financiers, which long supplied

Le Mercure est une bonne chose
On y trouve de tout, fable, histoire, vers, prose,
Sleges combats, procès mort, mariage, amour,
Nouvelles de province et nouvelles de cour —
Jamais livre à mon gré ne fut plus nécessaire
Act i scene 2

in 1672 by one Visé, it was intended to fill the same place as a critical record of polite literature, which the Journal des Sçavans did in learning and science.

materials, through dramatic caricature, to public malignity, and the envy of a less opulent pristogracy

S7 The life of Briegs is rather singular Born of a noble Huguenot family, he was early devoted to protestant theology, and even presumed to enter the lists against Bossnet. But that champion of the foith was like one of those knights in romance, who first unborse their rash antagonists, and then make them work as slaves. Brueys was soon converted, and betook himself to write against his former errors. He afterwards became an ecclesiastic. Thus far there is nothing much out of the common course in his lustory. But grown weary of hiving nlone, and having some natural than to comedy, he began, rather lote, to write for the stage, with the assistance, or perhaps only under the name, of a certain Palaprat. The ploys of Brueys had some success, but he was not in a position to delineate recent manners, and in the only comedy with which I am acquainted, Le Maet, he has borrowed the leading part of his story from Terence. The language seems deficient in vivacity, which, when there is no great buturalness or originality of character, cannot be dispensed with.

SS The French opera, after some ineffectual attempts by Mazarın to naturalise au Italian company, was successfully established by Lulli in 1672. It is the prerogative of music in the melo-drame to render poetry its dependent ally, but the airs of Lulli have been forgotten and the verses of his coadjutor Quiuanlt remain. Ho is not only the earliest, but by general consent the unrivalled poet of French music. Boilean indeed treated him with indeserved scorn, but probably through dislike of the tone he was obliged to preserve, which in the eyes of so stern n judge, and one so insensible to love, appeared langind and effeminate. Quinault nevertheless was not incapable of vigorous and impressive poetry, a lying graudent distinguishes some of his songs, he seems to possess great folicity of adorning every subject with appropriate imagery and sentiment, his versification has a smoothness and charm of melody which has made some say that the lines were already missic hefore they came to the composer's lands, his fables, whether taken from mythology or modern romance, display tovention and

skill. Voltaire, La Harpe, Schlegel, and the author of the life of Quinault in the Biographie Universelle, but most of all, the testimony of the public, have compensated for the severity of Boileau. The Armide is Quinault's latest and also his finest opera.

SECT. II. - ON THE ENGLISH DRAMA.

State of the Stage after the Restoration — Tragedics of Dryden, Olway, Southern — Comedies of Congreve and others

39. THE troubles of twenty years, and, much more, the fanatical antipathy to stage-plays which the predom-Revival of the English nant party affected, silenced the muse of the buskin, and broke the continuity of those works of the elder diamatists, which had given a tone to public sentiment as to the diama from the middle of Elizabeth's reign. Davenant had, by a sort of conmvance, opened a small house for the representation of plays, though not avowedly so called, near the Charter House in 1656. He obtained a patent after the By this time another generation had arisen, Restoration. and the scale of taste was to be adjusted anew. The fondness for the theatre revived with increased avidity; more splendid decoration, actors probably, especially Betterton, of greater powers, and above all, the attraction of female performers, who had never been admitted on the older stage, conspired with the keen appetite that long restraint produced, and with the general gaiety, or rather dissoluteness, of manners. Yet the multitude of places for such amusement was not as great as under the first Stuarts. Two houses only were opened under 10yal patents, granting them an exclusive privilege, one by what was called the King's Company, in Drury Lane, another by the Duke of York's Company, in Lincoln's Inn Fields Betterton, who was called the English Roscius, till Garrick claimed that title, was sent to Paris by Charles II., that, taking a view of the French stage, he might better judge of what would contribute to the improvement of our own. It has been said, and probably with truth, that he

introdoced movable scenes, instead of the fixed topeatry that had been hung across the stage, but this improvement he could not have borrowed from France The king not only conntenanced the theatre hy his patronage, but by so much personal notice of the chief actors, and so much interest in all the affinirs of the theatre, as elevated their condition

40 An actor of great talents is the best friend of the great dramatists, his own genins demands theirs charge of for its support and display, and a fine performer would as soon waste the powers of his hand on feeble music, as a man like Bettertoo or Garrick represent what is insipid or in bad taste. We know that the former, and some of his contemporaries, were celebrated in the great parts of our early stage, in those of Shakapeare and Fletcher But the change of public taste is sometimes irresistible by those who, as, in Johnson's antithesis, they "live to please, must please to live." Neither tragedy nor comedy was maintained at its proper level, and as the world is apt to demand novelty on this stage, the general tone of dramatic representation in this period, whatever credit it may have done to the performers, reflects little, in comparison with our golden age, npon those who wrote for them

41 It is observed by Scott, that the French theatre which was now thought to be in perfection, guided the criticism of Charles's coort, and afforded the pattern

enticism of Charles's coort, and afforded the pattern of those tragedies which continued in fashion for twenty years after the Restoration, and which were called rhyming or heroic plays. Though there is a general justice in this remark, I nm not aware that the inflated tone of these plays is unitated from any French tragedy, certainly there was number model in the best works of Cornelle. But Scott is more right in deriving the unnatural end pedantic dialogue which prevailed through these performances from the romances of Scudery and Calpreuède. These were, about the cra of the Restoration almost as popular among our indoleut gentry as in France, and it was to be expected that in style would gain ground in tragedy which is not so widely removed from what tragedy requires, but that an ordinary audience would fail to perceive the difference. There is but a narrow line between the subline and the tunid, the man

of business or of pleasure who frequents the theatre must have accustomed himself to make such large allowances, to put himself into a state of mind so totally different from his every-day habits, that a little extraordinary deviation from nature, far from shocking him, will rather show like a further advance towards excellence. Hotspur and Almanzor, Richard and Aurungzebe, seem to him cast in the same mould; beings who can never occur in the common walks of life, but whom the tragedian has, by a tacit convention with the audience, acquired a right of feigning like his ghosts and witches.

heroic, and written in rhyme, an uniovation which, of course, must be ascribed to the influence of the French theatre. They have occasionally much vigour of sentiment and much beautiful poetry, with a versification sweet even to lusciousness. The Conquest of Grenada is, on account of its extravagance, the most celebrated of these plays; but it is inferior to the Indian Emperor, from which it would be easy to select passages of perfect elegance. It is singular that although the rhythm of dramatic verse is commonly permitted to be the most lax of any, Dryden has in this play availed himself of none of his wonted privileges. He regularly closes the sense with the couplet, and falls into a smoothness of cadence which, though exquisitely melhilinous, is perhaps too uniform. In the Conquest of Grenada the versification is rather more broken.

43. Dryden may probably have been fond of this species of tragedy, on account of his own facility in rhyming, and his habit of condensing his sense. Rhyme, indeed, can only be rejected in our language from the tragic scene, because blank verse affords wider scope for the emotions it ought to excite, but for the tunnel rhapsodies which the personages of his heroic plays utter, there can be no excuse. He adhered to this tone, however, till the change in public taste, and especially the ridicule thrown on his own plays by the Rehearsal, drove him to adopt a very different, though not altogether faultless style of tragedy. His principal works of this latter class are All for Love, in 1678, the Spanish Friar, commonly referred to 1682, and Don

Sebastian, in 1690 Upon these the dramatic fame of Dryden is boilt, while the rants of Almanzor and Maximin are never mentioned but in ridicule. The chief excellence of the first tragedy eppears to consist in the beauty of the language, that of the second in the loterest of the story, and thet of the third in the highly finished character of Dorax Dorax is the best of Dryden's trague characters, and perhaps the only one in which he has applied his great knowledge of the linman mind to actual delineation It is highly drametic, because formed of those complex passions which mey readily lead either to vir tue or to vice, and which the poet can manage so as to sor prise the spectator without transgressing consistency The Zanga of Yoong, a part of some theatrical effect, has been compounded of this character, and of that of Ingo But Don Sebastian is as imperfect as ell pleys must be Don School in which a single personage is thrown forward in too strong relief for the rest. The language is full of that rant which characterised Dryden's earlier tragedies, and to which a natural predilection seems, after some interval, to have brought him back. Sebastian himself may seem to have been intended as a contrast to Muley Moloch, but if the author had any rule to distinguish the blustering of the hero from that of the tyrant, he has not left the use of it in his reader s hands The plot of this tragedy is ill condocted, especially in the fifth ect Perhaps the delicacy of the present age may have been too fastidious in excloding altogether from the drama thie class of fables, because they may often excite great interest, give scope to impassioned poetry, and are edmirably calculated for the arayrapions, or discovery, which as so much dwelt upon by the critics, nor can the story of Œdinos, which has furnished one of the finest and most artful tragedies ever written be well thought an improper subnect even for representation But they require of all others, to be dexterously managed, they mey make the main distress of a tragedy, but not an episode 10 it. Oor feelings revolt at seeing as in Don Sebastian, an incestnous passioo brought forward as the make weight of e plot, to eke ont a fifth act, and to dispose of those characters whose fortune the main story has not quite wound up 44 The Spanish Friar has been praised for what Johnson

calls the "happy coincidence and coalition of the two plots." It is difficult to inderstand what can be meant by a compliment which seems either nonical or ignorant. Nothing can be more remote from the truth. The artifice of combining two distinct stories on the stage is, we may suppose, either to interweave the incidents of one into those of the other, or at least so to connect some characters with each intrigue, as to make the spectator fancy them less distinct than they are. Thus in the Merchant of Venice, the courtship of Bassamo and Portia is happily connected with the main plot of Antomo and Shylock by two circumstances, it is to set Bassanio forward in his suit that the fatal bond is first given; and it is by Portia's address that its forfeiture is explained away. The same play affords an instance of another kind of underplot, that of Lorenzo and Jessica, which is more episodical, and might perhaps be removed without any material loss to the fable, though even this serves to account for, we do not say to palliate, the vindictive exasperation of the Jew. But to which of these do the comic scenes in the Spanish Friar bear most resemblance? Certainly to the latter They consist entirely of an intrigue which Lorenzo, a young officer, carries on with a rich usurer's wife, but there is not, even by accident, any relation between his adventures and the love and murder which go forward in the palace. The Spanish Friar, so far as it is a comedy, is reckoned the best performance of Dryden in that line. Father Dominic is very amusing, and has been copied very freely by succeeding dramatists, especially in the Duenna. But Dryden has no great abundance of wit in this or any of his comedies. His jests are practical, and he seems to have the and he seems to have written more for the eye than the ear. It may be noted as a proof of this, that his stage directions are unusually full. In point of diction, the Spanish First in its tragic scenes, and All for Love, are certainly the best plays of Dryden. They are the least infected with his great fault, bombast, and should perhaps be read over and over by those who would learn the true tone of English tragedy. In digmty, in animation, in striking images and figures, there are few or none that excel them; the power indeed of impressing sympathy, or commanding tears, was seldom placed by nature within the reach of Dryden.

45 The Orphan of Otway, and his Venice Preserved, will generally be reckoned the best tragedies of this period Otway. They have both a deep pathos, springing from the intense and unmerited distress of women, both, especially the latter, have a dramatic eloquence, rapid and flowing with less of turgid extravagance than we find in Otway's contem poraries, and sometimes with very graceful poetry. The story of the Orphan is domestic, and borrowed, as I believe, from some French novel, though I do not at present remember where I have read it, it was once popular on the stage, and gave scope for good acting but is unpleasing to the delicacy of our own age. Venice Preserved is more frequently represented than any tragedy after those of Shakspeare, the plot is highly dramatic in conception and conduct, even what seems, when we read it, a defect, the shifting of our wishes, or perhaps rather of our ill wishes, hetween two parties, the senate and the conspirators, who are redeemed by no virtue. does not, as is shown by experience, interfere with the spec-tator's interest. Pierre indeed is one of those villains for whom it is easy to excite the sympathy of the half principled and the inconsiderate. But the great attraction is in the cha racter of Belvidera, and when that part is represented by such as we remember to have seen, no tragedy is honoured hy such a tribute, not of tears alone, but of more agony than many would seek to endore. The versification of Otway like that of most in this period, runs almost to nn excess into the line of eleven syllables, sometimes also into the sdrucciolo form, or twelve syllables with a dactylic close These give a considerable animation to tragic verse.

46 Sonthern a Fatal Discovery, latterly represented by the name of Isabella, is almost as familiar to the lovers of our theatre as Venice Preserved itself, and for the same reason, that whenever an actress of great tragio powers arises, the part of Isabella is as fitted to exhibit them as that of Belvidera. The choice and conduct of the story are, however, Sonthern a chief merits, for there is little vigour in the language, though it is natural and free from the nanal faults of his age. A similar character may be given to his other tragedy, Oroonoko, in which Southern deserves the praise of having, first of any English writer, denonneed the traffic

in slaves, and the cruelties of their West Indian bondage. The moral feeling is high in this tragedy; and it has sometimes been acted with a certain success, but the execution is

not that of a superior diamatist. Of Lee nothing need be said, but that he is, in spite of his proverbial extravagance, a man of poetical mind and some diamatic skill. But he has violated historic truth in Theodosius without gaining much by invention. The Monring Bride of Congress. Congress written in profix declaration, with no

skill. But he has violated historic truth in Theodosius without gaining much by invention. The Monring Bride of Congreve. Congreve is written in profix declamation, with no power over the passions. Johnson is well known to have praised a few lines in this tragedy as among the finest descriptions in the language; while others, by a sort of contrariety, have spoken of them as worth nothing. Truth is in its usual middle path, many better passages may be found, but they are well written and impressive.*

47. In the early English comedy, we find a large intermy time of obscenity in the lower characters, nor

47. In the early English comedy, we find a large intermixture of obscenty in the lower characters, nor always confined to them, with no infrequent scenes of licentious incident and language. But these are invariably so brought forward as to mainfest the dramatist's scorn of vice, and to excite no other sentiment in a spectator

invariably so brought forward as to mainfest the dramatist's scorn of vice, and to excite no other sentiment in a spectator of even an ordinary degree of moral purity. In the plays that appeared after the Restoration, and that from the beginning, a different tone was assumed. Vice was in her full carcer on the stage, unchecked by reproof, unshamed by contrast, and for the most part unpunished by mortification at the close. Not are these less coarse in expression, or less impudent in their delineation of low debauchery, than those of the preceding period. It may be observed, on the contrary, that they rarely exhibit the manners of truly polished life, according to any notions we can frame of them, and are, in this respect, much below those of Fletcher, Massinger, and Shirley. It might not be easy perhaps to find a scene in any comedy of Charles II.'s reign where one character has the behaviour of a gentleman, in the sense which we attach to the word. Yet the authors of these were themselves in the world, and sometimes men of family and considerable station. The cause must be found in the state of society itself, debased as well as

^{*} Mourning Bride, act ii scene 3 Johnson's Life of Congreve

corrupted, partly by the example of the court, partly by the practice of hving in taverns, which became much more inveterate after the Restoration than before. The contrast with the manuers of Paris, as far as the etage is their mirror does not tell to our edvantage. These plays, as it may be expected, do not aim in the higher glories of comic writing, they displey no knowledge of nature, nor often rise to enjoy other conception of cheracter than is gained by a cancatore of some known class, or perhaps of some remerkable individual. Nor do they in general deserve much credit as comedies of intrigue, the plot is seldom invented with much care for its development, and if scenes follow one another in a series of diverting incidents, if the entanglements are such as prodoce lengther, above all, if the personages keep up in well sustained battle of repartee, the purpose is sufficiently answered. It is in this that they often excel, some of them have considerable himmour in the representation of character, though this may not be very original, and a good deal of wit in their dialogue.

da Wycherley is remembered for two comedies, the Plain Dealer, and the Country Wife, the latter represented with some change, in modern times, under the name of the Country Girl. The former has been frequently said to be taken from the Misanthrope of Mohère; hut this, like many current assertions, seems to have little if any founds tion. Manly, the Plain Dealer, is, like Alceste, a speaker of truth, but the idea is at least one which it was easy to conceive without plagrarism and there is not the slightest resemblance in any circumstance or scene of the two comedies. We cannot say the same of the Country Wife, it was evidently suggested by L'Ecole des Femmes, the character of Arnolphe has been copied, but even here the whole conduct of the piece of Wycherley is his own. It is more artificial than that of Mohère, wherein too much passes in description, the part of Agnes is rendered still more polynant, and among the comedies of Charles's reign, I am not sure that it is enricassed by any

49 Shedwell and Etherege, and the famous Afra Behn, have endeavoured to make the stage as grossly immoral as their taleuts permitted, hat the two former, especially Shad

well, are not destitute of humour. At the death of Charles it had reached the lowest point; after the Revolution, it became not much more a school of virtue, but rather a better one of polished manners than before; and certainly drew to its service some men of comic genius, whose names are now not only very familiar to our ears, as the boasts of our theatre, but whose works have not all ceased to enliven its walls.

50. Congreve, by the Old Bachelor, written, as some have said, at twenty-one years of age, but in fact not quite so soon, and represented in 1693, placed himself at once in a rank which he has always retained. Though not, I think, the first, he is undemably among the first names. The Old Bachelor was quickly followed by the Double Dealer, and that by Love for Love, in which he reached the summit of his reputation. The last of his four comedies, the Way of the World, is said to have been coldly received; for which it is haid to assign any substantial cause, unless it be some want of sequence in the plot. The peculiar excellence of Congreve is his wit, incessantly sparkling from the lips of almost every character, but on this account it is accompanied by want of nature and simplicity. Nature indeed and simplicity do not belong, as proper attributes, to that comedy which, itself the creature of an artificial society, has for its proper business to exaggerate the affectation and hollowness of the world. A critical code which should require the comedy of polite life to be natural would make it intolerable. But there are limits of deviation from likeness which even caricature must not transgress, and the type of truth should always regulate the playful aberiations of an inventive pencil. The manners of Congreve's comedies are not, to us at least, like those of reality, I am not sure that we have any cause to suppose that they much better represent the times in which they appeared. His characters, with an exception or two, are heartless and vicious, which, on being attacked by Collier, he justified, probably by an afterthought, on the authority of Aristotle's definition of comedy; that it is μιμησις φαυλοτεςων, an imitation of what is the woise in human nature.* But it must be acknowledged that, more

^{*} Congreve's Amendments of Mr Collier's false citations

than any preceding writer among us, he kept up the tone of a gentleman, his men of the world are profugate, hat not coarse, he rarely, like Shadwell, or even Dryden, enters for the populace of the theatre by anch indecencies as they must understand, he gave, in fact, a tone of refinement to the public taste, which it never lost, and which, in its progres sion, has almost banished his own comedies from the stage

On Love for Love is generally reputed the best of these Congreve has never any great success in the con Lord for ception or management of his plot, but in this Lord for comedy there is least to censure, several of the characters are exceedingly humiorous, the incidents are numerous and not complex, the first is often admirable. Angelien and Miss Prue Ben and Tattle, have been repeatedly imitated, but they have, I think, in considerable degree of driminated originality in themselves. Johnson has observed that "Ben the sailor is not reckoned over natural, but he is very diverting." Possibly he may be quite as natural a portrait of a mere sailor, as that to which we have become used in modern comedy.

52. The Way of the World I should perhaps incline to place next to this, the coquetry of Millamant, not macher without some touches of delicacy and affection, the impertment coxcombry of Petulant and Witwood the mixture of wit and ridiculous vanity in Lady Wishfort, are amusing to the reader Congreve has here made more use than, as far as I remember, had been common in England, of the all important sonbrette, on whom so much depends in French comedy The manners of France happily enabled her drama tists to improve what they had borrowed with signal success from the ancient stage, the witty and artful servant, faithful to his master while he deceives every one besides, hy adding this female attendant, not less versed in every artifice nor less quick in repartee. Mincing and Foible, in this play of Congreve, are good specimens of the class, but speaking with some hesitation, I do not think they will be found, at least not so naturally drawn, in the comedies of Charles . time. Many would perhaps not without cause, prefer the Old Bachelor, which abounds with wit, but seems rather. deficient in originality of character and circumstance

Double Dealer is entitled to the same praise of wit, and some of the characters, though rather exaggerated, are amusing; but the plot is so entangled towards the conclusion, that I have found it difficult, even in reading, to comprehend it.

53. Congreve is not superior to Farquhar and Vanbrugh, arquhar if we might compare the whole of their works. Never has he equalled in vivacity, in originality of contrivance, or in clear and rapid development of intrigue, the Beaux' Stratagem of the one, and much less the admirable delineation of the Wronghead family in the Provoked Husband of the other. But these were of the eighteenth century. Farquhar's Trip to the Jubilee, though once a popular comedy, is not distinguished by more than an easy flow of wit, and perhaps a little novelty in some of the characters, it is indeed written in much superior language to the plays anterior to the Revolution. But the Relapse, and the Provoked Wife of Vanbrugh, have attained a considerable reputation. In the former, the character of Amanda is interesting, especially in the momentary wavering and quick recovery of her virtue. This is the first homage that the theatre had paid, since the Restoration, to female chastity; and notwithstanding the vicious tone of the other characters, in which Vanbrugh has gone as great lengths as any of his contemporaries, we perceive the beginnings of a re-action in public spirit, which gradually reformed and elevated the moral standard of the stage.* The Provoked Wife, though it cannot be said to give any proofs of this sort of improvement, has some ment as a comedy, it is witty and animated, as Vanbrugh usually was, the character of Sir John Brute may not have been too great a caricature of real manners, such as survived from the debased reign of Charles, and the endeavour to expose the grossness of the older generation was itself an evidence that a better polish had been given to social life.

rather shown in avoiding coarse indecencies than in much elevation of sentiment. Steele's Conscious Lovers is the first comedy which can be called moral, Cibber, in those parts of the Provoked Husband that he wrote, carried this farther, and the stage afterwards grew more and more refined, till it became languid and sentimental

^{*} This purification of English comedy has sometimes been attributed to the effects of a famous essay by Collier on the immorality of the English stage But if public opinion had not been prepared to go along, in a considerable degree, with Collier, his animadversions could have produced little change. In point of fact, the subsequent improvement was but slow, and, for some years,

CHAPTER VII.

HISTORY OF POLITE LITERATURE IN PROSE, FROM 1650 TO 1700

Secr I

Hilly — High Refinement of French Language — Fontenelle — St. Euremond — Sedged — Boshoure and Rapen — Mincellaneous Writers — English Style and Criticism — Dryden.

I Ir Italy could furnish no long list of conspicuous names in this department of literature to our last period, the is far more deficient in the present. The Prose library for the programment of Dati, a collection of what seemed the best specimens of Italian elequence in this century, served chiefly to prove its medicerity, nor has that editor, by his own panegyric on Louis XIV or any other of his writings, been able to redeem its name. The sermons of Segneri have already been mentioned, the eulogies bestowed on them seem to be founded, in some measure, on the surrounding barren ness. The letters of Magalotti, and still more of Redi, themselves philosophers, and generally writing on philosophy, seem to do more credit than any thing else to this period †

2 Crescimbeni, the founder of the Arcadian Society, has made an honomable name by his exertions to purify contained the national taste, as well as by his diligence in preserving the memory of better ages than his own His History of National Poetry is a laborious and useful work, to which I have sometimes been indebted. His treatise on the beauty of that poetry is only known to me through Salfi It is written in dialogue, the speakers being Arcadians. Administ

to extinate the school of the Marmsts, without falling back altogether into that of Petraich, he set up Costanzo as a model of poetry. Most of his precepts, Salh observes, are very trivial at present; but at the epoch of its appearance, his work was of great service towards the reform of Italian literature.*

3. This period, the second part of the seventeenth century, comprehends the most considerable, and in every sense the most important and distinguished portion of what was once called the great age in France, the reign of Louis XIV. In this period the literature of France was adorned by its most brilliant writers, since, notwith-standing the genus and popularity of some who followed, we generally find a still ligher place awarded by men of fine taste to Bossuet and Pascal than to Voltane and Montesquen. The language was written with a care that might have fettered the powers of ordinary men, but rendered those of such as we have mentioned more resplendent. The laws of taste and grammar, like those of nature, were held immutable: it was we have mentioned more resplendent. The laws of taste and grammar, like those of nature, were held immutable; it was the province of human genius to deal with them, as it does with nature, by a skilful employment, not by a preposterous and ineffectual rebellion against their control. Purity and perspicuity, simplicity and ease, were conditions of good writing; it was never thought that an author, especially in prose, might transgress the recognised idiom of his mother-tongue, or invent words unknown to it, for the sake of effect or novelty, or, if in some take occurrence so bold a course might be forgiven, those exceptions were but as puracles in might be forgiven, these exceptions were but as miracles in religion, which would cease to strike us, or be no miracles at all, but for the regularity of the laws to which they bear witness even while they infringe them. We have not thought it necessary to defer the praise which some great French writers have deserved on the score of their language for this chapter. Bossuet, Malebranche, Arnauld, and Pascal, have already been commemorated, and it is sufficient to point out two causes in perpetual operation during this period which en-nobled and preserved in purity the literature of France; one, the salutary influence of the Academy, the other, that emu-lation between the Jesuits and Jansenists for public esteem,

which was better displayed in their politer writings, than in the abstruse and endless controversy of the five propositions. A few remoin to be mentioned, and as the sobject of this chapter, in order to evoid frequent subdivisions, is miscellaneous, the reader must expect to find that we do not, in every lessence, confine earselves to what he may consider as polite letters.

4 Tontenelle, by the variety of his talents, by their opphication to the pursaits most congenial to the intellectual character of his contemporaries, and by that recent extraordinary longevity which made those contemporaries. poraries not less than three generations of minkind, may be reckoned the best representative of French hterature Born in 1657, and dying within a few days of a complete century, in 1757, he enjoyed the most protracted life of any among the modern learned, and that a life in the full sanshine of Parisian literature without care and without disease. In nothing was Pontenello a great writer, his mental and moral disposition resembled each other, equable, without the capacity of performing, and hardly of conceiving any thing truly elevated, but not less exempt from the fruits of passion, from paradox, norcasonableness, and prejudice. His best productions are, perliaps, the cologies on the deceased members of the Aca demy of Sciences, which he pronounced during almost forty years, but these nearly all belong to the eighteenth century , they are jost ood candid, with sufficient, though not very profound knowledge of the exact sciences, and a style pure and flowing, which his good sense had freed from some early offectation, and his cold temper as well as sound understand ing restrained from extravagance. Io his first works we have symptoms of on infirmity belonging more frequently to oge than to yooth, but Fontenello was never young in pas-He there offects the tone of somewhat pedantic and frigid gallantry which seems to have survived the society of the Hôtel Ramboullet who had countenanced it, and which borders too nearly on the language which Molière ood his disciples had well exposed in their coxcombs on the stage,

5 The Dialogues of the Dead published, I thick, in 1685, are condemned by some critics for their false taste and perpetual strate at something unexpected and paradoxical

The leading idea is, of course, borrowed from Lucian; but

Fontenelle has aimed at greater porgnancy by contrast; the ghosts in his dialogues are exactly those who had least in common with each other in life, and the general object is to bring, by some happy analogy which had not occurred to the reader, or by some ingenious defence of what he had been accustomed to despise, the prominences and depressions of historic characters to a level. This is what is always well received in the kind of society for which Fontenelle wrote, but if much is mere sophistry in his dialogues, if the general tone is little above that of the world, there is also, what we often find in the world, some acuteness and novelty, and some things put in a light which it may be worth while not to neglect.

6. Fenelon, not many years afterwards, copied the scheme, though not the style, of Fontenelle in his own Dialogues of the Dead, written for the use of his pupil the Duke of Burgundy. Some of these dialogues are not truly of the dead; the characters speak as if on earth, and with earthly designs. They have certainly more solid sense and a more elevated morality than those of Fontenelle, to which La Harpe has preferred them. The noble zeal of Fenelon not to spare the vices of kings, in writing for the heir of one so imperious and so open to the censure of reflecting minds, shines throughout these dialogues, but designed as they were for a boy, they naturally appear in some places rather superficial.

The Plurality of Worlds, Les Mondes, in which, if the conception is not wholly original, he has at least developed it with so much spirit and vivacity, that it would show as bad taste to censure his work, as to reckon it a model for imitation. It is one of those happy ideas which have been privileged monopolies of the first inventor; and it will be found accordingly that all attempts to copy this whimsical union of gallantry with science have been insipid almost to a ridiculous degree. Fontenelle throws so much gaiety and wit into his compliments to the lady whom he initiates into his theory, that we do not confound them with the nonsense of coxcombs, and she is herself so spirited, un-

affected, and clever, that no philosopher could be ashamed of gallantry towards so deserving an object. The fascinating paradox, as then it seemed, though our children are now taught to lisp it, that the moon, the planets, the fixed stars, are full of inhabitants, is presented with no more show of science than was indispensable, but with a varying liveliness that, if we may judge by the consequences, has served to continuous as well as amuse. The planetity of worlds had been suggested by Wilkins, and probably by some Cartesians in France, but it was first rendered a popular tenet by this agreeable little book of Fontenelle, which had a great circulation in Europe. The ingenity with which he obvintes the difficulties that he is compelled to acknowledge, is worthy of praise, and a good deal of the popular truths of physical astronomy is found in these dialogues.

8 The History of Oracles, which Fontenelle published in 1687, is worthy of observation as a sign of the IIL RUBBER change that was working in literature. In the provinces of crudition and of polite letters, long so independent perhaps even so hostile, some tendency towards n coalition began to appear The men of the world, especially after they had nequired a free temper of thinking in religion, and become accustomed to talk about philosophy, desired to know something of the questions which the learned disputed, but they demanded this knowledge by a short and easy road, with no great sacrifice of their leisure or attention Fontenelle. in the History of Oracles, as in the dialogues on the Plarahty of Worlds, prepared a repast for their taste A learned Dutch physician, Van Dale, in a dull work bad taken up the subject of the ancient oracles and explained them by human imposture instead of that of the devil, which had been the more orthodox hypothesis. A certain degree of paradox, or want of orthodoxy, already gave a zest to a book in France, and Fon tenelle s lively manner, with more learning than good society at Paris possessed, and about as much as it could endore, nuited to n clear and acute line of argument, created a popu larity for his History of Oracles, which we cannot reckon altogether numerited *

I have not compared, or indeed suspect that some of the reasoning not read, Van Dalas work; but I rather the learning of Fontenells is original.

9. The works of St. Evremond were collected after his death in 1705; but many had been printed before, and he evidently belongs to the latter half of the seventeenth century. The fame of St. Evremond as a brilliant star, during a long life, in the polished aristocracy of France and England, gave for a time a considerable lustre to his writings, the greater part of which are such effusions as the daily intercourse of good company called forth. In verse or in prose, he is the gallant friend, rather than lover, of ladies who, secure probably of love in some other quarter, were proud of the friendship of a wit. He never, to do him justice, mistakes his character, which as his age was not a little advanced, might have incurred indicule. Hortense Mancini, Duchess of Mazaiin, is his heroine; but we take little interest in compliments to a woman neither respected in her life, not remembered since. Nothing can be more trifling than the general character of the writings of St. Evremond; but sometimes he rises to literary criticism, or even civil history, and on such topics he is clear, unaffected, cold, without imagination or sensibility; a type of the frigid being, whom an aristociatic and highly polished society is apt to produce. The chief merit of St. Evremond is in his style? and manner. He has less wit than Voiture, who contributed to form him, or than Voltaire, whom he contributed to form; but he shows neither the effort of the former, nor the restlessness of the latter. Voltaire, however, when he is most quiet, as in the earliest and best of his historical works, seems to bear a considerable resemblance to St. Evremond, and there can be no doubt that he was familiar with the latter's writings.

10. A woman has the glory of being full as conspicuous in the graces of style as any writer of this famous age. It is evident that this was Madame de Sevigné. Her letters indeed were not published till the eighteenth century, but they were written in the mid-day of Louis's reign. Their ease and freedom from affectation are more striking by contrast with the two epistolary styles which had been most admired in France, that of Balzac, which is laboriously tumid, and that of Voiture, which becomes insipid by dint of affectation. Every one perceives that in the letters of a mother to

her danghter the public, in a strict sense, is not thought of . and yet the habit of speaking and writing what men of wit and taste would desire to hear and read, gives u certain man nerism, I will not say air of effort, oven to the letters of Madame de Sevigne The phandonment of the heart to its _ casual impulses is not so genuine as in some that have since been published. It is nt least clear that it is possible to be come affected in copying her unaffected style, and some of Walpole s letters bear witness to this Her wit and talent of painting by single touches are very eminent, scarcely may collection of letters, which contain so little that can interest a distant age, are read with such pleasure, if they have any general fault, it is a little monotony and excess of affection towards her daughter, which is reported to have wearied its object, and, in contrast with this, a little want of sensibility towards all beyond her immediate friends, and a readiness to find something Indicrous in the dangers and sufferings of others.*

11 The French Academy had been so judicious both in the choice of its members, and in the general tenor of its its proceedings, that it stood very high in public esteem, and a voluntary deference was commonly shown to its authority. The favour of Louis ALV, when he grew to manhood, was necorded as amply as that of Richelieu. The Academy was received by the king, when they approached him publicly, with the same ceremonies as the superior courts of justice. This body had, almost from its commencement, undertaken a national dictionary, which should carry the language to its atmost perfection, and trace a road to the highest elogience.

Madamo do Sevigué's taste has been

arralpsed for allgetting Resizes and shear seen charged with the unfactionst prediction; Il passers comme le casi. But it is desired that these words can be found, though few like to give up so diverting a rahesdoulation of nutrity. In her time Cornellies party was so well supported, and be deserved so much gratitude and reversees, that we compt much woulder at her being carried a luttle too far against his stral. Who has over, seen a worman just towards the rivals, if her freeds, though many are just dowards their own?

The proofs of this are numerous enough in hir letters. In oos of them the mentuous, that a lady of her acquaintance, having been blitten by a mad dog, had gone to be dipped in the sea, said arouses bernell by taking off the provincial accent, with which the will express hereaff on the first plunge. So makes jets of La Volsinia execution; and though that person was as little entitled to sympathy as any one, yet, when a woman is burnel alire it is not total for another woman to turn it into drollery.

that depended on purity and choice of words, more than this could not be given by man. The work proceeded very slowly, and dictionaries were published in the mean time, one by Richelet in 1680, another by Furctière. The former seems to be little more than a glossary of technical or other wise doubtful words*; but the latter, though pretending to contain only terms of art and science, was found, by its definitions and by the authorities it quoted, to interfere so much with the project of the academicans, who had aimed themselves with an exclusive privilege, that they not only expelled Furetière from their body, on the allegation that he had availed himself of matehals intrusted to him by the Academy for its own dictionary, but instituted a long process at law to hinder its publication. This was in 1685, and the dictionary of Furetière only appeared after his death, at Amsterdam in 1690.† Whatever may have been the delinquency, moral, or legal, of this compiler, his dictionary is praised by Goujet as a rich treasure, in which almost every thing is found that we can desire for a sound knowledge of the language. It has been frequently reprinted, and continued long in esteem. But the dictionary of the Academy, which was published in 1694, claimed an authority to which that of a private man could not pretend. Yet the first edition seems to have rather disappointed the public expectation. Many objected to the want of quotations and to the observance of an orthography that had become ob-The Academy undertook a revision of its work in 1700; and finally profiting by the public opinion on which it endeavoured to act, rendered this dictionary the most received standard of the French language.‡

12. The Grammane Générale et Raisonnée of Lancelot, in which Arnauld took a considerable share, is rather a treatise on the philosophy of all language than one peculiar to the French. "The best critics," says Baillet, "acknowledge that there is nothing written by either the ancient or the modern grammanians with so much justness and solidity." \(\) Vigneul-Marville bestows upon it an almost

[†] Pelisson, Hist de l'Académie (continuation par Olivet), p 47 Goujet, Bibliothèque Française, 1 232 et post Biogr Univers, art Furetière

[†] Pelisson, p 69 Goujei, p 261 § Jugemens des Sçavans, n 606 Goujet copies Baillet's words

equal eulogy . Lancelot was copied in a great degree by Lann, in his Rhetoric or Art of Speaking, with little of value that is original † Vaugelas retained his place as the founder of sound grammatical criticism, though his judgments have not been uniformly confirmed by the next generation, 'His remarks were edited with notes by Thomas Corneille, who had the reputation of an excellent gramarian ! The observa tions of Ménage on the Fronch language, in 1675 and 1676 are said to have the fault of reposing too much on obsolete anthorities, even those of the sixteenth century, which had long been proscribed by a politer age. Notwithstanding the zeal of the Academy, no critical laws could arrest the revolutions of speech Changes came in with the lapse of time. and were sanctioned by the imperious rule of custom. In n book on grammar, published as early as 1688, Balzac and Voiture, even Patru and the Port Royal writers, are called semi moderns ! , so many new phrases had since made their way into composition, so many of theirs had acquired a certain nir of untiquity

13 The genins of the French language, as it was esti mnted in this age by those who aspired to the character of good critics, mny be learned from one of the dialogues in a work of Bouhours, Les Entrettens desired d Ariste et d'Eugène. Bouhours was n Jesuit who offected a polite and lively tone, according to the fashion of his time, so as to warrant some degree of ridicule, but n man of tasto and jadgment, whom, though La Hurpe speaks of him with disdain, his contemporaries quoted with respect, and the most interesting at present, of these conversations, which are feigned to take place between two gentlemen of literary taste, turns on the French language. This he pre-

Mélangue de Littérature, i. 1 4 † Goujet, I. 55. Gibert, p. 351 † Goujet, 146 Blogr Univ Id. 153.

Bibliothèque Universille, xv 351 Perrault makes a similar remark on

I Bouhours points out several inno-

vations which had lately come into use. II dielikes apoir des ménagement, ot avoir de la considération, and thinks these phrases would not last: In which he was mittaken. Ther de cisage and four d'esprit were new the words fonds, mérures, amitiés, compts, and many more were used in new senses. Thus lao cases and trop; as the phrase, je e sais per trop d votre anis. It seems on re flection, that some of the expressions he enimadverts upon must hav been affected while they were ew being in opposition to the correct meaning of words; and it is always eurious, in other languages as well as our own, to observe

sumes to be the best of all modern; deriding the Spanish for its pomp, the Italian for its finical effemmacy.* The French has the secret of uniting brevity with clearness and purity with politeness. The Greek and Latin are obscure where they are concise. The Spanish is always diffuse. The Spamish is a turbid torrent often overspreading the country with great noise, the Italian a gentle rivulet, occasionally given to mundate its meadows; the French, a noble river, enriching the adjacent lands, but with an equal majestic course of waters that never quits its level † Spanish again he compares to an insolent beauty, that holds her head high, and takes pleasure in splendid dress; Italian to a painted coquette, always attired to please; French to a modest and agreeable lady, who, if you may call her a prude, has nothing uncivil or repulsive in her prudery. Latin is the common mother; but while Italian has the sort of likeness to Latin which an ape bears to a man, in French we have the dignity, politoness, purity, and good sense of the Augustan age. The French have rejected almost all the diminutives once in use, and do not, like the Italians, admit the right of framing others. This language does not tolerate rhyming sounds in prose, nor even any kind of assonance, as amertume and fortune, near together. It rejects very bold metaphors, as the zenith of viitue, the apogée of glory; and it is remarkable that its poetry is almost as hos-tile to metaphoi as its prose. ‡ "We have very few words merely poetical, and the language of our poets is not very different from that of the world. Whatever be the cause, it is certain that a figurative style is neither good among us in verse nor in prose" This is evidently much exaggerated, and in contradiction to the known examples, at least, of dramatic poetry. All affectation and labour, he proceeds to say, are equally repugnant to a good French style. would speak the language well, we should not try to speak it too well. It detests excess of ornament, it would almost desire that words should be as it were naked; their diess must be no more than necessity and decency require.

the comparatively recent nobility of P 52 (edit 1671) many things quite established by present usage Entretiens d'Ariste et d'Eugène, P 60

simplicity is averse to compound words, those adjectives which are formed by such a juncture of two, have long been exiled both from prose and verse" "Onr own pronuncin tion," he affirms, "is the most antural and pleasing of any The Chinese and other Asiatics sing, the Germans rattle (rallent), the Spaniords spont, the Italians sigh, the En glish whistln , thin French alone can properly be said to speak , which prises in fact from our not accenting any syllablar before the penaltimate. The French language is best adapted to express the tenderest sentiments of the heart, for which reason our songs are so impassioned and pathetic, while those of Italy and Spain are full of nonsense. Other languages may nddress the imagination, but ours nlone speaks to the heart, which never understands what is said in them ". This is literally amnsing, and with equal patriotism, Bouhours in another place has proposed the question, whether a German can, by the nature of things, possess any wit.

14 Bonhours, not deficient, as we may perceive, in self confidence and proneness to censure, presumed to turn into ridiculo the writers of Port Royal, in that by Barbert

time of such distinguished reputation as threatened

to eclipse the credit which the Jesuits and always preserved in polite letters. He alludes to their long periods and the exaggerated phrases of invective which they ponred forth in controversy † But the Jansenist party was well able to defend itself Barbier d Auconr rotalisted on the vain Jesuit by his Sentimens du Cleanthe sur les Entretiens d'Aristo et d'Engène It seems to be the general opinion of French critics that he has well exposed the weak parts of his ndversary, his offected air of the world, the occasional frivolity and feebleness of his observations, yet there seems something morose in the cen-

that perty abandoned it for one more concise, which it is by no means less aseins to refer their lors of long periods to the famous advocate Le Malstra, who had employed them in his pleadings, not only as giving more dignity but also because the public taste at that time f voured them. Jugemens des Scavana.

[†] P 150. Vigueul-Marville observes that the Port-Royal writers formed thair styl originally on that of Balme (vol. L. p. 107); and that M. d'Andilly bro-ther of Antony Arnauld, affected at one the grand and coplets manner like the Spaniards, as being more serious and imposing, especially in devotional writings; but afterwards finding the French were impatie t of this style,

sures of the supposed Cleanthe, which renders this book less agreeable than that on which it animadverts

de Bien Penser, which is also in dialogue, contains much that shows acuteness and delicacy of discrimination; though his taste was deficient in warmth and sensibility, which renders him somewhat too strict and fastidious in his judgments. He is an unsparing enemy of obscurity, exaggeration, and nonsense, and laughs at the hyperbolical language of Balzac, while he has rather overpraised Voiture.* The affected inflated thoughts, of which the Italian and Spanish writers afford him many examples, Bouhours justly condemns, and by the correctness of his judgment may deserve, on the whole, a respectable place in the second order of critics.

16. The Réflexious sur l'Eloquence et sur la Poesie of Rapin's Re-Repin's Repin, another Jesuit, whose Latin poem on Gardens has already been praised, are judicious, though perhaps rather too diffuse; his criticism is what would appear severe in our times, but it was that of a man formed by the ancients, and who lived also in the best and most critical age of France. The reflections on poetry are avowedly founded on Aristotle, but with much that is new, and with examples from modern poets to confirm and illustrate it. The practice at this time in France was to depreciate the Italians, and Tasso is often the subject of Rapin's censure; for want, among other things, of that grave and majestic

* Voiture, he says, always takes a tone of raillery when he exaggerates Le faux devient vrai à la faveur de l'ironie, p 29 But we can hardly think that Balzae was not gravely ironical in some of the strange hyperboles which Bouhours quotes from him

In the fourth dialogue, Bouhours has many just observations on the necessity of clearness. An obscurity arising from allusion to things now unknown, such as we find in the ancients, is rather a misfortune than a fault, but this is no excuse for one which may be avoided, and arises from the writer's indistinctness of conception or language. Cela n'est pas intelligible, dit Philinthe (after licaring a foolish rhapsody extracted from a fune-

ral sermon on Louis XIII) Non rcpondit Eudove, ce n'est pas tout-à-fait de galimatias, ce n'est que du plicbus Vous mettez donc, dit Philinthe, de la differenceentre legalimatias et le plicbus? Oui, repartit Eudoxe, le galimatius renferme une obseurite profonde, et n'a de soi-incme nul sens raisonnable. Le phébus n'est pas si obseur, et a un brillant qui signific, ou semble significr quelque chose, le soleil y entre d'ordinaire, et e'est peut-être ee qui a donné lieu en notre langue au nom de plicbus Ce n'est pas que quelquefois le plicbus ne devienne obseur, jusqu'à n'etre pas en-tendu, mais alors le galimatins s'en joint, ce ne sont que brillans et que ténèbres de tous côtes, p 342

character which epic poetry demunds. Yet Rapin is not so rigorous, but that he can blame the coldness of modern precepts in regard to French poetry After condemning the pompous tone of Brebœuf in lus translution of the Pharsalia, he remarks that "we have gone since to an opposite extreme by too scrupulons u care for the parity of the lauguage, for we have begun to take from poetry its force and dignity by, too much reserve und a false modesty, which we have esta hlished as characteristics of our lunguage, so as to deprive it of that judicious boldness which true poetry requires, we have cut off the metaphors and all those figures of speech which give force and spirit to words, and reduced all the artifices of words to a pure regular stylo which exposes itself to no risk hy bold expression Tho taste of the age, the in fluence of women who are naturally timid, that of the court which had hardly any thing in common with the uncients, on account of its usual antipathy for learning, accredited this manner of writing ". In this Rapin seems to glance ut tho polito but cold criticism of his brother Jesuit, Boubours. 17 Rapin, in unother work of criticism, the Parallels of

Grent Men of Antiquity, has weighed in the scales of Parameter of the Scales of Homer of Homer of the Scales of Homer of

and Virgil, Thucydides and Livy Plate and An

stotle. Thus eloquence, poetry, history, and philosophy pass under review The trute of Rapin is for the Lutins, Cicero he prefers to Demosthenes, Lavy on the whole to Thucydides, though this he leaves more to the reader, but is confident that none except mere grammarians have ranked Homer above Virgil † The loquacity of the older poet, the frequency of his moral reflections, which Rapin thinks misplaced in an epic poem, his similes, the sameness of his transitious, are treated very freely, yet he gives him the preference over Virgil for grandeur and nobleuess of narration, for his epi thets, and the splendour of his language. But he is of opinion that Ænens is a much finer character than Achilles. These two epic poets he holds, however, to be the greatest in the world, as for all the rest, uncent and modern, he enu merates them one after another, and can find little but faults

in them all.* Nor does he esteem dramatic and lync poets, at least modern, much better.

- 18. The Treatise on Epic Poetry by Bossu was once of some reputation. An English poet has thought fit to say that we should have stated, like Indians, at Homer, if Bossu had not taught us to understand him.† The book is, however, long since forgotten, and we fancy that we understand Homer not the woise. It is in six books, which treat of the fable, the action, the nariation, the manners, the machinery, the sentiments and expressions of an epic poem. Homer is the favourite poet of Bossu, and Virgil next to him, this preference of the superior model does him some honour in a generation which was becoming insensible to its excellence. Bossu is judicious and correct in taste, but without much depth, and he seems to want the acuteness of Bouhours.
- 19. Fontenelle is a critic of whom it may be said, that he did more injury to fine taste and sensibility in works Fontenelle s critical of imagination and sentiment, than any man without his good sense and natural acuteness could have done. He is systematically cold, if he seems to tolerate any flight of the poet, it is rather by caprice than by a genuine. discenment of beauty, but he clings, with the unyielding claw of a cold-blooded animal, to the faults of great writers, which he exposes with reason and saicasm. His Reflections on Poetry relate mostly to dramatic composition, and to that of the French stage. Theocritus is his victim in the Dissertation on Pastoral Poetry; but Fontenelle gave the Sicilian his revenge; he wrote pastorals himself, and we have altogether forgotten, or, when we again look at, can very partially approve, the idylls of the Boulevards, while those Doric dactyls of Theocritus linger still, like what Schiller has called soft music of yesterday, from our schoolboy reminiscences on our aged ears.
 - The reign of mere scholars was now at an end; no worse name than that of pedant could be imposed on those who sought for glory; the admiration of all that was national in arts, in arms, in manners, as

well as in speech, carried away like a torrent those prescriptive titles to reverence which only lingered in colleges. The superiority of the Latin linguinge to French had long been contested, even Henry Stephens has a dissertation in favour of the latter, and in this period, though a few resolate scholars did not retire from the field, it was generally held either that French was every way the better means of expressing our thoughts, or at least so much more convenient as to put nearly an end to the use of the other. Latin land been the privileged language of stone, but Louis XIV, in consequence of on essay by Charpentier, in 1676, replaced tha inscriptions on his trainiplial arches by others in French.* This of course does not much affect the general question be tween that two longuoges.

21 But it was not in language along that the ancients were to endura the aggression of a disobedicat pos-terity. It had long been a problem in Europe whether they had not been surpassed, one perhaps which began before the younger generations could make good their claim But time, the nominal ally of the ald possessors, gave his more powerful aid to their opponents, to strengthen the ranks of the assailants. In philosophy in science, in natural knowledge the nucients had none but n few mere pedants, or half rend lovers of paradox, to maintain their superiority, but in thi beauties of language, in eloquence and poetry, the suffrage of criticism had long been theirs. It seemed time to disputa even this Chorles Perranit, n man of some learning, some variety of courts ocquirement, and o good deal of ingenuity and Perranit quickness, published, in 1687, his famous "Porallel of the Ancieots and Moderns in all that regards Arts and Sciences ' This is a series of dialogues, the parties being, first, n president, deeply learned and prejudiced in all respects for antiquity, secondly, an abbe, not ignorant, but having reflected more than read, cool and impartial, always mode to oppear in the right, or, in other words, the nother's representative, thirdly, a man of the world, seizing the gay side

of every subject, and apparently brought in to prevent the book from becoming dull. They begin with architecture and painting, and soon make it clear that Athens was a mere heap of pig-sties in comparison with Versailles, the ancient painters fare equally ill. They next advance to eloquence and poetry, and here, where the strife of war is sharpest, the defeat of antiquity is chanted with triumph. Homer, Virgil, Horace are successively brought forward for severe and often unjust censure: but of course it is not to be imagined that Perrault censure: but of course it is not to be imagined that Perrault is always in the wrong; he had to fight against a pedantic admiration which surrenders all judgment; and having found the bow bent too much in one way, he forced it himself too violently into another direction. It is the fault of such books to be one-sided, they are not unfrequently right in censuring blemishes, but very uncanded in suppressing beauties. Homer has been worst used by Perrault, who had not the least power of feeling his excellence, but the advocate of the power age in his dislocuse admire that the Friend is of the newer age in his dialogue admits that the Ænerd is superior to any modern epic. In his comparison of eloquence Perrault has given some specimens of both sides in contrast; comparing, by means however of his own versions, the funeral orations of Pericles and Plato with those of Bourdaloue, Bossuet, and Fléchier, the description by Pliny of his country seat with one by Balzac, an epistle of Ciceio with another of Balzac. These comparisons were fitted to produce a great effect among those who could neither read the original text, nor place themselves in the midst of ancient feelings and habits. It is easy to perceive that a vast majority of the French in that age would agree with Perrault, the book was written for the times.

Poetry, followed the steps of Perrault. "The whole question as to pre-eminence between the ancients and moderns," lie begins, "reduces itself into another, whether the trees that used to grow in our woods were larger than those which grow now. If they were, Homer, Plato, Demosthenes, cannot be equalled in these ages, but if our trees are as large as trees were of old, then there is no reason why we may not equal Homer, Plato, and Demosthenes." The

sophistry of this is glaring enough, but it was logic for Paris. In the rest of this shurt essay, there ere the usual characteristics of Funtenelle, cool good sense, and an incapacity, by natural privation, of feeling the highest excellence in works of taste.

23 Boleau, in observations nanexed to his transletion of Longinus, as well as in a few sallies of his poetry, defended the great poets, especially Homer and defended the great poets, especially Homer and defended, with dignity and moderation, freely about duning the cause of antiquity where he felt it to be nationally Perrault replied with conrage, a quality meriting some praise where the edversary was so powerful in sarcasm and so little-accustomed to spare it, but the controversy ceased in tole

rable friendship

24 The knowledge of new necessions to hierature which its lovers demanded, had hitherto been common that its lovers demanded, had hitherto been common that it is careful in the enough catalogues published to be the frankfort or other places. But these lists of the frankfort or other places. But these lists of the frankfort or other places. But these lists of the scholar, who sought to become nequainted with the real progress of learning, and to know what he might find it worth while to purchase. Denis de Sallo, a member of the parliament of Paris, and not wholly undistinguished in literature, though his other works are not much remembered, hy carrying into effect a happy project of his own, gave birth, as it were, to a mighty spirit which has grown up in strength and enterprise, till it has become the ruling power of the literary world. Monday, the 5th of January, 1665, is the date of the first number of the first roview, the Journal des Scavars, published by Sallo under the name of the Sieur de Hedon ville, which some have said to be that of his servant *s, It was printed weekly, in a dnodecimo or sexto-decimo form,

Cament, in the Histoire Critique des Journeux, in two volumes, 1734, which, notwithstanding its general title, as chiefly confined to the history of the Journal des Seyanes, and wholly to enter Journal des Seyanes, so not been able to clear up this interesting pount for there are not wanting those who assert, that Hedouvilla was the name of an extent the double was the mass of an extension.

tate belonging to Ballo 1 and he is called in some public description, without reference to the journal, Dominus de Ballo d'Hedouville in Parisiensi curia senator Camusat, 1. 15. "Notvithisading this, there is cridence that leads us to the valet, so that "amplibs deliberandum conscop Res magna ext.

each number containing from twelve to sixteen pages. The first book ever reviewed (let us observe the difference of subject between that and the last, whatever the last may be) was an edition of the works of Victor Vitensis and Vigilius Tapsensis, African bishops of the fifth century, by Father Chiflet, a Jesuit.* The second is Spelman's Glossary. According to the prospectus prefixed to the Journal des Sçavans, it was not designed for a mere review, but a literary miscellany; composed, in the first place, of an exact catalogue of the chief books which should be printed in Europe; not content with the mere titles, as the majority of bibliographers had hitherto been, but giving an account of their contents, and then value to the public, it was also to contain a necrology of distinguished authors, an account of experiments in physics and chemistry, and of new discoveries in arts and sciences, with the principal decisions of civil and ecclesiastical tribunals, the decrees of the Soibonne and other French or foreign universities, in short, whatever might be interesting to men of letters. We find therefore some piece of news, more or less of a literary or scientific nature, subjoined to each number. Thus, in the first number we have a double-headed child born near Salisbury, in the second, a question of legitimacy decided in the parliament of Paris, in the third, an experiment on a new ship or boat constructed by Sii William Petty, in the fourth, an account of a discus-sion in the college of Jesuits on the nature of comets. The scientific articles, which bear a large proportion to the rest, are illustrated by engravings. It was complained that the Journal des Sçavans did not pay much regard to polite or amusing literature; and this led to the publication of the Mercure Galant, by Visè, which gave reviews of poetry and of the drama.

25. Though the notices in the Journal des Sçavans are very short, and when they give any character, for the most part of a laudatory tone, Sallo did not fail to raise up enemies by the mere assumption of power which a reviewer is

such it be, occupies but two pages insmall duodeeimo That on Spelman's Glossary, which follows, is but in half a page

^{*} Victoris Vitensis et Vigilii Tapsensis, Provinciæ Bisacenæ Episcoporum Opera, edente R P Chifletio, Soc Jesu Presb, in 4to Divione The critique, if

prone to affect Menage, on a work of whose he had mede some criticism, and by nn means, as it uppears, without justice, replied in wrath, Patin and others rose up as injored anthors against the self erected censor, but he made more formidable onemies by some rather blnut declarations of a Gallican feeling, as became a connsellor of the parliement of Peris, against the court of Rome, and the privilege of publication was soon withdrawn from Sallo . It is said that lio had the spirit to refuse the offer of continuing the journal under a previous censorship, and it passed into other hands, those of Gallois, who continued it with great success † It is , remarkable that the first review, within a few months of its origin, was silenced for assuming too imperious an authority nver literature, and for speaking evil if dignities "In cuins jam Jovo dignis erat" The Jiurnal des Sçavans, incom parably the most ancient of living roviews, is still conspicuous for its learning its candour, and its freedom from those stains of personal and party malice which deform more popular works.

26 The path thus opened to all that could tempt a man who made writing his profession, - profit, celebrity, a perpetual appearance in the public eye, the facility of pouring forth every scattered thought of his own, the power of revenge upon every enemy - could not fail to tempt more conspicuous men than Sallo or his successor Gal Two of very high reputation, at least of reputation that hence became very high, entered it, Baylo and Le Clerc The former, in 1684 commenced a new review Nouvelles de lu République des Lettres He saw and was well uble to improve, the opportunities which periodical criticism furnished to a mind eminently qualified for it, extensively, and in some points, deeply learned, full of wit, acuteness, end a happy talent of writing in a lively tone without the insipidity of affected politeness The scholar and philosopher of Rotterdam had a rival, in some respects, and ultimately an adver-

Cammat, p. 98. Sallo had also at tacked the Jesuita. + E'loge de Gallols, par Fontenelle, in

the latter's works, vol. v p. 168. Blo-graphic Universelle, arts. Sallo and Gal-

lols. Gallow is said to have been a condition of Sallo from the beginning, and some others are named by Cammat es its contributors, among whom were Gomberville and Chapelain,

dam as professor of belles lettres and of Hebrew in the Arminian seminary, undertook in 1686, at the age of twenty-nine, the first of those three celebrated series of reviews, to which he owes so much of his fame. This was the Bibliothèque Universelle, in all the early volumes of which La Croze, a much inferior person, was his coadjutor, published monthly in a very small form. Le Clerc had afterwards a disagreement with La Croze, and the latter part of the Bibliothèque Universelle (that after the tenth volume) is chiefly his own. It ceased to be published in 1693, and the Bibliothèque Choisie, which is perhaps even a more known work of Le Clerc, did not commence till 1703. But the fulness, the variety, the judicious analysis and selection, as well as the value of the original remarks, which we find in the Bibliothèque Universelle, render it of signal utility to those who would embrace the literature of that short, but not unimportant period which it illustrates.

27. Meantime a less brilliant, but by no means less erudite, review, the Leipsic Acts, had commenced in Geimany. The first volume of this series was published But being written in Latin, with more regard to the past than to the growing state of opinions, and consequently almost excluding the most attractive, and indeed the most important subjects, with a Lutheran spirit of unchangeable orthodoxy in religion, and with an absence of any thing like philosophy or even connected system in erudition, it is one of the most unreadable books, relatively to its utility in learning, which has ever fallen into my hands. Italy had entered earlier on this critical career; the Giornale de' Litterati was begun at Rome is 1668, the Giornale Veneto de' Litterati, at Venice in 1671. They continued for some time; but with less conspicuous reputation than those above men-The Mercure Savant, published at Amsterdam in 1684, was an indifferent production, which induced Bayle to set up his own Nouvelles de la République des Lettres in opposition to it. Two reviews were commenced in the German language within the seventeenth century, and three in English. The first of these latter was the Weekly Memorials for the Ingenious, London 1682. This, I believe,

lasted but a chort time It was followed by oue, entitled The Works of the Learned, in 1691, and by another, called History of the Works of the Learned, in 1699.

28 Bayle had first become known in 1682, by the Pensees Diverses sur la Counète de 1680, a work septer, which I am not sure that he ever decidedly sur the passed Its purpose is one hardly worthy, we should imagine, to employ him, aince those who could read and reason were not likely to be afraid of comets, and those who could do neither would be little the better for his book But with this ostensible aim Bayle had others in view, it gave scope to his keen observation of mankind, if we may use the word observation for that which he chiefly derived from modern books, and to the calm philosophy which he professed There is less of the love of paradox, less of a cavalling pyrrhousm and though much diffuseness, less of pedantry and irrelevant instances in the Pensées Diverses than in his greater work. It exposed him, however, to controversy, Junen a French minister in Holland, the champion of Calvinistic orthodoxy, waged a war that was only terminated with their lives, and Bayle's defence of the Thoughts on the Comet is fall as long as the original per formance, hut far less entertaining

29 He now projected an immortal undertaking, the Historical and Critical Dictionary Moreri, a laborious range, baribe, had published, in 1673, a kind of encyclopedic dictionary, biographical, bistorical and geographical, Bayle professed to fill up the numerous deficiences, and to recitify the

Jugler Hist. Litteraria, exp. 9. Billiothèque Universelle, xini. 41 — The first number of Weekly Memornals for the Ingenious is dated Jan. 19 1081–2, and the first hock viewed is, Christiani Liberti Belasphota, Unrebut, 1081. The editor proposes to transarible and the propose of the contraction of the states of the property of the states of the states of the property of the states of the states of the right books. This review seems to hav lasted but a year; at least there is only one volume in the British Musseum. The Universel Historical Risiliothèque, which began an January 1686, and appired in March, is scarcely worth notice; it is professelly as

compilation from the foreign review. The History of the Vorks of the Learned published monthly from 1699 t 1712 in much more respectable; though in this also a very large proportion is given to foreign works, and probably on the credit of continental journals. The books reviewed are numerous and commonly of a learned class. The secounts given of them are cheefly analytical, the reviewer sideon interpoints by indepent if any bias is perceptible, it is towards what was then called the liberal sade; but for the most part the rule adopted is to speak favourably of every one. —1642.]

errors of this compiler. It is hard to place his dictionary, which appeared in 1694, under any distinct head in a literary classification which does not make a separate chapter for lexicography. It is almost equally difficult to give a general character of this many-coloured web, which great erudition and still greater acuteness and strength of mind wove for the last years of the seventeenth century. The learning of Bayle was copious, especially in what was most peculiarly required, the controversies, the anecdotes, the miscellaneous facts and sentences, scattered over the vast surface of literature for two preceding centuries. In that of antiquity he was less profoundly versed, yet so quick in application of his classical stores, that he passes for a better scholar than he was. His original design may have been only to fill up the deficiencies of Moreir, but a mind so fertile and excursive could not be restrained in such limits. We may find, howcould not be restrained in such limits. We may find, however, in this an apology for the numerous omissions of Bayle, which would, in a writer absolutely original, seem both capricious and unaccountable. We never can anticipate with confidence that we shall find any name in his dictionary. The notes are most frequently unconnected with the life to which they are appended, so that, under a name uninteresting to us, or inapposite to our purpose, we may be led into the richest vein of the author's fine reasoning or lively wit. Bayle is admirable in exposing the fallacies of dogmatism, the perplexities of philosophy, the weaknesses of those who affect to guide the opinions of mankind. But, wanting the necessary condition of good reasoning, an earnest desire to reason sary condition of good reasoning, an earnest desire to reason well, a moral rectitude from which the love of truth must spring, he often avails himself of petty cavils, and becomes dogmatical in his very doubts. A more sincere spirit of inquity could not have suffered a man of his penetrating genins to acquiesce, even contingently, in so superficial a scheme as the Manichean. The sophistry of Bayle, however, bears no proportion to his just and acute observations. Less excuse can be admitted for his indecency, which almost assumes the character of monomama, so invariably does it recui, even where there is least pretext for it.

30. The Jugemens des Sçavans by Baillet, published in 1685 and 1686, the Polyhistor of Morhof in 1689, are cer-

tamly works of criticism as well as of lubliography. But neither of these writers, especially the latter, are of the much nuthority in matters of taste, their crudition was very exten ive, their abbities respectable, since they were able to produce such useful and comprehensive works, but they do not greatly serve to imbilition or correct our judgments nor is the original matter in any considerable propertion to that which they have derived from others. I have taken notice of both these in my preface.

31 I rance was very fruitful of that miscellaneous litera ture which, desultors and min ing, has the advantage of remaining better in the memory than more systematic books, and in fact is generally found to supply the man of extensive knowledge with the materials of lds con versation as well as to fill the vacturies of his deeper studies The memory, the letters the travels, the dialegues and essays, which might be ranged in so large a class as that we now pass in review, and too mainerous to be mentioned, and it must be understood that most of them are less in request even aniong the studions than they were in the last century One group has nequired the distinctive name of Ann, the reported conversation the table talk of the lenricel Several of these belong to the last part of the six teenth century, or the first of the maxt, the Scaligerana, the Perromana the Pulisana, the Sandoana the Calambonana, the last of which are not conversational, but fragments collected from the common place book and losee papers of Isaac Casaubon - Two collections of the present period are very well known, the Menagiana, and the Melanges de Littérature par Vigneul Marville, which differs indeed from the rest in not being reported by others but published by the author hunself, yet comes so near in spirit mil manner, that we may place it in the same class. The Menagiann has tho pectation, and does not give us as much new learning as the name of its nother seems to promise, but it is minising, full of light meedate of a literary kind, and interesting to all who love the recollections of that generation Viguent Marville is an imaginary person, the author of the Melanges de Littérature is D'Argonne, a Benedictino of Rouen This

book has been much esteemed; the mask gives courage to the author, who writes, not unlike a Benedictine, but with a general tone of independent thinking, united to good judgment and a tolerably extensive knowledge of the state of literature. He had entered into the religious profession rather late in life. The Chevræana and Segraisiana, especially the latter, are of little value. The Pairhasiana of Le Clerc are less amusing, and less miscellaneous than some of the Ana; but in all his writings there is a love of truth and a zeal against those who obstruct inquiry, which to congenial spirits is as pleasing as it is sure to render him obnoxious to opposite tempers.

32. The characteristics of English writers in the first

division of the century were not maintained in the second, though the change, as was natural, did not come on by very rapid steps. The pedantity of unauthorised Latinisms, the affectation of singular and not generally intelligible words from other sources, the love of quaint phrases, strange analogies, and ambitious efforts at antithesis, gave way by degrees, a greater ease of writing was what the public demanded, and what the writers after the Restoration sought to attain; they were more strictly idiomatic and English than their predecessors. But this ease sometimes became negligence and feebleness, and often turned to coarseness and vulgarity. The language of Sevigné and Hamilton is emmently colloquial; scarce a turn occurs in their writings which they would not have used in familiai society, but theirs was the colloquy of the gods, ours of men: their idiom, though still simple and French, had been refined in the saloons of Paris, by that instructive rejection of all that is low which the fine tact of accomplished women dictates; while in our own contemporary writers, with little exception, there is what defaces the dialogue of our comedy, a tone not so much of provincialism, or even of what is called the language of the common people, as of one much worse, the dregs of vulgar ribaldry, which a gentleman must clear from his conversation before he can assert that name.

was this confined to those who led irregular lives; the general manners being unpolished, we find in the writings of the clergy, wherever they are polemic or saturcal, the same ten-

dency to what is called slang, n word which, as itself belongs to the vocabolary it denotes, I use with some unwillingness. The pattern of bad writing in this respect was Sir Roge L'Estrange, his Æsop's Publes will present overy thing the shoule to good tasto, yet by a certain wit and readness i rullery L'Estrange was a popular writer, and may oven not be read, perhaps, with some amusement. The translotion o Dou Quixote, published in 1682 may also be specified as in credibly vulgar, and without the least perception of the ton which the original anthor has preserved

33 We can produce novertheless several names of these who laid the foundations at least, and indeed fur nished examples, of good stylo, some of them omong the greatest, for other merits, in our literature Hobbes is perhops the first of whom we can strictly say thin ho is a good Loglish writer, for the excellent passages o Hooker, Sidooy, Raleigh, Bacon, Taylor, Chilbugworth, no others of the Elizabethan or the first Stuart period are no aufficient to establish their claim, n good writer being on whose composition is nearly uniform, and who never sinks to soch inferiority or negligence as we most confess in most o these. To make such a writer, the obsecce of gross fault is foll as necessary as octual beauties, we ore not jodging a of poets, by the bigbest flight of their genius, and forgiving all the rest, but as of a sum of positive and negative quanti ties, where the lotter counterbalance and effoce an equal por tion of the former Hobbes is clear, precise, spirited, and above all, free, in general, from the faults of his predeces sors, his longuage is sensibly less obsolete, be is never vul gur, rarely, if ever, quaint or peduntic.

34 Cowley's prose, very unlike his verse, as Jinhoson ha observed, is perspicions and uniffected. His few essays may even be reckoned among the earliest models of good writing. In that, especially, on the death of Cromwell, till, losing his composure, he falls a little into the vulgar style towards the close, we find an absence of pedantry an ease and graceful choice of idean, un unstudied harmon of periods, which had been perceived in very few writers of the two preceding reigns. "His thoughts," says Jilinson, "are natural, and his style has a smooth and placed equability."

lity which has never yet obtained its due commendation. Nothing is far-sought or hard-laboured, but all is easy without feebleness, and familiar without grossness."

35. Evelyn wrote in 1651 a little piece, purporting to be an account of England by a Frenchman. It is very severe on our manners, especially in London; his abhorience of the late revolutions in church and state conspiring with his natural politeness which he had lately improved by foreign travel. It is worth reading as illustrative of social history, but I chiefly mention it here on account of the polish and gentlemanly elegance of the style, which very few had hitherto regarded in such light compositions. An answer by some indignant patriot has been reprinted together with this pamphlet of Evelyn; and is a good specimen of the bestial ribaldry which our ancestors seem to have taken for wit.* The later writings of Evelyn are such as his character and habits would lead us to expect, but I am not aware that they often rise above that respectable level, nor are then subjects such as to require an elevated style.

appeared, was ushered into the world by those prefaces and dedications which have made him celebrated as a critic of poetry and a master of the English language. The Essay on Dramatic Poesy, and its subsequent Defence, the Origin and Progress of Satire, the Parallel of Poetry and Painting, the Life of Plutaich, and other things of minor importance, all prefixed to some more extensive work, complete the catalogue of his prose. The style of Dryden was very superior to any that England had seen. Not conversant with our old writers, so little, in fact, as to find the common phrases of the Elizabethan age unintelligible †, he followed the taste of Charles's reign, in emulating the politest and most popular writers in the French language. He seems to have formed himself on Montaigne, Balzac, and Voiture, but so ready was his invention, so vigorous his judgment, so complete his mastery over his native tongue,

^{* #} Both these will be found in the late edition of Evelyn's Miscellaneous Works

[†] Malone has given several proofs of this Dryden's Prose Works, vol 1

part 2 p 136 et alibi Dryden thought expressions wrong and incorrect in Shakspeare and Jonson, which were the current language of their age

that, in point of style, he must be reckened above all the three. He had the ease of Montagno without his negligence and embarrassed structure of periods, he had the dignity of Balzac with more varied cadences, and without his hyper bolcal tumonr, the nnexpected turns of Voiture without his affectation and air of effort. In the dedications, especially, wo find paragraphs of extraordinary gracefulness, such asis possibly have never been surpassed in our lunguage. The prefuces are evidently written in a more negligent style, he seems, like Montaigne, to converse with the reader from his nrm-chair, and passes ouward with little connexiou from ono subject to another . In addressing a patron, a different lino is observable, he comes with the respectful nir which the occasion seems to demand, but, though I do not think that Dryden over, in language, forgets his own position, we must coufess that the flattery is sometimes palpably nutrue, and always offensively indelicate. The dedication of the Mock Astrologer to the Duke of Newcastle is a master piece of fine writing, and the subject better deserved these lavish commendations than most who received them. That of the State of Innocence to the Duchess of York, is also very well written, but the adulation is excessive. It oppears to me that, after the Revolution, Dryden took less pains with his style, the collequinl vulgarisms, and these are not wanting even in his earlier prefaces, become more frequent, his periods ore ofteo of more slovenly construction , he forgets even in his dedications that he is standing before a lord Thos, remarking on the account Andromacho gives to Hector of her own history, he observes, in a style rather unworthy of him, "The o'evil was 10 Hector if he know not all this matter as well as she who told it him, for she had been his bed fellow for many years together, and if he knew it then, it must be confessed that Homer in this long digression has rather givon us his own " character than that of the fur lndy whom he paints." t

37 His Essay on Dramatio Poesy, published in 1668,

^{*} This is his own account, "The nature of a preface is rambling, never wholly out of the way nor in it. This I have learned from the practice of bonest Montalgne. Vol. iii, p. 605

⁷ Vol. iil, p. 286 This is in the dedication of his third Miscellany to Lord Batellffa.

was repunted sixteen years afterwards, and it is curious to observe the changes which Dryden made in the exon Dramatic pression. Malone has carefully noted all these; they show both the care the author took with his own style, and the change which was gradually working in the English language. The Anglicism of terminating the sentence with a preposition is rejected.† Thus "I cannot think so contemptibly of the age I live in," is exchanged "for the age in which I live." "A deeper expression of belief than all the actor can persuade us to," is altered, "can insinuate into us." And, though the old form continued in use long after the time of Dryden, it has of late years been reckoned melegant, and proscribed in all cases, perhaps with an unnecessary fastidiousness, to which I have not uniformly deferred; since our language is of a Teutonic structure, and the rules of Latin or French grammar are not always to bind us.

Dryden himself, under the name of Neander, being probably one of the speakers. It turns on the use of thyme in tragedy, on the observation of the unities, and on some other theatrical questions. Dryden, at this time, was favourable to rhymed tragedies, which his practice supported. Sir Robert Howard having written some observations on that essay, and taken a different view as to rhyme, Dryden published a defence of his essay in a masterly style of cutting scoin, but one hardly justified by the tone of the criticism, which had been very civil towards him; and as he was apparently in the wrong, the air of superiority seems the more misplaced.

39. Dryden, as a critic, is not to be numbered with those who have sounded the depths of the human mind, hardly

an interrogatory of Hooker "Shall there be a God to swear by, and none to pray to?" as an instance of the force which this arrangement, so eminently idiomatic, sometimes gives. In the passive voice, I think it better than in the active, nor can it always be dispensed with, unless we choose rather the feeble encumbering pronoun which

^{*} Vol 1 pp 136—142

f "The preposition in the end of the sentence, a common fault with him (Ben Jonson), and which I have but lately observed in my own writings," p 237 The form is, in my opinion, sometimes emphatic and spirited, though its frequent use appears slovenly I remember my late friend, Mr Richard Sharp, whose good taste is well known, used to quote

with those who onalyse the longuage and sentiments of poets, and teach others to judge by showing why they intercited have judged themselves. He scatters remarks character sometimes too indefinite, sometimes too arbitrary, yet his predominating good sense colours the whole, we find in them no perplexing aubility, no cloudy nonsense, no paga doxes and heresies in tasto to rovolt us. Those he has made on translation in the prefince to that of Ovid's Epistles are valoable. "No mon," he says, "is capable of translating poetry, who hesides in genius to that art, is not o master both of his onthor's lenguage and of his own. Nor must we understand the language only of the poet, but his particular torn of thoughts and expression, which are the characters that distinguish and as it were individed thin from all other writers." Wo cannot pay Dryden the compliment of say ing that he gave the example as well as precept, especially in his Virgil. He did not scruplo to copy Segrais in his discourse ou Epic Poetry. "Him I follow, and what I borrow from him am ready to ocknowledge to him, for impartially speaking, the Trench ure as much better crities thou the

Linglish as they are worso poets "†

40 The greater part of his critical writings relates to the drama; a sobject with which he was very conversant, but he hed some considerable prejudices, he seems never to have felt the transcendent excellence of Shakspeare, and some times perhaps his own opinions, if not feigued nro biassed by that sort of self-defence to which he thooght himself driver in the prefeces to his several plays. He hed many entirities on the watch, the Duke of Buckingham's Rehearsal, a satire of great wit, had exposed to ridicale the heroic tragediest, and many were afterwards ready to forget the inerits of the poet in the delinquencies of the politicion. "Whot Virgi wrote," he says, "in the vigour of his age, in plenty and in

Vol. III, p. 19

[†] P 400. † This consedy was published in 1672; the parodies are amoning; and though parody is the most unfair weapon that riddoule can use they are in most instances warranted by the original. Bayes, whether he resembles Dryden or not, is

a very comio personage i the character is said by Johmon to have been sketched for Davenant; but I much doubt this report; Davenant had been dead some years before the Rebernal was published, and could have been in no way obouxlous to its satire.

ease, I have undertaken to translate in my declining years; struggling with wants, oppressed by sickness, curbed in my genius, hable to be misconstrued in all I write; and my judges, if they are not very equitable, already prejudiced against me by the lying character which has been given them of my morals."*

41. Dryden will hardly be charged with abandoning too hastily our national credit, when he said the Freuch were better critics than the English. We had scarcely any thing worthy of notice to allege beyond his own writings. The Theatrum Poetarum by Philips, nephew of Milton, is superficial in every respect. Thomas Rymer, best known to mankind as the editor of the Fædera, but a strenuous advocate for the Aristotelian principles in the drama, published in 1678 "The Tragedies of the last Age considered and examined by the Practice of the Ancients, and by the common Sense of all Ages." This contains a censure of some plays of Beaumont and Fletcher, Shakspeare and Jonson. "I have chiefly considered the fable or plot which all conclude to be the soul of a tragedy, which with the ancients is always found to be a reasonable soul, but with us for the most part a brutish, and often worse than brutish."† I have read only his criticisms on the Maid's Tragedy, King and no King, and Rollo, and as the conduct and characters of all three are far enough from being invulnerable, it is not surprising that Rymer has often well exposed them.

that Rymer has often well exposed them.

42. Next to Dryden, the second place among the polite writers of the period from the Restoration to the end of the century has commonly been given to Sir William Temple. His Miscellanies, to which principally this praise belongs, are not recommended by more erudition than a retired statesman might acquire with no great expense of time, nor by much originality of reflection. But if Temple has not profound knowledge, he turns all he possesses well to account; if his thoughts are not very striking, they are commonly just. He has less eloquence than Bolingbroke, but is also free from his restlessness and ostentation. Much also which now appears superficial in Temple's his-

torical survoys; was fur less familiar in his nge, ho has the ment of a compreheosive and a candid mind. His style, to which we should particularly rofer, will be found in companion with his contemporaries highly polished, and sostained with more equability than they preserve, remote from any thing either pedontic nr humble. The periods ore studiously rhythmical, yet they want the variety and peculiar charin that we odmire in those of Dryden

43 Locko is certainly a good writer, relotively to the greater part of his cootemporaries, his plain and splead ing alone But he has some defects, in his Essay on the Homon Understanding ho is aften too figurative for the subject. In all his writings, and especially in the Treatise on Edocation, he is occasionally negligent, and though not vulgar, at least according to the idioni of his age, slovenly in the structure of his sentences as well as the choice of his words, he is not, in mere style, very forcible, and certainly not very elegant. 44 The Essays of Sir George Mockenzie are empty and

diffuse; the stylo is full of pedentic words to a degree of barbarism, and though they were chiefly written after the Revolution, he seems to have wholly formed himself on the older writers, such as Sir Thomas Brewne or even Felthom Ho affects the obsolete and un pleasing termination of the third person of the vorb in eth which was going oot of use even in the pulpit, besides other rust of archaism. Nothing can be more unlike the manner of Dryulus, Lacke, or Temple. In his matter he come a mere declaimer, as if the world would any longer endure the trivial morality which the sixteenth century had borrowed from Seneca, or the dull ethics of sermons. It is probable that, as Mackenzio was a man who had seen ood read much, he must have some better passages than I have foodd'in glancing shortly nt his wirks. His countryman, Abbrev Andrew Flotcher, is a better master of English Fields

[[]It must be confessed that instances of this termination, though not frequent, grave writings. But the unpleasing sound may be found in the first years of Beorge of the a sufficient objection. —1842.]

III., or even later. In the auxiliary latis.

style; he writes with purity, clearness, and spirit; but the substance is so much before his eyes, that he is little solicitous about language. And a similar character may be given to many of the political tracts in the reign of William. They are well expressed for their purpose; their English is perspicuous, unaffected, often forcible, and upon the whole much superior to that of similar writings in the reign of Charles; but they do not challenge a place of which their authors never dreamed, they are not to be counted in the polite literature of England.

45. I may have overlooked, or even never known, some books of sufficient value to deserve mention; and I regret that the list of miscellaneous literature should be so short. But it must be confessed that our golden age did not begin before the eighteenth century, and then with him who has never since been rivalled in grace, humour, and invention.

Walton's Complete Angler, published in 1653, seems by the title a strange choice out of all the books of half a century; yet its simplicity, its sweetness, its natural grace, and happy intermixture of graver strains with the precepts of angling, have rendered this book deservedly popular, and a model which one of the most famous among our late philosophers, and a successful disciple of Isaac Walton in his favourite art, has condescended to imitate.

46. A book, not indeed remarkable for its style, but one which I could hardly mention in any less miscellew World. Ianeous chapter than the present, though, since it was published in 1638, it ought to have been mentioned before, is Wilkins's "Discovery of a New World, or a Discourse tending to prove that it is probable there may be another habitable World in the Moon, with a Discourse concerning the Possibility of a Passage thither." This is one of the births of that inquiring spirit, that disdain of ancient prejudice, which the seventeenth century produced. Bacon was undoubtedly the father of it in England; but Kepler, and above all Galileo, by the new truths they demonstrated, made men fearless in investigation and conjecture. The geographical discoveries indeed of Columbus and Magellan had prepared the way for conjectures, hardly more astonishing in

the eyes of the vulgar than those had been Wilkins acco ingly begins by bringing a host of sage writers who I denied the existence of antipodes He expressly mainta the Copernican theory, but admits that it was generally puted a novel paradox. The arguments on the other side meets at some length, and knew how to answer by the pr ciples of compound motion, the plausible objection that sto falling from a tower were not left behind by the motion the earth The spots in the moon he took for sea, and brighter parts for land A linear atmosphere be was fore to hold, and gives reasons for thinking it probable inhabitants he does not dwell long on the subject. panella, and long before him Cardinal Casanus, had believ the enn and moon to be inhabited. and Wilkins ends "Being content for my own part to have spoken much of it as may conduce to show the opinion of oth concerning the inhabitants of the moon, I dare not my affirm any thing of these Selenites, because I know not a ground whereon to build any probable opinion But I thi that future ages will discover more, and our posterity perha may invent some means for our better acquaintance with the inhabitants." To this he comes as his final proposition, t it may be possible for some of our posterity to find on conveyance to this other world, and if there be inhabita there, to have communication with them But this chap is the worst in the book, and shows that Wilkins, notwi standing his ingennity, had but crude notions on the pr ciples of physics. He followed this up by what I have I seen, a "Discourse concerning a new Planet, tending prove that it is possible our Earth is one of the Planet This appears to be a regular vindication of the Copernic theory, and was published in 1640

47 The cause of nationity, so radely assuled abroad Perranlt and Fontenelle found support in Sir Wil

Jiam Temple, who has defended it in one of his essays with more zeal than prudence or knowledge

complexitum in regions solls magis intellectualls nature solares sint mult cause solares, claros et illuminatos intelludes intellude

of the various subjects on which he contends for the rights of the past. It was in fact such a credulous and superficial view as might have been taken by a pedant of the sixteenth century. For it is in science, taking the word largely, full as much as in works of genius, that he denies the ancients to have been surpassed. Temple's Essay, however, was translated into French, and he was supposed by many to have made a brilliant vindication of injured antiquity. But it was written soon refuted in the most solid book that was written in any country upon this famous dispute. William Wotton published in 1694 his Reflections on ancient and modern Learning.* He draws very well in this the line between Temple and Perrault, avoiding the tasteless jindgment of the latter in poetry and eloquence, but pointing out the superiority of the moderns in the whole range of physical science.

SECT. II. — ON FICTION.

French Romances — La Fayette and others — Pilgrim's Progress — Turkish Spy

48. Spain had about the middle of this century a writer of various literature, who is only known in Europe by his fictions, Quevedo. His visious and his life of the great Tacaño were early translated, and became very popular.† They may be reckoned superior to any thing in comic romance, except Don Quixote, that the seventeenth century produced, and yet this commendation is not a high one. In the picarcsque style, the life of Tacaño is tolerably amusing; but Quevedo, like others, has long since been

* Wotton had been a boy of astonishing precocity, at six years old he could readily translate Latin, Greek, and Hebrew, at seven he added some knowledge of Arabic and Syriac He entered Catherine Hall, Cambridge, in his tenth year, at thirteen, when he took the degree of bachelor of arts, he was acquainted with twelve languages There being no precedent of granting a degree

to one so young, a special record of his extraordinary proheiency was made in the registers of the university Monk's Life of Bentley, p 7 4

† The translation of this, "made English by a person of honour," takes great liberties with the original, and endeavours to excel it in wit by means of frequent interpolation

surpassed "The Sueños, or Visions, are better, they show spirit and sharpness with some originality of invention. But Las Zahardas do Platon, which, like the other Visions, bears a general resemblance to the Pilgrim's Progress, being an allegorical dream, is less powerfully end graphically written, the satire is elso rather too obvious "Lucian," says Bonter wek, "furnished him with the original idea! of satirical visions, but Quevedo's were the first of their kind in modern literature. Owing to frequent imitations, their feults are no lenger disgoised by the charm of novelty, and even their merits have ceased to interest."

49 No species of composition seems less edopted to the genius of the French nation in the reign of Louis XIV then the heroer commees so much edimented in its first years. It must be confessed that this was but the continuance, and in some respect, possibly, an improvement of a long-established style of fiction. But it was not fitted to endure reason or ridicule, and the societies of Paris knew the use of both weapons. Molicre sometimes tried his wit upon the romances; and Boileau, rather later in the day, when the victory had been wen, attacked Mademoi sello Sendery with his sarcastic irony in a dielogue on the heroes of her invention.

50 The first step in descending from the heroic remance was to ground not altogether dissimiler. The feats of chivalry were replaced by less wenderful edventures, the leve became less hyperbolical in expression, though not less intensely engressing the personages, the general tone of manners was lowered down better to that of nature, or nt least of an ideality which the imagination did not reject, n style already tried in the minor fictions of Spain. The earliest novels that demand attention in this line are those of the Countess de la Pryette, celebrated while Mademoisello de la Vergne, under the minor of Laverna in the Latin poetry of Menage.† Zayde, the first of these, is entirely in the

Hist, of Spanish Literature p. 471 † The name Laverns, though wellsounding, was in one respect unlosky being that given by antiquity to the goddess of thieres. An epigram on Menage

almost, perhaps, too trite to be quoted, is piquent crough — Leuka nella tibl, pella est tibl det Cortona;

Carmine tandatur Cynthia mella tibl. Cortona i Carmine tandatur Cynthia mella tibo. b Sed tuna doctarum complias serinia vetata, Fil natum et al cotta La erna tibl.

Spanish style; the adventures are improbable, but various and rather interesting to those who carry no scepticism into fiction; the language is polished and agreeable, though not very animated; and it is easy to perceive that while that kind of novel was popular, Zayde would obtain a high place. It has however the usual faults; the story is broken by intervening narratives, which occupy too large a space; the sorrows of the principal characters excite, at least as I should judge, little sympathy; and their sentiments and emotions are sometimes too much refined in the alembic of the Hotel In a later novel, the Princess of Cleves, Madame La Fayette threw off the affectation of that circle to which she had once belonged, and though perhaps Zayde is, or was in its own age, the more celebrated novel, it seems to me that in this she has excelled herself. The story, being nothing else than the insuperable and insidious, but not guilty, attacliment of a mairied lady to a lover, required a delicacy and correctness of taste which the authoress has well displayed in The probability of the incidents, the natural course they take, the absence of all complication and perplexity, give such an martificial air to this novel, that we can scarcely help believing it to shadow forth some real event. A modern novel-1st would probably have made more of the story; the style is always calm, sometimes almost languid, a tone of decorous politeness, like that of the French stage, is never relaxed; but it is precisely by this means that the writer has kept up a moral dignity, of which it would have been so easy to lose sight. The Princess of Cleves is perhaps the first work of mere invention (for though the characters are historical, there is no known foundation for the story) which brought forward the manners of the aristocracy; it may be said, the contemporary manners; for Madame La Fayette must have copied her own times. As this has become a popular style of fiction, it is just to commemorate the novel which introduced it.

51. The French have few novels of this class in the seventeenth century which they praise; those of Madame Villedieu, or Des Jardins, may deserve to be excepted; but I have not seen them. Scarron, a man deformed and diseased, but endowed with vast gaiety, which generally exuberated in buffoon jests, has the

credit of having struck ont into a new path by his Roman Comique. The Spannards however had so much like this that we cannot; perceive any great originality in Scarron. The Roman Comique is still well known, and if we come to it in vacant moments, will serve its end in amising us, the story and characters have no great interest, but they are outural, yet, without the least disparagement to the vivacity of Scarron; it is still true that he has been left of an infimense distance in observation of mankind, in humorous character, and in Indicrous effect by the novelists of the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries. It is said that Scarron's romance is written to a pure style, and some have even pretended that he has not been without effect in refining the language. The Roman Bonrgeois of Foretière appears to be a novel of iniddle life, it had some repotation, hot I cannot speak of it with any knowledge.

it had some repotation, hot I cannot speak of it with any 52 Cyrano de Bergerac had some share in directing the poblic taste towards those extravagances of fancy of the which were afterwards highly popular. He has been imitated, as some have observed, by Swift ood Voltaire and I should add, to a certain degree, by Hamilton, but all the three have good far beyond him. He is not himself a very original writer. His Voyage to the Moon and History of the Empire of the Sun are manifestly suggested by the True History of Lucian, and he had modern fictions, especially the Voyage to the Moon by Godwin, mentioned in our last volome which he had evidently read to imp the wines of an invention not perhaps emineotly fertile. Yet Bergerac has the ment of being never wearsome, his fictions are well conceived, and show little effort, which seems also the character of his language in this short piece, though his letters had been written in the worst style of affectation, so as to make us suspect that he was turning the manner of some contemporaries into ridicule. The novels of Segrais, such at least as I have seen, are mere pieces of light entire, designed to amuse by transient allusions the lady hy whom he was patronised, Mademoiselle de Montpennier If they deserve any regard at all, it is us links in the history of fiction between the mock heroic romance, of which Voi

ture had given an instance, and the style of fantastic invention, which was perfected by Hamilton.

have invented a kind of fiction which became extremely popular, and has had, even after it ceased to find direct imitators, a perceptible influence over the lighter literature of Europe. The idea was original, and happily executed. Perhaps he sometimes took the tales of children, such as the tradition of many generations had delivered them; but much of his fairy machinery seems to have been his own, and I should give him credit for several of the stories, though it is hard to form a guess. He gave to them all a real interest, as far as could be, with a naturalness of expression, an arch naiveté, a morality neither too obvious noi too refined, and a slight poignancy of satire on the world, which render the Tales of Mother Goose almost a counterpart in prose to the Fables of La Fontaine.

54. These amusing fictions caught the fancy of an indolent but not stupid nobility. The court of Versailles and all Paus resounded with fairy tales, it became the popular style for more than half a century. But few of these fall within our limits. Perrault's immediate followers, Madame Murat and the Countess D'Aunoy, especially the latter, have some merit; but they come very short of the happy simplicity and brevity we find in Mother Goose's Tales. It is possible that Count Antony Hamilton may have written those tales which have made him famous before the end of the century, though they were published later. But these, with many admirable strokes of wit and invention, have too forced a tone in both these qualities; the labour is too evident, and, thrown away on such trifling, excites something like contempt, they are written for an exclusive coterie, not for the world; and the world in all such cases will sooner or later take its revenge. Yet Hamilton's tales are incomparably superior to what followed; inventions alternately dull and extravagant, a style negligent or manuered, an immorality passing onward from the licentiousness of the Regency to the debased philosophy of the ensuing age, became the general characteristics of these fictions, which finally expired in the neglect and scorn of the world.

55. The Télémaque of Fenelin, after being suppressed in Trance, appeared in Holland clandestruely without Telemana the author's consent in 1699. It is needless to say of Fenelin. that it soon obtained the admiration of Europe, and perhaps there is no book in the French language that has been more read. Feeelon seems to have conceived that, metre not being essential, as he assumed, to poetry, he had, by imitating tho Odyssey in Télémaque, produced an epic of as legitimate n character as his model But the boundaries between epic poetry, especially such epics as the Odyssey, and romance were only perceptible by the employment of verse in tho former, no elevation of character, nn ideality of conception, no charm of imagery or emitton had been denied to romance The language of poetry had far two centuries been seized for Telemagne must therefore take its place among romances, bot still it is true that no romance had breathed so classical a spirit, none had abounded so much with the richness of poetical language, much in fact of Homer, Virgil, and Sophocles having been woven in with no other change than verbal translation, nor had any preserved such dignity in its circumstances, such beanty, harmony, and nobleness in its diction It would be as idle to say that Fenelon was in debted to D'Urfe and Calprenede, as to deny that some degree of resemblance may be found in their poetical prose - The one belonged to the morals of chivalry, generoos bot exaggerated, the other, to those of wisdom and religion one has been forgotten because its tone is false, the other is over odmired, and is only less regarded because it is true in excess, because it contains too much of what we know Télemagne, like some other of Fenelous writings is to be considered in reference to its ubject, in object of all the noblest, being to form the character of une to whom many must look up for their welfare, but still very different from the inculcation of profound truth. The beauties of Talé maque are very numerous, the descriptions, oud indeed tho whole tone of the book, have a charm of grace something like the pictures of Goidn, but there is also o certain lan guor which steals over us in reading, and though there is no real want of variety in the narration, it remind us so continually of its source, the Homeric legends, as to become

nather monotonous. The abandonment of verse has produced too much diffuseness; it will be observed, if we look attentively, that where Homer is circumstantial, Fenelon is more so, in this he sometimes approaches the minuteness of the iomancers. But these defects are more than compensated by the moral, and even æsthetic excellence of this romance.

have now discovered it to be, had yielded so little before to even in France, a nation that might appear eminently fitted to explore it, down to the close of the seventeenth century, we may be less surprised at the deficiency of our own country. Yet the scarcity of original fiction in England was so great as to be mexplicable by any reasoning. The public taste was not incapable of being pleased; for all the novels and romances of the Continent were readily translated. The manners of all classes were as open to humorous description, the imagination was as vigorous, the heart as susceptible as in other countries. But not only we find nothing good; it can hardly be said that we find any thing at all that has ever attracted notice in English romance. The Parthenissa of Lord Orrery, in the heroic style, and the short novels of Afra Behn, are nearly as many, perhaps, as could be detected in old libraries. We must leave the beaten track before we can place a single work in this class.

John Bunyan may pass for the father of our novelists. His success in a line of composition like the spiritual romance or allegory, which seems to have been frigid and unreadable in the few instances where it had been attempted, is doubtless enhanced by his want of all learning and his low station in life. He was therefore rarely, if ever, an imitator; he was never enchained by rules. Bunyan possessed in a remarkable degree the power of representation; his inventive faculty was considerable, but the other is his distinguishing excellence. He saw, and makes us see, what he describes, he is circumstantial without prolixity, and in the variety and frequent change of his incidents, never loses sight of the unity of his allegorical fable. His invention was enriched, and rather his choice determined, by one rule he had land

down to himself, the adaptation of all the incidental language of Scripture to his own use There is scarce a circumstance or metaphor in the Old Testament which does not find a place, bodily and literally, in the story of the Pilgrim's Progress, and this peculiar artifice has made his own imagination oppear more creative than it really is. In the conduct of the romance no rigorous attaution to the propriety of the allegory seems to have been uniformly preserved Vunity Fair, or the cave of the two grants, might, for any thing we see, have been placed elsewhere, but it is hy this neglect of exact parallelism that he better keeps up the reality of the pilgrimage, and takes off the coldness of mere allegory It is also to be re membered that we read this book at en age when the spiritual meaning is either little perceived or little regarded language, nevertheless, Bunyan sometimes mingles the signification too much with the fable, we might be perplexed between the imaginary and the real Christian, but the live liness of narration soon brings us back, or did at least when we were young, to the fields of fancy Yet the Pilerim's Progress, like some other books, has of late been n little over rated, its excellence is great, but it is not of the highest rank and we should be caraful not to break down the land marks of fame, hy placing the John Bunyans and the Daniel De Foes among the Du Majores of our worship

58 I em inclined to claim for England not the invention. but, for the most part, the composition of another book Twish which, being grounded on fiction, may be classed app liere, The Turkish Spy A secret emissary of the Porta is supposed to remain at Paris in disguise for above forty years, from 1635 to 1682. His correspondence with a number of persons, various in situation, and with whom therefore his letters assume various characters, is protracted through eight volumes. Much, indeed most, reletes to the history of those times and to the anecdotes connected with it; hat in these we do not find a large proportion of novelty The more remarkable letters are those which run into metaphysical and theological speculation These are written with an carnest seriousness, yet with an extraordinary freedom, such as the feigned garh of a Mohammedan could hardly have exempted from censure in catholic countries. Mahmid, the mysterious

writer, stands on a sort of eminence above all human prejudice; he was privileged to judge as a stranger of the religion and philosophy of Europe, but his bold spirit ranges over the field of Oriental speculation. The Turkish Spy is no ordinary production, but contains as many proofs of a thoughtful, if not very profound mind, as any we can find. It suggested the Persian Letters to Montesquieu and the Jewish to Argens; the former deviating from his model with the originality of talent, the latter following it with a more servile closeness. Probability, that is, a resemblance to the personated character of an Oriental, was not to be attained, nor was it desirable, in any of these fictions; but Mahmud has something not European, something of a solitary insulated wanderer, gazing on a world that knows him not, which throws, to my feelings, a striking charm over the Turkish Spy, while the Usbek of Montesquieu has become more than half Parisian; his ideas are neither those of his birthplace, nor such as have sprung up unbidden from his soul, but those of a polite, witty, and acute society; and the correspondence with his harem in Persia, which Montesquieu has thought attractive to the reader, is not much more interesting than it is probable, and ends in the style of a common romance. As to the Jewish -Letters of Argens, it is far inferior to the Turkish Spy, and, in fact, rather an insipid book.

the foreign biographers in favour of John Paul Machielly of Chiefly of English origin ana, a native of Genoa, who is asserted to have published the first volume of the Turkish Spy at Paris in 1684, and the rest in subsequent years.* But I am

caché à Paris II prétend les avoir traduites de l'Arabe en Italien et il raconte fort en long comment il les a trouvées On soupçonne avec beaucoup d'apparence, que c'est un tour d'esprit Italien, et une fiction ingénieuse semblable à celle dont Virgile s'est servi pour louer Auguste, &c Nouvelles de la République des Lettres, Mars, 1684, in Œuvres diverses de Bayle, vol 1 p 20 The Espion Turc is not to be traced in the index to the Journal des Sçavans, nor is it noticed in the Bibliothèque Universelle.

^{*} The first portion was published at Paris, and also at Amsterdam Bayle gives the following account — Cet ouvrage a été contrefait à Amsterdam du consentement du libraire de Paris, qui l'a le premier imprime Il sera composé de plusieurs petits volumes qui contiendront les événemens les plus considérables de la chrétienté en genéral, et de la France en particulier, depuis l'année 1637 jusqu'en 1682 Un Italien natif de Gênes, Marana, donne ces relations pour des lettres écrites aux ministres de la Porte par un espion Turc qui se tenoit

not disputing that Marana is the anthor of the thirty letters, published in 1684, and of twenty more in 1686, which have been literally translated into English and form about half the first volume in English of our Turkish Spy . Nor do I doubt in the least that the remainder of that volume had a Freuch original, though it happens that I have not seen it. But the later volumes of the Espica Ture, in the edition of 1696, with the date of Cologne, which, according to Barbier, is put for Rogent, are avowedly translated from the English And to the second volume of our Turkish Spy, published in 1691, is prefixed an account, not very credible, of the man

Salf., xlv 61 Biograph. Univers. † Dictionnaire des Anonymes, vol. i. p. 406. Be bier's notice of L Esplon dans les cours des princes Chrétlens ascribes four volumes out of six, which appear to contain as much as our eighl volumes, t Marana, and conjectures that the last two are by another hand; but does not intimate the least suspicion of an English original. And as his autho-rity is coorderable, I must fortify my own opinion by what evidence I can find. The preface to the second volume (English) of the Turkish Spy begins thus: "Three years are now elapsed since the first volume of letters written by a Spy at Paris was published in English. And It was expected that a second should have come out long before this. The favourable reception which that found amongst all sorts of readers would have encouraged a speedy translation of the rest, had there been extant any French edition of more than the first part. Bet after the strictest inquiry none could be heard f | and, as for the Italian, our book sellers have not that correspondence in those parts as they have in the more neighbouring countries of France and Holland. So that It was a work despaired of to recover any more of this Arabia 's memoirs. We little dreamed that the Florentines had been so busy in printing and so successful in salling the continued translation of these Arabian epistles, till it was the fortune of an English gentleman to travel in those parts last summer and discover the happy news. I will not forestal his letter which is sunnexed to this purface. A pretended letter with the signeture of

Daniel Saltmarsh follows, in which the imaginary anthor tells a strange tale of the manner in which a certain learned physician of Ferrara, Julio de Medica. descended from the Medicean family put these volumes, in the Italian language into his bands. Thi letter is dated Amsterdam, Sept. 9, 1690, and as the preface refers it to the last summer I bence corelade that the first edition of the second volume of the Turkish Spy was in 1691; for I have not seen that, nor any other edition earlier than the fifth, printed in 1702.

Marana i said by Salfi and others to have left France in 1689 having fallen into a depression of spirits. Now the first thirty letters, about one thirty-tocond part of the entire work, were published in 1681 and about an equal length in 1686. I admit that be had time to double these portions, and thus to publish one eighth of the whole; but is it lik ly that between 1686 and 1689 he could have given the rest to the world? If we are not struck by this, is it likely that the English translator should have fabricated the story above mentioned, when the public might know that there was actually French original which be had rendered? The invention seems without moti c. Again, how same the French edition of 1696 to be an arowed translation from the English, when, ascording to the hypothesis of M. Barbier the volumes of Marana had all been publached in France? Surely till these appear we have resson to suspect their existence; and the owns proceed! lies now on the advocates of Marsha's claim.

ner in which the volumes subsequent to the first had been procured by a traveller in the original Italian; no French edition, it is declared, being known to the booksellers. That no Italian edition ever existed, is, I apprehend, now generally admitted; and it is to be shown by those who contend for the claims of Marana to seven out of the eight volumes, that they were published in France before 1091 and the subsequent years, when they appeared in English. The Cologne or Rouen edition of 1690 follows the English so closely, that it has not given the original letters of the first volume, published with the name of Marana, but rendered them back from the translation.

60. In these carly letters, I am ready to admit, the scheme of the Turkish Spy may be entirely traced. Marana appears not only to have planned the historical part of the letters, but to have struck out the more original and striking idea of a Mohammedan wavering with religious scruples, which the English continuator has followed up with more philosophy and erudition. The internal evidence for their English origin, in all the latter volumes, is to my apprehension exceedingly strong; but I know the difficulty of arguing from this to convince a reader. The proof we demand is the production of these volumes in French, that is, the specification of some public or private library where they may be seen, in any edition anterior to 1691, and nothing short of this can be satisfactory evidence.*

* I shall now produce some direct evidence for the English authorship of seven out of eight parts of the Turkish

Spy
"In the life of Mrs Manley, published under the title of The Adventures of Rivella," printed in 1714, in pages 14 and 15, it is said, That her father, Sir Roger Mauley, was the genuine author of the first volume of the Turkish Spy Dr Midgles, an ingenious physician, related to the family by marriage, had the charge of looking over his papers, among which he found that manuscript, which he easily reserved to his proper use, and both by his own pen and the assistance of some others continued the work until the eighth volume, without ever having the justice to name the author of the first." MS note in the

copy of the Turkish Spy (edit. 1732) in the British Museum

Another MS note in the same volume gives the following extract from Dunton's Life and Errors - " Mr Bradshaw is the best accomplished hackney writer I have met with, his genius was quite above the common size, and his style was meomparably fine. soon as I saw the first volume of the Turkish Spy, the very style and manner of writing convinced me that Bradshaw was the author Bradshaw's wife owned that Dr Midgley had engaged him in a work which would take him some years to finish, for which the Doctor was to pay him 40r per sheet so that 'tis very probable (for I cannot swear I saw him write it) that Mr. William Bradshaw was the author of the GI It would not, perhaps, be unfair to bring within the pale of the seventeenth century in effusion of genius, spint The aufficient to redeem our name in its annuls of fiction. The Tale of a Tub, though not published till 1704, was chiefly written, as the anthor declares, eight years before, ond the Battle of the Books subjoined to it has every appear ance of recent animosity against the opponents of Temple and Boyle, in the question of Phalaris. The Talo of a Tub is, in my apprehension, the master piece of Swift, certainly Rabelaus has nothing anperior, even in invocution, nor any thing so condensed, so pointed, so full of real meaning, of biting eatire, of felicitous analogy. The Battle of the Books is such an improvement of the similar combat in the Lutrin, that we can hardly own it is an imitation.

Turkish Spy; were it not for this discovery Dr. Midgley bad goes off with the honour of that performance." It that appears that in England it was looked upon as an original work; though the authority of Durton is not very good for the facts he tells, and that of Mrs. Missley much worse. But I do not quote them as widence of wesh facts, but of common report. Mrs. Missley who elaims for her father the fart rodume, certainly written by; Marana, must be set saide; as to Dr. Midgley and Mr. Bradchaw I know nothing to confirm or refute what is here saiding to confirm or refute what is here

said.

[The hypothesis of these notes, that all the Turkish Spy after the first of our eight volumes, is of English origin, has been controverted in the Centleman's Manuains by persons of learning and

scuteness. I would surrender my own opinion, if I could see sufficient grounds for doing so ; but se yet Marana s pretensions are not substantiated by the evidence which I demanded, the proof of any edition in French anterior to that of our Turkish Spy the second volume of which (there is no dispute about Ma-rana's authorship of the first) appeared in 1691 with a preface denying the existence of a French work. Those who have had recourse to the arbitrary supposition that Marana communicated his manuscript to some English transletor who published it as his own, should be aware that a mere possibility without a shadow of swidence even if it served to ex plain the facts, cannot be received in historical criticism as truth - 1842.]

CHAPTER VIII.

HISTORY OF PHYSICAL AND OTHER LITERATURE, FROM 1650 TO 1700.

SECT. I.—ON EXPERIMENTAL PHILOSOPHY.

Institutions for Science at Florence — London — Paris — Chemistry — Boyle and others

- 1. WE have now arrived, according to the method pursued in corresponding periods, at the history of mathema-Reasons for tical and physical science in the latter part of the seventeenth century. But I must here entreat my readers to excuse the omission of that which ought to occupy a prominent situation in any work that pretends to trace the general progress of human knowledge. The length to which I have found myself already compelled to extend these volumes, might be an adequate apology; but I have one more insuperable in the slightness of my own acquaintance with subjects so momentous and difficult, and upon which I could not write without presumptuousness and much peril of betraying ignorance. The names, therefore, of Wallis and Huygens, Newton and Leibnitz, must be passed with distant reverence.
 - 2. This was the age, when the experimental philosophy, Academy del to which Bacon had held the torch, and which had already made considerable progress, especially in Italy, was finally established on the ruins of arbitrary figments and partial inductions. This philosophy was signally indebted to three associations, the eldest of which did not endure long, but the others have remained to this day, the perennial fountains of science; the Academy del Cimento

at Florence, the Royal Society of Loodon, the Academy of Sciences at Pans The first of these was established in 1657, with the patronage of the Grand Duke Ferdinand II., hot onder the peculiar care of his hrother Leopold. Both were, in a manoer et that time remarkable, attached to on tural philosophy, and Leopold, less aogaged 10 public affairs, had long carried oo a correspondence with the learned of Europe. It is said that the advice of Viviani, one of the greatest geometers that Eorope has produced, led to this 10 stitution The name which this Academy assumed gave promise of their fuodamental rule, the investigation of truth by experiment alone. The number of Academicians was no limited and all that was required as an article of faith was the abjuration of all faith, a resolution to inquire ioto truth without regard to any previous sect of philosophy This Academy lasted oofortunately bot ten years 10 vigour, 1t is a great misfortune for any literary institution to depend on one man, and especially on u prince, who, shedding a fecti tious, as well as sometimes a genuine lustre round it, is not casily replaced without a diminotion of the world's regard. Leopold, in 1667, became a cardinal, and was thus with drawn from Florence, others of the Academy del Cimento died or went uway, und it rapidly suck ioto insignificance. But a volome containing reports of the yearly experiments it made, among others the celebrated one proving, as was then supposed, the incompressibility of water, is generally esteemed *

3 The germ of our Royal Society may be traced to the year 1645, when Wallis, Wilkins, Glisson, and others less known, agreed to meet weekly at a private house in London, in order to converse on soljects connected with natural, nod especially experimental philosophy Some of these soon afterwards settled in Oxford, and thus arose two little societies in connexion with each other, those at Oxford being recruited by Ward, Petty, Willis, and Bathurst. They met in Petty's lodgings till he removed to Ireland in 1652, afterwards at those of Wilkins in Wad ham College till he became Master of Trinity College, Cam

^{*} Gilluzzi, Storia del Gran Duesto, vol. vil. p. 340. Tiraboschi, zi. 204, Corniazi, fill. 32.

bridge, in 1659; about which time most of the Oxford philosophers came to London, and held their meetings in Gresham College. They became more numerous after the Restoration, College. They became more numerous after the Restoration, which gave better hope of a tranquillity indispensable for science; and, on the 28th of November, 1660, agreed to form a regular society which should meet weekly for the promotion of natural philosophy; their registers are kept from this time.* The king, rather found himself of these subjects, from the beginning afforded them his patronage; their first charter is dated 15th July, 1662, incorporating them by the style of the Royal Society, and appointing Lord Brouncker the first president, assisted by a council of twenty, the conspicuous names among which are Boyle, Kenelm Digby, Wilkins, Wren, Evelyn, and Oldenburg.† The last of these was secretary, and editor of the Philosophical Transactions, the first number of which appeared March 1. 1665, containing sixteen pages in quarto. These were continued monthly, or less frequently, according to the materials he possessed. Oldenburg ceased to be the editor in 1667, and was succeeded by Grew, as he was by Hooke. These early transactions are chiefly notes of conversations and remarks made at the meetings, as well as of experiments either then made or reported to the Society.‡ made or reported to the Society. I

4. The Academy of Sciences at Paris was established in 1666, under the auspices of Colbert. The king assigned to them a room in the royal library for their meetings. Those first selected were all mathematicians, but other departments of science, especially chemistry and anatomy, afterwards furnished associates of considerable name. It seems, nevertheless, that this Academy did not cultivate experimental philosophy with such unremitting zeal as the Royal Society, and that abstract mathematics have always borne a larger proportion to the rest of their inquiries. They published in this century ten volumes, known as Anciens Mémoires de l'Académie. But near its close, in 1697, they received a regular institution from the king, organising them in a manner analogous to the two other great literary foun-

Birch's Hist. of Royal Society, vol 1 p 1

ł Id p 88

f Id vol 11 p 18 Thomson's Hist, of Royal Society, p 7

dations, the French Academy, and that of Inscriptions and Belles Lettres *

5 In several branches of physics, the experimental philosopher is both guided ond corrected by the cternal Business lows of geometry In others he wants this ind ond, Chemistry in the words of his master, "knows and understands no more concerning the order of nature, than, as her servant and in terpreter, he has been taught by observation and tentative processes." All that concerns the peculiar actions of bodies on each other was of this description, though, in our own times, even this has been in some degree brought under the omnipotent control of the modern onnivais. Chemistry, or the science of the molecular constituents of bodies, manifested in such peculiar and reciprocal operations, had never been rescued from empirical hands till this period. The transmin tation of metals, the universal medicine, and other inquiries ntterly amphilosophical in themselves, because they assumed the existence of that which they sought to discover, had occupied the chemists so much that none of them had made any further progress than occasionally by some happy combina tion or analysis, to contribute an useful preparation to phormacy, or to detect an nnknown substance Glonber and Van Helmont were the most active and ingenious of these elder chemists, but the former has only been remembered by having long given his name to sulphate of soda, while the latter wasted his time on experiments from which he know not how to draw right inferences, and his powers on hypotheses which o sounder spirit of the inductive philosophy would have taught him to reject.

6 Chemistry, as a science of principles, hypothetical, no doubt, and in a great measure unfounded, but cohering in a plausible system, and better than the reveries of the Paracelsists and Behmenists, was founded by Becker in Germany by Boyle and his contemporaries of the Royal Society in England. Becker, a native of Spire, who ofter wandering from one city of Germany to another, died in London, in 1685, by his Physica Subterranea, published in

Fontensile, vol. p. 23. Mootu † Thomson's Hist, of Chamistry ols, Hist, des Mathématiques, vol. ii. 183.

⁰⁰⁴

1669, laid the foundation of a theory, which having in the next century been perfected by Stahl, became the creed of philosophy till nearly the end of the last century. "Becker's theory," says an English writer, "stripped of every thing but the naked statement, may be expressed in the following sentence: besides water and air, there are three other substances, called carths, which enter into the composition of bodies, namely, the fusible or vitrifiable earth, the inflammable or sulphureous, and the mercurial. By the intimate combination of earths with water is formed an universal acid, from which proceed all other acid bodies; stones are produced by the combination of certain earths, metals by the combination of all the three earths in proportions which vary according to the metal." *

Lord Bacon, raised to himself so high a reputation in experimental philosophy as Robert Boyle; it has even been remarked, that he was born in the year of Bacon's death, as the person destined by nature to succeed him. An eulogy which would be extravagant, if it implied any parallel between the genius of the two; but hardly so, if we look on Boyle as the most faithful, the most patient, the most successful disciple who carried forward the experimental philosophy of Bacon. His works occupy six large volumes in quarto. They may be divided into theological or metaphysical and physical or experimental. Of the former, we may mention as the most philosophical, his Disquisition into the Final Causes of Natural Things, his Free Inquiry into the received Notion of Nature, his Discourse of Things above Reason, his Considerations about the Reconcileableness of Reason and Religion, his Excellency of Theology, and his Considerations on the Style of the Scriptures, but the latter, his chemical and experimental writings, form more than two thirds of his prolix works.

8. The metaphysical treatises, to use that word in a large sense, of Boyle, or rather those concerning Natural Theology, are very perspicuous, very free from system, and such as bespeak an independent lover

Thomson's Hist of Royal Society, p 468

of truth His Disquisition on Final Causes was a well timed vindication of that palmary argument against the paradox of the Cartesians, who had defined the validity of an inference from the munifest adaptation of means to ends in the universe to an intelligent Providence. Boyle takes a more philosophical view of the principle of final causes than had been found in many theologians, who weakened the argument itself by the presumptuous hypothesis, that man was the sole object of Providence in the creation. His greater knowledge of physiology led him to perceive that there are both numinl, and what he calls cosmical ends, in which man has no concern

- 9 The following passage is so favourable a specimen of the philosophical spirit of Boyle, and so good an illustration of the theory of sdols in the Novum forecast of the have deserved a place in a former clapter, I will not refrain from inserting it "I know not," he says, in his Tree Inquiry into the received Notion of Nature, 'whether it he a prerogative in the haman mind, that as it is itself a true and positive being, so is it apt to conceive all other things as true and positive beings also, but whether or no this propensity to frame such kind of ideas supposes me excellency, I fear it occasions mistakes, and makes us think and speak after the manner of true and positive beings, of such things as one but chimerical, and some of them negations or privatious themselves, as death, ignorance, blindness, and the like. It concerns us therefore to stand very carefully upon our guard, that we be not insensibly misled by such un innate and unheeded temptation to error, as we bring into the world with us."
- 10 Boyle improved the nir pump and the thermometer, thingli thin latter was first made an accurate matrix ment of investigation by Newton He also discovered the law of the air's clasticity, namely, that its bulk is inversely as the pressure upon it. For some of the principles of hydrostatics we are indebted to him, though he did not possess much mathematical knowledge. The Philo-

sophical Transactions contain several valuable papers by him on this science." By his "Sceptical Chemist," published in 1661, he did much to overturn the theories of Van Helmont's school, that commonly called of the intro-chemists, which was in its highest reputation; raising doubts as to the existence not only of the four elements of the peripatetics, but of those which these chemists had substituted. Boyle holds the elements of bodies to be atoms of different shapes and sizes, the union of which gives origin to what are vulgarly called elements.† It is unnecessary to remark that this is the prevailing theory of the present age.

vailing theory of the present age.

11. I shall borrow the general character of Boyle and of his contemporaries in English chemistry from a modern author of credit. "Perhaps Mr. Boyle may be considered as the first person neither connected with pharmacy nor mining, who devoted a considerable nected with pharmacy nor mining, who devoted a considerable degree of attention to chemical pursuits. Mr. Boyle, though in common with the literary men of his age he may be accused of credulity, was both very laborious and intelligent; and his chemical pursuits which were various and extensive, and intended solely to develop the truth without any regard to previously conceived opinions, contributed essentially to set chemistry free from the trainmels of absurdity and superstition, in which it had been hitherto enveloped, and to recommend it to philosophers as a science deserving to be studied on account of the important information which it was qualified on account of the important information which it was qualified to convey. His refutation of the alchemistical opinions respecting the constituents of bodies, his observations on cold, on the air, on phosphorus, and on ether, deserve particularly to be mentioned as doing him much honour. regular account of any one substance or of any class of bodies in Mr. Boyle, similar to those which at present are considered as belonging exclusively to the science of chemistry. Neither did he attempt to systematise the phenomena, nor to subject them to any hypothetical explanation.

12. "But his contemporary Dr. Hooke, who had a particular predilection for hypothesis, sketched in his Micrographia a very beautiful theoretical explanation

^{*} Thomson's Hist of Royal Society, † Thomson's Hist, of Chemistry, pp 400 411

of combustion, and promised to develop his doctrine more fully in a subsequent book, a promise which he never fulfilled, though in his Lampas, published about twenty years afterwards, he has given a very beautiful explanation of the way in which a candle hums. Mayow, in his Essays, published at Oxford about ten years after the Micrographia, embraced the hypothesis of Dr Hooke without acknowledg ment, but clogged it with so many absurd additions of his own as greatly to obecure its linetre and diminish its beanty Mayow's first and principal Essay contains some happy experiments on respiration and air, and some fortunate conjectures respecting the combination of the metals, but the most valuable part of the whole is the chapter on affini tes, in which he appears to have gone much farther than any other chemist of his day, and to have anticipated some of the best established doctrioes of his successors Newton, to whom all the sciences lie under such great obli gations, made two most important contributions to chemistry, which constitute as it were the foundation-stones of its two great divisions. The first was pointing out a method of gra-duating thermometers, so as to be comparable with each other in whatever part of the world observations with them are made. The second was by pointing out the nature of che mical affinity, and showing that it consisted in an attraction hy which the constituents of bodies were drawn towards each other and nmted, thus destroying the previous hypothesis of the hooks, and points, and rings, and wedges, hy means of which the different constituents of bodies were conceived to be kept together " .

13 Lemery, a druggest at Paris, by his Cours de Chymie in 1675, is said to have changed the face of the science, the change nevertheless seems to have gone no deeper "Lemery," says Rontenelle, "was the first who dispersed the real or pretended obscurtues of chemistry, who brought it to clearer and more simple notions, who abolished the gross barbarisms of its language, who promised nothing but what he knew the art could perform, and to this be oved the success of his book. It shows not only a sound under

Thomson's Hist, of Royal Society p. 456

standing, but some greatness of-soul, to strip one's own science of a false pomp." * But we do not find that Lemery had any novel views in chemistry, or that he claims with any irresistible pretension the title of a philosopher. In fact, his chemistry seems to have been little more than pharmacy.

SECT. II. - ON NATURAL HISTORY.

Zoology — Ray — Botanical Classifications — Grew — Geological Theories.

History must always be progressive, where any regress of gard is paid to the subject; every traveller in remote countries, every mariner may contribute some observation, correct some error, or bring home some new species. Thus zoology had made a regular advance from the days of Conrad Gesner; yet with so tardy a step, that, reflecting on the extensive intercourse of Europe with the Eastern and Western world, we may be surprised to find, how little Jonston, in the middle of the seventeenth century, had added, even in the most obvious class, that of quadrupeds, to the knowledge collected one hundred years before. But hitherto zoology, confined to mere description, and that often careless of indefinite, unenlightened by anatomy, unregulated by method, had not mented the name of a science. That name it owes to John Ray.

15. Ray first appeared in Natural History as the editor of the Ornithology of his highly accomplished friend Francis Willoughby, with whom he had travelled over the Continent. This was published in 1676, and the History of Fishes followed in 1686. The descriptions are ascribed to Willoughby, the arrangement to Ray, who might have considered the two works as in great part his own, though he has not interfered with the glory of his deceased friend. Cuvier observes, that the History of Fishes is the

^{*} Eloge de Lemery, in Œuvres de Fontenelle, v 361 Biog Universelle

more perfect work of the two, that many species are de scribed which will not be found in earlier ichthyologists, and that those of the Mediterranean especially are given with great precision.

16 Ameng the original works of Ray we may select the Synopsis Methodica Animalium Quadrupedum et Ins. Sy Serpentini Generis, published in 1693 This book makes an epoch in zoology, net for the additions of pets. new species it contains, since there are few whelly such, but as the first classification of animals that can be reckoned both general and grounded in unture. He divides them into those with blood and without blood. The former are such as breathe through lungs, and such as breathe through gills. Of the former of these some have a heart with two ventricles, some have one only And among the former class of these some are viviparons, some oviparous "We thus come to the proper distinction of Mammalia. But in compliance with vulgar projudice, Ray did not include the cetacen in the same class with quadrupeds, though well aware that they properly belonged to it, and left them as an order of fishes † Quad rupeds he was the first to divide into ungulate and ungua culate, hoofed and clawed, having himself invented the La tin words # The former are solidipeda, bisulca, or quadri rulca, the latter are bifida or multifida, and these latter with andivided, or with partially divided toes; which latter again may have broad claws, as monkeys, or narrow claws, and these with narrow claws he arranges according their teeth, as either carnicora, or leporina, now generaly called rodentia Besides all these quadropeds which he calls and loga, he has a general division called anomala, for those without teeth, or with such peculiar arrangements of teeth, as we find in the insectivorous genera, the hedgehog and mole §

17 Ray was the first zoologist who made use of comparative anatomy, he inserts at length every account Market of this of dissections that he could find, several had been week.

Biographie Universella, art. Ray † Nos ne a communi hominum opinicos nimis recetames, et ut l'estatus novitatis notam critemus, cetacum aquatilium gerius, quamvis cum quadcupe-

dibus viviparis in omnibus fore protest / quem in pilis et pelibus èt elemento in que degunt convenire vidantur piscibus annumerablumu. p. 55 P. 50.

made at Paris. He does not appear to be very anxious about describing every species, thus in the simian family he omits several well-known.* I cannot exactly determine what quadrupeds he has inserted that do not appear in the earlier zoologists; according to Linnæus, in the twelfth edition of the Systema Naturæ, if I have counted rightly, they amount to thirty-two; but I have found him very careless in specifying the synonyms of his predecessors, and many for which he only quotes Ray, are in Gesner oi Jonston. Ray has however much the advantage over these in the brevity and closeness of his specific characters. "The particular distinction of his labours," says Cuvier, "consists in an arrangement more clear, more determinate than those of any of his predecessors, and applied with more consistency and precision. His distribution of the classes of quadrupeds and birds have been followed by the English naturalists almost to our own days; and we find manifest traces of that he has adopted as to the latter class in Linnæus, in Brisson, in Buffon, and in all other ornithologists." †

18. The bloodless animals, and even those of cold blood, with the exception of fishes, had occupied but little attention of any good zoologists till after the middle of the century. They were now studied with considerable success. Redi, established as a physician at Florence, had yet time for that various literature which has immortalised his name. He opposed, and in a great degree disproved by experiment, the prevailing doctrine of the equivocal generation of insects, or that from corruption; though where he was unable to show the means of reproduction, he had recourse to a paradoxical hypothesis of his own. Redi also enlarged our knowledge of intestinal animals, and made some good experiments on the poison of vipers.‡ Malpighi, who combated, like Redi, the theory of the reproduction of organised bodies from mere corruption, has given one of the most

he had found in the Memoirs of the Académie des Sciences But he does not mention the Simia Inuus, or the S Hamadryas, and several others of the most known species

[•] Hoc genus animalium tum caudatorum tum cauda carentium species valde numerosæ sunt, non tamen multæ apud autorés fide dignos descriptæ occurrunt He only describes those species he has found in Clusius or Marcgrave, and what he calls' Parisienses, such, I presume, as

[†] Biogr. Univ

Biogr Univ Tiraboschi, xi 252

complete treatises on the silkworm that we possess Swam merdam, a Dutch naturalist, atlandoned his prisuits in human matomy to follow up that of insects, and by his skill and patience in dissection made numerons discoveries in their structure. His General History of Insects, 1669, contains a distribution into four classes, founded on their bodily forms and the metamorphoses they undergo A posthamous work, Biblia Nature, not published till 1798, contains, says the Biographie Universelle, "in multitude of facts wholly unknown before Swammerdam, it is impossible to carry firther the matomy of these little aumals, or to be more exact in the description of their organs"

19 Lister, an English physician, may be reckoned one of those who have done most to found the science of conchology by his Historia sive Synopsis Conchy lorum, in 1685, n work very copious and full of necurate delineations, and also by his three treatises on English in mails, two of which relate to flaviatile and manue shell. The third, which is on spiders, is not less esteemed in entimology. Lister was also perhaps the first to distinguish it specific characters, such it least as are now reckoned specifithough probably not in his time, of the Asiatic and Africa elephant. "His works in initial history and comparation matomy are justly esteemed, because he has shown himse an exact and sagnetous observer, and has pointed out with correctness the natural relations of the animals that he discribes." †

20 The beautiful science which bears the improper name of comparative nations had but casually occupied compared the intention of the medical profession. It was to see them, rather than to mere zoologists, that it owed, but indeed strictly must always owe, its discoveries, which had therefore the way for the way now more cultivated, and the relations of structure to the capacities of animal life be-

used and the second is but a part, though an important one, of the science. Zectowy has been suggested as better name, but it is not quite enabled to the material and on the whole it seems as if we must remain with the old word protesting against its proportiety.

Biogr Uni Tiraboschi, xl. 2.52.
† Biogr Univ Chalmers.
† It is most probable that this term
was originally designed to express a comparison between the human structure and
that of brutes, though it unight also
steam one between different species of the
latter In the first sense it is never now

came more striking, as their varieties were more fully understood; the grand theories of final causes found their most convincing arguments. In this period, I believe, comparative anatomy made an important progress, which in the earlier part of the eighteenth century was by no means equally rapid. France took the lead in these researches. "The number of papers on comparative anatomy," says Dr. Thomson, "is greater in the memoirs of the French Acidemy than in our national publication. This was owing to the pains taken during the reign of Loms XIV, to furnish the Academy with proper animals, and the number of anatomists who received a salary, and of course devoted themselves to anatomical subjects." There are however about twenty papers in the Philosophical Transactions before 1700 on this subject."

21. Botany, notwithstanding the gli ims of philosophical light which occasionally illustrate the writing of Casalpin and Columna, had seldom gone farther than to name, to describe, and to delineate plants with a greater or less accuracy and contonsuess. Yet it long had the advantage over zoology, and now, when the latter made a considerable step in advance, it still continued to keep ahead. This is a period of great importance in botanical science. Jungius of Hamburgh, whose posthumous

Isagoge Phytoscopics was published in 1079, is and to have been the first in the seventeenth century who led the way to a better classification than that of Lobel, and Sprengel thinks that the English botanists were not macquainted with his writings; Ray indeed owns his obligations to them.

22. But the founder of classification, in the eyes of the world, was Robert Morison, of Aberdeen, professor of botany at Oxford; who, by his Hortus Blesensis, in 1669, by his Plantarum Umbelliferarum Distributio Nova, in 1672; and chiefly by his great work, Historia Plantarum Universalis, in 1678, laid the basis of a systematic classification, which he partly founded, not on trivial distinctions of appearance, as the older botamists, but, as Caesalpin had first done, on the fructifying organs. He has been frequently charged with plagrarism from that great Italian, who seems to

^{*} Thomson's Hist, of Royal Society, † Sprengel, Hist Rei Herbaria, p 114 vol. ii p 32

have suffered, us others linve done, by finling to carry for ward his own limitious conceptions into such details of proof as the world justly demands, another instance of which has been seen in his very striking passages on the circulation of Sprengel, however, who praises Morison highly, does not impute to him this injustice towards Clesalpin, whose writings might possibly be unknown in Britain . And it might be observed also, that Morison did not, as has some times been alleged, establish the fruit as the sole basis of his prrangement. Out of fifteen classes, into which he distri butes all herbaceous plants, but seven are characterised by this distruction † "The examination of Morison's works, says n Inte biographer, " will emble us to judge of the service he rendered in the reformation of botany Tho great botanists, from Gesner to the Bauhins, lind published works, more or less useful by their discoveries, their observations, their descriptions, or their figures. Gesner had made a great step in considering the front as the principal distinction of genera. Inbins Column adopted this view, Cresalpin applied it to a 1 classification which should be regarded as better than any that preceded the epoch of which we speak Morison had unnde a particular study of fruits, having collected 1500 dif ferent species of them, though he did not neglect the import nnce of the natural affinities of other parts. He dwells on this leading idea, insists on the necessity of establishing genoric characters, and has founded his chief works on this basis. He has therefore done real service to the science, nor about the santy which has made how conceal his obligations to Cresalpin induce us to refuse him justice". Morison speaks of his own theory with excessive vanity, and depre cintes all earlier botanists as full of coufusion Several4 English writers have been unfavourable to Morison, out of partiality to Ray, with whom be was on bad terms, but Tournefort declares that if he had not enlightened botany, it woold still linve been in darkness.

23 Ray, in his Methodus Phintarum Nova, 1682, and in his Historia Plantarum Universalis, in three volumes, the first

Spren-el, p. 34
† Pultensy Historical Progress of Botany in England vol. 1, p. 307
† Biogr U iversells.

VOL JII

published in 1686, the second in 1688, and the third, which is supplemental, in 1704, trod in the steps of Morrson, but with more acknowledgment of what was due to others, and with some improvements of his own. He described 6900 plants, many of which are now considered as varieties.* In the botanical works of Ray we find the natural families of plants better defined, the difference of complete and incomplete flowers more precise, and the grand division of monocotyledons and dicotyledons fully established. He gave much precision to the characteristics of many classes, and introduced several technical terms, very useful for the perspicuity of botanical language, finally, he established many general principles of arrangement which have since been adopted.† Ray's method of classification was principally by the first, though he admits its imperfections. "In fact, his method," says Pulteney, "though he assumes the fruit as the foundation, is an elaborate attempt, for that time, to fix natural classes."‡

21. Rivinus, in his Introductio in Rem Herbariam, Leipsic, 1690, a very short performance, struck into a new path, which has modified to a great degree the systems of later botanists. Cæsalpin and Morison had looked mainly to the fruit as the basis of classification; Rivinus added the flower, and laid down as a fundamental rule that all plants which resemble each other both in the flower and in the fruit ought to bear the same generic name. In some pages of this Introduction we certainly find the basis of the Critica Botanica of Linnæus. Rivinus thinks the airangement of Cæsalpin the best, and that Morison has only spoiled what he took, of Ray he speaks in terms of culogy, but blames some part of his method. His own is primarily founded on the flower, and thus he forms eighteen classes, which, by considering the differences of the fruits, he subdivides into ninety-one genera. The specific distinctions he founded on the general habit and appearance of the plant. His method is more thoroughly artificial, as opposed to na-

^{*} Pultency The account of Ray's life and botanical writings in this work occupies nearly 100 pages,

[†] Biogr Universelle

[‡] P 259 § Biogr Universelle

tural, that is, more established on a single principle, which often brings heterogeneous plants and families together, than that of any of his predecessors, for even Ray bad kept the distinction of trees from shrubs and herbs, conceiving it to be founded in their natural fructification. Rivinus set asido wholly this leading division. Yet he had not been able to reduce all plants to his method, and admitted several anomalous divisions.

25 Tho ment of establishing in uniform and consistent system was reserved for Tournefort His Elemens Tournefort do la Botamquo appeared in 1694, the Latin translation, Institutiones Rei Herbarne, in 1700 Tournefort, like Rivinus, took the flower or corolla, as the basis of his system, and the varieties in the structure, rather than number, of the petals furnish him with his classes. The genera for like other botamets before Linnwas lie line no intermediate division - nro established by the flower and fruit conjointly, or now and then by less essential differences, for he held it better to constitute new genera than, as others had done, to have noomalous species The necessory parts of n plant are allowed to supply specific distinctions. But Tournefort divides vegetables, necording to old prejudice—which it is surprising that, after the precedent of Rivinus to the contrary, he should have regarded—into herbs and trees, and thus he has twenty two classes Simple flowers, mouopetalous or poly petalous, form cleven of these, composite flowers, three, the npetalous, one, the cryptogamons, or those without flower or fruit, mal o mother class, shrubs or suffrutices are placed in the seventeenth, and trees, in five incre, are similarly distributed, according to their floral characters + Sprengel extols much of the system of Tournefort, though he disapproves of the selection of a part so often wanting as the corolla for the sole basis, nor can its various forms be com prised in Tournefort's classes His orders are well marked according to the same unther, but he raultiplied both his genera and species too much, and paid too little attention to His method was less repugnant to natural affinities and more convenient in practice than any which had

Blogr Uni Sprengel, p. 56 † Blogr Univ Thormon's Hist of Royal Society p. 34 Sprengel, p. 62, p. p. 2

come since Lobel. Most of Tournefort's generic distinctions were preserved by Linnæus, and some which had been abrogated without sufficient reason have since been restored.* Ray opposed the system of Tournefort, but some have thought that in his later works he came nearer to it, so as to be called magis corollista quam fructista.† This, however, is not acknowledged by Pulteney, who has paid great attention to Ray's writings.

26. The classification and description of plants constitute what generally is called botany. But these began now to be studied in connexion with the anatomy

and physiology of the vegetable world; terms not merely analogical, because as strictly applicable as to animals, but which had never been employed before the middle of the seventeenth century. This interesting science is almost wholly due to two men, Grew and Malpighi. Grew first directed his thoughts towards the anatomy of plants in 1664, in consequence of reading several books of animal anatomy, which suggested to him that plants, being the works of the same Author, would probably show similar contrivances. Some had introduced observations of this nature, as Highmore. Sharrock, and Hooke, but only collaterally; so that Some had introduced observations of this nature, as Highmore, Sharrock, and Hooke, but only collaterally; so that the systematic treatment of the subject, following the plant from the seed, was left quite open for himself. In 1670, he presented the first book of his work to the Royal Society, who next year ordered it to be printed. It was laid before the society in print, December, 1671; and on the same day a manuscript by Malpighi on the same subject was read. They went on from this time with equal steps; Malpighi, however, having caused Grew's book to be translated for his own use. Grew speaks very honourably of Malpighi, and without claiming more than the statement of facts permits him.‡

27. The first book of his Anatomy of Plants, which is the title given to three separate works, when published collectively in 1682, contains the whole of his physiological theory, which is developed at length in those that follow. The nature of vegetation and its processes

Biogr Universelle

Sprengel calls Grew's book opus absolutum et immortale

seem to have been unknown when he began, save that common observation and the more accurate experience of gar deners and others must have collected the obvious troths of vegetable anatomy. He does not quote Cæsalpio, ond may have been nonequanted with his writings. No man perhaps who created a science has carried it farther than Grew, he is so close and diligent in his observations, making use of the microscope, that comparatively few discoveries of great importance have been mode in the mer anatomy of plants since his time *, though some of his opinioos are latterly disputed by Mirbel and others of o new botanical school

28 The great discovery usersbed to Grew 12 of the sexual system in plants. He speake thus of what he calle the attire, though rather, I think, in obscore terms the street of the sexual system.

"The primary and chief use of the fittire is such as hath respect to the plant itself, and so appears to be very great and necessary. Because even those plants which have no flower or foliature, are yet some way or other attired, either with the seminiform or the floral ottire. So that it seems to perform its service to the seeds as the foliature to the fruit. In discoorse hereof with oor learned Savihan professor Sir Thomas Milliogton, he told me he conceived that the attire doth serve, as the male, for the generation of the seed. I immediately replied that I was of the same opioion, and gave him some reasons for it, and accovered some objections which might oppose them. But withal, in regard every plant he approviator, or male and female, that I was olso of opinion that it serveth for the separation of some parts as well as the affusion of others."† He proceeds to explain his notion of vegetable impregnation. It is singular that he shoold enpose all plants to be hermaphredite, and this shows he coold not have recollected what hod long been known as to the palm, or the passages in Caesalpin relative to the subject.

29 Ray admitted Grew's opinion cantiously at first. Nos nt verisimilem tantum admittanus. But in his Sylloge. Stirpinm, 1694 ho fully accedes to it. The real catablishmeot of the sexual theory, however, ie doe the sexual theory.

^{*} Biogr Universalle some primary and private use of the † Book iv ch. 1 He had bluted at stilre in book L ch. 5.

to Camerarius, professor of botany at Tubingen, whose letter on that subject, published 1694, in the work of another, did much to spread the theory over Europe. His experiments, indeed, were necessary to confirm what Grew had rather hazarded as a conjecture than brought to a test; and he showed that flowers deprived of their stamina do not produce seeds capable of continuing the species.*

Woodward, in the Philosophical Transactions, illustrated the nutrition of plants, by putting sprigs of vegetables in phials filled with water, and after some time determining the weight they had gained and the quantity they had imbibed.† These experiments had been made by Van Helmont, who had inferred from them that water is convertible into solid matter. ‡

30. It is just to observe that some had preceded Grew in Predecessors vegetable physiology. Aromatan, in a letter of of Grew only four pages, published at Venice in 1625, on the generation of plants from seeds, which was reprinted in the Philosophical Transactions, showed the analogy between grams and eggs, each containing a minute organised embryo, which employs the substances enclosing it for its own development. Aromatari has also understood the use of the cotyledons. § Brown, in his Inquiry into Vulgar Errors, has remarks on the budding of plants, and on the quinary number which they affect in their flower. Kenelm Digby, according to Sprengel, first explained the necessity in vegetation for oxygen, or vital air, which had lately been discovered by Bathurst. Hooke carried the discoveries hitherto made in vegetable anatomy much farther in his Micrographia. Sharrock and Lister contributed some knowledge, but they were rather later than Grew. None of these deserve such a place as Malpighi, who, says Sprengel, was not inferior to Grew in acuteness, though, probably, through some illusions of prejudice, he has not so well under-

^{*} Sprengel Biogr Univ Pulteney,

[†] Thomson's Hist of Royal Society,

[†] Thomson's Hist, of Chemistry

Sprengel Biogr Univ Sprengel, in 176 [It will be un-

derstood that the name oxygen, though Sprengel uses it, is modern, and also that this gas is properly said to have been discovered in 1774 by Priestley, who exhibited it in a separate state -1842]

stood and explained mony things. But the structure and growth of seeds he has explained better, end Grew seems to have followed him. His book is also better arranged and more concise. The Dutch did much to enlarge botanical science. The Hortus Indicus Malabinicus of Rheede, who had been a governor in India, was published at his nawn expense in twelva volumes, the first appearing in 1686, it contains an immense number of new plants † The Herbarium Ambeinensa of Rumphius was collected in the seven teenth century, though not published till 1741 ‡ Several botanical gardens were formed in different countries, among others that of Chelsea was apened in 1686 §

91 It was impossible that men of inquiring tempers should not have been led to reflect on those remarkable phienemenn of the earth's visible structure, which being in course of time accurately registered and arranged, have become the basis of that noble science, the

boast of our age, geology The first thing which must strike the ayes of the merest clewn, and set the philosopher thinking is the irregularity of the surface of our globe, the more this is observed, the more signs of violent disruption, and of a prior state of comparative uniformity, appear indeed, of whom Ray seems to have been one | were so much impressed by the theory of final causes that, perceiv ing the fitness of the present earth for its inhabitants, they thought it might have been created in such a state of physical ruin But the contrary inference is almost irresistible. A still more forcible argument for great revolutions in the history of the carth is drawn from a second phænomenen of very general occurrence, thu marine and other fossil relics of organised beings, which are dag up in strata far remote from the places where these bodies could now exist. It was com mon to account for them by the Mosaic delage. depth at which they are found was incompatible with this hypothesis. Others funcied them to be not really organised, but sports of nature, as they were called, the casual resem-blances of shells and fishes in etone. The Italians took the

^{*} Sprengel, p. 15 † Biogr Univ The date of the first volume is given erroneously in the B. U

[§] Sprengel. Pultoney 1 See Ray's Three Physico-Theological Discourses on the Creation, Deluge and final Configuration. 1692.

lead in speculating on these problems; but they could only arrive now and then at a happier conjecture than usual, and do not seem to have planned any scheme of explaining the general structure of the earth.* The Mundus Subterraneus of Athanasius Kircher, famous for the variety and originality of his erudition, contains probably the geology of his age, or at least his own. It was published in 1662. Ten out of twelve books relate to the surface or the interior of the earth, and to various terrene productions; the remaining two to alchemy and other arts connected with mineralogy. Kircher seems to have collected a great deal of geographical and geological knowledge. In England, the spirit of observation was so strong after the establishment of the Royal Society, that the Philosophical Transactions, in this period, contain a considerable number of geognostic papers, and the genius of theory was aroused, though not at first in his happiest mood.† 32. Thomas Burnet, master of the Chartenhouse, a man fearless and semanthat rech. with more precipation.

fearless and somewhat rash, with more imagination than philosophy, but ingenious and eloquent, published in 1694 his Theoria Telliuis Sacra, which he afterwards translated into English. The primary question for the early geologists had always been how to reconcile the phænomena with which they were acquainted to the Mosaic narratives of the creation and deluge. Every one was satisfied that his own theory was the best; but in every case it has hitherto proved, whatever may take place in future, that the proposed scheme has neither kept to the letter of Scripture nor to the legitimate deductions of philosophy. Burnet gives the reins to his imagination more than any other writer on that which, if not argued upon by inductive reasoning, must be the dream of one man, httle better in reality, though it may be more amusing, than the dream of another. He seems to be eminently ignorant of geological facts, and has hardly ever recourse to them as evidence. And accordingly, though his book drew some attention as an ingenious romance, it does not appear that he made a single disciple. Wiston opposed Burnet's theory, but with one not less unfounded, nor with less ignorance of all that required

^{*} Lyell's Principles of Geology, vol 1 p 25. † Thomson's Hist. of Royal Society

to be known Hooke, Lister, Ray, and Woodward came to the subject with more philosophical minds, and with a hetter insight into the real phænomena. Hooke seems to heve displayed his usual sagacity in conjecture, he saw that the common theory of explaining merine fossils by the Mosaic deluge would not suffice, and perceived that, it some time or other, a part of the earth's crust must have been elevated and another part depressed by some autherraneous power. Lister was aware of the continuity of certain strain over large districts, and proposed the construction of geological maps. Woodward had a still more extensive knowledge of stratified rocks, he was in a manner the founder of scientific mineralogy in England, but his geological theory was not less chimerical than those of his contemporaries. It was first published in the Philosophical Transactions for 1695 †

33 The Protogrea of Leibnitz appears, in felicity of con pecture and minute attention to facts, far above any protons of these. But this short tract was only published in 1749, and on reading it, I have found an intimetion that it was not written within the seventeenth century Yet I can not refrain from mentioning that his hypothesis supposes the gradual cooling of the earth from igneous fusion, the formin tion of a vast body of water to cover the surface, u part of his theory but ill established, and apparently the weakest of the whole, the subsidence of the lower parts of the earth, which he takes to have been once on the level of the highest mountains, by the breaking in of vaulted caverns within its bosom‡, the deposition of sedimentary strata from manda tions, their induration and the subsequent covering of these by other strata through fresb innudations, with many other notions which have been gradually matured and rectified in the process of the science. § No one can read the Protogrea

Lyell, p. 31

inerediblii violentia tam alte ascendiaso. Bent. 23.

[†] Thomson, p. 207 Sect. 21 He admits also a pertial clevation by intunceence, but my, ut ventiating Alpes we colds jem terra cruptions surreacent, minus consentaneum puto. Schums tamen et in illia deprehendi reliquias maria. Cum ergo alterutum factum oportest, credibilus multo arbitror definuties aquas spontaneo nies, quam incentem terraum partem

⁵ Facias tront alhoo orbis aspire nortas at junce quincentibus cauds at que aquilibratis, consistentior emergent status terum. Unde jam di plas origo intelligitur famorum corporum; um cum ignis fusions refrigenerent, altera cum reconceractent ex solutions aquarum. Nequologitur putandom est ispider ex solt ress fusions. Id en im postali

without perceiving that of all the early geologists, or indeed of all down to a time not very remote, Leibnitz came nearest to the theories which are most received in the English school at this day. It is evident that if the literal interpretation of Genesis, by a period of six natural days, had not restrained him, he would have gone much farther in his views of the progressive revolutions of the earth.* Leibnitz had made very minute inquiries, for his age, into fossil species, and was aware of the main facts which form the basis of modern geology.†

SECT. III. - ON ANATOMY AND MEDICINE.

34. Portal begins the history of this period, which occupies more than 800 pages of his voluminous work, by announcing it as the epoch most favourable to anatomy: in less than fifty years the science put on a new countenance; nature is interrogated, every part of the body is examined with an observing spirit; the mutual intercourse of nations diffuses the light on every side; a number of great men appear, whose genius and industry excite our admination. ‡ But for this very reason I must, in these concluding pages, glide over a subject rather foreign to my own studies and to those of the generality of my readers with a very brief enumeration of names.

or the Harveian theory gained ground, though obstinate prejudice gave way but slowly. It was confirmed by the experiment of transfusing blood, tried on dogs, at the instance of Sir Christopher Wren, in 1657, and repeated by Lower in 1661. § Malpiglin in 1661,

mum de prima tantum massa ex terræ basi accipio, Nec dubito, postea materiam liquidam in superficie telluris procurrentem, quiete mox reddita, ex rimentis subactis ingentem materiæ vim deposuisse, quorum alia várias terræ species formarunt, alia in saxa induruere, e quibus strata diversa sibi super imposita diversas præcipitationum vices atque intervalla testantur. Sect 4

This he calls the incunabula of the world, and the basis of a new science,

which might be denominated "naturalis geographia" But wisely adds, licet conspirent vestigia veteris mundi in præsenti facie rerum, tamen rectius omnia definient posteri, ubi curiositas eo processerit, ut per regiones procurrentia soli genera et strata describant Sect 5

* See sect. 21 et alibi

† Sect 24 et usque ad finem libri Hist de l'Anatomie, vol. 111 p 1

\$ Sprengel, Hist de la Médecine, vol iv p 120

and Leeawenhoek in 1690, by means of their microscopes, demonstrated the circulation of the blood in the smaller vessels, and rendered visible the mastomose of the arteries end venus, noon which the theory depended * From this time it seems to have been out of donbt. Pecquet's discovery of the thoracic duct, or rather of its uses, as a reservoir of the chyle from which the blood is elaborated, for the canal itself had been known to Eustachins, stands next to that of Harvey, which would have thrown less light on physiology without it, and like his was perseveringly opposed †

36 Willis, a physician at Oxford, is called by Portal, who thinks all mankind inferior to anatomists one of the wine greatest genuses that ever lived, his bold systems

have given him a distinguished place among physiologers.‡ His Anatomy of the Brain, in which, however, as in his other works, he was much assisted by an intimate friend, and anatomist of the first character, Lower, is, necording to the same writer, a master piece of imagination end lebour. He mede many discoveries in the structure of the hrain, and has traced the nerves from it fer better than his predecessors, who had in general very obscure ideas of their course. Sprengel says that Willis is the first who has assigned a peculiar mental function to each of the different parts of the hrain, for getting, as it seems, that this hypothesis, the basis of modern phrenology, had been generally received, as I understand his own eccount, in the sixteenth century § Vienssens of Montpelier carried on the discoveries in the matomy of the nerves in his Neurographia Universalis, 1684, tracing those arising perform the splind marrow which Wilhs had not done, and fol lowing the minute ramifications of those that are spread over the skin §

37 Malpight was the first who employed good microscopes in anatomy, and thus revealed the secrets, we may say, of an invisible world which Leeuwenhoek afterwards, probably using still better instruments with surprising success. To Malpight anatomists over their knowledge of the structure of the lungs T

Graaf has overthrown many errors, and suggested many truths in the economy of generation.* Malpighi prosecuted this inquiry with his increscope, and first traced the progress of the egg during incubation. But the theory of evolution, as it is called, proposed by Harvey, and supported by Malpiglin, received a shock by Lecuwenhoek's or Hartsoeker's discovery of spermatic animalcules, which apparently opened a new view of reproduction. The hypothesis they suggested became very prevalent for the rest of the seventeenth century, though it is said to have been shaken early in the next. Borelli applied mathematical principles to muscular move-ments in his treatise De Moto Animalium. Though he is a better mathematician than anatomist, he produces many interesting facts, the mechanical laws are rightly applied, and his method is clear and consequent.‡ Diverney in his Treatise on Hearing, in 1683, his only work, obtained a considerable reputation, it threw light on many parts of a delicate organ, which by their minuteness had long baffled the anato-In Mayow's Treatise on Respiration, published in London, 1668, we find the necessity of what is now called oxygen to that function laid down; but this portion of the atmosphere had been discovered by Bathurst and Henshaw in 1654, and Hooke had shown by experiment that animals die when the air is deprived of it. Ruysch, a Dutch physician, perfected the art of injecting anatomical preparations, hardly known before, and thus conferred an mestimable benefit on the science. He possessed a celebrated cabinet of natural Instory.

The chemical theory of medicine which had descended from Paracelsus through Van Helmont, was propagated chiefly by Sylvius, a physician of Holland, who is reckoned the founder of what was called the chemiatric school. His works were printed at Amsterdam in 1679, but he had promulgated his theory from the imiddle of the century. His leading principle was that a perpetual fermentation goes on in the human body, from the deranged action of which diseases proceed, most of them from excess of acidity, though a few are of alkaline origin. "He de-

^{*} Portal, 111 219 Sprengel, p 303 † Sprengel, p 309 † Portal, 111 246 Biogr Unix

[§] Portal, p 461 Sprengel, p 288 || Sprengel, iii 176 181 ¶ Id p 259 Biogr Unix

graded the physician," says Sprengel, "to the level" of o distiller or o brewer ". This writer is very severe on the chemiatric school, one of their offences in his over being their recommendation of tea, "the capidity of Ditch merchants conspiring with their medical theories." It must be owned that when we find them prescribing also a copious use of tobacco, it looks as if thin trade of the doctor went hand io hand with those of his patients. Willis, in England, was a partisan of the chemiatrics t, and they had a great influence in Germany, though in France the attachment of most physicians to the Hippocratic and Galenic methods, which brought upon them so many imputations of pedantry, was little abated A second school of medicine, which superseded this, is called the intro-mathematical This seems to have arisen in Italy Borelli's application of mechanical principles to the muscles has been mentioned above. These physicions sought to ex plain overy thing by statical and hydraulio laws, they were therefore led to stody anotomy, since it was only by on occurate knowledge of all the parts that they could opply their mathemotics Jolin Bernouilli oven tanglit them to cimpley the differential calculus in explaining the bodily functions. Bot thus school seems to have had the same leading defect as the chemintrie, it forget the peculiority of the lows of organi sation and life which often render those of mert motter in applicable. Pitcairn and Boerlaave were leaders of the natro-mathematicians, and Mend was reckaned the last of its distinguished patrons § Meantime, a third school of medicino grewing, denominated the empirical, a name to be rused in a good sense, as denoting their regard to observation and experience, or the Baconian principles of philosophy Sydenham was the first of these in England; but they gra dually prevailed to the exclusion of all systematic theory. The discovery of several medicines, especially the Peruvian burk, which was first used in Spain about 1640, and in England about 1651, contributed to the success of the em pirical physicians, since the efficacy of some of these could not be explained on the hypotheses hitherto provalent.

Vol. v p. 59 Biogr Uni † Sprengel p 73.

Sprengel, p 159. 5 Id. p. 182. See Biographie U 1

verselle art. Boerhaave for a general criticism of the latro-mothematicians. # Sprengel p. 415

SECT. IV. - ON ORIENTAL LITERATURE.

39. The famous Polyglott of Bijan Walton was published in 1657; but few copies appear to have been sold before the restoration of Charles II. in 1660, since those Polyglott of Walton are very scarce which contain in the preface the praise of Cromwell for having facilitated and patronised the undertaking; praise replaced in the change of times by a loyal eulogy on the king. This Polyglott is in nine languages; though no one book of the Bible is printed in so many. Walton's Prolegomena are in sixteen chapters or dissertations. His learning perhaps was greater than his critical acuteness or good sense; such at least is the opinion of Simon and Le Long. The former, in a long examination of Walton's Prolegomena, treats him with all the superiority of a man who possessed both. Walton was assailed by some bigots at home for acknowledging various readings in the Scriptures, and for denying the authority of the vowel punctuation. His Polyglott is not reckoned so magnificent as the Parisian edition of Le Long, but it is fuller and more convenient.* Edmund Castell, the coadjutor of Walton in this work, published his Lexicon Heptaglotton in 1669, upon which he had consumed eighteen years and the whole of his substance. This is frequently sold together with the Polyglott.

40. Hottinger of Zurich, by a number of works on the Eastern languages, and especially by the Bibliotheca Orientalis, in 1658, established a reputation which these books no longer retain since the whole field of Oriental literature has been more fully explored. Spencer, in a treatise of great erudition, De Legibus Hebræorum, 1685, gave some offence by the suggestion that several of the Mosaic institutions were borrowed from the Egyptian, though the general scope of the Jewish law was in opposition to the idolatrous practices of the neighbouring nations. The vast learning of Bochart expanded itself over Oriental antiquity, especially that of which the Hebrew nation

^{*} Simon, Hist Critique du Vieux Britan Biogr Univ Brunet. Man Testament, p 541 Chalmers Biogr du Libraire

41 The great services of Pococke to Arabic literature, which had commenced in the earlier part of the cen tury, were extended to the present His edition and translation of the Annals of Entychans in 1658, that of the History of Abulfaragins in 1663, with many other works of a similar nature, hear witness to his industry, no English man probably has ever contributed so much to that prevince of learning . A fine edition of the Keran, and still esteemed the best, was due to Marracci, professor of Arabic in the Sapienza or university of Rome, and published at the expense of Cardinal Barbadige, in 1698 † But France had an Orientalist of the most extensive learning in D Herbelet whose Bibliothèque Orientale must be considered as making an epoch in this literature. It was published in 1697, after his death, by Gulland, who had also some share in arranging the materials. This work, it has been said, is for the seventeenth century what the History of the Huns by De Guignes is for the eighteenth, with this difference, that D'Herbelet opened the road, and has often been comed by his successor ‡

42. Hyde, in his Religious Persarum Historia, published in 1700, was the first who illustrated in a systematic manner the religion of Zoroaster, which he always represents in a favourable innuier. The variety and nevelty of its contents gave this book a credit which in some degree of the contents gave this book a credit which in some degree of Persua, and is said to have been often misled by Meham medan nutherities § The wast increase of Oriental information in modern times, as has been intumited above, renders it difficult for any work of the seventeenth century to keep its ground. In their own times, the writings of Kircher on China, and still mere those of Ludolf on Abyssium, which were founded on his own knowledge of the country, claimed

n respectable place in Oriental learning. It is remarkable

that very-little was yet known of the Indian languages, though grammars existed of the Tannil, and perhaps some others, before the close of the seventeenth century.*

SECT. V .- ON GLOGRAPHY AND HISTORY.

43. The progress of geographical science long continued to Maps of the be slow. If we compare the map of the world in 1651, by Nicolas Sanson, esteemed on all sides the best geographer of his age, with one by his son in 1602, the differences will not appear, perhaps, so considerable as we might have expected. Yet some improvement may be detected by the eye. Thus the Caspian sea has assumed its longer diameter from north to south, contrary to the old map But the sea of Aral is still wanting. The coasts of New Holland, except to the east, are tolerably laid down, and Corea is a pennisula, instead of an island. Cambaln, the imaginary capital of Tartary, has disappeared; but a vast lake is placed in the centre of that region; the Altai range is carried far too much to the north, and the name of Siberia seems unknown. Africa and America have nearly the same outline as before; in the former, the empire of Monomotona stretches to join that of Abyssinia in about the 12th degree of south latitude; and the Nile still issues, as in all the old maps, from a lake Zayre, in nearly the same parallel. The coasts of Europe, and especially of Scandinavia, are a little more accurate than before. The Sanson family, of whom several were publishers of maps, did not take pains enough to improve what their father had executed, though they might have had material helps from the astronomical observations which were now continually made in different parts of the world.

44. Such was the state of geography when, in 1699, De Lisle, the real founder of the science, at the age of twenty-four, published his map of the world. He had been guided by the observations, and worked

^{*} Eichhorn, Gesch der Cultur, v 269 quently placed this capital of Cathav † The Cambalu of Marco Polo is probably Pekin, but the geographers fre-

under the directions of Cassim, whose tables of the emersion of Jupiter's satellites, calculated for the meridian of Bologua, in 1668, and, with much Improvement, for that of Paris in 1693, had prepared the way for the perfection of geography The latitudes of different regions had been tolerably ascertained by observation, but no good method of determining the longitude had been known before this upplication of Ga lileo's great discovery. It is evulent that the uppenrance of one of those satellites at Paris being determined by the tables to a precise instant, the ineans were given, with the help of sufficient clocks to find the longitudinal distance of other places by observing the difference of time, and thus a great number of observations having gradually been made, a basis was laid for un necurate delineation of the sorface of thu globe. The previous state of geography and the imperfect knowledge which the mere experience of navigators could furnish, may be judged by the fact that the Mediterranean sen was set down with un excess of 300 lengues in length, being mure than one third of the whole. De Lisle reduced it within its boands, and cut off at the same time 500 leagues from the longitude of Lastern Asia. This was the commencement of the geographical labours of De Lish, which reformed, in the first part of the eighteenth century, not only the general outline of the world, but the minuter relations of various countries. His mans amount to more than one hun dred sheets.*

15 The books of travels in the last fifty years of the seventeenth centary, were far more numerous and varieties. I more valuable than in any carbor period, but we have lave no space for more than a few names. Genedi Carreri, a Neapolitan, is the first who claims to have written in account of his own travels round the world, describing Asia and America with much detail. His Giru del Mondo was published in 1690. Carreri has been strongly suspected of fa brication, and even of having never seen the countries which he describes, but his character, I kaow not with what justice, has been latterly vindicated † The French justly boast the excellent travels of Chardin, Bermer, Theyenot, and

Flore de De Lide in Clavres de Cavini, la vol. p. 3º8, lliogr Unir Fortencie ol vi. p. *55. Lloge de † Tiraboschi zi. 86 Sal8, zi. 442 VOL. III

Tavernier in the East; the account of the Indian archipelago and of China by Nieuhoff, employed in a Dutch embassy to the latter empire, is said to have been interpolated by the editors, though he was an accurate and faithful observer. Several other relations of voyages were published in Holland, some of which can only be had in the native language. In English there were not many of high reputation: Dampier's Voyage round the World, the first edition of which was in 1697, is better known than any which I can call to mind.

46. The general characteristics of historians of this period

46. The general characteristics of historians of this period are neither a luminous philosophy, nor a rigorous examination of evidence. But, as before, we mention only a few names in this extensive province of literature.

The History of the Conquest of Mexico by Antomo De Solis is "the last good work," says Sismondi, perhaps too severely as to others, "that Spain has produced; the last where purity of taste, simplicity, and truth are preserved; the imagination, of which the author had given so many proofs, does not appear."† Bouterwek is not less favourable; but Robertson, who holds De Solis rather cheap as an historian, does not fail to censure even his style.

47. The French have some authors of history who, by

their elegance and perspicinty, might deserve notice; be Retz such as St. Real, Father D'Orleans, and even Varillas, proverbially discredited as he is for want of veracity. The Memoirs of Cardinal De Retz rise above these; their animated style, their excellent portraitures of character, their acute and brilhant remarks, distinguish their pages, as much as the similar qualities did their author. "They are written," says Voltaire, "with an air of greatness, an impetuosity and an inequality which are the image of his life; his expression, sometimes incorrect, often negligent, but almost always original, recalls continually to his readers what has been so frequently said of Cæsar's Commentaries, that he wrote with the same spirit that he carried on his wars." The Memoirs of Grammont, by Antony Hamilton, scarcely challenge a place as historical, but we are now looking more at the style than the intrinsic importance of books. Every one is aware of the peculiar felicity and fascinating gaiety which they display.

^{*} Biogr. Univ † Littérature du Midi, iv 101

[‡] Biogr Univ, whence I take the quotation

48 The Discourse of Bossuet on Universal History is perhops the greatest effort of his wonderful genius.

Every preceding abridgment of so inimease a submirror per thod been superficial and dry

Ho first irradiated the entire ananls of antiquity down to the ago of Charlemagno with flashes of light that reveal an unity and coherence which hed been lost in their magnitude and obscurity. It is not perhops no unfair objection that, in a listory calling itself that of all mankind, the Jewish people have obtained n disproportinnete regard, and it might be almost as reason nble, on religious grounds, to give Palestine an ampler space in the msp of the world, as, on a like pretext, to moke the scale of the Jewish history so much larger than that of the rest of the human race. The plea of Bossuct has at least divided his book into two rather heterogeneous portunes. But his conceptions of Greek, and still more of Roman history, are generally magnificent, profound in philosophy, with an ontino firm and sufficiently exact, never condescending to trivial remarks or petty details, above all, written in that close and nervous style which no one certainly in the French longuago has ever surpassed. It is evident that Montesquieu in all his writings, but especially in the Grandenr et Decadence des Romains, had the Discourse of Bossnet before his eyes, he is more neute, sometimes, and ingenious, and has reflected longer on particular topics of inquiry but he wants the simple majesty the comprehensive engle-like glance of the illustrious prelate

19 Though we fell short in Engined of the historical reputation which the first part of the century might entitle as to cloim, this period may be reckoned that in which a critical attention to truth, sometimes rather too minute, but always praiseworthy, began to be characteristic of our researches into foct. The only book that I shall mention is Barnet's History of the Reformation, written in a better style than those who know Burnet by his later and more negligical work are apt to conceive, and which has the signal ment of having been the first in English, as far as I remember, which is for tified by a large appendix of documents. This, though frequent in Latin, had not been so usual in the modern languages.

It became gradually very frequent and almost indispensable in historical writings, where the materials had any peculiar originality.

- public mind in general, which had with gradual and never receding steps been coming forward in the seventeenth century, but especially in the latter part of it, has been so frequently pointed out to the readers of this and the last volume, that I shall only quote an observation of Bayle. "I believe," he says, "that the sixteenth century produced a greater number of learned men than the seventeenth; and yet the former of these ages was far from being as enlightened as the latter. During the reign of criticism and philology, we saw in all Europe many prodigies of erudition. Since the study of the new philosophy and that of hving languages has introduced a different taste, we have ceased to behold this vast and deep learning. But in return there is diffused through the republic of letters a more subtle understanding and a more exquisite discernment; men are now less learned but more able."* The volumes which are now submitted to the public contain sufficient evidence of this intellectual progress both in philosophy and in polite literature.
- to say, has furnished the occupation of not very few years, and which, for several reasons, it is not my intention to prosecute any farther. The length of these volumes is already greater than I had anticipated; yet I do not perceive much that could have been retrenched without loss to a part, at least, of the literary world. For the approbation which the first of them has received I am grateful; for the few corrections that have been communicated to me I am not less so; the errors and deficiencies of which I am not specially aware may be numerous; yet I cannot affect to doubt that I have contributed something to the general literature of my country, something to the honourable estimation of my own name, and to the inheritance of those, if it is for me still to cherish that hope, to whom I have to bequeath it.

[·] Dictionnaire de Bayle, art. Aconce, note D

INDEX

ARRADIE, M., his treatise on Chris- | tlanity III. 267 Abelerd, Peter era and disciples of

L 14 Abelard and Elolm, L 52, 90.

Abernethy Mr., on Phetic Nature, iil. 505.

Abraham, the intended monifice of Issue by it. 506. Absolom and Achitophel of Dryden, Ill.

473. Second part, 474 Abulfaragum, translation of by Pococks,

IIL 591

Abyednia, Ludolf on, ill. 591 Anademy the French, established by Richellen, ili. 137 History of, 138. Its objects and constitution, 139 Sentiments of respecting the Cd of Corneille, 140. n. Its labours, 526. French Academy of Sciences, 521 566. Academies of the learned in Italy L 195, 220, 464, il. 202, 261 ni. 129. 235. 564 The Society of Areadians, il. 85, lil. 453. The Royal Society of London, il. 431 fil. 585.

566, 585. Literary Societies of Ger-Accurains, athool of law 1, 60, 67 ii, 79. Acidallos, the philologist, L 480. Acondo, or Acouting, de Stratagemeti-

meny fil 22r

bus Satanac, L 550. fl. 949 Acosta, history of the Indies by li. 252.

111. 907 Adam and Eve of Milton, iii. 465. at

Adam, relative rights of his offspring, H. 599.

Adam, Malchior I. 493 n. .Ademi, Tobias, Prodromus Philosophias Instauratio of, ii. 377

'Addison, Joseph, remarks of, Ill. 374 470, 480,

Adelard of Bath, his Euclid's Elements. L 100,

Adimeri, Alexandro, translator of Pinder ffi. 11

Adone of Marini, Ili, 5. Character of the poem, 6 Its popularity 7 Adrian VI. pontificate of L 5 314.

Adrian's lines to Florus, i. 29. n. Adriani, continuator of Guiceurdinia History IL 256.

Adversaria, class of, L 476, 479, 483. Of Garner Berthun, il. 281 Of Gataker ili. 250.

Egypt, history and chronology of, iii. 257

Æschylur III. 472. By Stanley III. 250.

Æthiopse version I the New Testament printed at Rome in 1548 L 46L Africa, travels in il. 251

Agerd, Arthur the antiquerien, ii 263. and a

Agostini, his continuation of the Or lando Innamorato, L 223. Agricola of Saxony mineralogist, I. 459.

Agricola a restorer of learning, L 106 Hla etudition, 204. Agrippa, Comeline, L 310, 386, IL 379.

Ailly Peter d' the prescher 1 557 Air atmospheric, its specific gravity

Mercury used in determining its presmare, ill. 200. Alabaster his tragedy of Roxans, ili. 54

Alamanni, principles of L 359. H 93 The sounets of 403. Sublimity of his poetry 409. Severity of his seture is Alba, Duk of remark on il. 49 Albano, paintings of il. 101

Albeten, Arabien geometrician, L 155. Alberti, Leo Baptista, a man of univeral genius, L 215

Albertus Magnus, philosophical work of L 13. n. 74 fl. 236 Alexla, Polygiott Bible of, i. .08

- school at, I, 329. Library of L 259.

598 INDEX.

Alchemy, study of, 1 112 Alciati, Andrew, of Milan, restorer of the Roman law, 1 404 His classical revision of obsolete and obscure terms, 1 405 11 71, 72 Alcinous, philosophy of, in 303 Alcum, poems of, 1 47 'De Pontifierbus Ecc. Eboracensis' of, ib n Aldı Neacademia, 1 251 Aldrich, his treatise on logic, in 302 Aldrovandus, his Collections on Zoology, 11 235 238 111 206, 207 Aldus Mauutius, lus press, 1 219 250 The Aldine types, 251 Editions of classics, 265, 266 319 325 demy at Venice, established by, 464 Alcander, Professor of Greek, 1 253 Aleman, Matthew, his Guzman d'Alfarache,' ii 214 Alexander ab Alexandro, his Geniales Dies,' 1 320 517 -, Sir William, Earl of Stirling, sonnets by, 111 41 Alexander's Feast, Ode on, by Dryden, 111 477 Alexandrine verse, i 30 Monotony of, 11 117 111 23 3*5* Alfred, King, 1 16 Algebra, science of, 1 235 445 in 171 337 Cubic equations, 1 446 Positive and negative roots, 448 Biquadratic Algebraic language equations, 449 Letters to express symbolical, 450 indefinite quantities, ib Albert G1-Wallis's History of, rard's, 111 179 Discoveries in, ii 219 225 Alhazen, works of, 1 110 11 230 Allen, the Jesuit, 1 558 ii 47 Allwoerden, Life of Servetus by, 1 547 n Almanac for 1457, the first printed, 1 152 Almclovecn, his Lives of the Stephens Family, 1 482, n Alpinus, Prosper, 'de Plantis Exoticis,' 11 241 Althusius, John, his 'Politics,' ii 529 Alvarez, Emanuel, grammarian, 1 497 'Amadigi,' the (or Amadis), of Bernardo Tasso, 11 93 Amadis de Gaul, romance of, i 302 in 157 159 435 A new cra of romance produced by it, i 130 Amaltei, brothers and Latin poets, ii 143 Amaseo, Romolo, 1 437 Ambrogio, Teseo, oriental scholar, 1 461 Ambrose of Bergamo, named Bifarius, ---, Saint, 111 144. America, discovery of, 1 261 Animals

of, 11 236

America, North, discoveries in, ii 253 Ammianus Marcellinus, iii 228 Amyot, Jaques, Plutareli translated by, 11 191 Amyraut, French Protestant writer, in Ana, The, of France, an entertaining and useful compilation, in 541 Anabaptists, the, 1. 314 Their occiipation of the town of Munster, 356 Their tenets, 1 547 11 330 516 Anacreon, 111 10 14 Annsilla, sonnets of, 11. 90 Anatomy, early works on, 1 113 260 452 Progress of discoveries in, 1 455 11 245 111 212 On comparative, 575 Writers on, 589 ct passim. Of plants, 111 580 Anaxagoras, philosophy of, ii 377 399. Andrew, John Valentine, 11 516, 517 n Andreini, the 'Adamo,' and other drams of, 111 57 Andrès, the Jesuit, 1 30 ii 70 154 355. On the use and era of paper, of linen, &c, 1 55 440 Criticisms of, on colleginte foundations, 16 Andrews, Bishop Lancelot, ii 299 308 Andromaque of Racine, 111 586 excellences, 587 Angelica, of Boiardo, 1 224 Angennes, Julie d', beauty of, in 186 Angola, Chimpanzee of, iii. 207 n Anglo-Saxon poetry, 1 10 Language, changes to English, 42 MSS of 8th century, 86 n Anguillara, Italian translator of Ovid, His dramas, 149 11 95 Animals, Natural History of, 111 206 'Icones Animalium' of Gesner, ii 235 Description of various, ii. 234-237 573, 574. Annius, of Viterbo, 11 238 n 292 Anselm, Archbishop, on the existence of n Deity, 1 13 n 67.69 Antiquaries, Society of, in England, founded, 11, 262 Antiquities, On, 1 517 et seq u 290 On the Roman, 1 320 11, 292 518-Thesauri of Grevius and Gronovius, on, iii 254 Potter's Antiquities of Greece, ib Meursius on Grecian, ii 293 Ecclesiastical, the works of Parker and Godwin, 1 516 Collections of, in Italy, ii 260 ceptions practised, 292 Jewish, 16 Antiquity, vencration for, 1 101 315 n 318 m 235 Controversy on the comparative merit of, 16 Antonio, Nicolas, the 'Bibliotheca Nova' of, 1 329 435 n. 514 m 13

Antonio, de Dominis, Architishop, de Republica Ecclementici, ii. 321 n. On the rainbow and solar rays, iii. 302.

Apatisti of Florence, iii. 235.
Apanna, the Cosmography of, i. 462.
Apollonius, conics of, i. 445. Geometry
of, ii. 225.

Apologues, or Parables of Andrese, il. 517 n.

Apparatus of early writers, I. 60.
Apuleius, golden see of, ii. 182.
Aquapendenta, F da, on the language

of brutes, ill. 208.

Aquila, Scrafino d' poet, l. 225.

Aquilasa. Thomasa, his authority as

Aquina, Thomas, his authority as a scholatic writer 1. 17 His works, th. n. 545, ii. 92, 494, 503, 505. Arabian physicians, the, and their school

of medicine, L. 452. Literary and scientific authorities, il. 237. Mattematicians, L. 155. Style of poetry ii, 111 n.

paper, i. 54 Emment scholars, 461 il. 925.

Aralno, study of, I. 461 ill. 924. Lexi con of Golios, St. A MS, version of Hippocrates in, I. 54.

Arentine, u. 846. On the pulmonery circulation, iil. 814.

Aratus, edition of by Grotius, il. 280. Arbiter, Petronius, styl of li. 234 Aradus of für Phillip Sydnsy il. 196. 216. ili. 236. Of Bannazaro, l. 258. il. 214.

Arcadians, Society of, il. 65 lil. 453. 519. Archimedes, il. 25 233. Inventions of,

Archimedes, is. 23 233. Inventions of ill. 171 175, 176
Areopagities, by Militon, id. 150.

Aretin, Peter potitry of I. 425 II. 94. 189. Aretino, Leonardo, surnamed also Bruni.

his Latmity 1, 85. His polished style, 85. 95. Lives of Dante and Petrarch by 159

Argens, Barelay's, fl. 284. fil 164 Argens, his Jewish Letters, fil 560. Argensols, Bartholomew ili. 15 ______, Lupercio, in. 15.

Argentier his medical school, i. 455. Novel principle asserted by 15. n. Argome, d' Bosedictine, under the name of Vigneul Marville, iil. 155. 526, 529 541

Argyropulus, Greak grammarien, I. 145.
Arian doctrine, the, I. 360. In Italy
361 In England, iil. 378.

Arrosto, his Orlando Farloso, 1998.909.

ii. 93. 100, 101 138, 139. If a dellghtful episodes, i. 500. His settres analysed by Gingnané, 408. Rivals Horace, ii. n. His Epicursen philosophy and gaiety 409. Couredles of, 263 426. Comparison with Tamo, ii. 28 100, 207 With Spenser 137

Arlstarchus, siv de Arts grammatică of G Voudur, il. 285

Aristides, version of, i. 479

Aristophanes, by Aldus, i. 219 The Warps of iii. 504. Aristotellans and Ramista, disputes f

i. 980 il., 968. Scholestie und genuine, il. 1 Second cless of, 2. Arastote, his philosophy I. 196. il. 1 19 868. ili. 196. His physics, il. 231 Metaphysies, il. 363 587 ill. 900. 505 593. 577 Opponents of, ii. 6 See Philosophy His poetics, il. 204. il. 247 Rules for Oreak tragedy iii. 140. Definition of cornedy iii. 516. History of animals, il. 324.

pared with Bodin, I. 68. Arithmetic of Camiodorm, i. S. n. Armenum dictionary iii, 225

Arminiazium, I. 543. (a/rd. Its rue, il. 331 Its tendency 532. Its progress, 333.

Editions of, by Duval, fl. 277 Com-

Arminians of Holland, i. 645. R. 936. iii. 874. Of England, ii. 275. 923. Arminia, James, Professor at Leyden.

il. 331 Arnauld, Antono, French controversial writer il. 435 ill. 263, 272, 590. Hils Art de Pesser 520, 366. On true and false Ideas, 339. His objections

to the Meditationes of Descarts, B. 441

Angelica, ili, 272.

Arndt a True Christianity il. 561

Aromateri, botanical writer ill. 599. Arrebo, Norwegian poet, iii. 37, Ars magos, by Jerome Cardan, the algebraist, 1, 449.

510.

Artall, works of il. 238, Arthur and the Round Table, early ro-

mances of, 1, 150, n. Arundelian merbles, at Oxford, ii. 291 Ascenselus, B. the printer 1, 825

Aschem, i. 807 His treatise of the Schoolmaster 510, il. 194. His

Toxophilus, I. 441
Asellius, his discovery of the lacteals,
iii. 218.

Asla, voyages to India, China, &c. ii. 251 253, 255

Q Q 4

Asola, Andrew of, The Galen by, 1 321. 'Asolam,' the, of Bembo, 1 259

Astronomy, treatise of Coperators on the heavenly bodies, 1 112 450 if 7 417 State of the science of, in 170 Works of Kepler, 184 Of Tycho Brahe, 185, 186

Atheism, refutation of, in 306

Atomic theory of Dalton, ii 412

Attacks on the Church by the literature of the middle ngcs, 1 132

Atterbury, Dr., controversy of with Bentley, in 252

Aubigne, Agrippi d', lus Baron de Fæneste, in 168

Aubrey's Manuscripts, 11 129 n

Augerianus, criticism on, it 202

Augsburg, the Confession of, 1 346 527 540 560

Auguis, Recueil des Anciens Poctes by,

Augurelins, criticism on, ii 202.

Augustin, 'de Civitate Dei,' ii 281
His system of divinity, i. 515
The
Anti-Pelagian writings of, iii 269
The 'Augustines' of Jansemus, ib
Doctrine of, ii 412 et passon Controversy on Grace and I ree-will, 329,
330

Augustinus, archbishop of Tarragona,

Aungerville, lus libriry, 1 105
Aunoy, Comtesse d', novels of, 1 556
Aurispa, John, 1 96 99

Australia, supposed delineration of in 1536, 1 462 n.

Autos, or spiritual dramas, of Gil Vicente, i 256 429 Sacramentales in Spain, ii 154

Aveilenada's invectives on Cervantes,

Averani, the Florentine, in. 480

Averroes, disciples of, 1 19 His doctrines, 136 195, 196 ii. 5 13

Avitus, poems of, 1 9 n

Ayala, Balthazar, 1 559 On the rights of war, 11 79

Azo, pupils of, 1 60

Bacon, Lord, his Henry VII, it 424 mi Its philosophical spirit, 229 His Essays, 11. 32 511 Maxims of, His Philosophy, ii 388 m 154 281 Letter to Father Ful-Philosophy in gentio, ii 389 n medicine, 1 452 On the Advancement of Learning, ii 391 396 401 428 De Interpretatione Natura, 368 n De Augmentis Scientiarum, 391 400 426 His Instauratio Magna, 391 Divided into Partitiones Scientiarum, ib Novum Orgrnum, 392 430 Natural History, Scala Intellectus, the civitiones Philosophia, 394 Philosophia Secunda, ib Course of studying his works, 394 Nature of the Bacomm Induction, 397 His dislike of Aristotle, 399 I mophissige an Poctry, 101 Natural theology and metaphysies, ib I innl causes, 103 Man, 404 Man in body and mind, ib Logic. Grammar, and Rhetoric, 405 Ethies, Politics, 406 Theology, 407 Desiderata enumerated by him, 407 Lirst book of the Novum Organum, 409 426 Fallacies and Idola, confounded with idols, 408 Second book of the Noviin Organiin, 401 His confidence, Limits to our knowledge by sense, 414 Inductive logic, 417 His philosophy founded on observation and experiment, 416 Iuther summary of his works, 417-432 His prejudice against mathematics. His wit, 429 512 His fine on the Continent, 129 On an uni versal jurisprudence, 582 sional references to his opinions and authority, 1 310 ii 17 256 n m 191 307 313 360 596

Bacon, Roger, 1 75 100 His Optis Majus, and inventious, 111 His resemblance to Lord Bacon, 16 Optics by, 11 230

Bidius, Jodocus, printer, i 276

Baif, Lazarus, French poet, 1 328 11 113 115 n

Bullet, his opinion of Henry Stephens, 1 483 His 'Jugemens des Sçavans,' in 51 n 540 His 'Life of Descartes,' il 459 n in 314 n 526

Bans, his doctrine condemned by Pius V, in 270 Controversy raised by, 104

Balbi, John, the 'Catholicon' of, 1 77

Balde, his 'Sylvæ,' m 53

Baldi, his ' La Nautica,' ii 92 Sonucto

Baldric, Bishop of Utrecht, 1 88

Baldum, on Roman Law, 1 517 11 73

Baldus, the jurisconsult, 1 64

Ballade Spenish at 110

Ballads, Spanish, ii 110 German, 118 English and Scottish, 133

Balzac, 11 429 n His critique on Heinsius, 51 His 'Letters,' 193 135 137 His inorality and cloquence, 134. Apology for Halsac 135. His style, ill. 594, 529. Bandello, novele of, il. 211 iil. 121 Barbaro, Francis, ethical Dialogues of I, 102

Barbèyrac, commentator on Grotius and Pullindorf, il. 554, 586, iii, 404, n. 419, 459

Berbier d'Abcour his attack on Bonbours Entretiens, iii, 529. On the Turkish Spy 561 n.

Barbour, Aries, the teacher I. 329. Barbour John, has Scottash poem of

The Brnce, i. 44.
Berelay author of Argenia and Euphormio, ii. 164, 265.

Potestata, il. 44. 299. 547

Baret or Barrett, John, his Lexicon, i. 510. Bark, Peruvian, first used as a medicine,

ill. 589. Barlaam, mission of, l. 94. Treatise of,

512. Barisrus, Gaspar Latra poems of, iii,

Baronius, Cardinal, Annals of Ecclesiastical History of, l. 474, 503, 563, 564, Continued by Spondarus, ii.

Barros, J de, his Asia, il. 252. Barros Dr Issae, Greek professor ili.

240. Letin poetry of, 483. His Ser mons, 269 275 296. Barthins, Guspar his Pornoboscodidasesios, 1, 257 His Adversaris,

69. n. fl. 281

Bartholin, the physician, fil. 218.

Bartoli, Jamit, his writings, iii, 129

Bartolus, juriet, i. 64. ii. 72.
Baslo, comen! of, i. 555 Cathedral
555. Laxicon of, 525.
Basson, Sebastian, il. 577

Bassompsers, ii. 563.
Bethurst discovers vital sir III. 588
Battle of the Books, the iil. 563.
Baudius, Doninile, ii. 146.
Banhin, John and Gaspar their works

on botany ili. 211

Gerard, his Phytopinax, il. 244.

Baxter William, his Amerson, ili. 255.

His commentary on Latin, 251

Richard, Treatheon the Grotian

doctrines, il. 315 n. Bayard, le Chevalier memoirs of, i. 463. Baylo, bis critical remarks, i. 505. si.

taylo, his critical remarks, 1, 503, it,
430. n. His Philosophical Commentary on Seriptore, ifi. 289. Avis
aux Refugiés, the, 439. His Nouvelles de la République des Lettres,

537 His Pennées sir la Comète d 1680, 539 His Historical and Critical Dictionary 539... Character of bia works, 541. His Dictionary observation of, 596.

Beattia, Dr William, Essay on Truth

of iii. 437 p. Beaumont and Flatcher their conjunct theatra: - The Woman-Hater fill, 98. Corruption of their text, 68. The Maid Tragedy criticism on, 99 Philaster 100. King and no 100. King 101 The Elder Brother 102. The Spanish Curate, 102. The Cus-tom of the Country 103 The Loyal Subject, & Beggar's Bush 104 The Scornful Lady is. Valentinian, 105. Two Noble Kinsmen, 106. The Fasth ful Shepherdess, 46, 97 107 a Wif and Have a Wife 106. Knight of the Barning Pestle 65. Various other of Fletcher plays, il. Origin of Fletcher's comedles, 109 Defects of the plots, 110-112, n. Sentiments and style, dramatic, 110, Characters, 111 Their tragedles inferror to their comedies, ib. female portraitures, 113. Criticians OC 13 B

Besumont, Sir John, his Bosworth Field, fil. 36. Becanns, principles of, ii. 319.

Beccar, Agostni, H. 150.
Becker his Physics Bobarranea, iii. 567
Beda, his censure of Eraumus, i. 548.
Beda, the Vemerable, i. 5
Bekkar his Monde enshanté, fili. 299.
Behmen or Bostm, Jacob, ii. 580.

Behn, Mrs. Ill. 515, 558.
Belos Anecdotes of Literature, il.
277 n.

Bellarmin, Cardinal, a Jessit, i. 545, n. 555. The chief controversial writer of Rome 555 559 ill. 258. Replies by his adversaries named. Anti-Bellarminus, 555, 556. His Answer to June I. il. 399.

Bellay French poet, ii. 115. 115 Latin poems of, 144 Bellenden, his treatise de State, ii. 520. Ballice Martin (or Cartalio). ii. 540

Bellius, Martin (or Cantalio), i. 549, 550, n.

Bello, Francesco, surnamod il Cieso, i.

Belon, Italian antiquation writer 1, 254. Belon, Travels of, Natural History by il. 257 235 241

Bembo, Pietro, I. 308, 474. The Asoland of, 259. An imitator of Patrurch, and in Latin of Cleare, 408 el^a

Beauties and defects of, 407 Tassoni's eensure of, for adopting lines from Petrareli, 407 His elegance, 262. 316 n 317 437 ii 202 'Le Prose,' by, i 441. Latin poems of, 425 Enjoys his library, and the society of the learned at Padua, 439 Bembus, ii 202

Benaeus, poems on the, 1 425

Benedetti, the geometrician, ii 227

Benedictines, 1 4 70 Of St Maur, the 'Histoire littéraire de la France,' by the, 1 14 51

Benefices, Sarpi's Treatise on, in 300
History of the Council of Trent, 301
Beni, his commentary on the Poetics of

Aristotle, ii 204 iii 131

Benivieni, poetry of, 1 226 Benserade, French court poet, iii 459

Bentham, Jeremy, in 397

Bentivoglio, Cardinal, his Letters, in 126 His Civil Wars of Flanders, 228 Satires of, ii 93

Bentley, Dr Richard, his epistle to Mill, 111 251 On the epistles of Phalaris, 16 Controversy with Atterbury, 252 Benzoni, 'in Novi Orbis Historia,'ii. 211 Berchœur, learning of, 1 91

Berenger, controversy with, 1 13

of Carpi, his fame as an anatomist, 1 454 in 212

Berenice, tragedy of, by Racine, a surprising performance, in 489

Bergerac, Cyrano de, his 'Le Pédant joué, in 75 His Romances, 555

Berigard, Claude, his 'Circuli Pisani,' ii 377 Berkeley, Bishop, works of, ii 437 iii

363 369 Bermudez, tragedies of, il. 160

Berni, his 'Orlando Innamorata,' i 299 358 His lighter productions, character of, 359 Boiardo's poem of Orlando, re-written by, 410 Ludicrous poetry named after him, Poesia Bernesca, 409

Bernier's Epitome of Gassendi, iii 315 365

---- Travels, 111 593

Bernoulli, John, on the Differential Calculus, in 589.

Beroaldo, librarian of the Vatican, 1 262 Bessarion, Cardinal, his 'Adversus caluminatorem Platonis,' 1. 147

Bethune, Mr Drinkwater, his Life of Galileo, in. 190

Beza, 'de Hæretieis puniendis,' i 550 552 562 His Latin Testament, 567 Latin poetry of, ii 144

- 4 *

Bibbiena, Cardinal, his comedy of 'Ca-

Bible, the, 1 150 357 in 222 224 In modern languages prohibited by the Pope and burnt, 11 266 Polyglott Bible of Aleala, 1 308 The That by Cle-Sistine Bible, 566 ment VIII, 567 Protestant Bibles and Testaments, ib Geneva Bible - Coverdale's Bible, 1b The Bishop's Bible, 365 English Bible, translated under the authority of James I , n. 365 See Seriptures.

Bibliographical works, ii 264

Bibliotheca Universalis, of Gesner, ii 264

Bibliothèque Universelle, of Le Clerc, in 274

Bibliothèques, Universelle, Choisie, et Ancienne et Moderne, celebrity of these reviews, in 274

Trançaises, of La Croix, and of Verdier, 11 209 265 Biddle, Unitarian writer, 111 278 Bilson, Bishop of Winchester, 11 481 n Biographic Universelle, the, 11 840 n

Blackmore's poems, 111 479
Bladus, printer at Rome, 1 321
Blace, 'Maps of,' &c 111 227, 328

et passim

Blank verse, first introduction of, 1 420 ii 122 Milton's, iii 465 Of Mirlowe, ii 170 Of other authors, 174

Blomfield, Dr Charles, Bishop of London, on the corruption of the Greek language, 1 93 n Article in the Quarterly Review, 323 n

Blondel, controversialist, 11 334 355 Blood, circulation of the, 111 212 219 586

Boecaeio, criticism on his taste and Latin works, 1 79 438 His 'Eclogues,' 80 His Novels, 435 ii 211 His 'Gencalogia Deorum,' 524 His 'Decamerone,' 438 His 'de Casibus Virorum Illustrium,' 121

Boccalnu, Trajan, in 127 His Ragguagh di Parnasso, ib 233

Bochart, the 'Geographia Saera' of, in 223 His 'Hierozoicon,' ib His works on Hebrew, &c , 590

Bodin, John, writings of, 1 564, 565
11 521 525 11 146 His Republie,'
11 51—67 Comparison of, with
Machiavel and Aristotle, 68 With
Montesquieu, 16 See 69 n

Bodius, Alexander, ii 147

Bodley, Sir Thomas, founder of the Bodleian Library at Oxford, ii 259

ental Manuscripts, 225. Boerhaare, works of, iii. 589 Boetis, Etienne de la, Le Contr' Un

of. fl. 56. Boethius, his Consolation of Philosophy

L 2. Poem ou, 25. Bolardo, Matteo Maria, count of Scan-

duno, l. 223. His Orlando Innamo-rato reviewed, 2*4 299.

Bolleau, satire of, ill. 163. 461 Praises Malberbe 23. His Epitres, 457 Art of Poetry 456, 457 Comparison with Horace 409. His Lutrin, 8 n. 458, 480. Character of his

poetry 458, 555, Bois or Boyse Mr reviser of the English translation of the Bible L 508. Boisrobert, French scademician, Ill.

138.

Bologna, university of L 15, 16. 18 Bombelli, Algebra of IL "25 Bon, Professor of Civil Law III, 446 p.

Bonarelli, his Filli di Sciro, a pestoral

drame, Ill. 58. Bonsmy literary coays of, i. 19

Bonaventura, doctrines of, L 133. Bond, John, his notes on Horsey ft. 282. Bouledia, correspondence of, li. 190. Bonnefons, or Bonifonius, il. 146. Books, the earliest printed, i. 148, 150.

. Prohibition of certain, it. 765. See Printing

Bordone's Islands of the World, with Charts, L 462.

Boreili, de Motu Animalium, Ili. 588. Borghino, Raffaelle treatiss on Painting by IL 189.

Bornia, Francis duke of Gandla, i. 503. Borgo, Luca di, il. 221 Boscan, Spanish poetry of, i. 411 ii.

103. III. 19. Bosru, on Eple Poetry III. 532.

Bossiet, Bishop of Mesus L 294 ii. 392, 542, Ill. 280, 292. The Illistoire Universelle of, 256. 595. 116 Sermon before the Assembly of the Galilean Clergy 259, the Four Articles, 260, Draws up ПЬ

position de la Foi Catholique, 265. Controversial writings of, 264 n. 205 His Variations of the Churches, I. 204, ili. 567 Protestant Puneral discourses of, 299, 520.

Botal of Asti, Il. 247

Botanical gardens instituted at Mer burg Pica, and at Padua, L 457 IL 940. HL 583.

Botany science of L 457 IL 240. Writers on, Ill. 210, 258, 576, 580.

ili. 230. Its entalogue 232. Its Ori- | Botero, Giovanni, his Ragione di Stato, IL 49 Illa Connegraphy 255. On Political Economy 525.

Boucher de junt Henrich III abella extione ii. 41

Bouchetel, his translation of the Hecuba of Euripides, L 429.

Bonhours, critic and grammarian, Ili. 20. Illa Entretiens d'Ariste et d' Eugène 527 Sarcusms of, 529. Illa La Manière de bien Pemer 530. Bouilland, the Italian astronomer lil.

Bourbon, Authory original of Panta-

grack, L 457 or Borbonius, Latin poem of, IIL 50.

Bourdslove to Pêrs, style of his sermons, 肚 292.

Boardin, the Jeruit, objections by to the Meditations of Deseartes, fl. 441 Bourgeols Gentilboume of Mollere a

diverting moral satire lil. 502. Bourmult, bis Le Mercure Galant BL

\$00. Bouterwek, criticisms of L 100, 257 258, n. 412, 420 li. 93, n. 103, 105

111 n. 153, 157 208, III, 14 25, 24. p. 61 155, 459.

Boyle, Charles, his controversy with Bentley IIL 251 Lobert, metaphysical works of

III. 558, Extract from, 569. 11is merits in physics and chemistry fl. His literary character 570. Bradshaw William, literary reputation

of, EL 502. n. Bradwardine, Archby. on Geometry i.

16. 112. Brain, anatomy of the, works on, Ill. 587 Bramball, Archbishop, fl. 515. n.

Brandt's History IL 531 Brazil, Natural History &c. of Ill. 207 Breberuf, his Pharsalie ill. 461

Brentius, his controversy on ubiquity L 543.

Breton, English poot, li. 125. Marilla of, 218. p.

Breton lays, discussion on, L 35.

Briggs, Henry mathematician, li. 172. 174 The Binomial theorem of, 179 Briston on Roman Law 1.517 R. 75. British Bibliographer ii. 119, 199, Brito, Gullalmus, poetry of, L 72.

Brooke Lord, style of his poetry obscure IIL 20. Broughton, Hugh, L'354, il. 249

Brown, Mr George Armitage spente's autobiographical poems by LL 10. B.

Times,' iii 276

Reformation,' 595

losophiea, in 282

Burnet, Thomas, his 'Archicologia Phi-

Brown, Dr Thomas, it 409 Browne, Sir Thomas, his 'Religio Mediei,' ii 515 -'s Britannia Pastorals, in 36 —'s Inquiry into Vulgar Errors, iii. 36 582. Brueioli, the Venetian publisher, 1 357. Brucker, his History and Analysis, 1 3 n 310 n 369, 377.n. it 1, 2 8, 9 13 Brueys, French dramatic author, 1 507 Brunfels, Otto, the 'Herbarum vivæ Eieones ' of, i 457 Bruno, Jordano, theories of, 1 88 310 11 8, 9 377 111 343 Ilis philosoplucal works, n. 9, 10 14 227 pantheism, 13 On the plurality of Sonnets by, 12 n worlds, 12 rious writings of, 190 Bruyère, La, Caractères de, in 409 Brydges, Sir Egerton, his British Biblio-His Censura, 199 grapher, 11 119 Bucer, works of, circulated in a fictitious name, 1, 357 Buchanan, his Scottish History, 1 501 'De Jure Regni,' i 515 ii. 11 257 His Latin poetry, 38 42 111 439. n 147, m 51 His Psalms, 59 Buckhurst, Lord (Thomas Sackville), his Induction to the Mirrour of Magistrates, ii 121, 122 167 Buda, royal library, 1 161 Budæus, works of, 1 228 276 329 347 403 506 The Commentarii Linguo His observations on Græeæ, 323 the Pandeets, 255 403 Buhle, analyses the philosophy of Cesalpine, 11. 5, 6 Commentaries of, on the works of Bruno, 8-13 marks by, 111 311 343 Bulgarini, on Dante, 11 206 Bull, his 'Harmonia Apostolica,' 276 His 'Defensio Fidei Nieenæ,' 278 Bullinger, theological writings of, 1 562 Bunel, Peter, epistles of, 1 318 n Bunyan, John, his 'Pilgrim's Progress,' ı 30*5* - 111 *55*8 Buonarotti, Michael Angelo, iii. 369 n Buonmatter, on the Lingua Toseana, 111 129 Burbage the Player, in 78 n Burgersdicius, logician, ii 372 iii 301 Burke, Edmund, compared with Lord Bacon, 11 425 Burleigh, Lord, refuses to sanction the Lambeth articles of Whitgift, 11 330 Burlesque poetry writers, 11 94 Burman, quotation from, 11 290

Burnet, Bishop, his 'History of his Own

Earth by, 584. Burton's 'Anatomy of Melancholy,' in 152Bury, Richard of, 1 52 n Library and 'Philobiblon' of, 75 91. Busenbrum, lus 'Medulla casuum Con scientiæ, ii 500 Butler, his 'Hudibras,' in 462 474 Butler's 'Analogy,' in 394 n Buxtorf, the elder, Hebraist, in. 221 -, the son, his controversy on the text of Scripture, iii 223 Cabbala, the Jewish, 1 200 Cabot, Schastian, 1 462 ii 253. Cadamosto, the Venetian, his voyages of discovery, 1 260 Cælius Rhodiginus, 1 517 521 Cæsalpin, botanierl writer, ii 243 iii 210 576 His 'Questiones Peripatetiex,' 218 n Casarius, Homilies of, 1 9 n Caius, Roman presbyter, i 12 n -, Dr, on 'British Dogs,' ii 239 Cajetan, controversialist, 1 556 Calderon de la Barea, Pedro, tragi-comedies of, in 59 Number of his pieces, 61 Comedies of, 1b La Vida es Sueno,' 62 His 'A Scereto agravio secreta Vengança,' 64 IIIs style, 1b. Ilis merit discussed, His school, in. 485 Calendar, the Gregorian, 1 526 Calepio, Latin dictionary of, 1 251 326 Calisto and Meliboa, Spanish play, i Its great reputation, 257. Calixtus, George, desired union in relig10n, 11 319 332 n Callistus, Andronicus, a teacher of Greek, 1 146 Calprenède, his 'Cassandra,' in 161 His ' Cleopatra,' 16 Calvin, John, born in Pierrdy, i 355 His 'Institutes,' a text book, 327 355 560 562 n m 276 great reputation, 1 367 Exposition of his doetrine, 355 Received as a legislator at Geneva, 16 His controversy with Cassander, 541 Death of Servetus instigated by, 546 549, 550 u 343 Calvinists favourable to republican institutions, 524 doctrines, 318 320 530 536 111 264 276 Who styled Crypto-Calvinists, 1 548 Calvisius, Seth, Chronology of, 11 294

His 'History of the

Theory of the

Cambrensis, Giraldos, remarks on Ox ford University by L 16.

Cambridge, university of i. 16. 286. n. 338. 337 433. 507 509. n. ii. 158. The university library il. 259-

The press, 1. 512 Camden, his Greek Grammar L 519. His Britannia, 514. His Life of

Elizabeth, hr. 229.

Camerarius, bis age, l. 530. His Commentaries, 489 491 A rentorer of ancient learning, 506. On botany lil. 210. 582.

Cameron, a French divine, il. 334. Cameons, the Lusted of il, 107 excellences, 108, 109. Minor poeus £ 110.

Campenella, Thomas, IL 8, HL 192, His Politics, il. 520. His City of the Sun, 165. Analysis of his philosophy in the Compendium de Rerum Na-

turl 579-577 Campano, his Life of Bracelo di Moctopa, i, 317 n.

Campanna, version of Euclid by L 109

Campbell, Mr Thomas, remarks of fl. 191 126 n. 191

Campion, English poet, fl. 132, Campistron, tragedles by ill. 498. Caninius, Angelus, I. 475, 483.

Hellenlanus, a grammar 487 III. 245

Cantacurenus, Emperor 1, 94. Center Theodore, the Varis Lectlones of 1 490.

William, his version of Aristides, 1, 479. His Nova Loctiones. 490.

Canus, Melchior his Loci Theologici. 1, 367 561

Canalla, Martianus, Enevelopedia of, I.S. Capellarl, the Latin poet of Italy III.

Capito, German scholar 1, 294 Cappel, Louis, his Areanum punetus tions revelatum, fil. 222.

Secret of, 923 Caraccio, his Corredino, Ill. 485

Carata, the Spanish author on Botany H 241 Cardan, Jérome, algebraist, l. 587 446. His Rule for Cubes Equations, 446.

il 219, 291 ill 179 181 On M← chanica il. 950. Cards, playing, invention of, L 148.

Carew Thomas, merit of his poetry III. 42 462

L 151.

Carlon's Chronicle, by Melanchthon, i. 465,

Carlostadt, religions tenets of 1, 494 Carlovingian kings, charters by the. 1, 53.

Care, Applied, correspondence of il. 203 His translation of the Encid. 86, 95, His dispute with Castelvetro, 208.

Carreri, Gemelli, his Travels, Ill. 599. Cartesian philosophy summary of the il 431-461 ill 310 318 366 371 See Descartes, and respective heads ln Index.

Carthusians, learning of the, i, 69. Cartwright, his Platform, L 516.

- William, couplet by Ill, 93, p. Cam, beentions verse of, il. 94, Galatoo, 52. 84. 188.

Camubon, Issae editions of the elemies by 1. 504. IL 275. III. 250. A light of the literary world, 505. spondence with Scaliger L 501 591 n. il. 509. Attack on Bellar min by 555. n.

- Meric, il. 278. n. 310. n. His account of Oxford University ill. 230. On the Classics, 250,

Castrale lytle poetry of ill. 50 n. 51 Bro Serbierus.

Casiri, Catalogue of Arable MSS, by I. 54 Casks, Kapler on the expectly of iti.

17& Cassander George, his Consultation on the Confession of Augsburg L 540. His controversy with Calvin, 541

Grotius's Amoutations, il. 316. Cassiodorus, i. S. and notis, 22. Castalio, Bebestlan, i, 549, 550, n. Hl.

350, 543. Bern's raply to Bellius, at est Castallo, i. 550. Surptural verekan of, 567 Version of the German Theology by 1. 183.

Cestanheda, description of Asia by E. 252. Castell, Edmund, his Lexion Hepta-

glotton, Ili. 590. Castellio, his work on Hydraulics, Hi, 199. Castelvetro, criticisms of L 299, n. il.

203 His commentary on Aristotic Postles, 204. Custiguone, Latin poetry of, 1, 425 11.

202, 268, Castillejo, Spanish poet, ll. 105. Cambity at 195-495.

Casulsta, writings of certain, ii. 500. The English comists, 506. The Ro-

mah, 493. Catalogues of new books first pub-Rished, H. 263. n.

Caterus, his objections to Descartes, ii Catharin, his work not orthodox, 1. 367 561 Cathay, or China, 11 252 'Catholicon' of Balbi, in 1460, i 77 Catholics, their writers, 1 555 566 Catholic English Catholics, 567 Bibles, 566 See Rome Cats, popular Dutch poet, 111. 26 Caudine Forks, the, n. 559 Cavalieri, mathematician of Bologna, 111 His geometry, 177 Cave on the Dark Ages, 1 4 n Caxton, first printed books of, 1 157 Cecchini, celebrated harlequin, iii 60 Celio Magno, Odes of, ii. 86 iii 451 Celso, Mino, 'de Hereticis,' &c, 1 551 11. 343 Celtes, Conrad, 1 206 Dramas of, 208 Academies established by, 466 Celticus sermo, the patois of Gaul, 1 Centurators, the, who termed, 1 562 Of Magdeburgh, 543 564 Cerisantes, Latin poems of, iii 50 Ceryantes, reputation of his 'Don Quixote,' 111 154 German criticism as to his design, 155 Observations on the Excellence of the Roauthor, 158 mance, 159 His minor novels, 160 His tragedy of Numancia, 11 208 11 160 Invectives on by Avellenada, Criticism by, 164 111 154 Cesalpini, Quæstiones Peripateticæ, ii 5 Cesarini, merit of, iii 50 Cesi, Prince Frederic, founds the Lincean Society at Rome, 111 189 234 Ceva, his Latin poems, iii 480 Chalcondyles, arrives from Constantinople in Italy, 1 145 Chaldee, the language and Scriptures, 1 308 111 221 224 Chaloner, Sir Thomas, his poem 'De Republicâ Instaurandâ,' 11 148 210 Chamberlayne, 111 468 Champeaux, William of, 1 14 Champmelé, Mademoiselle de, 111 487 Chancellor, his voyage to the North Sea, 11. 253 Chapelain, French poet, iii 138 \mathbf{H}_{1S} 'La Pucelle,' 461 Chapelle, or l'Huillier, poet, 111. 459 Chapman, dramas of, iii His Homer, 11 130 111. 123 Charlemagne, cathedral and conventional schools established by, i 4 6 11 15 Charles I of England, 1 517 11 304 465 m. 78 120 145 151 461

Charles II, education and literature in his reign, 111 250 296 300 of poetry, 478 Latin poetry, 484 Comedy, 514 Charles VI the Emperor, 11 102 Charles IX of France, 11 114 Charles the Bald, 1 7 23, 24 n Charleton, Dr, his translation of Gassendı, 111 365 Chardin, Voyages de, 111 593 Charron, Peter, treatise 'des Trois Vé-On Wisdom, rités,' &c by, 1 565 u 362 509 Charters, anciently written on papyrus and on parchment, 1 53 55 Chaucer, remarks on the poetry of, 1 45 122 420, 421. 11. 121 Chaulieu, poems of, 111 459 Cheke, Sir John, 1 327 Greek professor at Cambridge, 336 338 His ' Reformatio Legum Ecclesiasticarum,' 11 502 Chemistry, science of, 111 567 570 Chemnitz, the Loci Theologici of, ii 561, 562 Chevalier, Hebrew professor, ii. 249 Chevy Chase, its probable date, ii 169 Chiabrera, Italian poet, iii 9 52 449 His imitators, iii 11 Chiflet, the Jesuit, the first reviewer. ın *5*36 Child, Sir Josiah, on Trade, iii 442 Chillingworth, writings and doctrines of, 11 275 416 'Religion of Protestants' by, 324 Chimpanzee of Angola, 111 207 n China, stereotype printing known in, i 148 Missionaries to, ii 253 iii 225 Nieuhoff's ac-History of, 11 253 count of, 111 594 Voyages in, 255 Chinese language and manuscripts, 111 Chivalry, its effect on poetry, 1 125 Romances of, 128 435 129 ' Christiad,' the, of Vida, 1 423 Christina of Sweden, 11 459 111. 453 Christine of Pisa, a lady of literary accomplishments in the court of Charles V of France, 1 92 Christopherson, his Jephthah, 1 433 Chronology, Joseph Scaliger's 'de Emendatione Temporum,' i 524 His Julian Period, 525 Archhishop Usher's, 111 The Hebrew chronology, 1b 256 Writers on, 256, 257 Chrysoloras, Emanuel, 1 91 95 Chrysostom, editions of, 11 277 Ciaconius, Alfonsus, 1 522 -, or Chacon, Peter, 1 522 Ciampoli, the 'Rime' of, 111, 11

Cibber his plays Hi. 518. n.

Cinero mutlant of discovered by Poguia. i. 82. His style a criterion of lan-Cuare. 84. 316. guage, 84. 316. Argument by His craticon elucidated by Sigonius. His enfettes, ff. 199, fff. 943. Onetation from 584

Ciceronia Consol. &c. by Bellenden. IL 520.

Cld the of Places Cornellie til 60 Critique on, 140. Romanors of the.

ıll. 18

Cimento, Academy del, iii, 564. Cinthio, Giraldi, his tragedy of the Orbecche, L 427 His Hundred Tales, IL 21 S.

Circumnavigators, account of IL 951 QXQ

Cistertians, learning of, L 69. Civil Law and Civillane, L 70-76, n.

il. 540. at ser lil. 422, 445. Clarendon, Earl of his History fil. 150.

Clarius, Isidore, edition of the Vulgate by L 506, IL 249.

Classics, first and celebrated editions of the L 252 394 471 512, 519. fil 248. Variorum editions, i. 590, Ili. 243. Dolphin, 246, et pereim.

Clauberg, German metaphytician, III. 517

Claude, French Protestant controversial writer iii. 263. His conference with Bounet, 264.

Clavina, Encild of IL 225. Calendar reformed by 229,

Clemangia, rel giona views 01, 1, 195. Clement VIII. L 545. Character of ii, 38, 384. An edition of Scripture authorized by this pope, 567

Clement, Jaques, the regleids, fl. 45. Clemerdus, Greek Grammer of, L 524. 488. IL 246.

Cierselier metaphysician, il. 45%, ili. 199, 517

Cleveland, sathre of, ill. 474, 478. Clural, Abbot of, See Peter Clunian code.

i, 54, &c. Library of the Abbey of, 70. Clusius, his works on Natural History

and Botany ff. 243. Iii. 206. Cluverius, his Germania Antique, II. 293. Coccejus, Summa Doctrina of il. 556. Feet of lii. 316.

Codex Chartaceon, Cottonian MSS. (Galba, B. I) contents, and materials written on L 57

Coefferen, translation of Florus by fil. 154.

Colter . Pathological Anatomy by 948

Colebrooke, Mr , on the Algebra of Indu. 1 238.

Coloradge, Mr his praise of Beaumont and Fletcher Ili. 81 n. His philosophical view of the plays of Shek speare, 94 Remarks by ii. 185. iil. 918. p. 469 His Remains 464 p. Colst. Dean, founds St. Paul's school, i.

KII Colinsons, his press at Paris, L 525 346.

Collaito, Count of 1i. 90.

Collier's History of Dramatic Poetry and Annals of the Stage, i. 257 n. 431 H. 78, 79 BO. 166, 167 n. 168.

171 194

Colorel, Aperlo, Lette poet, 1, 464. Colomes the Colomesians, i. A44, n. Colonna, Vittoria, Marchioness of Pessara, beauty of her cansones, L 360. 408 1 99

Coluccio Salutato, literary merits of L. 83.

Columbus, Christopher Enistle of 1. Discovery of America by 61 311 319.

Rualdus, de Ro Apetomich H. 246, 1H. 214, 217 Columns, or Colonne, his botanical

works, Ill. 210. His stohungs of plants, 210, 576.

Comedy id 517 Italian, i. 428 il. 149 Extemporaneous, ill. 59 Spanish, il. 154 &c. See also names in Index of English and French drames

Comenhus, his system of sequiring Latin.

Comes Natalia, Mythologia by L 524. Cometa, theory respecting, ill, 186, Comines. Philip de. Il. 237

Commanding the mathematician, li. 225. Works on geometry edited by ib. Commerce and Trade, works on, li-

596, ill. 441 448. Commonwealths, origin of, li. 53, lil. 551 535

Condillac, works of Il. 475, 579. Confession, gurieular its importance to

a hurch, Il. 493, Strict and lax schemes of it, 496.

Congress, William, his comedies, ili. 516. Old Bachelor th. Way of the World, 517 Love for Love, th. His Mourning Bride, 514.

Coole sections, on, iil. 175. 1 Problem of the cycloid, 178. 2 Coman, the civilian, il. 79.

Conrad of Wurtzburg, 1 37
Conringius, Herman, 11 519° 540
Constance, council of, 1. 556 11 65
Constantin, Robert, reputation of his
Levicon, 1 483 510
Constantinople, revolution in language
on its capture by Mahomet II, 1 92
Constitutions of Enropean states, printed
by the Elzevirs, 11 519
Contarent, rigid piety of, 1 537
Conti, Guisto di, Italian poet, 1 158
______, Nicolo di, his Travels in the East,
1 142
Contracts, on, 11 521 556

Contracts, on, 11 521 556
Contract Social of J J Rousseau, 11 585

Controversy of Catholics and Protestants, 11 306

Convents, expulsion of nuns from their, 1 343

Cooke, Sir Antony, daughters of, 1 514 Cop, the physician, 1 928

Copernieus, astronomical system of, 1 450 m 91 226 971 m 187 His system adopted by Galileo, m 237 m 180 191

Coptie, this language indebted to the researches of Athanasius Kircher, in 225

Cordova, Granada, and Malaga, collegiate institutions of, 1 16

Cordus Euricius, his 'Botamlogieon,'

Corneille, Pierre, dramas of - lns Me-Itte, 111. 68 The Cid, 69 490 Clitandre, La Veuve, and Mcdce, 68 Les Horaces, 71 Cinna, 72 His tragedy of Polyeucte, 16 Rodogunc, 73 494 Pomple, 73 Heraclius, 74 Nicomède, 1b His co-Style of, medy of Le Menteur, 75 Faults and beautics of, 74 tragedies unequal in merit, 485 Comparison of Racine with, 495 His party, 527

Thomas, dramatic works of,

Cornclius à Lapide, 11 355

Corniani, critical remarks of, 1 159 300 ii 92 n 153 190 iii 451

Correggio and Tasso, their respective talents compared, ii 101

Correspondence, literary, 11 264

Cortesius, Paulus, his 'Dialogue de hominibus doctis,' i 89 n 177 His commentary on the scholastic philosophy, 474

Corvinus, Mathias, King of Hungary,

Corycius, a patron of learning, 1 464

t

Cosmo I, of Florence, type of Machavel's Prince, ii 206

Cossali, History of Algebra by, 1 147 n 448 n 223 n

Costanzo, Angelo di, ii 84 95

Costar, Lawrence, printer of Haarlem,

Cota, Rodrigo, drainatie author, 1 256 Cotelier, his Greek crudition, 111 248

Cotta, the Latin poet, in 202

Conneils of the Church of Rome, 1 293 963 538 557 566 11 301 318

Conreclles, Arminian divine, ii 208

Cousin, M, remarks of on the works of Descartes, ii 454 461

Covarravias, Spanish lawyer, ii 76 80

Covenants to be fulfilled, 11 528 537 Coverdale's edition of the Bible, 1 567

Cowley, poems of, in 33 472 His Pindaric Odes, ib His Latin style, ib Johnson's character of, 34 His 'Epitaphium Vivi Auctoris,' 483 His processories 543

His prose works, 549 Cox, Lonard, his 'Art of Rhetoric,' is

444 ii 209 Crakanthorp, logical works of, ii 372

Craimer, Archhishop, ii 339 342 Crashaw, style of his poetry described, iii 32, 33

Craston, Lexicon of, 1 166 219 Printed by Aldus in 1497, 220

Creed, the Apostles', 11 345 The Athanasian, 346

Crellius, 'de Satisfactione Christi,' ii 336 His 'Vindicite,' 344

Cremonini, Casar, ii 4 6 370

Cresci, on the Lauri of Petrarch, ii 203 Crescimbeni, poet and critic, i 408, ii 88 206 iii 10 453 History of

National Poetry by, 519

Crispinus, Milo, Abbot of Westminster,

' Critici Sacri,' i 562 in 297

Criticism, literary, names eminent in, 1 475 Grinter's Thesaurus Criticiis, ib Lambinus, ib Cruquius, 482 Henry Stephens, ib et passim French treatises of, in 208 Italian, i 441 in 88 201 Spanish critics, 207 Early English critics, 209

Croix du Maine, La, 11 209 265

Croke, Richard, orations of, 1 286 n 332 Croll, of Hesse, on Magnetism, 111 219 n Cromwell, state of learning in the Pro-

Cromwell, state of learning in the Protector's time, iii 249 427, 462 State of religion, 278

Cruquius, or de Crusques, Scholiast of Horace, 1. 482

Crowdes, and commerce with Constantinople, influential on the classical literature of Western Europe, L 92. Crusca, delia, the Vocabolaria, il. 207 IIL 129 The Academy of, Il. 206. 261 III. 234.

Crusius, teacher of Romale, L 494. Cudworth, his doetrine, iii. 276. 278. 337 n. His Intellectual System, Free will. 504 589. IL 409 On IIL 353. Immutable Morality by

882. Cuera, Juan de la, Art of Poetry of, II. 208L

Cajacius, and his works on Jurispru deoce, IL 70-73. Culagne, Count of type of Hodibras,

III, 9. Cumberland, Dr Richard, de legibus Nature III, 357-597 Remarks on

his theory 597 400 - M criticisms of iil. 90 Cunseus, on the Antiquities of Judalum,

II. 223. Curcellana, letters of, li 336. Curiosity attribute of IL 482. Curves, the measurement of Lil. 175

Cussons, Cardinal Nicholes, mathematician, L. 185. Custom of the Country by Fletcher IL

100 Covier Baron, his character of Agricols, as a German metallurgist, L 459. Opl-

nion of, on Course Gesner's works, IL 235. Also on those of Aldrovandus. 239 See his remarks, iil. 206. Cycles, solar and lunar &c. 1. 526.

Duch, German devotional songs of, ill. 25 Damer the Horses of, 13, 247 Aristotle, il. 201. lil. 947 - Madame, Translations of Ho-

mer and Sappho by III. 247 Daillé on the Right Use of the Fathers, SL 71 529 935

Dele, Van. the Dutch physician, Ili. 523. Delechemps, Hist. Gen. Plantarum by

li. 844. Dalgarno, George, his attempt to establish an universal character and lan-

guage, Ill. 551 Character of his writings, 362. Attempt by to instruct the deaf and dumb, 56% n. Dalton, atomic theory of, ii. 412. Dampler voyage found the world by

ili, 594. Dencourt, his Chevalier à la Mode, fil. 506.

Danks, Greek professor in the university of Paris, L 327 425. TOL. IIL.

Daniel # file. aniel his. Panegyrie addressed to James I III. 80. His Civil Wars of York and Lancaster a poem, 34, History of England by 149.

- Samuel, his Complaint of Rosamond, ii. 197

Dente Alighieri, Life of by Aretin L 139. Commentary on, by Landino, 45. His Divina Commedia, i.41 102. iil 467 His Purgatory and Para disc 466. Comparison with Horser IL 424. Comparison of Milton with iii. 482, 488. The Ugolino of il. 161

Dati, the Prose Florentine of, iii. 519 Devenzati's Tacitus, il. 190,

Davenant, Dr Charles, ili, 444. His Easy on Ways and Means, 445 Sir William, his Goodsbert,

III. 89 473.

Davies, Sl. John, his poem. On the Immortality of the Soul, Il. 198, III. 49. Davita, Iflatory of the Civil Ware in France by III. 298.

Davison a Portical Rhapsody a collection, Ii, 198,

Decumbrio, the philologist, L 105 Decline of learning on the fall of the Roman empire L 2. In the sixth

century 3.

Dedskind, his poem on Germany II. 32

hy Descartes, Definitions of Words, on, by Descartes,

11, 450, Degerando, remarks of, ill, 514. IIItolre des Systèmes, by IL 13. n. Dokker the dramatic poet, hi. 193.

Delambre, the wethernaticien, l. 155 Delfino, dramatis works of, lil. 485. Delleier Poetgrum Gallorum, il. 144 - Belgarum ii 144.s

146. - Italorum, II, 144 Scotorum, Il. 146.

Delphin editions of the Latin classics, IIL 945. De Marca, the controvertist, il. 306.

Demetrius Croterale, translator for the Polyglott Bible of Alcale, i. 308. Democritus, corpuscular theory of, il.

378. Denham, Bir John, his Cooper's Hill, IIL SO. 479.

Denmark, Boundhavian legands and bal-Lads of, Ill. 97 ,

Depping, Moorish romances published by f 110... Descurtes, philosophical and scientific

deductions, &c of, I 13; n, 449 if 178, 191 307 318, 343, 378 Bonnmary of his metaphysical philosophy n. L

&c, 11 433 461 His algebraic improvements, 224 r 111 181 Applies Indebted algebra to curves, 1b Harriott, 182 His algebraic geomêtry, 184 His theory of the world, 192, 193 His mechanics, 197 Law of motion by, 198 On compound forces, 199 On the lever, ib n His dioptries, 199 203 On the curves On the rambow, 205 of lenses, 204 His 'Meditations,' 309 314 ' Correspondence,' 315 Accused of plagiarism, n. 18, 19 459 in 182 n Process of the Cartesian philosophy, in opposition to the Aristotelian, 316 366

Deshoulières, Madame, poems of, 111 460

Desmarets, the 'Clovis,' of, 111 461 Despencer, Hugh le, letter of, 1315, to, 1 56

Desportes, Philippe, the French poet,

Deuxponts, Duke of, encourages the progress of the Reformation, 1 342

Deventer, classics printed at, 1 227 College of, 134 177

Diana of Montemayor, 11 213

Dibdin's Classics, 1 471

Dictionaries, early Latin, 1 77 926
Lexicon Heptaglotton, 111 221 590
Arabic lexicon, 224 Hebrew lexicon, 1 460 Vocabolario della Crusca, 11 207 111 129 Lower Greek, 11 277
Dictionnaire de l'Académie, 111 526 Its revision, 16

Dieu, Louis de, on the Old Testament,

Dieze, the German critic, 11 107 111 13, Digby, Sir Kenelm, philosophical views of, 111 301 582

Diogenes Laertius, 1 325 111 303 388 Dionysius of Halicarnassus, edition by Stephens, of, 1 325 By Sylburgius, 491

Diophantus, lus method in algebra for indefinite quantities, 1 450

Dioptrics, science of, iii 199 203

Dioscorides, History of Plants by, 11.

Disputation, scholastic and theological, 1 559, 560 ii 1-3

Divine right of kings, ii 521

Dodoens, or Dodonæus, botanical work of, ii 241, 242

Dodsley's Old Plays, 1 431

Doister, Ralph Roister, play of, 1 434 Dolce Lodovico, treatises of, 1 442 11

Dolet, Steplien, essays of, 1 442 11 202

Domat, 'Loix Civiles' of, in 447 Domenichino, his style of painting, in 101

Dominican order opposed to the Franciscan friars, 1 864 See also 545
11 22 334

Donati, the Jesuit, his Roma vetus et nova, ii 291

Donatus, grammar of, printed in wooden stereotype, 1 66 148 152

Doni, his 'Libreria,' a bibliographical history, ii 265

Donne, Dr, his satires, 11 129 Founder of the poetry styled metaphysical, 111 20 32 His verse inharmonious, 1b Sermons of, 11 358

Dorat, French poet, 1 475

Dorpius, letter of, on Erasmus, 1 288

Dorset, Duke of, poetry of, 111 474 Dort, synod of, 11 331 111 275

Douglas, Gawin, his Æneid, i 273 His poems, 420

Dousa, poems of, 11 146

Drake, Sir Francis, voyages of, ii 253

Drama, the regular, when revived, 1 256 Ancient Greek, iii 466 472 Portugal, 256 Of Spain, 1b lations from the Spanish theatre, 59 Lope de Vega, 60 Calderon, 61 The Autos Sacramentales, 16 the Italian and Spanish drama, ii 149 111 57. The Pastoral, 11 150 The French stage, 67 111 58 97 The stage popular in the reign of Elizabetli, 77 Mysteries and Moralities, i 430—432 Various dramas described, 302, 303 in 485 opera, 11 152 The Melodrame, 153 Latin plays, 1 493 First English comedy, 434 Shakspeare, 111 79— Ben Jonson, 94—98 Beaumont and Fletcher, 98-114 Other dramatic works with observations, under respective titles

Drayton, Michael, his 'Barous' Wars,' 11 128 His 'Polyolbion,' 111 35

Dreams, phænomena of, and the phantasms of men awake, 11 464

Drebbel, Cornelius, the microscope of, 111 202

Drummond, the poems of, 111 36 Sonnets of, 41

Drusius, Biblical criticism of, ii 248
Dryden, John, iii 458 His early
poems, 472 'Annus Mirabilis,' 473
'Absalom and Achitophel,' ib His
genius and wit relieve his satire, 474
'Mac Flecknoe,' ib 'Hind and Panther,' 475 Fables, 476 'Alexander's
Feast' and the Odes, 477 Translation

of Virgil, 16 His prose works and style 544 His remarks on Shak 92. n. Essay on Dra speare matle Poetry 97 n. 113 n. Critlcleam by 507 His heroic tracedies. 510. Don Schartian, 511 Scenish

Fruit 512. Duaren, interpreter of eight law H. 2. Du Bartes, poetry of, Il. 16 Ill. 458. Dublin, Trinky College Library of, Ill.

231 Du Bols, or Sylvius, grammarian, L. 442.

Ducarus, Fronts, or Le Duc his St. Chry. sostom, il 278 n.

Du Cange Preface to the Glossary of L 20. 23. a.

Du Cheme Histoire du Balanisme by L 544 n. 545, n.

Duck, Arthur on Civil Law il, 541 Dunbar William, The Thistle and Rose of, i. 259. His allegorical poem, Golden Targe ik

Duncied, the, of Pooc, fil. 457 Dunton a Life and Errors &c. Ill.

562. T. Dura Scotus, a scholentic Revisation L

Du Petit Thomars, remarks of, il. 242.

Duple, M., opinions of, L 553, 561 Ills panegyrie on Richer 502. Ills Ancient Discipline of the Gallican Church, Ili. "Co. Eccledantical Li

brary 261 Du Flewis Morasy L 553, fl. 503.

Duport, James, translations of Secipture by IL 248.

Duran, his Romancero, or Spanish romence belleds, il. 1 III, 12. n. Duras, Mademolselle de Religious Couference before, III. 263.

Durer Albert, treather on the perspec the by 1L 230.

Duryer his tragedy of Betrole III. 75.

Dutens, his Origine des décou ertes attribuées aux Modernes, Ill. 215 217

Du Veir style of his works, il. 192. Ili. 133. 14%

Duval. Aristotle of il. 27

Duverney Treatles on Hearing by Ill. 588.

remark of, ft. 174 n. Dyce M Dyer Edward, style and poetry of it.

Dynamics of Galileo, ill. 195.

Earle, John, the Microcomographia of M. 159, 153. Earth, rotation of the IL 232. Theory

of its revolution round the sun, iii. 189. Butnets Theory of the, 584 Eastern languages, tudy of, l. 25., I'L

220, 224 725, Eckins, doctrines of, l. 556. -

Economists, political, Ill. 440. et seg "

Education, Milton Tractate on, iii, 410. Locke on, 411 Ancient philosophers l'énélon on fermale, 417 on, ii

Edward II., death of il. 40. Helgo of 1°5. Life of 171

Edward III embassy from to Count of Holland, L. 50.

- VI state of learning in the time of, l. 507 il. 39, 193. Stage plays, &c. suppressed by his council, L 455.

Analuptids burnt, 545 Edwards, Richard, poet, the Amantium

Irm of, ii. 120 n. Damou and Py thles, 167 Elebborn's Geschicht der Cultur &e

L 4 R. B. 227 n. 285 n. 503. iIL 220. b. Ellas Levita criticism of ill. 222

Elizabeth, state of learning during her reign, L 507 IL 32. Interior to its state in Spain, 513. Her own learning considerable, 514. Philosophical works in her time 516, il. 82. Works of fletion, Jil. 166 Poets, IL 193. 151 The stage popular III, 77 Court of, described, il. 195. Punishment of the Analogtists, L 518. English divines in her reign, 554

Bull of Pine V against the queen-558. See also H. 47 1º6 253. - Princers l'alatine, 'l. 450 Ellia Specimens of Early Fuglah

Poets, H. 125 n. III. 42. 44 Ellis, Sir Henry on the Introduction T Writing on I aper in the Records, L. 27 Eloiw and Abelard, 1, 52.

- learning of, 1, 90

Elyot, Sir Thomas, his work on govern ment, L 533, 591 m 993, 441/ Elzevir Republics, the publication of ii.

519 Emmlus, Ubbo, V tus Gracia illustrata of, IL 293.

Empedoeles, discoverios of, ii. 243

Empirieus, Bextus, on N tural Law II, 50. 510.

England, its language of Anglo-Saxon origin, L 42, Gld style of 506. Im-provement of, Ili. 144. Native au thors not addicted to the use of Latie i. 5]6. State of learning in. 254, il. 82. Restrictions on the pross unfavourable to literature in, °67 Historlans of, L 440, lil. 232, &c. Pucts

٦٢

of, ii. 119. iii. 27. 461. &c. Dramatie authors of, 454. &e. 510. Moralities Works and similar plays in, i. 429. of fiction in, 304. iii. 166. · Musæ Anglicanæ 'of Latin writers in, 483. Criticism and philology of, 248. 251. Political writers of, 428, 440. Theologians and sermons, i. 554. ii. 358. iii. 269. 275. 296. Homily of the Church of, against rebellion, ii. 42. Wieliffe and the reformers of, i. 357. Writers in, against the church of Rome, Breach of, with Rome, i. iii. 268. 558. (See Reformation.) The Church of. ii. 324. High church party, 321. The Lambeth Articles, 330.

'England's Helicon,' contributors to, enumerated, ii. 125.

, style of at close of seventeenth century, iii, 542.

Ennius, annals of, i. 225.

Entomology, writers on, iii. 206.

Enzina, Francis de, New Testament by, i. 374.

——, Juan de la, works of, i. 258. Eobanus Hessus, Latin poetry of, i. 331. 426.

Epicedia, or funereal lamentations, iii.

Epienrus, defence of, ii. 387. iii. 303. Episcopius, Simon, ii. 319. 331. A writer for the Remonstrants, iii. 273. 276. His Theological Institutions, ii. 332. iii. 277. His Life by Limboreh, ii. 333. n.

Epithalamia, or nuptial songs, iii. 52. Erasmus, his eriticisms on Petrareli, i. 79. Visits England, 230. professor at Cambridge, 254. Adages, 255. 276. 278. 280. lousy of Budæus and, 276, 277. n. His character, 277. His Greek Testament, 283. 288. The Colloquies of, 347. 392. His ' Encomium Moriæ,' 286. 288. The 'Ciceronianus' of, On Greek pronunciation, 317, 326. Was a precursor of the great reformers, 294. 347. His Ιχθυοφαγια, 490. His letters, 349. n. His eontroversy with Luther, 297. n. 350. His 'de libero arbitrio,' 350. His epistles characterized, 351. His alienation from the reformers, 352. His paraphrase, a supedeath, 353. 11or scriptural interpretation, 366. His 'Enchiridion' and ethical writings, 392.

Erastus and Erastianism, ii. 338.

Ereilla, the 'Araucana' of, ii. 106.

' Ereolano' of Varehi, ii. 206.

Erigena, learning of, i. 8.

Erizzo, Sebastian, a noble Venetian, i. 523. ii. 260.

Erpenius, Arabie grammar by, iii. 224. Erythræus, or Rossi, his 'Pinaeotheea virorum illustrium,' iii. 50.

Eseobar, casuistical writings of, ii. 500. Espinel, the 'Marcos de Obregon' of,

iii. 14. 160. Espinel, Vincente, La Casa de la Memoria by, ii. 107. n.

Esquillace, Borja of, iii. 14.

Essex, Earl of, 'Apology' for the, iii. 145. Private character of, ii. 126.

Estaeo, selicol of, i. 329.

Este, house of, patrons of learning, i. 223. 300. ii. 153. 241.

Etherege, Sir George, i. 509. Style of his comedies, iii. 515.

Ethies, on, ii. 406. iii. 377. 379. 387. See Philosophy.

Eton Greek Grammar, its supposed origin discussed, i. 324. Education of boys at, in 1586, 510. n.

Etrusean remains, works on, ii. 292.

Euclid, first translations of, i. 109. 448. Theorem of, iii. 176. Editions of, ii. 225.

Euphues, the Anatomy of Wit,' &c. ii.

Euridice, opera of, by Renuceini, ii. 153.

Euripides, ii. 164. 167. n. iii. 465. 492. 495. French translations of, i. 430.

Eustachius, Italian anatomist, ii. 245. Eustathius of Thessalonica, his use of Romaic words, i. 93. n.

Eutychius, Annals of, by Poeoeke, iii.

Evelyn's works, iii. 544.

Evremond, M. de St., poetry of, iii. 524. Exchange and currency considered, ii.

Experience, on, ii. 465.

Faber, or Fabre, Antony, eelebrated lawyer of Savoy, ii. 73. 540.

—, Basilius, merit of his Thesaurus, i. 492.

. Stapulensis, a learned Frenchman, i. 267. 347. 375.

—, Tanaquil, or Tanneguy le Fevre, iii. 247. His daughter, Anne le Fevre, 247.

Fabry, Peter, his 'Agonisticon, sive de re athleticâ,' i. 521. 'Art de Rhétorique' of, i. 442.

Fabretti, on Roman Antiquities and In-

INDEX

scriptions, 111 254, 255
Fabricius, George, 1 494 in 245. His
Bibliotheca Graca, 253

....., John, astronomical observ-

ations by, in 188

, de Aquapendente, on the Language of Brute Animals, in. 208 His medical discoveries, 212 + Fabroni. 'Vite Italorum' of in. 175.

176 n 255

Fabry, on plain Rhetoric, 1 442
Faery Queen, Papers on, hy Professor
Wilson, 11 186 p.

Wilson, ii 136 n.
Fairfax, his 'Jerusalem,' imitated from
Tasso, ii. 131

l'alcomeri, bis 'Inscriptiones Athletica,'

Falkland, Lord, translations by, n 324
Fallopius, the anatomist, 11, 245

Fallopius, the anatomist, 11, 245
Fanaticism, its growth among some of

the reformers, 1 345
Farmacci, or Farmaccus, jurist, 11 540
Farmer a Essay on the Learning of Shak-

speare, it. 181 n
Farnaby, Thomas, grammarian, ii 282

Farquhar's comedies, iii 630 Farringdon, Hugh, abbot of Reading,

L 444
Falhers, the, religious respect for their works, 1 307 322 Doctrine of some

of the, 442 l'ayetle, La, Countess of, povels by, m

553 Feltham's Resolves, 11 519

Femelon, arehitsbop of Cambrai, his
Maximes des Saints, in 280 On
Female Education, 417 Dialogues
of the Dead' by, 521 Ment of his
Talamague, 557

Ferdinand of Tuscany, plants introduced into Europe by, ii 240 Fermat, his discoveries in algebra and

Fermat, his discoveries in algebra and geometry, in 178 183 204 Feroel, a degree of the meridian, low

measured by, 1 445 Emment French physician, 453 Ferrara, Duke of, botanic garden esta-

blished by, in 240

Hercules I marquis of, 1 233
Spanish Bible printed at, 1

Ferrari, the mathematician, 1 447 450
'I exicon Geographicum of, in 227.
Syriac lexicon of 224
Ferrarius, Octavity on Ferrarius

Ferratius, Octavius, on Roman Dress, ii 292 m. 254

Ferreira, Portuguese poet, ii 110. Perreo, Scipio, inventor of cubic equations, 1 446. Fibonacci, Leonard, the algebraist, 1

Figures, Marsilius, Theology of, 1 136 147 195, 196 Translator of Plotinus, 220

Fiction, on Works of, 1 435 in 211 in. 154 English novels, in 216 in, 166 Spanish romances, in 214 in 154 Italian, in 159 in 211 Moorish romances, 111

Field, on the Church, n 357 Fiescole, villa of, 1 173

Filelfo, philologist, 1, 93 n 96

Fileas, Vicenzo, his 'Siege of Vienna,' nt 450 His' Italia mis a sonnet, th Pilmer, Sir Robert, his 'Patriarcha,' it 535 in 429

Fioravanti of Bologua, 1 155

Fiore, or Floridus, algebraist, 1 446 Fioretti, or Udeno Nisielo, writings of, iii 131 233

Firenzuola, satirical poet, ii. 94 H prose enchanting 189

Fisher, the Jesuit, Laud a conference

with, it 308 Fisheries, rights to, it 551

Fishes, on, n. 238 m. 574 Placius Illyricus, 'Centuria: Magdeburgenses,' chiefly by, 1. 543 560

Flaminio, Italian poet, 1 359 Latin elegies of Flaminius, 425 Flea of the wits at Poitiers, 11 145 n

Hechier, bishop of Nismes in 163 291 Harmony of his dution, 294 Flemma lyric poetry of, in 25.

Fletcher, Giles, his poems, in 28

Fletcher, Giles, his poems, in 28

Faithful Shepherdess, in 46

97 107 See Beaumont and Fletcher

Fleury, Claude, 'Ecclesuatical History,' by, in 262 His Dissertations, 263 Florence Platonic and other academies of, 1, 195 220, Controversy that the Linguas Foscana is properly the Florentine, 1 441 465 in 206 in, 129 The Apatisti and men of letters of.

111 233 The Laurentian Library, 1
467 Poets of, 111 450 Academy
del Camento 564 The villa of
Fiesole, 1 173
Florus lines to by Adrian 1 29 h

Hludd, Robert, his Mosaic Philosophy, 11 379

Folengo, invents the Macaronic verse, ii

Fontame, La, fables of m 454 456 n I ontenelle, poetry of, m, 460 Critieisms by, n 163 m 485 492 495 532 571 1 Character of his works, g g S

His culogies of academicians, His ' Dialogues of the Dead,' His 'Plurality of Worlds,' 523. 522. 'History of Oracles,' ib. On Pastoral Poetry, 534.

Ford, John, critique by Mr. Gifford on his tragedies, iii. 118.

Forge, La, of Saumur, iii. 317.

Fortescue, Sir John; on Monarchy, i.

Fortunatus, Latin verse of, i. 29. Fortunio, on Italian Grammar, i. 441. Fosse, La, his 'Maulius,' iii. 497. Fouqueliu, his 'Rhétorique Française,' ii. 208.

Fourier, M., on Algebra, ii. 224. n. Fowler, his writings on Christian Mo-

rality, 'iii. 277.' Fracastorius, the poct, i. 424. ii. 202. France, poets of in the reign of Francis I., i. 414. Of Louis XIV., iii. 20. Latin poets of, ii. 144, 145. The first historians of, iii. 49. 481. Grammarians, i. 442. &c. 111. 227. Académie Française, iii. 137. 520. Progress of learning in, i. 327. 475. Royal Library of, ii. 259. iii. 479. Drama of, 165. iii. 67-76. 485-Opera of, 507. Mysteries and Romance writers moralities, i. 430. Novelists of, ii. of, 31. iii. 160. French sermons, iii. 259. 291. 212. The Gallican Church, ii. 206. iii. 259. Protestants or Huguenots, Edict of Nantes, i. 536. 552. ii. 306. i. 552. Its revocation by Louis XIV., iii. 263. 288. The league against Language of, its Henry III., ii. 42. correctness in the reign of Louis XIV., iii. 519. Genius of in that reign, 521. Reviews by Peter Bayle and other critics, 537-539. Entertaining miscellanies named 'Ana,' 541. Academy of Sciences of Paris, 565. Its Memoirs, 566.

Francis I. king of France, i. 327. Treaty of with the Turks, ii. 558. Poets in the reign of, i. 414. University of

Paris encouraged by, 475. Francis of Assisi, St., i. 199.

Franciscan order, the, i. 363.

Franco, Italian poet, ii. 94.

Frankfort fair, a mart for books, ii. 261.

Frederic II. the Emperor, i. 92. — of Aragon, king of Naples, a patron of learning, i. 222.

Free, John, error respecting, i. 141. n. Free-will, controversies on, ii. 328. 456. iii. 353.

Friars, Mendicant, philosophy of, i. 17.

Frobenius, press-of, i. 283, 284. 325. Froissart, history of, belongs to fourteenth

century, i. 237.

Fuchs, Leonard, his botanical works, i. 458. ii. 241.

Furctière, Dictionnaire de, îii. 526. Roman Bourgeois of, 555.

Fust, partner of Gutenberg in printing, Their dispute, 152. Fust, i. 149. in partnership with Schreffer, ib.

Gaguin, Robert, i. 228.

Galateo of Casa, his treatise on politeness, ii. 31.

Gale, his notes on Iamblichus, iii. 251. His ' Court of the Gentiles,' 303.

Galen, medical theory of, i. 452, 454. Edition of, by Andrew of iii. 212. Asola, i. 321. Translations of his works, 332.

Galileo, persecution of, i. 451. iii. 190. His elegance of style, 126. Remarks on Tasso by, 130. On indivisibles, His theory of comets, 186. 17G. Discovers the satellites of Jupiter, 187. Planetary discoveries by, 188. Maintains the Copernican system, 189. ' Della Scienza Mecanica,' ii. 231. iii. Statics of, ib. His Dynamics, On Hydrostatics and Pnettmatics, 199. Ilis telescope, 201. Comparison of Lord Bacon with, ii. Various sentiments and opi-**425.** nions of, 425. iii. 550. Importance of his discoveries to geography, 598. Gallantry, its effect on manners in the

middle ages, i. 129.

Gallican church, liberties of the, ii. 206. 302---300.

Gallois, M., critic, iii. 537.

Galluzzi, observations of, ii. 266.

Gambara, Veronica, ii. 92.

Gammer Gurton's Needle, comedy, i. 434. ii. 1*6*6.

Gandershein, Abbess of, i. 10.

Garcilasso, i. 411. His style of ecloque, ib. iii. 12.

Gardens, Rapin's poem on, iii. 481, 482. n. Lord Bacon on, ii. 512. Botanical, i. 457. ii. 240. iii. *5*83.-

Garnier, Robert, tragedies of, ii. 163.

Garth's 'Dispensary,' iii, 479. Is a link of transition between the style of poetry under Charles and William and that during the reign of Anne, iii.

Gascoyne, George, his 'Steel Glass,' ii. 122. His 'Supposes,' 166. 'Jocasta,' a trageds, 167 n On versification, 1

Gasparin of Barries, excellent Latin style

of t. 80 84 157

Gassenda astronomical works and observe ations of m 194 His Life of Entcurus attack on n 387 m 307 His philosophy, n. 315 nr. 307 309 313 365 Attack on Descartes by, u 445 His long 319 370 His physics. Exercitationes Paradovica 311 His ' Syntagma Philosophie Engury' 313 His philosophy misunderstood by Stewart. 16 Entome of the philosophy by Bernier. 315

See also m 377 385 Gast Lucas de serites the romance of

Tristan i 130 n

Gataker, Thomas, 11 357 4 Cunnus or Adversaria, by, in 250 His Marcus Antoninus, 16

Gauden, Bishop, and the ' Icon Basilice.'

114 1ŠI

Gaunelos metaphraics, 1 13 Gellibrand, mathematician, 111 174 Gence, M, on the Authorship of De Imitatione Christi, 1 134 Geneva, republic of, Calvin anvited by

the. L 355 Servetus burnt at. 546 The press flourishes in Switzerland. being mostly suppressed in Italy, 263 Genius, absence of, in writings of the

dark ages, 1 8 Poetic genius, 11 95 -148

Genpari, his character of Cuiacius, it. 73, 74 n.

Gensfleisch, the printer, 1 149

Gentilis Albericus, n 72 78 On Emhassies, 80 On the Rights of War. 81

Geoffrey of Monmouth. L 35 Geoffry, Abbot of St Albans, 1 210 Geography, writers on, 1. 186 311 461 . n 251-258 m. 226 Progress of geographical discoveries, 550 592 Geology, science of 111 583 585

Geometry, science of, ii 225 ni, 170 337 341 367

Georgius, Trancis, scheme of Platonio philosophy of 1 387

Gerard his Herbal by Johnson, 11, 244 m 211

Gerbert his philosophical eminence, 1 8 Gering Ulrick, the printer, entired to

Paris 1 157 Gerlined, sacred criticism of it 355 Devotional songs of, in 25

German poetry, specimens of early, 1. 10 n. 36 Its decline, 38 Imaginative spirit of, 415.

German hymns, 1 364 416 m 25 Ballads, p. 118 Germany, learning of, in the tenth cen-

ture 1 8 The Referention of ten hgion. 291 342, 366 495 527, Character of the nation influenced by at, 293 495 Schools of, 177 330 Philologists of, 490 494 iii Metaphysicians of, 370 Modern Latin nocts of 50 Decline of learn 10g m. 1 285 m. 244 The press of. 1 226 252 284 Book fairs, ii 263 Laterary patrons of, 1 284 poetry in, m. 25. Poets, 461 Unia The stage and povernities, 1 284 pular dramatic writers of 503 431. Protestants of S45 et sea 356 532 The press less controlled than in Italy and Spain, it. 267

Gesner, Conrad. Pandects of, i. 459 Great crudition of, 492 His Mith ridates, sive de differentiis linguarum." His work on Zoology, it 235, 111 210 His classification of plants. IL 241 Bibliotheca Universalis of, 265. Botanical observations by, us 577

Gerson, John, Chancellor of Paris University, opinion of, 1 834 it 505 Geulinx metaphysics of nr. 317

Gielée, Jaquemars, of Lille, writings of, 1 131

Gifinius, German eivilian, 11 73 Gifford, Mr , eriticisms of, in 96, 97 n 117, 118, 119

Gilbert, On the Magnet, 11 227 234.

Gil Blas, Le Sages, 11 214 11 160 Gillius, 'de vi et natura animalium,' i 450

Guiguene, remarks of, L 57 82 209 265 n 438 426, n 93 149, 153 186 Giotto, works of, 1 102

Giraldi Lilio Gregorio, his 'Historia de dus gentium, 1 524

Girard, Albert, his 'Invention nouvelle en Algebre, m 179

Glanval Joseph, vanity of dogmatizing by, nr. 301 357 His 'Plus ultra. &c 360 Glasgow, university of, 1 515 ii 20,

Glass, Philologia Sacra by, 11. 355 Glauber, the chemist, the salts of, in 567 ,

Glossary of Spelman reviewed, 11 356

Glosses of carly writers, 1, 60 Gloucester, Duke of, library of, 1 105 and Bristol, Bishop of, in 294 n 253

God, the eternal law of, disquisition on, ii. 504, 505. Ideas of, by certain metaphysicians, ii. 5. 384, 438, 449, 456, 487. iii. 334, 339, 344. et seq. 355, 372, 386. Attributes of, according to the divines, 381, 382.

Godefroy, James, his Theodosian Code, ii. 73. iii. 447.

Godwin, Francis, his 'Journey of Gausalez to the Moon,' iii. 167.

———, Mr. remarks of, ii. 126. n. ———, Dr., ecclesiastical antiquities of, i. 516.

Golden Number, the, i. 526.

Golding, poems of, ii. 210.

Goltzius, Huhert, Flemish engraver, i. 528. ii. 260.

Gombandd, French author, iii. 21. 138. Gomberville, his romance of 'Polexandre,' iii. 142. n. 161. Critiques by, 522. n.

Gondibert, noems of, iii. 37.

Gougora, Luis de, the Spanish poet, affectation of, iii. 132. His poetry, 170. Schools formed by, 18.

Goose, Mother, Tales of, iii. 556. Gordolme, a tragedy, by Sackville,

Gordolme, a tragedy, by Sackville, ii. 167.

Gothofred, writings of, i. 517.

Goujet, criticisms of, i. 442. ii. 208. iii. 291. 526.

Gourmont, Giles, established the first Greek press at Paris, i. 252.

Govea, civilian, ii. 72.

Government, patriarchal theory of, ii. 520. Writers on, 393. iii. 420. 436. Writers against oppressive, ii. 33. 35. 40. Origin of commonwealths, 53. Rights of citizens, ib. Nature of sovereign power, 54. Despotism and monarchy, 55.

Gower's poems, i. 45.

Gracian, Spanish author, iii. 132.

Gradenigo, his testimony as to vestiges of Greek learning in Italy, i. 91.

Græcia Illustrata, Vetus, of Ubbo Emmins, ii. 293.

Grævius, Collections of, i. 519. Editions of Latin classics by, ii. 244. 'Thesaurus antiquitatum Romanarum' by, 254. '

Grammars, Latin, i. 20. 22. iii. 245. Greek, i. 252. 324. 487. 508. iii. 246. French, i. 442. et seq. Oriental, 307. Hebrew, 460. English, and various, passim. Lancelot's French, iii. 527.

Grammuticus, Saxo, the philologist, i. 70. Classical taste of, 71.

Grammont, memoirs of, iii. 594.

Granada, college at, i. 16. 256. Las

Guerras de, romances, ii. 112. 215. Conquest of, by Graziani, iii. 11. Translation of, by Mr. Washington Irving, ii. 215. Wars of, by Mendoza, iii. 228.

Grant, his 'Grace Lingua Spicilegium,' i. 510.

Grassi, Jesuit, his treatise De tribus cometis, nuno 1619, iii. 186.

Graunt's ' Bills of Mortality,' iii. 444.

Gravina, criticisms, &c. of, i. 301. ii. 72. iii. 447. 454. 481.

Gravitation, a general, denied by Descartes, iii. 191.

Gray, Mr., his remarks on rhyme, i. 20. n. 31. u. On the Reformation, 357.

Grariani, his 'Conquest of Granada,'

Grazzini, surnamed II Lasca, the burlesque poet, ii. 91.

Greaves, Persie Grammar of, iii. 225.

Greek learning, revival of, i. 86, 822. On Greek tragedy, iii. 465. living language until the full of Constantinople, i. 92. Appointed to be taught at Oxford and Cambridge, &c., 934. 510. iii. 219. Scholars, i. 86. On the pronuncia-267, 475, 491, Printing of, 252, 266. tion of, 884. 512, 515, Editions of classic authors, 220, 263, 265, 325, mars and lexicons, 266, 325, 482, 487. 510. iii. 245. Physicians, the teachers of science and learning, i. Decline of Greek learning, ii. 452. 273. Early printed book in, i. 179. n. Greene, Robert, plays by, ii. 125, 173 n.

176. 198. Novels by, 218. Gregorian calcudar, the, i. 525, 526. ii. 229.

Gregory I., i. 4. n.

IV. Pope, opinions of on learning, i. 491.

NIII., Jesnits encouraged by, i. 534. Greek college established by, ib. His calcudar, 526. Maronite college founded by, 250.

— of Tours, i. 21.

Gretser, Romish controvertist, ii. 355. Greville, Sir Fulke, philosophical poems of, iii. 29.

Grevin, his 'Jules César,' ii. 163.

Grew, his botanical writings, iii. 580. 583.

Grimani, Cardinal, his library, i. 467. Grimoald, tragedy on John the Baptist by, i. 483.

Gringore, Peter, his 'Prince des Sots et In Mère sotte,' i. 303, Grocyn, William, a Greek scholar, 1.

Grollier, John, library of, i 329 Groningen, college of St Edwards near, ı 177.

Gronovius, James Frederic, critical labours of, m 243, 244 His 'Thesaurus antiquitatum Græcarum," 254 Groot, Gerard, college of a 105 135

Grostete, Bishop, Pegge's life of, 1 90 Grotius, his various works, 'de Jurc Belli, &c &c ii 77 81. 280 536 n 342 509 541 579 586 m 51 403 419 448 Latin poetry of, in. 51. His religious sentiments, il 312,313 n 357 Controversy thereon, 312-319 Controversy of with Crellius 336 Treatise on Ecclesiastical Power of the State, 339 His Annotations on the Old and New Testament, 357 ' De

Veritate,' 364 Groto, Italian dramatist, it 149 in. 54

(sce II) Gruchius, or Grouchy, 'De Comitiis

Romanorum' of, 1 159 Gruter s ' Thesaurus' Criticus,' 1 514 11 279 The Corpus Inscriptionum' of, 290 His Delicize poetarum Gallorum,' &c 144

Gruyer's Essays on Descurtes, il. 435 ft Grynaus, Simon, translator of Plutarch s Laves, 1 331 His geography,

462 n 251 Gryph, or Gryphius, tragedies of, in

Guarim, biography of, 1, 96 His ' Pastor Fido,' in 151 Guarino, of Verona, 1 83 111 58

Guevara, his works much read, 1 389 390 n u 268

Guicciardini, his History of Italy, 1. 463 Continued by Adriani, ii 256

-, his brother Ludovico, it. 519 Guidi, Odes of, 111 9 451 453 Guido, imbued with the genius of Tasso, n 101 m. 557

Guienne, Duke of, poems by, 1 31 Guignes, De, History of the Huns by, ni. 591

Guijon, Latin poetry of, 111, 50 Guillon, bis Gnomon, an early work on

Greek quantity, 1 26 n Guizot, M , his literary observations, 1. 4 10 n On Alcuin, 6 9 n.

Gunpowder plot, the, 11 258 Gunter, on Sines and Tangents, an 174 Gunther, poem of Liguriaus by, 1 70

Gustavus Vasa, king of Sweden, con

fiscates all ecclesiastical estates, 1, Gutenberg, of Mentz, inventor of the

art of prifiting, 1 148 Guther, on the Pontifical Law of Rome,

n 292 Guvon, Madame, writings of, in. 280 "Guzman d Alfarache," of Aleman, 11

Habington, his poetry, in 44 Haddon, Walter, his excellent Latinity,

and 'Orations of, 1 501, 502 Hakewill, George, on the Power and

Providence of God, in 236 Halluyt a 'Voyages,' 11 253 111 226.

Hales, scholastic reputation of, 1 13 n 16 n

-, John, on Schism, u 324 327, 328 n

Hall, Bishop, his works, ii 308 n 506 His 'Mundus alter et idem.' 167 Art of Divine Meditation, and Contemplations, 360 His Saures,

Hamilton, Anthony, in 542 556 Memours of de Grammont by, 556

Hammond, his 'Paraphrase and Annotations on the New Lestament,' iii. 277

Hampden, Dr , remarks of 1 9 13, 14. Hanno, Archbishop, poem on, 1 10. Harding, metrical chronicler, 1 306 -, the Jesuit, 1 553

Hardy, French dramatist and comedian, iii 67 Comedies of, 68 Harlequins, Italian, in 60 Harpe, La, criticisms of n 114, m 69 73 163 294 460 497

Harrington, Sir James, his 'Oceana,' m 427 -, Sir John, n 120 n 131

Harriott, his generalisation of algebraic equations 1 447 449 1L 223 460 n His ' Artis analytica: praxis,' iii. 179, 180 n

Harrison, on the mode of education at the universities in 1586, 1 509 At the great collegiate schools, 510 n

Harrow School, rules by sts founder, Mr Lyon, 1 511

Hartley's metaphysical tenets, 11, 491 Harvey, Walliam, his discovery of the circulation of the blood, 1, 456, it. 247

- m 212 216 On Generation, 218 ____, Gabriel, ii 132, 210

Harwood, Alumni Etonenses of, L +433 n

Haslewood, Mr., collection of early English critics by, ii. 210. u.

Haughton, style and comedy of, ii. 178, n.

Häuy, scientific discoveries of, ii. 412. Havelok, the Dane, metrical romance,

35. n.

Hawes, Stephen, his 'Pastime of Pleasure,' &c. i. 304.

Hawkins's Ancient Drama, i. 431. ii. 172. n.

Headley's remarks on Daniel, ii. 128. Heat and cold, antagonist principles, ii. 7. Heber, Bishop, edition of Jeremy Tay-

lor by, ii. 351. n.

Hebrew, highly valued by German literati, i. 460. Books, 408. Study of, ii. 249. iii. 202. The vowel points, 222. The Masoretic punetuation of the Scriptures, ib. The Rabbinical literature, 223—225. Eminent scholars in, ii. 249. iii. 224. Grammars and lexicons, i. 460. Types, ii. 249. Spencer de legibus Hebræorum, iii. 590. Critics, 248.

Heetor and Andromache, Dryden's criticism on Homer, iii. 546.

Heeren, eritieisms of, i. 3, 4.

Hegius, Alexander, i. 178.

Heidelberg, libraries of, i. 467.

Heineceius, remarks of, ii. 71.

Heinsius, Daniel, epitaph on Joseph Scaliger by, i. 504. n. Works of, ii. 80. Latin elegies, iii. 51. His 'Peplus Græcorum epigrammatum,' 53.

Helden Buch, the, or Book of Heroes,

Hebrew Canticles of Andrés, i. 567.

Helmont, Van, medical theories of, iii. 219. 567. 582. 588.

Helmstadt, University of, ii. 258. 370.

Henri III., ii. 42. 44, 45. 49. His assassination, 45.

—— IV., deserts the Protestant cause, i. 552. Conference before at Fontaine-bleau, 553. Rebellion of League against, ii. 42. Reconciled to the Romish church, 298. 308. Assassination of, 518. Poets in the reign of, iii. 20.

Henrietta, duchess of Orleans, sudden death of, iii. 293.

Henry, Dr., History by, i. 3. n. 6. Henry IV. of England, ii. 40.

VI., reign of, i. 212. 432.
 VII. of England, reign of, i.

Herbelot, d', 'Bibliothèque Orientale' of, iii. 59.

Herberay, translations of, i. 302.

Herbert of Cherbury, Lord, his 'Henry VIII.,' iii. 229. 'De religione Gentilium,' ii. 364. 385. 'De veritate,' 364. 381. Axioms, 381. Conditions of truth, 382. Instinctive truths, 383. Internal perceptions, 381. Notions of natural religion, ib. Gasseudi's remarks on Herbert, 386.

, George, his 'Country Parson,'

n pleasing book, ii. 362.

, Sir Henry, master of the revels, iii. 78.

(Shakspeare's Sonnets dedicated to Mr. W. II.), iii. 38. n. 40. His poems, 44.

Herbert's Catalogue, quoted, i. 513.

Herder, the 'Zerstreute Blatter' of i.
10. 290. n. ii. 516.

Hermolaus Barbarus, celebrity of, i. 220.

Hernando, d'Oviedo, Natural History by, ii. 237. 252.

Herrera, Spanish poems of, ii. 104.

Herrick, Robert, poems of, iii. 48. 45.

Herschel, Sir John, remarks by, ii. 441. n. 410. u.

Hersent, or Optatus Gallus, in defence of the Galliean liberties, ii. 306.

Heywood, his play 'The Royal King and Loyal Subject,' iii. 103. Account of his dramas, ii. 175. iii. 120.

Higden, Ranulph, Chester mysteries by, i. 211.

Hincmar, Bishop, letter of, i. 88.

Ilippocrates, Aphorisms of; Arabic version on linen paper, A. n. 1100, i. 54.

Ilis system of medicine, by whom restored, 453.

Historians, Ecclesiastical, i. 562.

Historical and Critical Dictionary of Bayle, iii. 539.

'Historic of Grande Amour,' by Stephen Hawes, i. 304, 305.

History, ii. 401. 518. iii. 594. Writers of, i. 463. ii. 256. iii. 226. 229. Classie, ii. 34.

Hobbes, Thomas, his philosophy and writings, ii. 509. iii. 277. 281. 304. 307. 391. 397. 408. 419. Summary of his works on metaphysical philosophy, ii. 461—492. (See his topics stated separately in Index.) 'De Cive' by, ii. 461. iii. 123. 'Levia han' by, ii. 462. 473. 509. 539. 'Political works of, 528—540. His objections to the 'Meditations' of Descartes, 441.

445. Style of, and the English writers) after the Restoration, 548 Hoccleve, English poet, 1 420, 421, Hody, error of, 1 94 n. Hoffmanswaldau German poet, 111 461

Holbein, amusing designs of, 1. 287 Holmshed's Chronicle, 1 441 n Holland, Lord, n 102 157, 158 160

m 18

, literature, philosophy, and poetry of the Dutch authors, 1 504 523 p 280 m, 24 51 243 tical state of, 383 428

Homer, comparison of Virgil with, is Of Ariosto with, 1 298, 299 Of Milton with, in 302 11 101 463 465 Of Tasso with, ii 95 101. Translations of, 11 131 in 123 247 (See also 1 188) Of Raeme with, m. 491 545 With Fenelon 559 Hooft, Peter, the Dutch poet, m 26

Hooke, Dr , 14 566 His Micrographia, 570 580 585

Hooker, 'Ecclesiastical Polity' of, 1 515 u 23-25 See also 1 512 515 554 11 48 198 331 503 534 111 434 488 543

Horace, emendation of the text of, by Lambinus, 1 480 The edition of, by Cruquius, styled the Scholiast, 482 Ducter s, 111 247 Odes of, 1 33 11 Imitators of 10 13 103 nr 10 Horrox, scientific discoveries of, m 194 'Horaces Les, tragedy of' by Corneille,

Hoschus, Sidonius, works of, in 51 Hospital, De 1, Latin poems of, it 145 Hottinger, 'Bibliotheca Orientalis' of,

Hottoman, Francis, the ' Franco Gallia' of 11 S5 S7 His ' Anti Triboni-

anus, 74. On Cujacius, 70 Houssaye, Amelot de la, nr. 427 Howard, Sir Robert, his Observations on Dryden, and the poets reply, m. 546

Howell, James, his ' Dodona's Grove,' 21L

168 428 Howes, the historian, in 78 Hroswitha, Abbess, poems of 1 10 Hudibras, m 9 462 Hudson's Thucydides, in 251

Huet, bishop of Avranches, his Demonstratio Evangelica,' iii 287 Antagonist of Scaliger, n 296 nr. 163 Remarks of, 1 457 m 245 Index to the Delphin Classics de

signed by, 247 His Censura Philosophiæ Cantesianæ, 319

Hughes works of, 11 174

Hoguenots, conversion of the, 1 552 Human nature, on, 11 461 et seg 111 284—286 °

Humbert, Cardinal, controversy by, 1.

Hume, David, Essays of, 11 437. n Honnis, William, poems of, ii 120

Hunter, observations of, 10, 305 Mr, researches on Shakspeare

by, n 176 n Hurd, Bishop, his remarks on Shakspeare, in 94 On Euripides, 491.

On Molure, 499

Huss, John, n 65 Hutcheson a philosophical works, 111 397 Hutten, Ulric you, the ' Epistolæ obseurorum virorum, 1 289 297

Hutton, Dr. quotations from, 1 448 Hotton's Mathematical Dictionary, in

219 225

Huygens, mathematician, iii 564 Hyde, 'Religionis Persarum Historia' of na. 591

Hydraulies science of, explained by Galileo, m 200

Hydrostatics and Pneumatics, it 233 Of Galileo, in 199

Hymns, German, 1 416 ul. 24 Lutheran church, 1 364

Icon Basilice author of the, in 151 Icth ology of Rondolet, Salvisni, Ray,

and others, n 238 Ideas, the association of, in 330 350 Universal, 351 Gassendi 4 theory of 309-311 Ofreflection, 11 412 414 416 416 465 Abstract, 439 True and false, of Descartes, 440 Origin of, 465 Of sensation, 468 and complex, 474 Innate, 475 486

Idola, and fallacies, is 408 421 n 492 m 569. Ignorance and Prejudice, on, by Hobbes,

IL 486 Hlyrieus, Flacius, the ecclesiastical his-

torian, 1 562 Imagination, the Theory of, ii 443

Train of, 465 464 Independents, the toleration claimed by,

India, Portuguese settlements in, it 252

History of, by Acosta, 11, 253 in

Infidelity, progress of, n 362 Infinites, theory of, ii 438 Infinity,

. Hobbes against, 466 Inghirami, on Etruscan Antiquities, ii · 292

Ingulfus his Ilistory, 1 27 Innocent A , in 271

Innocent XI., dispute of, with Louis XIV., iii. 259.

Inquisition, the, i. 532. ii. 8. Bibles and numerous books burnt by, 266.

Inscriptions, ancient, the memorials of the learning of antiquity, i. 320. ii. 260. 290. iii. 255.

Insects, General History of, iii. 575.
Insulis, Gualterus de, Latin poetry of,
i. 72.

Iphigenie of Racine, iii. 491.

Iranens, character of his works, ii. 323. Ireland, History of, i. 5. Monasteries of, ib.

Irnerius, labours of, i. 59, 60.

Iscanus, Joseph, leonine rhymes of, i.

Isidore of Seville, i. 3, 4. ii. 503.

Italy, Greek learning in, i. 82. 86. 188. Academies of, 195. 220. 464. ii. 261. iii. 129. 233, 234. Universities in, ii. 202. 257. 369. Latin poetry of modern Italy and of Europe, i. 425. ii. 202. iii. 50. (See Latin.) The Tusean dialect, i. 441. 466. ii. 93. iii. Superior taste in, i. 314. 129. *5*29. iii. 449. Its deeline, i. 443. Critieism, &e.,441. ii. 88.198. Eminent scholars, 322. (See the authors, nominatim, in Index.) Poetry and poets of, 158. 193. 225. ii. 83—102. iii. 1. 449. 467. Character of poetry, i. 407., &c. Sonnets, splendid and also tedious, 408. (See Sonnets.) Prose authors, 159. ii. 189. (See them, nominatim.) Letter writers, 189. 264. Contrast of Italian and Latin, i. 437. Modern Latinists, their style, 500. ii. 284. Tragedy, i. 427. ii. 149. iii. 57. Comedy, i. 426. ii. 150. The opera and melodrame, 152. Novelle and works of fiction, 211. iii. 121. Heterodoxy of certain authors until persecutions against the Reformation, Writers on morals in, ii. 31. i. 357.

Jackson, the English commentator, ii. 357.

James I., literature and philosophy in the reign of, i. 516. ii. 29. 46. 121. 145. His 'Apology for the Oath of Allegianee,' 299. Principles of government in the reign of, 521. His encouragement of the stage, 78, 79. The Analiaptists punished by, i. 549. The Bible translated into English by, ii. 365.

Jameson, Mrs., her Essay on the Female Characters of Shakspeare, iii. 94. Jamyn, Amadis, the poet, ii. 116. Jansenism, rise of, ii. 334.

Jansenists, the, and Port Royal grammarians, i. 488. iii. 246. Their controversy with Rome, 269. 271. Writings of Arnauld, 272. Persecutions of the, ib. Their easuistry opposed to that of the Jesuits, ii. 495. Their polite literature, iii. 520.

Jansenius, bishop of Ypres, i. 544. His Augustinus, ii. 334. iii. 269. Its

condemnation, 272.

Jarchi's Commentary on the Pentateuch, i. 188.

Jauregui, his translation of the Aminta . of Tasso, ii. 106. n.

Jenkinson, Anthony, his travels in Russia and Persia, ii. 253.

Jens, Zaehary, iii. 202.

Jerusalem of Tasso, ii. 95.

Jessamine introduced into Europe, iii. 238.

Jesuits, bull of Paul III. establishing their order, i. 362. Their' unpopularity, ii. 305. Their easuistical writings, 498—500. iii. 380. and scholastic establishments of the, i. 495. 533, 534. Their learning, 496. Latin poetry of, iii. 480. 489. influence, i. 534. 561. ii. 519. Satire upon the, iii. 166. Their corruption of morality, 499. Their missionaries in China, ii. 253. iii. 225. Their eolleges in France, 245. Seminary at Rome, i. 534. Writings of Molina and Lessius, 545. iii. 270. See also ii. 518. iii. 271. *5*20.

Jewell's 'Apology,' i. 553. 'Defence of the Apology,' 516. Lectures in rhetoric at Oxford by, 509. n.

Jews, their theory of natural law, i. 198. ii. 507. The Cabbala, i. 200. 291. Cabbalistic and Rabbinieal authors, ii. 379. Invention of Hebrew vowel points, iii. 222. Their history, i. 199. Their laws, iii. 590.

Joan, Pope, Apotheosis of, i. 214.

Jobert, his 'La Science des Médailles,' iii. 256.

Jodelle, dramatist and poet, ii. 117. Tragedies by, 162. Comedies of, 163.

Johannes Seeundus, i. 425.

John Malpaghino, or John of Ravenna, i. 80.

II., King of Castile, favours learning, i. 21.

XXI., Pope, logic of, i. 17.

Johnson, Dr. Samuel, his Lives of the Poets, iii. 462. 468. n. 470. 472. 474.

His opinion of Cowley, 513 See also u 515

Johnson Story of Seven Champions of Christendom by, ii 218

Joinville, De, ancient manuscript letter

of, 1 55 n.

Jonson, Ben, his ' Every Man in his Humour,' merit of, a 186 His minor poetry, in 43 His plays, 94 The 'Alchemist,' 95 'Volpone, or the l'or,' The Silent Woman, 97 toral drama of the . Sad Shepherd. 43 46 98 This drama the nearest approach to the poetry of Shakspeare, 99 His Discoveries made upon Men and Matter, 153 English Grammar by, 547

Jonston, Arthur, his Deliciæ Poctarum Scotorum, u. 53. His Psalma, ib Natural History of Animals, by.

PIL 207 574

Jorton s Life of Erasmus, 1 288 Joubert, emment in medicine, at Mont-

pelicr, ii 247

Journal des Savans, in 535, 536 Jourancy, Latin orations of, ni 245 Jovius, Paulus, his History of Roman Lishes, L 459

Judd. Leo Latin translation of the Scriptures by, L 375

'Judicium de Stylo Historica' of Sciop

pius, 11, 285 Jugemens des Savans,' Haillet s. nr. 540 Julian Period, invention of the cycle of

the, by Scaliger, L 525 1L 295 Julie d'Angennes, iii 136 ' The Garland of Julia, 136, 163 Jungius, his 'Isagoge Phytoscopica,' in.

Junius, Francis, version of Scriptum. by,

1 567 IL 249

Elle un farestilleten gestapp.

Junea, polemical writer, in 289 n 539 Jurisprudence, the Civil Law, 1 18 58 11. 540 m. 422 445 The golden age of, 1L 70 72 75 Opponents of the Roman law, 73 See Law Justinian Code and Pandects, 1. 59

HL 446, 447 Juvenal, 1 189

Kames, Lord, his commentary on Shakspeare, m. 94 Kant, the metaphysician, it 445

Kustner, the mathematician, 1 110 Passage from, on an algebraic discovery, 446 n n. 222

Kempis, Thomas a, treatise by, De Imitatione Christi, 1 134 Controversy respecting, to 135

Kepler, his logarithms, in 174 His His Stereomodern geometry, ib metria doliorum, 175 His Commentaries on the planet Mars, 185 His discoveries in optics, 201 On gravitation, 191. His demonstrations, 550 King, Gregory, on the political state

of England, us. 444 Aings, the popes claim the power of

deposing, 1 557 Engagements of to their subjects, 11. 566

Kircher, Athanasius, the Mundus subterraneus of, ut 58 f On Chins, 591 Anolles, I is grammar, 1, 512 History

of the Turks, 16 nr. 146 Knott, the Jesuit, argument of, ii 324 Koombert, Theodore, 1. 551, 552 n

n 343 m 26 Koran, the, by Paginus, 1 461 ii 250 By Maraces, a fine edition of, 591

Kyd, tragedies and poems of, ii 174 and n.

La Bruyère Caractères de, m 409

La Croix du Maine, il 209 265 La Croze, M , reviewer, nil 538

La l'avette, Countess de her novels, iti-553

La I antaine, l'ables of, in 455, 456 n La l'orge of Saumur in 317

La losse, his tragedy of Manhus, his 497 La Harpe, criticisms of, ii 117, iii 161,

291 460 466 497 527 La Mothe le Vayer, 'Dialogues,' &c of u 364 510 521. Remarks by. on the style of the French language,

HL 142 La Nove, political and military discourses of, ii 48 213 n

La Placette, his ' I ssais de Morale,' in 383 409 Labbe, Philip, n 276 955

Lacepede, M., Zoology of, ii 238 Letus, Pomponius, 1 203

Lalemandet, Decisiones Philosophica of, u 370

Lamb Charles, 'Specimens of Early English Poets' ii 170 n

Lambert of Aschaffenburg 1 67 Lambeth Articles of Whitgift, ii 330 Lambinus, lus Horace, 1, 480 IIIs Cı-

cere, 481. Lami, 'Rhetoric or Art of Speaking'

of, in, 527 Lancelot, author of the Port Royal Greek Grammar, 1 487 tu 245 272 His French Grammar, 526

Lancilotti, his Lhoggidi, or To Day,' m 235

Laudino, critic, i. 176.

Lanfranc, Arehb., and his schools, i. 13. 67. 69. Knowledge of Greek by, 92.

Langius, Rodolph, i. 179, 180.

Language, on the Origin of, ii. 467. On unmeaning, by Hobbes, 485. Effect of ignorance of, and prejudice, 486. Origin of the French, Spanish, and Italian, i. 19. Works on the French, iii. 137, 138, 139, 140. 142. High refinement of the French, 519. Modern, when rendered fit for poetry, i. 125. Anglo-Saxon, the foundation of the English, 42. Spanish dialects, Oriental literature, iii. 220. et 411. On aneient and modern, 528. English prose of Dryden, Cowley, and others, 543-552. See Greek. Latin, &e. in this Index.

Languet, Hubert, 'Vindieiæ eontra tyrannos' usually ascribed to, ii. 35. 37. Republican notions of, 42. Theories

of, repudiated, 518.

Larivey, French comedies by, ii. 164. Larroque, M., 'Avis aux Refugiez' attributed to, iii. 440.

Lasearis, Constantine, i. 146. His Greek Grammar, 166.

_____, John, Greek Grammar of, i. 262. n.

Latimer, Sermons by, i. 368.

Latin poetry of the dark ages universally jejune, i. 10. Low Latin unfit to express any popular sentiment, 21. The Cieeronian style, 317. Modern Latin poets, 423. ii. 202. Of Italy, iii. 480. Of France, ii. 145. iii. 41. 481. England, ii. 483. iii. 54. Of Scotland, 53. Editions of elassics, i. 167. 226. 472. 513. ii. 279. iii. 244. 246. Its vulgar dialect, styled quotidianus, pedestris, and usualis, i. 20. Clergy preached in, 22. Comparison of cultivation of, on the Continent and in England, 516. Latin style in the fifteenth century, 82. In the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, 437. 493. ii. 143. iii. 245. 480. Deeline of classic learning, i. 493. 503. Germany, iii. 244. Latinity of the seventeenth eentury, ii. 283-290. Predilection of modern authors for the language, 283. Methods of learning, by Locke, iii. 415. Latin metres imitated in the modern languages, ii. 94. 118. 129. Restrictions on the press, a eause of the use of Latin by men of letters, 268. Latin compared with French- and Italian, iii. 528. Various remarks on learning.

and the study of the classics. See Learning.

Latini Brunetto, philosophical treatise of, i. 85.

Latinus Latinius, his elassical eminence, i. 503.

Latitudinarians, tenets of the, ii. 327. iii. 275.

Laud, Arelibishop, ii. 308. 325. 327. 342. His addition to the Bodleian Library, iii. 231.

Launoy, treatise by, i. 7.

Laura of Petrareli, real existence of disputed, ii. 203.

Laurentian Library purchased, i. 467.

Law, early MSS. books of, on parelament is 57. Lord studies facilitated

ment, i.57. Legal studies facilitated, 58. Unwritten feudal eustoms reduced into treatises, ib. Roman and eivil, handed down in perpetual succession of ages, ib. Codes of Theodosius and Justinian have always been in force, ib. Study of Civil, 14. iii. 422. 446. not countenanced in France, ii. 75. Of Nations, 76. 78. iii. 420. 423. 447. Writers on Roman Jurisprudence, 445. The 'Corpus Juris The 'Corpus Juris On Public Law by Civilis,' 446. Victoria, 44. ii. 78. Theory of natural law of Hooker, 25. Of Grotius, 544. Opponents of the Roman law, 73. Writers on jurisprudence, 70-75. Canon law, the, 76.

Lawrence, regius professor of Greek, i. 508.

Layamon, peculiarities in the works of, i. 43.

Lazarillo de Tormes, by Mendoza, ii. 214.

Le Bœuf, researches of, i. 19.

Le Clere, John, eritieisms of, iii. 247.
270. 298. His commentary on the Old Testament and Bibliothèque Universelle, &c. 274. Support of Cudworth by, 305. Settles at Amsterdam, 538. His 'Parrhasiana,' 542. On the Duties of Ecclesiastical Historians, i. 563. Defence of Grotius by, ii. 332. Critique du Pêre Simon by, 281. His influence over Protestant Europe, 439.

Le Grand, works of, iii. 317.

Le Long, Polyglott of, iii. 590.

Le Maistre, forensic speeches of, iii. 143, 144. 292.

Le Sage, Gil Blas of, ii. 214. iii. 160. Le Tourneur, dramatist, iii. 123.

League, Catholic tenets of the, ii. 42—45. Satire Menippée, upon the, 193.

INDEX . 623

Leske, Col William Martin, his Morea, 3

Learning retrospect of, in the Vhddle iges, L1 Loss of on the fall of the Homan I wrate of the West, 2 rapid decline in the sixth century, 3 The church an asylum for, ib. fane learning obnoxious to the Cl ristian priestbood 4. Their influence in 1 the preservation of 5 Clerical edu cation revised in the monasteries of i Ireland 5 Classical learning records at lord, and in the Anglo-Sators el urch, 6, 7 Cathedral or conventual schools established under Charle magne, C. Its progress in the tenth century 7 Modern languages forming only a colloquial jargon, ill conveyed either grace or sentiment for the poeter, 10. Circums ances that led to the revival of 11 | I niversities. spreatigation of Homan law, study of pure Latin, lb In the f freenth century, 206 Account of the progress of pulite learning arts and sciences. 50" # 988 m. 243 Decline of, in

494 503 50%. Lebrixa, Spanish commentator, I 171

SO9 329

Lee dramatic works of, in. 514
Lecuwenhoek, the anatomist circulation
of the blood demonstrated by, it. 55°
Leger's supposed fingerics, a. ab. it.

Legislative Authority, on, 114 435 Leibnit, observations of L 200 ft. 425

400 iil. 5°0 His correspondence with Bosuct on an agreement in religion, 266 • On Roman Law, 445 Preface to that work, Ii 17 lil. 5°4 • Protogra of 595

Leicester, Earl of el arges against Oa for l Univers ty ly, i 209 n

Leigh & Critica Seria, Il. 257 Leigh e press, il e 1 2,6 De Leighle Acts, first German Heriew, in 534

Lemene, Italian poet, In 455 Lemery, I 1s² Cours de Chymic ¹ in: 571 Lenses, on, In 201 Curses of 205 Leo Africanus, travels In Africa by, 1s.

251 261—973 — X, the patron of the literati of his age 1 288 214 427 469. His authors attacked by Luther 211

thorsty attacked by I other, 201 Leon, I'va I use Poncy de, poetry of, n 103 Leonard of Pisa i, 44" n lt, 221

Leoniecous Aicolas, physicism, 1 452

Ounnibonus, the critic, 1

Leonine rhymes, 1 72

Legalus, comedy attributed to and other works of 1 215 Lemminer, ' Hist. Gén Droit,' Iv. ii

69 n Leroy, canon of Houen, satire on the

League by st. 193
Listeange Sir Boger, A sop's Inlies

Iv, m 543
Ledie Lis Short Method with the

Dente' no. 257
Leve casualical writings of th. 500

Less casuatical writings of the 200 Lessus, the Jesus, in 270. Leu iclasus, his version of Acnophon,

1 479
Lease-cur acquainted with the curu

Latin of the blood, I 456 lit. 213.
Letts, I has the harmed Jew, I 460
Letteons, L 220, &c. See Dictionaries,
Leyden, University of II 258 316. Pro-

fewers of his 224. The Library at, his 250, 316, at 224, 432.

Labanius, copied by Hen Jonson, lu

Liberty, estal defined by Locke, in 431

Lil raries, julic, noisersity, and private 1 75 172 318 is 417 -60, no 223

Library, Royal founded at Paris by

Ci siles V 12."
Liturmo, l'11 Volgari I leganne, '1.411
Liceto Tortunio il 3"I

I ightfoot, Hibberd works of in 257 Idline, mathematician, il 229

Lally, writings of, it 174 His 1 to

pluce, 194-196. Limborch en Arminian derine in 2" t

I insere, eminent I nglish physician, l 230, 232 234 45.

Linecan Academy at Home, In. 189 234 Linen paper used in 1100, 1 51 In

I then paper used in 1100, 1 51 In 1209, 5"

Linnaus, Hi elassification of animals, it

236 li, 20° 575 His Critica Botanica 578 Linggovs, Vincent maxim of h 325

Inneous, Vincent maxim of h 325 Ippius Justin, i 320 On the Roman Militia System "20 On Roman Antiquities, 521 Remarks on 450 His

tiquities, 521 Remarks on 480 His style, 496 502 n la _72 He renounces the Protestant ereed, a 553 The Politica' of n 49 Laron remarks of, n 238 n On the

Laron remarks 01, in 238 n. On the
Origin of the I rench Lauguage 1 22 I risk De, I is map of the world in, 592 Laumann. Polish edition of Scriptures by, I 568

I ister, Dr , his 'Synor us Conchyliorum,'

iii. 575. On Botany, 582. On Geology, 585.

Literature of Europe, want of taste in the tenth and sueceeding centuries, i. 9. Modern languages, 19. et passim. Progress of philology, 471. Latin and Greek studies, 472. 493. 505. ii. 27. The seventeenth century of, in Italy and in France, ii. 387. iii. 519. Germany, i. 11. ii. 177. 226. 415. 474. 493. iii. 50. 232. 244. History of English literature, i. 42. 516. 'iii. 27. 77. 144. 166. 248. Of Holland, Aneient literaii. 25. 51. 242. &e. ture, in the seventcenth eentury, iii. Its salutary influence on the public taste eonsiderable under Eliza-Cheeked under beth, ii. 267. 494. the prohibition of books and presses, 267. Early reviews and their editors, 587-541.

(SEE NAMES OF LEARNED MEN THROUGH-

out this index.)
Liturgy, Anglican, by Whitaker, ii. 510.
Livy, his History, i. 520. Commentary
on, 521.

Lobel, the 'Stirpium adversaria' of, ii. 242.

Lobeyra, Vaseo de, his 'Amadis de Gaul,' i. 130, 302. iii. 157. 159. 435.

Loci Communes, or theological systems, i. 357. 560.

----- Theologiei, i. 560.

Locke, John, his philosophy, ii. 447. iii. 281. 339. His 'Letter on Toleration,' 291. n. He did not borrow from Gassendi, 314. n. His originality and love of truth, 373. 'Essay on the Human Understanding,' ii. 450. 491. iii. 314. 362. et seq. His 'Conduct of the Understanding,' Merits of his 'Treatise on 377. 411. Education,' 410. Its defects, 411. ' On Government,' 430-437. servations thereon, 438. 'On the Coin,' 442. His exile, 439. Observations on his style, 549.

Lockhart, Mr., Spanish Ballads of, ii.

112. n.

Lodbrog, Regner, song of, i. 10. Lodge, poems by, ii., 125. 174.

Logarithms, invention of by Napier, iii. 171.

Logic of Cassiodorus, i. 3. n. The Parisian school of, i. 14. Treatises on, ii. 371. The Aristotelian method; 17. 378. iii. 301. Of Descartes, ii. 437. 454. Of Gassendi, iii. 309—313. 319. Of Hobbes, ii. 489. Of Jean Silvain Regis, iii. 317. The Port

Royal 'Art de Penser,' 303. 318. 320. 322. 375. Of Locke, 365. cl seq. Aeoneio's methods of, ii. 17. Of Ramus, i. 381, 382. ii. 20. 368. Of Baeon, 400. 420. Of Wallis, iii. 302. Of Wilson, ii. 209.

Logos, the Trinitarian controversy, iii. 279.

Lohenstein, imitator of Ovid, iii. 461. Lombard, Peter, Theology of, i. 13.

London, publishers of literary works in, in the reign of Elizabeth, i. 512—515. The press prohibited excepting only in London, Oxford, and Cambridge, ii. 267.

Longinus, translation by Boileau of, iii.

*5*3*5*•

Longolius, Latin scholar, i. 318. ii. 289. Longomontanus, scientific writings of, ii. 228.

Lord's Prayer, the, in twenty-two languages, ii. 251.

Lorenzo, Latin poetry of, i. 192.

---- of Florence, library of, i. 172. Liberality of, 188.

Lothnire, school under, i. 7.

Lotielius, German poet in Latin, ii. 143. Louis of Germany, oath of, i. 23.

--- the Debonnair, i. 7.

— 111., vietory of, i. 10.

- XII., high refinement of French language in the reign of, iii. 519.

—— XIII., nobility of his court highly polished, iii. 20.

XIV., iii. 245. 288. His dispute with Innocent XI., 259. His reign, 427. 483. Poets and literati of his age, ii. 510. iii. 67. 456. 481. 521, 522. 525. Ediet of Nantes revoked by, 263. 288.

Louvain, Bible of, revised by eominand of Charles V., i. 375.

Love, the theme of ancient minstrels, i. 36.

Lovelace, poetry of, iii. 45. 462.

Lower, ehirurgical researches of, iii. 586, 587.

Loyola, Ignatius, followers of, i. 322. Founder of the order of Jesuits, 362. 533. ii. 498.

Lucan, Pharsalia of, i. 173. iii. 461. 463. 531.

Lucian, true history of, iii. 558. 555. Ludolf's account of Abyssinia, iii. 591. Lulli, the musical composer, iii. 507.

Lully, Raymond, his new method of reasoning, i. 309, 310. Extolled by Bruno, ii. 13.

Luther, Martin, his thesis as to Indulgenees and Purgatory, i. 291. Popularity of, 292 Account of his dangerous tenets, 295 Explanation of his doctrines, 296 556, it 330 Saures His writings, 1. 295 n 560 on, 432 Antinomian extravagances of, 344 His controversy with Erasmus, 350 Their increasing dislike, 352 Life of, 494 His preaching, 358 Confession of Augsburg, 1 346 527 His character, 364 Not intolerant, 549. II is hymns, 964 1113 eritical opinions, in 221 n Lutheran principles of the Italian writers, 1 357 Of the Spaniards, 962 Of the Germans, m 266

Lutheran hymns, 1 364 Churches, 11 330 362 Intolerance of them, 200 Lutherans, sacred criticism of, 355 Lutrin of Boileau in 457 Lycophron, Cassandra of, 111 18 Lycosthenes, Conrad, 11 264 Lydgate, his poems, 1 122 806 421.

Lydiat, Chronology of, 11 294 Lyon, Mr, the founder of Harrow school, 1 511 Lyons, the press at, 1 226

Landsay, David, ment of his poems, 1 416 417 Lyric poetry, it 91 n iii 9 458

Lysias, Athenian orator, 1 512 Maani, Lady, an Assyrian Christian,

Travels and Adventures of, iii. 227 Mabillon, 1 55 Minearius, Greek lexicon compiled by,

1 89 n Macaromic poetry, invention of, is 94 M' Crie, Dr , History of the Reformation

by 1 172 359 n 360 n

M'Cullock, Mr., observations of, m

441 n Macharel, Nicolas, his writings in political philosophy published postini His treatise of the monsly, a 395 Prince, 11 33 He was secretary of government at Florence : 395 He sought the patronage of Julian de' Medici 16 Probable influences that governed him 396 His motives, ab Ilis maxims not so immoral as has been alleged, 16 Some of them perilous to society, 397 Palliation of the doctrines in his 'Prince,' 398 Type of his Prince, ii 206 Discourses on Lavy, 1. 398 Leading principles of 399 Permanence, the object of his system of government, Influence of his writings, 400 His Ilistory of Florence, its luminous development, 401 His dramas, 256 His 'Mandragola,' and 'Chitia,' co

medies, 426 is 186 His Belphegor, 1 435 . His History, 463 Comparison of Bodin's 'Republic' with, ii 68, Of Bacon with, 300 His taste and The 'Golden'Ass' diction, 189 268 from Apuleius translated by, 189 Macintosh, Sir James, quoted, ii 586" v.

Mackenzie, Sir George, Essays of, iii 549 . Madden, Sir Frederic, on the orthography of Shakspere, ii 175 n

Madness, Hobbes on, 11 484 Mestlin, the mathematician, ii 227.

Maffet, History of India by, it 253 Magalotti, letters of, in 519

Magdeburgh, siege of, poem on, it 144 --- centuriators of 1 562 564 Magdelenct, I rench lyrie poet, iii 50 n Magellan, esreumnavigator, 1 462 11.

Magie, writers on, ii 379 Maggi, poems of, iii 453 Magnen, theories of, 11 378 Magnetism, medical, in 219

terrestrial, ii 253, 284 Maintenon, Madame de, 111 493 Mairet, dramatic author, iii 68

Sophonisbe, 75 Mattaire, his life of Henry Stephens, i 482 n. On Scapula, 486

Malaga, collegiate institution at, 1 18. Malala, John, Chronicle of, us 251 Maldonat, his Commentary on the Evangelists, 1 562

Malebranche, 11 435 His 'Traite de la Nature et la Grace,' m 272 317 'Lettres du pure Malebranche,' 275 His ' Recherche de la Vérité,' 322-337 Hisstyle S29 His character, 938 Compared with Pascal, 339

Malerbi, the Venetian, translation of the Bible by, 1 169

Malberbe, a polished and accurate French versifier, in 19 His gallantry towurds Mary de Medicis, 20 Malleville, French poet, in 21

Mallory's La Morte d'Arthur, n 218 Malmesbury, William of, history by, 1 71 Malones Shakspeare, n 177 n 179

m 94 544 Mahughi, botanical works of, in 580

582 · Mambriano, poem of Francesco Bello,

Man, natural lustory of, 111 009 state, u 404 529 in 285, 286 390 His soul, n 442 445 in 310 312 371 "(See Thilosophy) Human nature of, 285 Tet seg Metaphysical inquiry regarding, ii 5 iii 279 (See Mancini, Hortense, Duehess of Mazarin, iii. 524.

Mandeville, Sir John, the Travels of, i.

Manfredi, the 'Semiramis' of, ii. 149. Manley, Mrs., statements of examined, iii. 563. n.

Manners, Hobbes on, ii. 485.

Mantuan, Baptista, Latin poet, i. 221.

Manuscripts, wilful destruction of, i. 507. At Leyden, iii. 225. In the In the Bodleian library, ib. Chinese MSS. ib. See also i. 172.

Manutius, Aldus, i. 219. 503. Aldus.)

-, the younger, i. 215. Library of, ii. 260.

-, Paulus, [Paolo Manuzio,] works of this eminent scholar, i. 317. 327. 480. 499. 517. ii. 190. 503.

Manzolli, his Zodiacus Vitæ, i. 359.

-, Vegius, the continuator of the Æneid, i. 191. ii. 202. 289.

–, the Jesuit, History of India by, i. 501.

Maps, geographical, a criterion of progress in the science, iii. 227. charts, i. 186. 462. n. ii. 252-255. iii. 592.

Maracci, professor, a fine edition of the Koran by, iii. 591.

Marana, John Paul, author of the 'Turkish Spy,' iii. 560. 562.

Maranta on medicinal plants, ii. 240. Marbles, sculptures, and bronzes, ii. 260. The Arundelian marbles, 291.

Marburg university, i. 332.

Marcgraf, his Natural History of Brazil, iii. 207.

Marco Polo, Travels of, i. 260. 461. ii. 252.

Marculfus; grammatical rules of, i. 21. Mariana, 'de Rege,' ii. 45. 518.

tory of Spain by, 257. 259. n.

Marini, Giovanni Battista, bad taste of his school, iii. 5. 31. 33. 50. 449. 461. 466. His 'Adone,' iii. 5. Story of Psyche, 7.

Marlianus on the Topography of ancient Rome, i. 320. 517. His 'Fasti consulares,' 321.

Marlowe, plays of, iii. 77. Song by, ii. His 'Hero and Leander' from 'Tamburlaine,' 170. Musæus, 130 'Jew of Malta,' ib. 'Mephistopheles,' 'Edward II.' ib.

Marmocchini's translation of the Scriptures, i. 374.

Marot, Element, simplicity of his style, or naïveté, i. 414. iii. 21. 445. Marracci's Koran, iii. 591.

Marriage, Grotius on, ii. 552.

Mars, the planet, eccentricity of, iii. 185.

Marsham, Sir John, his 'Canon ehronicus Ægyptiacus,' iii. 257.

Marston, satires by, ii. 219. Dramatic works of, iii. 122.

Martial d'Auvergne, his 'Vigiles de la Mort de Charles VII.,' i. 207.

Martiany on Chronology, iii. 257.

Martyr, Peter, epistles of, on the discovery of America, i. 311.

–, zoology of, ii. 236, 237. n. Marullus, Latin poems of, i. 221. ii. 202.

Marvell, Andrew, satires of, iii. 474. 478.

Mary I. of England, her reign unfavourable to learning, i. 507. ii. 39. 193.

Mary, Queen of Scots, ii. 39.114.

Mascaron, the French divinc, iii. 291. Masius, the learned Hebraist, ii. 249. n.

Massa of Venice, anatomist, i. 456. Massinger, Philip, his 'Virgin Martyr,' iii. 114. General nature of his dramas, IIis delineations of character, ib. His subjects, 116. Beauty of His comie powers, 117. liis style, *ib*. His other plays, His tragedies, ib. His character of Sir Giles Overreach, 115. 118. Critique on, 119. *5*01.

Materia Medica, on, ii. 240. 245.

Mathematical and Physical Sciences, the, i. 112. 154. 215. 445. ii. 219—225. Mathematical propositions, iii. 170. De Augmentis Scientiarum of Lord Bacon, ii. 391. 426. Mathematics of Descartes, 459. Mathematicians, iii. 565.

Mathias, edition of Gray by, i. 31, n. Matthew Paris, History of, i. 210. Matthews's Bible of 1537, i. 373.

Matthiæ, Preface to his Greek Grammar, i, 488. n.

Matthioli, his botanical 'Commentaries on Dioscorides,' i. 458.

Maurice, Elector of Saxony, deserts the Protestaut eonfederacy, i. 543.

Maurolycus, geometrician, Optics by, ii. .

Maximilian, Emperor, patronises learning, i. 284.

Maxims of Rochefoucault, iii. 161. 407. 409.

May, supplement to Lucan by, iii. 54. History of the Parliament by, 151.

Maynard, elegance of his French poetry, iii. 21.

Mayow, Essays of, iii. 571. On Respiration, 588.

Mazarin, Cardinal, attempts to establish an Italian Opera at Paris, in 507 Mazarın Bible, the, 1 150 Its beauty

and scarcity, 151

Mazochius the Roman bookseller, 1. 321 Mazzoni his treatise 'de triplici Vita,' ii 31 206

Mechanics, laws of discovered by Gahleo, m 191 Of Descartes, 197 Writers on 11. 230

Meckerlin, German poet, 111 23 Medals, authors on, 1 593 111. 255 Col

lections of gems and, 11 260 Mede on the Apocalypse, n 357

Medici, Cosmo de, a patron of learning and the arts, 1 146 147 11 206 Ilis rule arbitrary and jealous, 262 265

Death of 1 158

- Lorenzo de', 1 158 172 188 193, 195 Character of, 173 Villa of, 174. Botanical gardens established by 457 -, House of, 11 153 240 Expulsion of the, from Horence in 1494, 1 220

Medicine revival of therapeutical science, 1 451 The Greeks the hest teachers of 452 Anatomy and medicine, ii 245-251 Progress towards accurate investigation 247 Valves of the veins discovered, in 212 The circulation Transfusion of of the blood, 586 the blood, 587 Novel Medical thea-

ries 588 Innovations in. 1 453 Medicis, Marie de marriage of, il 153 'Meditations' of Descartes, in 494 et Objections by Hobbes Arnaud

Gassends, and four others on the, 441 Megiser, forty languages of it 251 Mehus, on the Florentine literate, 1 80

His life of Traversari, 1 92 Meigret, Louis the Orthography of, 1

Memers, Comparison of the Middle Ages

by, 1 3 8 13 n 79 80 n His ble of Ulric von Hutten, 289

Meister singers of Germany, in 22 Mela Pompomus, Geography by, 1 221 Melanchthon, the Reformer, 1 545 11 358 Early studies of, 1 253 A promoter of learning, 1 331 ii 870 Countenances Aristotle, 1 479 Guide to the composition of Sermons by, 11. 358 His advice to Luther, 945 n His 'Loci Communes' 295 n. 350 367 560 Character of that work, 1 356 n Translation of 357 Style of his works 493 His tenets, 549 His adversaries, 543 Chronicle by, 1 Ethics of 393 Purity of die tion and classical taste of 1 327

Mélanges de Latterature, by d'Argonne, m 541

Melchior, Adam, the German biographer, 1 494

Melville, Andrew, 1 515 11 20 146 . Memours, political ii 48, French, n 257 m 594 -

Memory, the, theory of, 11 443 Mena, Juan de la 1 257 11 205.

-, Christopher de la, iii 15 Menage, Latin poems of, an 481 553 * On the French language, 527 4537 ' Menagiana,' 541

Mendicant Priars, their disputations promoted scholastic philosophy, i 17 Their superstations caused the return

of ignorance, 74 Their contention with Reuchlin 289

Mendoza, Diego, Spanish poet and statesman, n. 412, 413 10 12 His Laza rillo de Tormes, 1 435. 11 120

Mendoza his 'History of the War of Granada ' 111 228 'History of China,'

by, n 253

' Menina e Moça,' early Portuguese Romance in prose, 1, 419 Menochius ' de præsumptionibus, it

Menzini, Benedetto, iii 452

· Mephistopheles of Marlowe, ii 171

Mercator, Gerard his charts, u 255 Merchant Taylors school, statutes of, 1 511'

- of Venice, comedy of 11 185 Mercure, Calant, the, by Vise, in 536 Mercury, transits of, in. 194

Meres, 'Wit's Treasury of, ii 177 n 184 n

Merian, collection of voyages by, ii

Mermaid Club account of the, 111 94 Merula, criticisms of, 1 172

Mersenne works of m 178 Writes against Descartes, it 441

Merovingian period barbarism of, 1 6 Metaphysics see Philosophy Metastasio style of 11 152 Metrus, of Alkmaer, m 202

Meton, Athenian astronomer, his lunar cycle, 1 526

Metre and rhythm, on, 1. 29 dern language, 28

Meursus writings of, in 254 On Grecian antiquities, ii 293

Mezeray, the first general historian of France, 111, 228

Michael Angelo in 369 n Micheli, Venetian ambassador, 1 528

Mickles translation of the 'Lusiad' of Camoens at 109 Microscope, the 111 202 588

Micyllus, 'de re metrica,' i 331 poetry of, 4%

Middle Ages, eminent scholars of the, i. 13. Literature of the, 2.

Middleton, plays of, iii. 123.

Midgley, Dr., the 'Physician' and the Turkish Spy, iii, 562, n. 563, n. Millington, Sir Thomas, iii, 581.

Milner, Isaac, i. 293. n. 295.

Milton, John, 'Paradise Regained,' of. i. 224. iji. 146. 471. – His ' Comue.' The 'Allegro' 46. ' Lycidas,' 47. and 'Il Penseroso,' 48. Ode on the Nativity? ib. His Somets, ii 89. iii. 49. His feeling of antiquity gemine, 32. His Latin poems, 45. 55. 483. His controversy with Salmasins, ii. 283. His Paradise Lost, iii. 53. 463. 470. The polemical writings of, 150, 278. His tractate on Education, 410. Imitates the Greek tragedians, 465, 471. Elevation of his style, 468. His blindness, ib. His passion for music, 469. His cele-Critique on, 470. 472. lirity, 470.

Samson Aganistes of, 471. Mind, the human, iii. 348, 352. Philosophy. Spinosa on the, iii, 349. Mineralogy, scientific, of England, iii.

585.

'Minerva' of Sanetius, a grammatical treatise, i. 497.

Minne-singers of Germany, i. 30.

Miranda, San di, Portuguese poet, i. 413. 'Mirrour of Magistrates,' the, a collec-Induction to, tion of Tales, ii. 121. by Sackville, 121. 167.

'Misogonus,' an early camedy, ii. 166. 'Mithridate,' hy Racine, beauties of the composition, iii. 490.

Mitscherlich, discoveries of, ii. 412.

Modena, neademy of, i. 360. ii. 205. Allusions to the history of, iii. 8. 10.

Molanus, German controvertist, iii. 266. Molière, his genius and dramatic works, ii. 165. 186. n. His 'L'Avare,' iii. 498. 'L'Ecole des Femmes,' 499. 'Le Misanthrope,' 500. 'Les Femmes 'Tartuffe,' ib. Savantes, 481. 501. Bourgeois Gentilhomme, 502. George Character of his works, Daudin, ib. 503, 504.

Molina, his treatise on Free-will, i. 545. His Semi-Pelagian doctrine censured, 545. n. ii. 334. His tenets, iii. 270.

Molza, Italian poet, i. 425. His Latin noetry, ib.

'Monarchia Solipsorum,' a satire ou the Jesuits, iii. 166.

Monasteries suppressed at the Reformation, i. 342. In Ircland, 5.

Money and Coin, on, iii. 105. 443. Monetary writings, ii. 525.

Monk, Dr. Bishop of Gloncester, iii. 249. Life of Bentley by, 253, 274, n. 552. n.

Monks attacked by Erasmus, i. 289. Despised in Germany and Switzerland, 297. Various religious orders of in the twelfth century, 72.

Monstrelet, historical vorks of, i. 235.

Montagu, Mis., lar Eway, iii. 64.

Montaigue, Essays of, ii. 26. Their characteristics, 26. His sprightly and rapid thoughts, 27. His index pendent (pirit, 28. He borrowed from antiquity, ib. His critical opi-His good sense, 250 nions, ile. moral recepticism, ib. By what anthors criticised, 30. The charm of his simplicity rendered his writings popular, 31, 268. Allusions to, i. 194, 264, 266, Hi. 282, 410, 544,

Montanne, Arise, ii. 252, 500. Antwerp Polyglot by, 248.

Montansier, Duke de, suggests the Del- . phin editions of the Classics, iii. 246.

---, Madame, funcial sermon on, by Flechier, iii. 291. n.

Montemayor, the 'Di ma'of, ii, 105, 218. Mantesquien, the Grandeur et Decadence, of, ii. 520, 595. · L'Esprit des Loix, 543. See also, 68.

Montfauçon, references to his anthority,

Montluc, memoirs of, ii. 257.

Montpelier, school of medicine at, i. 19. Montpellier, Botanical garden of, ii. 240.

Montuela, quoted, i. 445—449: ii. 221. 227, 232, On indivisibles, iii. 176. Observations on geometricians, 178.

180. n. On the microscope, 202.

'Moon in the New World, by Wilkins, iii. 550.

Moore's History of Ireland, i. 5.

Moors of Spain, Condé's History of the, ii. 216. Maorish Romances, i. 231. ii. 110. iii. 12. n. See Romance.

Moral Fictions, popular with the Aristoeracy, i. 180.

Moral Philosophy, writers on, iii. 379.

Moralities, dramatic, i. 214. In France, a In England, 431. Used as religious satire, 432, 433.

Morals, Italian writers on, ii. 31. Jesuitical scheme of, lax and false, 497-

More, Henry, on Witcheraft, iii. 299. His metaphysical philosophy, ii. 443. n. 308. 340.

, Sir Thomas, i. 230. 346. History of Edward V. by, 306, 440. His ' Utopia,' 274.

Morel, John, lus Lexicon, 1 510 Morgan, Professor de, on geometrical errors, 1 446 n

'Morgante Maggiore' of Pulci, 1 193 111 8 Morhof, quotations from the 'Polyhistor'

of, 1 191 310 331 487, 488 11 4 58 370 in 440 540

Morin, protestant theologian, in 222 Morison, Dr , Professor of Botany, in

Ilıs works, 577 Mornay, Du Plessis, 1 553 n 11 303

309 Morosina, Sonnets on the death of, 1 407

Mosellanus, Peter, 1 330 347 Moses, the author of the Pentateuch,

doubted, 111 281 Mosaic history of the Deluge, &c 583 585 Institu tions, 590

Mosheim, his 'Ecclesiastical History,' ı 12 295 554 m 270 n 554 562 Mothe le Vayer, La, his Dialogues, n

\$65 510 521 m 142 Mouffit, his Theatrum Insectorum, in

Mousset, French poet, u 117 n Mulgrave, Lord, 'Essay on Poetry' by, пь 592 р Poems of, 474 479 Mun Thomas on foreign trade, nr 441

Munday, Anthony, translator of Amadis de Gaul. 1 302 il 218

Mundinus, anatomical works of, 1 260 4.54

Munster, Sebastian, 1 462 Munster, German schools at, 1 226

Muratori, Diescriations &c of, quoted, 1 3 n 12 20 26 59 158 n 84, 85 Della Perfetta Poesia, in 83, 89 n 3 n G n

Muretus, Mare Antony, great utility of Varia Lectiones of, 1 477 is 280 Diversity of his subjects, 473 n Ora tions of, 498 His I atm style, 145 On the massacre of St Bar-

tholomew's, 499 n Musicus, editions of, 1 218 11. 201 562 Musculus, Wolfgang, theological writer,

i 559

Museum, British, 11 6 . Music, church, n 159 p Operatie, 1b The Melodrame, 153

Musurus Marcus, the eminent Greek

scholar, 1 219 262

Mysteries, dramatic, their origin, 1 209 Of 1 rance, 430 ii 162 Of Spain. 1 256 n 154 Of England , 210 431 Of Germany, 219 Of Ches ter, 211, 212 n The Townley, 212 Mystical medicines of Paracelsus, in

Mysticism, on it 378

Mystics of the Roman Church, in 279 Mythology, writers on, 1 524

Naharro, Torres, Spanish comedies of, 1 428

Names, on the use of, 11 468, 469 471 Nantes, Edict of, 1 552 11 343 * Revocation of the edict of, nut 264 288 Nanteul, epigram on a portrait by, in"

163 n Napier, John, of Merchiston, his in-

vention of logarithms, in 171 , His tables, 173

Naples, Academy of men of learning at, 1 232

Nardı, İnstory by, 1 463 Nardini, works of, 11, 292 Roma Antica' of in 254

Nash, dramatic author, ii 169 n 174

Natalis Comes, Mythologia of, 1 474

Nations, rights of, n 561 569 Natural history, progress of the study of,

1 457 us 206 572 Gesner's works on, 11 234

Nature, law of, 111 387 393 399 Phonomena of, 410 Laws of, 11 529-

Naudè, Gabriel, his ' Considerations sur les coups d'etat,' it 521 His 'Naudwana, 11 364 371 111 541.

Naugerius, Latin poet, 1 425 Navarre, Queen of, ' Histoire des Amans

fortunés' of, 11 212 Navigation, art of, by Baldi, it 92

Neander, Michael, grammarian 1 491 'Erotemata Ling Hebram'of, n 248 Newton, Sir Isaac, works of, ii 425 m 203 569 His ' Principia ' 371

Definition of algebra by, 11 224. The Newtonian system, in 193 569 His discoveries in chemistry, 570

Netherlands, persecution of Protestants ın the, 1 362

Nicene faith, the, ni 278 Niceron le Pere, biographical works of, 1. 316 n 483 n 31 n

Niebolas V, a patron of learning, 1 140 Character of, 141 Letters of indulgence, by, 1 152 Labrary of, 165 n Nicole ' Essais de Morale, &c of, in

263 270 320 383 Niebuhr on the antiquities of Rome,

quoted, 1 518 Nieuhoff, account of China by, in 594

Nile, the river, in 254 Murolius, Marius lexicographer, 1 322

His principles of philosophy, 11 289 17, 18 * Noah Seven Precepts of the sons of, il

5 S S

Nominalists, the, i. 18. Nominalism, its character, ib. 180. ii. 370. 491.

Noodt, Gerard, on Usury, iii. 447.

Norman poets of the twelfth, thirteenth, and fourteenth centuries, i. 32.

Norris, 'Essay on the Ideal World' by, iii. '340.

North Sea, the, English discoveries in, ii. 253.

'Nosce Teipsum,' poem by Sir John Davies, ii. 128.

Nott, Dr., his character of the poets Surrey and Wyatt, i. 418. n. 420.

Noue, La, 'Diseours' of, ii. 48.

Novels, Italian, i. 435, ii. 211. Spanish, ii. 213. Freneh, i. 207. ii. 214. iii. 553.

Nowell, master of Westminster school, i. 333. 554.

Numismaties, on, i. 522. ii. 262. iii. 255. Nunez, or Pineianus, i. 329. His Greek grammar, 487.

' Nut-brown Maid,' the, ballad of, i. 306.

Oath of allegiance, ii. 299.

Oaths, on, ii. 497. Promissory, 557.

Obedience, passive, ii. 43. 341. 519. 524. Resistance by subjects unlawful, 547. Ochino, Bernard, the Capucin preacher, i. 360.

Ockham, William, i. 16. n. 17, 18. 181. ii. 505.

Ockland, the 'Anglorum Prælia' by, ii. 148.

'Odyssey,' the, iii. 557.

Œeolampadius, the reformer and scholar, i. 267. 295. 347. 352. n. 494. Buried in Basle Cathedral, i. 353.

Olaus Magnus, the naturalist, ii. 237. Oldenburg, editor of the Philosophical Transactions, &e., iii. 566.

Oldham, satire of, iii. 47. 478.

Olearius, his Travels in Russia, iii. 226. Oliva, Perez d', a moral writer, i. 391.

Onkelos, Chaldee paraphrase of the Pentateuch by, i. 308.

Ophelia, Shakspeare's character of, iii. 106.

Opitz, German lyrie poet, iii. 23. 461. His followers, 24.

Oporinus, printer, i. 494. His press prohibited, 266.

Optics, seience of, ii. 229. iii. 201. 219. Dioptrics, science of, 218—220.

Oracles, History of, by Fontenelle, iii. 523.

Oratory, Congregation of the, iii. 298. 'Orfeo,' drama of, by Politian, i. 208.

Oriental literature and languages, i. 307. 460. ii. 248. iii. 59. Poetry, 17.

'Orlando Furioso' of Ariosto criticised, i. 298. ii. 100. Griando Innamorato,' the, of Boiardo, i. 223. 299. Its continuation by Agostini, 223. 410. Some account of Berni's poem of, 358. Re-written by Berni, 410. Domenichi's alteration of, 411.

Ormond, Lord, satirical poetry of, iii. 474.
Ornithology, writers on, iii. 206. 572.
Orabia the large on the Prophesius iii

Orobio, the Jew, on the Prophecies, iii. 287.

Ortelius, 'Theatrum Orbis Terrarum' of, ii. 256.

Ortiz, Don Sancho, celebrated tragedy of, ii. 158.

Orto, Decio da, tragedies of, ii. 149. Osborn's Advice to his son, ii. 516.

Osorius, Bishop, his treatise on Glory, i. 500.

Ossory, Lord, satirical poetry of, iii. 474.

Otway, poetry of, iii. 479. Dramatist, iii. 479. His 'Veniee Preserved,' 497. 513. 'The Orphan,' 513.

Oughtred, his 'Clavis mathematica,' iii. 180. n.

Overall, Bishop, his Convocation Book, iii, 429.

Overbury, Sir Thomas, his Characters,' iii. 153.

Ovid, imitated by Milton in his Latin poems, iii. 56. 466. 'His Metamorphoses' excelled by the 'Orlando Furioso,' i. 302. ii. 95. See also, iii. 6. 18. 461. 481. 547.

Oviedo, or Gonzalo Hernandez, his India, i. 463. ii. 241. 252.

Owen, Epigrams of, a well-known eollection, iii. 54.

Oxford, university of, i. 11. 15. ii. 258. It ereated its own patrons, 16. Books given to, 105. Greek lectures, 285. n. The university press, 512. Lectures in Greek and Latin at, i. 333. Latin poetry at, iii. 483. The Bodleian library, ii. 259. iii. 230. 232.

Paderborn, sehool of, i. 66.

Padua, university of, i. 19. 308. ii. 231. 260. Sehoolmen of, ii. 4. 371.

Pagninus, version of the Evangile by, i. 566. Of the Koran by, 460.

Pagninus, translation of Scripture by, i. 375.

Painters, the Bolognese school, ii. 101. Palearius, Aonius, on the Immortality of the Soul, i. 425.

Palestrina, church music improved by, ii. 152. n.

Paley, Dr., his Moral Philosophy, iii. 394, 395. 407. His objections to Grotius, ii. 577.

Palingenius Stellatus, or Manzolli, 1,

202
Palladus, the Dane, translation of the

Scriptures by, 1 374
Pallavieino, Ferrante, writings of, in 128

Palmerin of Oliva, romance, 1.435

Palmen, the Vita Civile' of, 1 L59
Palsgrave's French grammar, 1 442,
Pancirollus, his 'Notitia Dignitation.

1 522
Pandolfin, his moral dialogue, 1 159
Panizzi, Mr., on the Orlando Innamorato, 1 959 n On the extemporaneous comedy, 11 60 n On the

'Amadigi' of B, Tasso, 11 92 n Pannattz, printing press of, in Italy, 1

Panvinus, Onuphrius, lus learning, 1 517 'De civitate Romana,' 518 'De Ludis Circensibus,' 521

Panzer, 'Annales Typographiei,' 1 156 Papal influence evaded on north side of

the Alos, m 191

11 903

Paper, its invention, 1 53 It superseded the papyri, parchments, waxen tablets and style, to Cotton naper preceded that from linen rag, 16 Charters and paper hulls on cotton paper, 54 First used in the Greek empire in the twelfth century for MISS . In Italy in the thirteenth ib Among the Saracens 1t was of remoter antiquity, to Called Charta Damascena, being used by Arabian literati, 1b Linen paper dates from A D 1100. Of mixed materials, 55 rapid introduction, 56 Excellence of the hnen paper first used for books and printing, 59

Papias his Latin dictionary, 1 68 His acquaintauce with Greek classics proved by his Latin version of some lines of Hasted 99

Hestod, 92
Pappus, the geometer, editions of, 11.224
Papyri employed for all documents
under Charlemagne, 1 53 n The
Egyptian, 11 238

Paracelsus, his speculative philosophy in medicine described, 1 453 in 219 School of ii 247 379 389 in 588 Theo, impostures and ex

travagances of 1 385
Paradise of Dainty Devices, the, ii 120

Paradose Lost, 111 463
Paradoses, Hobbess 11 481 Of Sir
Ti omas Browns, 514

Pareus, on the Epistle to the Romans, and the divine right of kings, it. 524

Parchments, the use of them much super-

seded by the invention of paper, 1 53
Their expense, th Erasure of MSS
thereon, for the sale of new writings,
th Monuments of learning and record
thereby lost, th Restoration of some
effected the Law books generally

effected, th Law books, generally MSS on, 59
Park, Ambrosse, chururgical priter, the

founder of chirurgic science, 11 246
Parental authority, 11 552 111, 482
Parental Library Condenses

Parfre, John, his mystery ' Candlemas-Day,' 1 429 Parts, University of, 1 381 Its scholastic

philo-ophy, 11 Its increase, 14 16
227 List Greek press at, 252 252
Its repute for philological pursuits,
475 The Royal Library of 11, 260
Nominalists of, 1180 Forbidden to
confer degrees in evil law, 11, 75

Pressat, 1 226
Parker, Archbishop, 1 516 ii 259 263
Parkinson, his 'Theatrum Botanicum,'

Parliament, English, and constitution;

Parmenides, on heat and cold 11 7 Parmaso Espanol of Sedano, 11 109

'Parnassus, News from,' by Borealini,

Parthasiana of Le Clere, in 542
Paruta, Paolo, Discorsi politici of, ii

Pascal his experiments on the Pay de Dome, in 200 Writings of, 272 327 °38 His 'Thoughts', 284 380 410 His 'Provincial Letters', 284 On Maxales, 286 On Geometry, 340 His reverence for religion 341.

His acute observation 342 520 Pasquier, it 162 164 His Recherches

de la I rance, 209
Passavanti religious writer, 1 159

Passerat, Latin poet, in 145 193
Passau pacification of, 1 527 529
Passaus the management of 1 527 529

Passions, the, in 337 355 386 A lysis of 480

Paston Letters, the, 1 163 306

Pastoral romance described, 1 256 258

m 161 Poetry, 11 123 125 210

nii 462 Dramas n 150 ni 58 98 Pastorini, sonnet on Genoa by, ni 453 Paterno, Ludovico sonnets of, n 87

Patra Guy, writings of it. 364 in

Patrizzi, Francis, on the Roman militia system, 1 520 His 'Discussiones Peripateticae,' 11 6 Patru, forensic speeches of, iii. 142. 292. Paul, St., Epistles of, iii. 149.

— II., pope, persecutes the learned, . i. 160.

- III., pope, establishes the Jcsuits, i. 362. Convokes the Council of Trent, 363. 537. 558.

— IV., i. 538. ii. 266. — V., i. 545. n. ii. 304. 334. His dispute with Venice, ii. 299.

Panzer, catalogues of, i. 374.

Peacock, Mr., definition of algebra by, ii*.* 222. n.

Pearson, Bishop, on the Creed, iii. 298. -, and Casaubon, notes on Diogencs Laertius by, iii. 251.

'Pecorone, the,' a celebrated moral fiction,

Pecquet, medical observations of, iii. 219.

Pecle, plays of, ii. 172.

Pcircsc, Nicholas, his learning, ii. 542. Life and character, 237. iii. 218. n. His travels, ib. His additions to Scientific discoveries, botany, 237. Literary zeal of, 238.

-Pelagian controversy, the, iii. 270. Semi-Pelagiaus, i. 542. 545. Their

hypothesis, ii. 329.

Pelisson, his 'History of the French Academy,' iii. 21. 139.

Pellegrino, Camillo, his controversy with the Academy of Florence, i. 224. n. His poems, 85. His diaii. 206. logue, 'Il Caraffa,' 207. n.

Pelletier, Algebra of, ii. 219.

-'s ' Art of Poetry,' ii. 208. Also his version of Horace, ib. n.

Pellican, his religious tenets, i. 294. His 'Commentarii Bibliorum,' 460. Hcbrew grammar by, 255.

Pembroke, William, Earl of, iii. 40. n. 44.

Pena on botany, ii. 242.

Pennant's British Zoology, ii. 239.

'Pensées diverses sur la comète de 1680,' by Baylc, iii. *5*39.

Percy's 'Reliques of Ancient Poetry,' ii.

Percgrino, his writings, iii. 131.

Pereira, Gomez, the 'Margarita Antoniana,' ii. 18.

Periers, Bonaventure des, his 'Cymbalının Mundi,' ii. *5*64. 11.

Perizonius, philological works of, ii. 289. iii. 246.

Perkins, Calvinistic divine, science of morals by, i. 554.

Perotti, 'Cornucopia,' &c. of, i. 191. Medical works of, 332.

Perpinianns, Jesuit of Valencia, orations of, i. 500.

Perrault, Charles, his 'Parallel of the Ancients and Moderns,' iii. 534. 551. Tales by, 556.

-, Nicolas, his 'Moralc des Jé-

suites,' iii. 383.

Perron, Du, cardinal and archbishop of Sens, the talent and influence of, ii. 'Perroniana,' iii. 541. 303. 309. n.

Persecution of Protestants, i. 359. Spain and in the Low Countries, 362. Day of St. Bartholomew, ii. 20. 65. By the two Maries, 39.

Persian language, &c., the, ii. 250. iii.

225, 591,

Persons, the Jesuit, conduct of, i. 49.

Perspective, writers on the science of, ii.

Peruzzi, practical treatises by, ii. 230.

Petavius, chronological works of the Jespit Petau, i. 526. ii. 295. iii. 256. His Greek, Hebrew, and Latin poetry, His ' Dogmata theologica,' iii. 50. ii. 355. iii. 278.

Pcter Cluniacensis, his Treatise against the Jews, i. 54. Explanation of his words, 'ex rasuris veterum pannorum,' *ib. 55*. n.

Lombard, 'Propositions of the Fathers' by, i. 13. n. 'Liber Sententiarum' of, 92.

Martyr, Epistles 'de rebus Oceanicis' by, i. 311, 312. n. 360. ii.

Petit, Samuel, on the Athenian laws, ii. 294.

Petrarch, the first restorer of letters, i. Latin poems of, 80. ii. 202. His Eclogues, ib. His Sonnets and Canzones, i. 464. ii. 92. n. 203. Idolised in Italy, 105. Remarks on his poetry, 130. Imitators of, ii. 87. 203.

Petri, Olaus, translation of the Scriptures into Swedish by, i. 374.

Pædotrophia, specimen of, ii. 146. 11.

Petty, Sir William, iii. 444. 536. Peucer, son-in-law of Calvin, i. 543.

Pezron, his 'Antiquité des temps dévoiléc,' iii. 256.

Pfcffercorn, the converted Jew, i. 289. Pfintzing, Melchior, his poem of 'Theuerdanks,' i. 416.

Pfister, Bible of, i. 153.

Phædrus, Fabulæ of, iii. 455, 456.

Phalaris, epistles of, iii. 252.

' Pharsalia,' Lucan's, iii. 463. Brébœuf's 461. 531.

Phavorinus, his 'Etymologicum Mag-11um,' i. 220. 322.

Philip Augustus, king of France, i. 15. - II. of Spain, reign of, i. 531. 558. 561, n 102 m 208 Sends an embassy to Pekin in 1580, n 253 266 Philip III of Spain, in 12

— IV of Spain, m 12 102 n Philips, his 'Theatrum Poetarum,' m

548
Philo, and the Alexandrian school of

philosophy, 1 200 Philosophy, progress of, 1 471 In Ger-

many, 494 in 245 &c See Celebrated Authors in this Index Philosophers, the Aristotelian, it 1- in

280 300 315 342 _____, the ancient, allusions to, ii

399 m 303 306 Philosophia elementa of Hobbes, m

'Philosophia elementar' of Hobb

Philosophy, the scholastic, 1 12-19 111 300 Of Bacon, 11 16 368 m 281 301 Of Locke and Bayle, 281 Of Descartes and Gassends, ib 301 307 309 311 n 315 &c Of Ga lileo and Kepler, 11 369 Nizoliuss Of Hobbes 461 principles of, 17 -492 Melanchthon s 'Philippie method of, 370 Campanellas theory, History of speculative philo The Aristotchan sophy, 1 m 301 philosophy, 1 146 n 1 17 m 300 322 370 Of Boethius 1 2 Platonists, 146 195 ii 13 iu 303 The Peripatètie dialecties, it 369 Scholastic and genuine Aristotelians distinguished, 1 370 The Epicurean Metaphysical writers, school, 457 370 490 m. 275 278 300 et seq 308 et seg 329 et seg Moral Phi losophy, or Ethics it 22 494 in 380 387 Political Philosophy, n 33 517 m 408

Physicians College of, founded by Henry

VIII, 1 452

Pibrae, a lawyer and versifier, it 116 Piccolomini Alexander, 'Moral Institutions' of it 31 'Anatomize prælectiones' of 246

'Picus of Mirāndola, 1 201 204 n 7 'Pietra del Paragone' of Trajan Bocca lini in 128.

Pigafetta, voyages by, n 252 Pignoria on the Isiae tiblet, n 292 Pilatus, Leon, translation of Homer by,

1 91 'Pilgrim' of Purchas, 111 226,

* Pilgrim' of Purchas, in 226, *Pilgrim's Progress' of John Bunyan, in 558

Pincianos (Spanish) Art of Poetry,

Pincianus, works of, 1. 529 Pindar, 10 9, 10 Italian translation of 11 Pinelli, Gian Vincenzio, liberal support of the fine arts by, ii 240 260 Pinkerton, criticisms of 1 260

Pinzon, his voyage with Columbus, in 236

Pirchheimer, Bilibild, i 268 n 347 Epistle of, to Melanththon, 343 n, and Erasmus, 346 n

Pisa, school of, u 4 Siege of in 1508, 256 Leonard of, 221

Pittseus, the mathematician, 11 226 Pitts V, bulls of, against Baius, 1 544

Plus V, bulls of, against Baus, 1 544 in 271 Against Queen Elizabeth, 1 558 His rigour against the press, il 266

Placette, La, 'Essais de Morale' of, iii 383 403

383 40.

Plants, classification of ut 578 Distinction of trees and shrubs, 579 On vegetable physiology, 580 The anatomy of, tb The sexual system of, 581

Plater, medical discoveries of, it. 247 Platina, the academician at Rome, i 160

Plato, remarks on, by Lord Bacon, 11 399 By Descartes, 443 APlatonic philosophy, the, 1 146 11 4 111 303 Theology, 1 195

Platonism the modern, 1 146 195 n

13 10 S03
Plautus, recovery of his comedies, 1, 82
11, 273 The Menæchini of, imitated by Shukspeare, 179 11, 505 Aulu

laria, 493 Playfur, dissertations of, 1 447 n ii 232 410 412 iii 196

Pletho, Gemistus 1 146

Plotinus, philosophy of, 1 200 ii 13

Plutarch, imitations of, in 511 Translations of into villaga Greek in the fourteenth century, 1 93. n Amyots French, in 191 Xylanders version of, 1 479 Norths, in. 87 Of singular benefit to Shalspeare, ib Dryden S List, of, in 544

Pococke, his great erudition, in. 223 225 591

Poetry, rude in the tenth and next en suing centuries, 1 10 Anglo-Saxon, Scandinavia and German, It zħ Latin poetry barbarous, 14 and Provençal, 31 Bacon a observations on, 11 401 I rench metre and tersification, 118 Italian poetry, a 83 158 m. 130 235 449 Castilian poets, 1 411 11 102 I rench poetry in the reign of Francis I and its me-Introduction trical structure, 414 of blank verse, 420 Change in its style, u 113 Under Louis XIV,

iii. 68. 453. Pastoral, i. 257. iii. 161. Epic, ii. 95. 99. 106. iii. 461. 463. 532. 547. 557. English poets, ii. 121. iii. 27. English hexameter verse, ii. 129. Philosophical poetry, iii. 29. The metaphysical poets, 31. narrative and historical poets, 34. Milton, John, i. 224. iii. 46. speare, 37. 80. 99. Ben Jonson, 94. 'Dryden's Essay on Dramatic 97. Poesy,' 544. 'Parallel of Poetry and Painting,' ib. Influenced by religion, i. 129. Lyric, in Spain, ii. 102-106. Poggio Bracciolini, the first half of the fifteenth century called his age, i. 82. On the ruins of Rome, 142.

Poiret, his 'Divine Œconomy,' iii. 280. Poland, Protestants in, i. 535. The Antitrinitarians of, 548. Visited by Servetus, 549. Socimians of, ib. 566. College at Raeow, 548. ii. 335. Polish version of Seripture, i. 567.

Pole, Cardinal, ii. 40.

Polentone, Seeco, Dramas of, i. 207. Politian, his Italian poems, i. 159. 191. 208. 439. On the death of Ovid, 222. ii. 202. Version of Herodian by, 190. Miscellanies of, i. 188.

Political literature becomes historical, ii. 519. Economists, 525. iii. 448. Seience, ii. 406.

Polo, Gil, poetry of, ii. 106. 214.

Polybius, commentary on the History of, ii. 560.

Polyglotts, various, ii. 251. iii. 222. 224. Bible of Alcala, i. 308. Of Antwerp, ii. 244. Polyglott Alphabet, i. 461. Brian Walton's, iii. 590.

Pomfret, his 'Choice,' a poem, iii. 479. Pomponatius, 'de immortalitate,' i. 308, 309. n. 564. On fate and free-will, 380.

Pomponius Lectus, on antiquities, i. 517. Pontanus, Neapolitan his, works, i. 222. His poem, 'de hortis Hesperidum,' i. 457. n.

Pool, Matthew, 'Synopsis Criticorum,' by, iii. 297.

Pope, Alexander, his Correspondence, iii. 137.

Pope Joan, existence of, i. 333. n.

Port Royal Greek grammar, the, i. 488. iii. 245. Racine's 'History of Port Royal,' 270. n. Dissolution of the convent of, 272. Literati who resorted to it, or Messicurs de Port Royal, ib. Their Logic, or 'l'Art de Penser,' 302. 318. 320. 322. Their style, 529. 532.

Porta, Baptista, ii. 230. 300.

——, Simon, a rigid Aristotelian, ii. 4. Portal, 'History of Anatomy' by,quoted, i. 455, 456. ii. 246. iii. 215, 216. 586.

Portia Capece, wife of Rota the poet, ii. 88.

Portuguese dramatic works, i. 256. Poets, 413. 428. ii. 107. The pastoral is the chief style in the soft language of the, i. 413. Men of learning in, 329. Conquests in India by the, ii. 253. Discoveries in Africa, i. 187. Lyric poetry of, 233.

Portus, Æmilius, a teacher of Greek, i. 475. 484. 495.

Possevin, History of, i. 534. 536. ii. 253.

Postel, William, i. 461.

Potatoe, early notice of the, ii. 241. .

Potter's 'Antiquities of Greece,' iii. 254. His 'Lycophron,' 251.

Poynet, or Ponnet, John, on 'Politique Power,' ii. 39.

Preaching, style of, before the Reformation, ii. 58. In England after the Restoration, iii. 295.

Prejudice, on, Hobbes, ii. 486.

Prescott, Mr., History of Ferdinand and Isabella by, i. 312. n.

Press, the, see Printing.

Prevost, Mr., his remark on identity, ii. 475. n.

Printing, art of; paper its handmaid, i. 53. Invention of, 148. Block books, ib. Known in China, ib. Gutenberg's movable characters, 149. First printed Bible, 150. Progress of the art, 148. 155. Peter Schæffer's engraved punch, 150. Fust of Mentz, 149. 156. Early sheets and books, Ulric Gering introduces 150---152. the art into France, 157. Caxton, English printer, ib. In Italy, by Sweynheim and Pannartz, 220. Greek and Roman classics, first editions of, i. 156. 252. 472. 512, 513. Restrictions on the press at Rome by Paul IV. and Pius V., ii. 265. Spain by Philip II., 266. In England by Elizabeth and the star-chamber, 267. The Index Purgatorius of prohibited books, including Bibles in inodern languages, 265. Many printers forbid to carry on their profession, 266. Destruction of editions by the Inquisition, ib. Learning and knowledge thereby eliceked, ib. Woodcuts and illustrations, i. 185.

Prisoners and slaves, Grotius on the usage of, ii. 570. 572.

Pronunciation of Greek and Latin, on the, 1 934. Of modern languages, in 528

Property, law of, 11 632 Right of, 551

m 428 Census of, n 65 Prose, elegance of Trench, admitted, 1

259 n Lnglish writers of, Hobbes, m 549 Cowley, 16 Evelyn, 544 Dryden, 16

Prosody, Latin, 1, 29 11 285

Protestant religion the, progress of, 1 289 291 342 349 353 359 366 495 527 in 263 267 See the Re formation

Protestants, their tenets broached by Wicliffe and his followers, 1 357 Luther, 345-350 358 Of Spain and the Low Countries, 362 595 Of Austria and Poland, to Of Bohemia and Hungary, 16 The Protestant controversy in France, in French Protestant refugees, 288 The Huguenots of France, 1 535 552 n. 20 m 263 288 Religious intolerance, when manifested by, a Decline of Protestantism, 552

Provenced poetry, the, 1 31 et seq 11.
162 111 15 Language allied with
Latin, 1 29 31

Prudentus, Latin verse of, 1 29
Prynne, the 'Histrio mastir' of, 11 79
Pastlers and liturgies, Greek, used in
the church offices in Italy, 1 92 The
Pastler (printed in 1437), 150 152 4
Psychology, the ideal and sensual, 11

441 464 492

Ptolemy, the Cosmography of, 1 187 260

Puffindorf Samuel, on the virtuags of Bacon, it. 450 His 'Law of Nature and Nations,' 577 588 in. 390 599— 407 448 His 'Duties of a Man and a Clitten,' 599 402 Comparison of, with Dr Paley, 407. 'Theory of Politics of, 419

Pulci, Luigi, poems of, i. 159-193 His Morgante Vaggiore, 193-299 in 8 Pultency, botanical observations of, ii. 240 in 578-580

Punch in printing invented, i. 150
Punchment of sames on hy Great

Punishment of crimes, on, by Grotius, ii 56° Purbach, German mathematician, his

discoveries, 1 154 Purchas, the 'Pilgrim,' a collection of

voyages by, in 226 Puritans, the, 1, 548 ii 125 Puttenham, his ' Art of Poesie,' i 417

Pyrrhonism ii 15 27 194 110 437 Pynson, books printed by, i 267 n Quadrio, Italian critic, 1 301 11 88 Quadrivium, mode of education, 1 3 n 11 258 n

Quakers superstitious opposition of, to lawful war, 12, 546

Quantity, works on Greek and Latin, 1 28 488

Quarterly Review, articles of the, quoted, 1 93 n 322 484 486 H 103 in 66 On Milton, 467 n. Articles of ascribed to Dr Blomfield, 1 93 n 323

Querenglu, Italian author, in. 50 Queredo, Spanish satirist, in 14 Hi

Visions, 552 Quietists and Mystics, the, in 280 386

Quillet, Claude, in 481 Quinault, dramas of, in 498 La Mere Coquette, 505 Operas of, 507

Quintilian, 1 3 Styles colloquial Latin as quotidianus, 20, 21 n MSS of, discovered by Aretin, 84

Quixote, Don, high reputation of this work of fiction, in 154 New views as to the design of, 155 Probably erroneous, 156 Difference between the two parts of, 157 His hibrary alluded to in 213 in 157 Transla tions of, 543 Excellence of this romance, 159

Rabelais his Pantagruel, 1 436 Works of, still have infinence with the public, il 268 in 563

Racan, French dramatic author, in 21 68 Racine, Jean, his History of Port Royal,

Tragedies of, 485 nı. 270 n His Andromaque 486 Britannicus, 488 ' Berenice, 489 ' Bajazet,' 16 'Mathridate,' 490 'Iphigenie, 491 'Phedre '493 'Esther,'493 'Athalie,' ib His female characters, 494 Com parison with Corneille and with Lu-Beauty of lus style. ripides, 495 His comedy of Les Plaideurs, 496 Madame de Sevigni, on, 525 n 504 Racow, Auti Trinitarian Academy at,

1. 548. Radbert, Paschasius, quotations by, 1

25 n.
Radzīvil, Prince prints the Polish version of the Scriptures, 1. 568

Raffielle d Urbino, i 261 Raimondi, John Baptista, the printer,

11. 250 ———, the first Italian teacher of Hebrew, 1 188

Rainaldus, Annals of Basonius continued by, 1, 563 Rainbow, theory of the, and the outer bow, iii. 205.

Rainolds, Dr. John, i. 555. ii. 42. n. Character of, by Wood and others, i.

Raleigh, Sir Walter, ii. 125. 210. 515. His History of the World, iii. 148, 149. n. 543.

Rambouillet, Marquise de, Catherine de Vivonne, and her daughter Julie d'Angennes, eelebrated literary society The Hôtel de, a literary of, iii. 136. eôterie, 136. 163. 500. 554.

Ramiresius de Prado, Philology of, ii. 282.

Ramus, Peter, his Greek grammar, i.. His logic unfairly 488. iii. 246. treated, i. 381, 382. ii. 20. iii. 302.

Ramusio, Travels edited by, ii. 252. Ranke, German historian, i. 47. ii. 152. n.

Raphael of Volterra, antiquary, i. 321.

517. Rapheling, his Arabie lexicon, iii. 224. Rapin, Nieolas, Latin poetry of, ii. 193.

Extolled the disputations '· iii. 50. n. of the schools, 300. Imitation of

Horace by, ii. 118.

Réné, merit of his Latin poem on Gardens, iii. 481. On Eloquenee His 'Parallels of and Poetry, 530. the great men of antiquity,' 531.

Rauwolf, the German naturalist, ii.

241. n.

Rawley's Life of Lord Bacon, ii. 389. n. 396.

Ray, his 'Synopsis of Quadrupeds,' ii. 236. iii. 573. 'Historia Plantarum,' &e., 577. 583. 585. Geological observations of, 585.

Raymond of Thoulouse, his letter to Henry III., i. 55.

Raynouard, M., his 'Choix des Poésies des Troubadours,' i. 20. On the Provencal or Romance language, 21.23. On Portuguese lyrie poetry, 34. п. Criticisms of, on the Araueana *2*33. of Ercilla, ii. 106.

Realists, disputations of the, i. 18. 180.

ii. 370.

Reason, human, on, i. 197. iii. 340. 350.

Reasoning, art of, ii. 474. n. See Logic. False Reasoning, ii. 475.

Rebulgo, Mingo, Pastorals of, ii. 150. Recitative suggested by Rinuceini, ii.

Record, Robert, 'Whetstone of Wit,' by, ii. 220.

Redi, his philosophy, iii. 126. Sonnets' of, and ode, 'Baceo in Toscana,' iii. His correspondence, 519. 453. ology of, 574.

Redman, Dr., eliaraeter of, i. 336. tutor of repute at Cambridge, 507.

Reformation, the, its rise, i. 291. ii. 35. Spirit of, i. 369. Its tenets, 330. Passions instrumental in, 371. Establishment of new dogmatism by, See Luther, Melanchthon, and Zwingle. Progress of, 297.342. Controversies of Catholie and Protestant churelimen, ii. 306. Defections to Catholieism, 308. 310. Not favourable to learning, i. 297.n. 330. terference of the civil power with, 342. Confession of Augsburg, 346. 527. Controversies of the chief reformers, i. 350. et seq. Its revolutionary tendency, 353. 528. ii. 35. Comparison with recent innovations, ib. · Dispute between the Swiss reformers and Lu-Its progress, 367. 494. ther, 355. The 'Reformatio Legum Ec-527. elesiasticarum, under Edward VI., 502. Protestants of Frauee, their eontroversy with the Gallican church, iii. 263—267. Church of England divines write against the doctrines of Rome, 268, 269. Re-action in favour of the church of Rome in Italy and Spain, i. 530, 531. 537. ii. 307. 'Formula Concordiæ,' of the Lutheran eliurches, 319. 543. Church of England, the Thirty-nine Articles, i. 542. 544. n. The high-church party, ii. 321.

Refraction suggested as the cause of prismatic division of eolours, iii. 202. Law of, 203.

Regio, works of, i. 173.

Regiomontanus, the mathematician, i. 155. His treatise on triangles, 445, 446.

Regis, Jean Silvain, his 'Système de la Philosophie,' iii. 317, 318.

Regius Professor at Utrecht, i. 173. ii.

Regnard, dramatic author, ii. 165. His 'Le Joueur,' iii. 504. 'Le Légataire,' 505. 'Les Menechmes,' ib.

Regnicr, satires of, iii. 20.

'Rehearsal, the,' a satire by the Duke of Buckingham, iii. 547.

Reid's Essays, ii. 431. 438. n. iii. 326. Reindeer, the, Albertus on, ii. 236.

Reinesius, a Saxon physician, 'Variæ Lectiones, of, ii. 281.

Reinold, Prussian tables of, ii. 227.

Religion, natural, on, i. 195. By Lord Bacon, ii. 401. On its laws, iii. 587. Influence of reason, i. 196.

fluence upon poetry, 1 129 spiration and Scripture, 197 notions of, 12 384 Evidences of denied by the Sociations, 335 Tradıtions, 199 Legends and influence of saints, ib Doctrines of the Christian, Vindications of Christianity by Pascal, 111 282 By Huel, 288 Poleration in, it 342, 343 Union of religious parties sought by Grotius, And by Calixtus, 319 troversy on Grace and I ree-will, 328

See Rome, Reformation, Protestants Religious Persecution of the sixteenth century, 11 342

Remonstrants, the, 11 331, 111 273 276 See Arminians

Rence, duchess of Perrara, 11 357 ARenouard on the state of learning in Italy, 1 503

Reproduction animal, in 588 Republic of Bodin, analysis of, it 51 --69

Republies, on the institutions of, m 426. 428 439

Resende, Garciade, Latin grammar of,

Retz, Cardinal de, Memoirs of, 111 594 Reuchlin, caballistic philosophy of, 1 227 Contention of, with the monks, 289, 290 See n 286 On accent and quantity, S4 See also 1 652 m 349 Studies Greek at Paris university,

1 180. Revelation, arguments founded on, in

388 390

Revels, master of the, duties of, 11 168 Reviews, the first, in 25 The Journal des Savans,' ib 536 The 'Mercure Galant, 16 Bayles 'Nouvelles de la République des Lettres,' 537, 538 Le Clerc's Bibliotheque Universelle, The ' Leipsic Acts,' 18 Italian journals, 16 ' Mercure Savant, th

English reviews, 539 Revus, the theologian, it 442

Rhætieus, Joachim, mathematician, 1 446 11 226

Rheede, 'Hortus Indicus Malabaricus of, 111 583

Rheims, Vulgate of, translation of New Testament from, by English Catholies in 1582, 1 567

Rhenanus, Beatus, 1 282 n 347 351 n Rhenish Academy, the, 1 205

Rhetoric of Cassiodorus, 1 J n Rhodiginus, Calius, Lectiones Antique of, 1 320 517 524

Rhodomann, Laurence, his grammatical His Life of Luther, works, 1 488 494 Greek verses of, 16

Rhyme in Latin, 1 30

Ribeyro, Portuguese pastoral poet, a 415 His Diana of Montemayor, ib Ricci, the Jesuit, travels in China by,

u1 225 Richard II, dethronoment of, ii 40 - III , players in the time of, i

Richelet, Dietsonnaire de, in 526

Richelieu, Cardinal, a patron of men of learning, 111 136 138, 139 525 Supports the liberties of the Galiscan church, n 306 Prejudice of, against the Cid, 140 Letters and writings of, 138 See also, 111 263, 270 Lord Bacon esteemed by, 11 429

Richer, his work on the ecclesiastical power, 11 302 n

Rigault, or Rigaltius, Trench critic, ii

Rinuccini, Ottavio, suggests the idea of recitative, n 159

Rivet, Calvinist writer, ii 355 Ravinus, his ' Res herbaria,' in 578 Rivola Armenian dictionary compiled

by, m 225 Roads, Roman, history of, ii 292 Robert, king of Naples, a patron of Pe-

trarch, 1 78 Robertson, Dr., remarks of, 1 4 57 312 His 'History of America,' 311

m 147 Roberval, Trench mathematician, iii

178 199 Robison, works of, ii 431

Robortellus, philological work of, 1 490 517 His controversy with Sigonius, 519 n On inilitary changes, 521

Rochefoucault, Due de la, his maxims, 111 161 407 Rochester, Carl of, poems of m 474

Rodolph II of Austria persecutes the Protestants, 1 531

Roger, the Jesuit, Travels of, iii 225 Rogers, his " Anatomy of the Mind,' 1 516

Royas, Pernando de, Spanish dramatist,

Rollenhagen, the ' Proschmauseler' of,

Rollock, Hercules, poem by, 11 147 Romaic, or modern Greek, origin of, 1

Romance, its general tone, 1 130 flueoced the manners of the middle ages w

- language, or Provençal, 1 23 27 31 33 11 213

writers of, Spanish, ii 110 213 m. 13 151 French, 1, 32, 33 tu. 160 552 Herote, 11L 161 553 Of chivalry, i. 435. ii. 212. Of Italy, 159. English, iii. 558.

Uni-See Latin and Learning. Rome. versity or gymnasium of, i. 262. Library of the Vatican, ii. 259. Topography of ancient, 517. Poggio on the ruins of, i. 142. Jurisprudence of, ii. 73. 540. 584. iii. 405. 447, 448. Works of Mauntius, Sigonius, &c. Robortellus, and Grnehins, respecting the history and antiquities of, Cicero, Livy, Dionyi. 517, 518. sius, Gellius, and Pomponius, respecting the same, 520. Modern poets of, On the military system of, iii. 453. Rome sacked by Bonrbon, i. 520. 315. Sale of Indulgences, &c., attacked by Luther and Zwingle, i. 291. Church of, states of Europe 293. which disavowed its tenets, on the rise of the Reformation, 342. &c. Reformation.) Reaction in favour of Rome, 530. Its causes, 537. ii. 308. Temporal supremacy of the popes, i. 559. ii. 298. Decline of papal influence, 304. iii. 258. Controversy on papal power, i. 556, 557. ii. 306.
 Discipline of the elergy, 531. 536. Influence of the Jesuits, 532. 555.

Rondelet, 'Ichthyology' of, ii. 238. Ronsard, Pierre, poetry of, ii. 4. iii. 21. 32. 458.

Roquefort, 'E'tat de la Poesie Française,' i. 33.

Rosa, Salvator, satires of, iii. 452. Roscelin, theories of, i. 13. 18. 181.

Roseoe, William, his criticism on poetical prose, i. 83. n. 259. n. Obligations to, 263. n. His Leo X., 464. n. 222.

Roseommon, Earl of, poems by, iii. 479. Rose, or Rossæus, 'de justâ reipublicæ in reges potestate,' ii. 42. 44. 518.

Rosen, Dr., Arabian algebra translated by, ii. 220. n.

Rossi, or Erythræus, collections of, i. 503. Criticisms of, iii. 50.

Rota, Bernardino, poetry of, ii. 88. Rothman, the geometrician, ii. 227.

Rotrou, plays of, iii. 68. n. 'Wen-ceslas' of, 69.

Routh, Dr., 'Religiosæ Sacræ' of, i. 12. Rowley, poems of, i. 164. Dramatic works of, iii. 123.

i. 164.

Roy, General, his 'Military Antiquities,' &c., i. 521. n.

Royal Society of London, ii. 431, iii. 565. The Philosophical Transactions of, 566. 568. 582. 585.

Rnarus, epistles of, ii. 337.

Rubbi, the 'Parnaso Italiano' of, ii. 86.

Rubens, Albert, on the Roman costume, iii. 254.

Rucellai, 'Rosmunda' of, i. 263, 264. The 'Bees' of, an imitation of Virgil's fourth Georgie, 409.

Rudbeck, Olaus, on lacteals, iii. 219.

Rueda, Lope de, Spanish plays of, i. 428, 429.

Ruel, John, i. 328. His translation of Dioscorides on botany, 457. 'De naturâ stirpium,' ib.

Rnhnkenins, his praise of Muretus, i.

Rumphius, Herbarium Amboinense of, iii. 583.

Russell, poems of, ii. 104. n.

Rutebouf, the poet, i. 32.

Rutgersius, 'Variai Lectiones' of, ii. 281.

Ruyseh, Dutch physician, art of injecting auatomical preparations perfected by, iii. 588.

Saavedra, a political moralist, ii. 525. Sabinus, George, a Latin poet, ii. 144. Saechetti, Italian novelist, i. 159.

Saelis, Hans, German dramatic poet, i. 304. 415. 431. n.

Sackville's 'Induction to the Mirrour of Magistrates,' ii. 121. 167. His 'Gorboduc,' 167.

Saey, M. de, French author, iii. 272. Sadler, Sir Ralph, embassy of, i. 335.

Sadolet, Cardinal, reputation of, i. 262. 316. n. ii. 289. Observations of, i. 412. n. 425. 439. n. 464. His strict piety, 537.

'Sad Shepherd' of Ben Jonson, iii. 97. Saint Real, the abbé de, iii. 288. n.

Sainte Marthe, or Sammarthanus, Latin poet, ii. 145. iii. 481. His 'Pædotrophia,' ii. 145.

Salamanca, university of, i. 18. Lectures at, by Lebrixa, 171, 172.

Sales, St. Francis de, writings of, ii. 361.

Salfi, references to, iii. 4. 11. 131. 520. Salisbury, John of, History of, i. 4. 16. 73. 181. Learning of, 70. Style of,

Sallo, Denis de, publishes the first review, iii. 535.

Sallust, influence of, ii. 268. 283.

Salmasius, Claude, erudition of, ii.
355. His 'Plinianæ Exercitationes' and other works, ib. 'De Linguâ Hellenisticâ', ii. 276. Death of, iii. 243.
Salutato, Coluccio, on Plutareh, i. 93.

An ornament of learning in the fourteenth century, 83 n

Salvator Rosa, satires of, iii 453

Salvanis 'Animalium aquatilium lustoria,' ii 238 Salvati, his attack on Tasso, cutitled

'L'Infarinato,' in 207. Salvini, a bigotted Florentine, remarks

by, at 9

Samaritan Pentateuch, the, m. 222 Sammarthanus, n. 145, m. 481

Sanchez, Thomas, works of, n 14, 15, 16 Sancroft, Archbishop, his 'Fur prædestinites, in 275

Sanctius, his Grammar, 1 489 in 246 Sanctorius, 'de Medicina statica,' in 220

Sanderson, an English casuist, ii 507 Sandyss sermons i 562

Sannuaro, excellent genius of, the Italian poet, 1 258 412 Latin poetry of, 453 ii 202 iii 424 481 Arcadia*

of, 1 258 413 11 213 Sanson, Nicolas, his maps, 111 592

Santis, De, economist, 11 527 Santeul, Latin poetry of Santohus, or,

111 483 Sappho translated by Madame Dacier, 111 247

Surrecess of Spain, 2 SO Refinement of 200 Collegiate foundations not

derived from, 16 Sarbievus, Casimir, modern Latin poet,

n: 50, 51

Sarpi, Pather Paul, ii 234 n His account of the work of Bellarmin, 299 n His writings, 212 His medical dis coveries, 300 n iii 60 His religious tenets, 301 See n

Sarrazin, French poet, in 21. Satire, Origin and Progress of, by Dry-

den, in 544

Saumaise, Claude, works of, n 283 Saumur, La Forge of, in 317 Savigny, De, quotations from, 1 61

Savigny, De, quotations from, 1 63, 64

Savile, Sir Henry, 1 514 522 His edition of Chrysostom, ii 275 Savony, the Referentian protested in

Saxony, the Reformation protected in 1 292

Scala, Fliminio extemporaneous comedy introduced by, in 60 -

Scaliger, Joseph, critical remarks of, 1
483 504 il 147 Citronology of, 1
524 Julian period invented by, 525
The *Scaligeranr, 504 a 553 in
249 n Epitaph by Hensitis on 504 n
De emendatione temporum of, 1
524 Its knowledge of Arabie, 1
250 ut. 224 Latin poetry of, 1
145 n Criticisms by the Scaligers,

1 553 n 562 n n 274*287 *Scaligeran, n 541

Scaliger, Julius Cæsur, i 320 501 ' De cousis Latinæ linguar,' i 320 His ' Poetics,' ii "...00 202 Invective of, against the ' Cheeronianus,' i 319

Scandinavia, early poetry of, 1. 10 Legends of, 111 27

Scapula, his Abridgment of Stephens's Thesaurus, 1 485 Distich on, ib n
Opinions on the Lexicon of, 486 n

Searabaus aquilam quarit' of Erasmus, 1 280 282

Scarron, Abbe, the Roman comique of, in. 555

Schemer, the Jesuit, optical discoveries of, in 219

Schæffer, Peter, his inventions in printing, 1 150

Scheidus, Melissus, 111 507 Schlegel, Frederic, his opinion that Lu ther s report of Satanic visions bordered

on insanity, 1 366

William, his praise of Calderon, in 66 His criticisms on Shakspeare,

n 171 in 94 106 On the defects of Molière, 498 Schism, treatises on, ii 327, 328 n Schimdt, Erasmus, observations of, i

557. His Pindar, ii 276 Scholastic philosophy, its slow defiat i

376 Defended by the universities, 377
treatises, 11 2 Character of

Schools, cathedral and conventual, under Charlemagne and his successors, 16, 7 n State of English schools in the time of Henry VIII, 1 336 English, institutions and regulations of, in the reign of Elizabeth, 510 511

Science, state of 1 442 iii 170 Lord Bacon 4 de augmentis scientiarum, iii 391 et 29 Hobbes a chrit of human, iii 479 480 Institutions for the advancement of iii 564

Scroppius, Gaspar, controversies of, ii 284 His philological works in 285 Grammar of ii 246 288 On the style of Lipsius, i 496

Seot, his Discovery of Witcheruft, 1
90 n 511 516 565

of Scotstarvet, Latin elegics of, in

53 Scotland state of classical learning in, 1 514 Latin poets of, in 53 Cal vinists of, it 43

Scott Sir Walter in 473

Scotts, his 'Monarchia Solipsorum, mi 166

Scottish dialect, ancient poems in the,

Scotus, Duns, barbarous character of his sophistry, i. 507. n.

- Erigena, John, his myticism, i. 8.

181.

Scriptures, Holy, first printed Bible, i. 152. Erasmus's New Testament, 266. 283. Tyndale's New Testament, 357. English Bible under the authority of James 1., ii. 366. Italian versions, i. 357. The Vulgate, 567. Hebrew, Syriae, and Chaldaie text, i. 307. The Pentateuch in ii. 249. iii. 221. Samaritan characters, 222. Masoretic text, and vowel points, 222, 223. The Decalogue, ii. 505. Translation of part of, into Greek hexameters, iii. 249. Æthiopie New Testament, i. 460. The Hebrew chronology, iii. 256. Expositions of, i. 506. iii. 298. versions and Romish editions, 566. Protestant editions of, i. 375. 567. Polyglott Bible of Aleala, i. 308. Versions of, into modern languages, i. 374. 566, 567. Forty-eight editions of the Bible prohibited by Rome, 266. 372.

Scudéri, Mademoiselle de, Heroie romanees of, iii, 160-163. i. 460. 553. Scudery, observations on the 'Cid' of

Corneille by, iii. 140.

Seba, Adeodatus, a Gallo, Latin poet, ii. 144. Objects and views, 137. Apology for, ii. 27.

Sebonde, Raimond-de, i. 136.

Seekendorf attacks the motives of Erasmus, i. 350. n. Remarks on Luther by, 295.

Secundus, Latin poems of, ii. 146.

Sedaño, his ' Parnaso Español,' ii. 102. 105. iii. 12.

Segueri, Paolo, sermons of, iii. 519.

Segni, History by, i. 463.

Segrais, pastoral poetry of, iii. 460. His novels, 555. 'Segraisiana,' &c., 547.

Seguier, President, library of, iii. 232. Scieentisti, writers of the sixteenth een-

tury, iii. 3.

Selden, his treatise 'de Jure naturali juxta Hebræos,' ii. 507, 508. iii. 223. 225. 'Table-Talk' of, ii. 357. n. 508. controversy on fisheries, the 'Mare liberum sive elausum,' 551. 'Arundelian Marbles' of, ii. 291.

Self-defence, right of, ii. 549.

Semi-Pelagianism tenets, ii. 329, 330. Seneca, tragedies of, ii. 163, 164. 268.

Sensation, Hobbes's theory of, ii. 462. Sensibility, universal, theory of Campanella, ii. 374.

Sergardi, satires of, in Latin, iii. 481.

Serlio, treatises by, ii. 230.

Sermons of the sixteenth century, i. 369. Serra, Antanio, on the means of abtaining money without mines, ii. 525. Praise of Venice by, 526. On commercial exclunge, 527.

Servetus, tenets and works of, i. 360. Put to death at Geneva, 546, 549, ii. Account of his 'Christianismi Restitutio,' 213. 547. n. 548. n. iii. 213, 214. n.

'Seven Champions of Christendom' by Johnson, ii. 218.

Sévigné, Madame de, letters of, iii. 524. Her talent, 525. Want of sensibility ib. n. Colloquial style of, ib.

Seville University, leetures at, i. 171, 172.

Shadwell's plays, immoral, iii. 515, 516.

Satire on, by Dryden, 474. Shakspeare, William, his poems, Venus and Adonis, ii. 127. 176. Increce, His life and early plays, 175. Few obliterations by Shakspeare, nor any by Lope de Vega, 155. His sonnets, iii. 37. Plays of - Twelfth Merry Wives of Windsor, Night, 80. ib. 503. Measure for Measure, ii. King Lear, 84. 85. Pericles, ii. 168. 212. iii. 82. Timon of Athens, 85. 177. n. iii. 86. The historical plays of, ii. 184. Julius Casar, iii. 87, 88. 489. Antony and Cleonatra, 87. Othello, 89. Coriolanus, 88. Richard II., 91. Tempest, 89. other plays, 87. 89. 91. 106. Henry VI., wlience taken, ii. 171. 176. Comedy of Errors, 177. iii. 505. Midsummer Night's Dream, ii. 179. Two Gentlemen of Verona, 178. Taming of Love's Labour Lost, ib. the Shrew, ib: Romeo and Juliet, 181, 182. Merchant of Venice, 183. 375. iii. *5*12. As You Like it, ii. Cymbeline, 212. Auto-biographical poems of, by G. A. Brown, i. 38. Retirement and death of, iii. 77. n. 89. Greatness of his genius, ii. 32. iii. 89. Judgment of, 90. His obsenrity of style, 92. His popularity, 91. 93. Critics on his Dryden's remarks on, dramas, ib. 113. n. See also ii. 170. n. 174. 198. iii. 491. 513.

Sharrock, ' de officiis,' &c. iii. 383. Shepherd, life of Poggio by, i. 83. n. Sheridan, plays of, iii. 504.

Shirley, works of, iii. 119. Comedies

of, 120. 514.

Sibilet, Thomas, the 'Art poétique' of,

i 443. His 'Iphigenia' of Euripides,

Sidenius, observations of, 1 20.

Siens, the 'Rozzi' of, is 262 'Intronati' of, 1 465 Sigismund, Emperor, literature encou-

raged by, 1 97
_____III, persecution of Protest-

ants by, 1 531

Sigonius, works of, 1 S.H. 490 'De consolatione,' 502' On the Athenian Polity, 520' On Roman Antiquity, 517 'De jure critium Romand'de jure Italias,' 519

Silvester's translation of the Creation, or La Semaine, by Du Bartas, 11 116 Poems ascribed to, 126 in 44 Simler, George, a schoolmaster at Hesse,

1 254

Smoon, Io Pere, iii 281 Critical History of, 298 590 Criticisms of, 1 460 Singers of Germany, 1 36 iii 22 Sionita, Hebrast, iii. 292 294 Sirmond, the historian, ii 355 Sismond, criticisms of, 1 26 ii 66 155

Sixtus V. 1 566 ii 250 258 T Sistme Bible published by, 1 566 Skelton's rhymes, 1 307 432

Smetius, Martin, works on Antiquities by, ii 290, 291

Smith, professor at Cambridge, 1 334

— Adam, Works of, 11. 582 583

Snell, Willibrod his 'Cyclometricus,' 11.

Snell, Willibrod his Cyclometricus, in.
179 On Refraction, 203
Socinian academy at Racow, ii 535
heresy, i 361 548 The So-

cinians in England, in £77, 278
Socious, Lælius, 1 361 550 n
Tauvius, 1 548 Denial of the

cycleoces of Natural Religion by, ii 335 Solids, the ratio of, iii 177

Solins, the ratio of, ii 177
Solins, his 'Polyhistor,' ii. 233
Solis, Antonio de, 'Conquest of Mexico'
by, ii 594

Solon, philosophy of, it 548

Sonnets, Italian, ii 83 et seq iii 449— 452. Trench, ii 413 Of Milson, iii 49 Of Shakspeare, 57 Of Drum mond of Hawthornden, 41 Of the Earl of Stirling 46 Construction of, 42 ii

Sophoeles style of, nr. 465 472 557 Sorbonne, the, 1 228 nr. 272 200 Soto, Peter, confessor to Charles V , 1 367 544 nr 506

Soul's Errand, the early poem, is 126 Soura, Manuel Laria 3, sonnets of, in 15

South, Dr., sermons of, nr 275 296 Southampton, Lord, friend of Shakspeare, nr 176

Southern, his Patal Discovery, in 513 Oroonoko, ib

Southey, Mr, his edition of Hawes, i 305 Remarks of, n 198 n 213 Edition of Poets by, in 27

Southwell, Robert, the Jesuit, poems of,

Sovereign, and sovereign power, the, ii

532 546

Spain, dramatic productions of, 1 256 428 11 153 m 59 62 Poetsof, 1 927 411 u 102 m 11 Epic poets, n. 106 Persecution for religion, in, 1 361. Prose writers of, in 132 Cervantes, Library of the Escurial Palace, n 259 n m 225 Of Alcala and Salamanea, 259 Loyola and the Jel'hilologists and lisuits of, 1 533 terati of, 1 529 440 Metaphysicians Philip II and the In of n 370 quisition of, : 531 558 ii 266 Pro limbited books in, 102 Romances of, 110 213 Ballads of, 1 231 11 110

'Spanish Curate' of Fletcher, m 102 Spaulieim, Ezekiel, Rusmismatics of, n 292 m 215 255, 256

Speculum humanae salvationis, the, i

208 Spee, German poet, in 23 Speed, maps of in 1646 in 228

Spelman, Glossary of, 111 536 Spencer, 'de Legibus Hebræorum,' 111 590

Spener, writings of, in 280 Spenser, Edmund, his school of poetry, 1 299 m. 27 29 His Shepherd's

halendar, in 123 210 His 'Shepherd's Lamum, 127 The 'Paery Queen of, 134—141 Compared with Ari osto, 136 Political works of 199

Sperone Speroni, his tragedy of 'Canace,' 1 427 440 Dialogues of, 389 Spiegel, Dufeh poet, his works, in 25

Spinova, system of, ii 5 The Tractitus theologico politicus' of, iii. 281 Ethics or Moral System of 342 et seg 384

Spinosism, character of, in 354 Spiritual dramas of Spain and Portugal, 1 256

Spondanus continues the Annals of Baromus, n 355 Sprengel, medical remarks of, n 246

Sprengel, medical remarks of, n 246 m 214, 215 219 n 220 576 St. Vincent, Gregory, geometry of, iii.

Stael, Madame de, her 'Corinne,' i. 86. libservations of on 'Romeo and Ju-Staet,' ii. 182.

Stair, Lord, work by, ii. 450. n.

Stampa, Gaspara, an Italian critic, ii. 88. 90, 91.

Stanley, Thomas, 'History of Ancient Philosophy ' by, iii. 250. 303. edition of Æschylus, iii. 250.

Stapulensis, Faber, conduct of, i. 347. Edition of the Scriptures by, 375.

Star Chamber, the, ii. 267.

Stationers' Company founded in 1555, ii. 267.

Statius Achilles, or Estaco, a Portuguese commentator, i. 481.

Statius, 'Thebaid' of, ii. 202. iii. 463. Statistics, writers on, iii. 444. Statistical topography, ii. 520.

Steele, the 'Conscious Lovers of,' iii, 518. Steevens, commentator on Shakspeare, ii. 171, 177. iii. 38. 94.

Stellatus, Palingenius, the 'Zodaicus vitæ? of, i. 425.

Stephens, Henry, his crudition, i. 482. His press celebrated, 483. Life of, by Maittaire, ib. n. By Almeloveen and other biographers, ib. n. His 'Thesaurus Linguæ Latinæ,' i. 483. 485. His own testimony on various lexicons, i. 325. 484. n. Scapula's abridgment of the 'Thesaurus' of, 485. Dies His philological in poverty, 486. works, 208. 496. iii. 533. Epigrams, i. 145. Forbid to print, 266. logy for Herodotus' by, 368.

Robert, 'Thesaurus,' of i. The Novum Testamentum Græcum,' &c. &c. edited by, 375. 486. n. 566. ii. 289.

Stevinus, Simon, his Statics, ii. 231, 232. Stewart, Dugald, Metaphysical Works of, ii. 28. 51. 401. 432. n. 456. 461. 474. n. 577. 579. 581. 585. iii. 273. 365. 413.

Stifelins, Michael, ii. 221. iii. 171.

Still, John, Bishop of Bath and Wells, ii. 166.

Stillingfleet, polemical writings of, iii. 269. 276. 298. 372.

Stirling, Earl of, sonnets of, iii. 41. His poem of 'Domesday,' ib. n.

Stockwood, John, his 'Progymnasma Scholasticum,' ii. 513. n.

Strada, Famianus, ii. 284. The 'Infamia Famiani' of Scioppius, 285. 'Prolusiones Academicæ' of, iii. 131. Strasburg, books published at, ii. 263. Strigelius, 'Loci Theologici' of, i. 561.

Strozzi, poem on chocolate by, iii. 480. Strype, John, his 'Life of Smith,' i. 334. n. Remarks of, ii. 39.

Stunica, the Spanish commentator, i.

Sturm, John, his treatise on Education in Germany, i. 330.

Suard, remarks of on the French theatre. ii. 168. n.

Suarez of Granada, his treatise ' de Le-Titles of his ten gibus,' ii. 500. books, 501. His perpetual quotations, 503. His Metaphysical Disputations, 370. His theory of government, 522. His work of laws, 523, 540.

Suekling, Sir John, poetry of, iii. 44. Suidas, proverb quoted from, i. 189. His lexicon, 219.

Sun, spots of the, discovered by Harriott, Fabricius, and Scheiner, iii. 88. revolution round its axis, ib,

Supra-lapsarian tenets, ii. 330.

Supremacy over the Church, question of, ii. 339. et seg. Remarks on regal supremaey, 341.

Surrey, Earl of, his style of poctry described, i. 417. 419. The introducer of blank verse, 420. Pocms of, ii. Character of, by Sidney, 124.

Swabian period of German poetry, i.

Swammerdam, the naturalist, 'History of Insects, by, iii. 575. Sweynheim, the printer, i, 186.

His 'Tale of a Swift, Dean, iii. 555. Tub,' i. 437. iii. 563.

Switzerland, the Reformation begun by Zwingle at Zurich, i. 292. Doctrines of the Protestants of, 545.

Sydenbam, Dr., iii. 589.

Sydney, Sir Philip, ii. 80. 169. ' Arcadia,' ii. 196. 216. 218. iii. 286. 'Defence of Poesie,' ii. 124. 197. Poems of, 126. iii. 543. 211.

-, Algernon, his Discourses on Government, iii. 430.

Sylburgius, his Greek grammar, i. 275. 488. iii. 245. His Aristotle and Dionysius, 491.

Sylvius, Dutch physician, iii. 212. 588. Sylvius, the French grammarian, i. 442. Synergists, tenets of, i. 542.

'Syntagma Philosophicum' of Gassendi, iii. 308. 31*5*. 365.

Syphon, power of the, iii. 200.

Syriac version of the Bible, ii. 248. iii. 224. The Maronitc college of Mt. Libanus, ib...

Tabernæmontanus, fignres used by, ii. 244.

Table-talk of Sciden, ii 357 n Cha racter of the, 508

Tacitus, the 'Annals' of 1 262 11 268 Lipsius's edition of, 480 Savile's translation of, 514 Commentary on, Savile's 111 427 Davanzatis translation of 11 190

Talmud, the study of the, in 223

Talon, Omer, ' Institutiones Oratorice' of u. 208

Tansillo, Italian poet, his 'La Balia,' 11 146

Tapsensis, Vigilius, the African bishop, works of reviewed, iii 536

Tartaglia, Nicolas, his solution of cubic equations in algebra, 1 446 Unfairly published by Cardan, 11 219 mechanics, 230

Tasso, Bernardo, his 'Amadigi,' ii 92 Celebrated sonnet by, 93 n

-, Torquato, the 'Jerusalem De-Invered of, it 95 et seg 206 in 463 Comparison of with Homer and Vir gil ii 96 100 And with Ariosto. 99, 100. Excellence of his style, 97 His concerts, 99 Defects of the poem, to His peculiar genus, 99 The 'Aminta' of, 150 His "Torrismond," a tragedy, 149

Tassoni, his observations on the poetry of Bembo, 1 407 On Petrarch, &c . in 130 'Secebia Rapita' of, 8 46

458 Tauler's sermons, in German, L 48 ii

Taurelius, Nicholas, his Alpes Casa,

u 5,6 n Tavannes, political memoirs by, ii 48

Tavelegus, grammar of, 1 398 n

Tavernier, bis travels in the East, in 594

Taylor, Jeremy, 11 326 332 His Dis sussive from Popery,' nr 268 298 Sermons of, 11 359 Devotional writings of 360 His 'Ductor dubitan tium, in 381 390 399 Its charac ter and defects 381 IIIs Liberty of Prophesying,' 11 945 Boldness of his doctrine, ib His defence of toleration, 349 351 Effect of his treatise, 353. Its defects, ab defence of episcopacy, 354 in 543, -, Brook, Contemplatio Philoso

phica of it 440 n "Telemachus," Fénelon s, in 557

Telescope, invention of the, iii. 201 Dutch, or spying glasses 202 Telesio, Bernard, plausible hypotheses

of, n 7 971, 972 389 Tellez, a Spanish metaphysican, ii 970

Temple, Sir William, m. 251 549 His defence of antiquity, 551

Tenneman, on the Origin of Modern Philosophy, 1 15 n

Tepel, his lustory of the Cartesian philo sophy, 111 317 n

Terence, comedies of, first printed as verse, 1 267 Editions of, 472

Testi imitator of Horace, in 11

Teutonic languages, the, 1 10 125 Theatre of Europe, 2 207 The French

stage, n 162 n &e The early English drama, 1 431 11. 166 &e Pheatres in Paris, 165 Theatres, London, 168 m 77, 78 Closed by Tatant En the parliament, 79 glish Mysteries, 1 210 l orbidden in Spain, ii 160 See Drama

Theobald, commentator on Shakspeare,

111 93

Theodore, arebbishop, 1 5 Theodoric persecutes Boethius, 1 2 Theodosius, Code of the Emperor, 1 59. IIL 447

-, the geometrician, 1 445 Theocritus, 1 219 267 408 ii 123

'Theologia Moralis of Escobar, ii 500 Pheological literature, History of, 1 527 n 298 m 258 291, 297

Change in the character of, 280 Expositions in theology, 1 560 in 281 Theology, system of 1 12 11 407 he schools of in Italy, 86

versial, 1 516 559 Scholastic method of, 560 Theophrastus on Plants, 11 934

tures by Duport on, in 249 'Characters,' 409 On Botony, 1 457 Theosophists, sect of, ii. 378

Theresa, St., mysticism of it 361 Thermometer, the, 111 569

They cnot travels of, m 593 Thibault, king of Navarre, troubidour,

Thomasts, the sect of, 1 378 545 11 2

See Aquinas Thomson, Dr , on Anatomy, 111 576

Thouars, M dn l'etit, ii 243 Thuanus VI de Thou, Latin style of, n 5 28, m 232

Thucydides editors of, 1 473 iii 251 Thyard, the Trench poet, n 115

Thysius, a French critic, ii 282 Tibaldeo Italian poet, 1 225 Tick, Professor, remarks on Shakspeare

by, 11 174 Tifernas George, teacher of Greek at

Parrs. 1 180 Tillotson Archbishop ii 327 iii 276, 277. His sermons ii 335 n iii 297

TT 2

Tiedemann, remarks of, i. 13.

Tintoret, paintings of, ii. 101.

Tiraboschi quoted, i. 3. u. 4. n. 501, 503, iii. 85, 322.

'Titus Andronieus,' not a play of Shakspeare's, ii. 176.

Tobacco plant, earliest notice of, ii. 241. Toleration of religious, ii. 47, 343, 349, 351.

Toletus, the Jesnit, his 'Snunna easuum eonseientim.' ii. 500.

Tolomei, Claudio, ii. 87. 94.

Tonelli, his notes on Poggio, i. 83. n. Torelli, his tragedy of 'Merape,' ii. 149.

Torrentins, his Horace, ii. 279.

Torricelli, high merit of, iii, 126. Hydraulies of, 199.

Tortus, Matthew, answer of, ii. 299.

Toseanelli, gnomon in Florence cathedral by, i. 184.

Tostatus, Alfonsus, i. 170.

Tottel's Miscellanies, ii. 119.

Toulouse, University of, i. 15. Tournebouf. See Turnebus.

Tournefort, Ilis 'Elémens de la Batanique,' iii. 577, 579.

Toussain, eminent seliolar, i. 328, 475. Toutain, his 'Agamemnon,' from Seneca, ii. 163.

'Toxophilus,' or Treatise on Archery, by Aseliam, i. 441.

Trade, on Foreign, iii. 441.

Tragedy, Italian, i. 426. ii. 149. iii. 54. 57. Spanish, ii. 157. French, 163. iii. 68. et seq. English, 84. et seq. Ancient Greek, 466. Rymer on, 547. See Drama, and names of dramatic authors.

Translating, Dryden on the Art of, iii. 547.

Transubstantiation, controversy on, i. 17.

Travels, early writers of, i. 260. Later writers of, iii. 593.

Traversari, Ambrogio, on Profane Literature, i. 94. On Translations from the Greek, 97.

Treaties, public, iii. 558. 574. Truces and conventions, 576.

Tremellius, the Hebrew critic, i. 567. ii. 248.

Trent, the Council of, its proceedings and history, i. 363. 538, 539. n. 544. 566. ii. 266. 301. 318.

Triglandius, a notable theologian at Utrecht, ii. 458.

Trinitarian controversy, the, i. 546. ii. 278.

Triquero, Spanish dramatist, ii. 158.

Trismegistus, Hermes, philosophy of, counterfeited, i. 200.

Trissino, principles of his 'Italia Libernta,' i. 359, 409.

Tristan, the 'Marianne' of, iii. 79.

Trithemius, 'Annales Hirrargicuses' of,
i. 149.

Trivium mode of education, i. 3, n. ii. 258.

Troubadours, and Provençal poets, i. 31.

'Troye, Recneil des Histoires de,' by Caxton, i. 157.

Truth, intuitive, on, ii 453.

Trypho, Greek treatises of, i. 321.

Tubingen monastery, Hebrew taught in, 1.255.

Turamini, 4 de legibus, 4 ii. 76.

Turberville, poems of, ii. 127...

Turenne, Marshal, iii. 263, 294.

'Turkish Spy,' the, ii. 514, n. iii. 559.

Turks, History of the, iii, 146. The Turkish language, 225.

Turnebus, i. 328. His translations of Greek classics into Latin, i. 475. His *Adversaria,' 476. Montaigne's character of, ib. His reputation, 483. His *Ethics of Aristotle,' 492.

Turner, Dr., his New Herbal, ii. 240. His 'Avium pracipuarum historia,' i.

459.

Turner's History of England, i. 3, 6, n, 7, n. On Erigena, 9, On Scholasticism, 14.

Turpin, romance of 'Charlemagne' by, i. 223.

Turreeremata, Jounnes de, his Explanatio in psalterium,' i. 156.

Tyeho Brahe, Mundane System of, ii. 228. et seq. His discovery as to the path of comets, ii. 229. iii. 186.

Tymme, Thomas, translations by, i. 393,

Tyndale's, the first English version of the New Testament, i. 357, 373.

Tyrwhitt's observations on Chancer, i. 30. n. 420.

Twining on the Poetics of Aristotle, ii. 204.

Ubaldi, Guido, Geometrical Treatises of, ii. 250.

Udal, Nieholas, i. 883. 488. His comedy of 'Ralph Roister Doister,' 484. ii. 166.

Uguceio, the lexicographer, i. 78. Ulpian on the Roman Law, ii. 78.

Understanding, Malebranche on the, iii. 331. Locke's 'Essay on the Human,' 314. 362.

Unitarians, Polish and German, iii. 277. Universal character, on a, by Dalgarno, iii. 361. Universal ideas, question of the reality of, 1 19 How formed, 111 351

Universities -Origin of the name, 1 Of Paris, 11 Its succession of early professors, 19, 20 Of Bologna, Of Padua, 19 n 257 370 Of Collegiate found-Toulouse, L 15 ations, not derived from the Saratens, Cordova and Granada possessed gymnasia rather than universities, th Of Oxford, 15 Its great men, ab n 11 258 111 248 Of Germany, 1 331 Italian universities, 1 503 11 257 Of Leyden, ii 258 iii 225 316 n Of Alidorf and Helmstadt, ii 370 Of Lopenhagen, 1 332 Of Prussia, 16 Of Marburg, 16 Of Konigsberg, 3.5 Of Jena, 16 Of Scotland, 515 n 20 258 Of Scyille, 1.172 Salamanea, 16 Of Alcala, 16 of in the seventeenth century, in, 229 Urban VIII Matther Barbering, is 304

m 50 52 128
Urbino, Francis, duke of, i 521
Urbino, Francis, duke of, i 521
Urbi, d., romance of, in 160 460 557
Usher, Archbishop in 357 Forms the
Library of Trinity College, Dublin,
in 931 His 'Annals of the Old
Testament,' 256 His Chronology,

256 'Utopia' of More, 1 274

Vacarius in 1149 taught at Oxford, a.

Vaillant, work on medals by, in 256
Vair Du, criticisms on the style of, in
142

Valdes a Spanish teacher of the Reformation, 1 361

Valentinian, by Fletcher, ii 105 Valerianus, 'de infelicitate litteratorum,'

Valia, Laurentius, works and criticisms
 of, i 142, 143, 172 176 284 320
 326 332 484 n

Valle, Pietro della his Travels, in 226 Vallee, pamphlet of, against Christianity,

1 564 Valois, Henry, philological works of,

ni 248 Van Dale on ancient oracles, m 523

Vanbrugh, Sir John, dramas of, 111. 504 518 Vanini, Lucilio, burnt at Paris 11 562

Vareli, Instory by, 1 463 His dia logues, the 'Preolino,'in 205 Praise of Dante above Homer by, 206 Varentus, 'Syntaxis Grace lingues' of 1

324 Varignon, M., Statics of, ii 232 Varino, the Greek scholar of Italy, 1 220 Variorum editions of the classics, in 243 Varoli, the 'Anatomia' of, in 246 Vasa, Gustavus, confiscates ecclesiastical

property, 1 343. Vasari, his paintings in the Sistine

Vasari, his paintings in the Sisting

Vasquez, law writer, 11. 82 370 Vasquius, Quotations of, 11 503

Vassan, de, M , collects the 'Scaliger-

vatable, Professor of Hebrew, 1 327

Vatican, library of the, 1 467 ii 259 Vaugelas, M de, Remarks on the I rench language by, ii 141 527 Dictionary edited by, ii 189

Vaumorière De, a continuator, in 162 Vaux, Nicholas, Lord, poet, 1 422 ii 119

Vayer, La Mothe le, Dialogues of, n 510 Considerations of, in 142. Vega, Gircilasso de la, 1 411 n 102

Lope de, Spanish plays of ii 106 n 154 iii 59, 60 His fertility, ii 155 Versification, ib Popularity, 156 Comedy, 157 Tragedy, 158 Spiritual plays of, 160

Vegetable productions, on, it 241 Vegius, Maphous, Enerd continued by,

1 191 ii 202 Velasquez, Instory of Spanish poetry by, ii 104 106

Veldek, Henry of, 1 36 Velthuysen, 'de justi et decori,' &c , in

383 Venesection introduced, ii 257

Venuce, contest of Pope Paul V with, ii 299 Republic of, iii 426 429 Its commerce and government, ii 526, 527 Academy of, 262

Venus, transit of, over the sun, and the phases of m 194

Versetty, Puffendorf on, 111 403 Verdier, Bibliothèque Française by, 11

209 264 Vergara, Greek Grammar of, 1 325 487 Vergerio, Peter Paul, an early Greek

translator, 1 97 Verona, Two Gentlemen of, 11 178

Vertunien, I rancis, collections of, i

Vesalius, 'de corporis humani fabrica,' 1 454 His anatomical discoveries 455 11 245 His disgrace and death, 1 456 See also in 212

Veshing, the anatomist, writings of, nt.

Vespuce: Americo discoveries of, 1 261 Vettori, Peter, edition of Cicero by, 1 319 His Greek erudition, 322 Varie lectiones' of, 476 Huets

opinion of, 477

Vicente, Gil, dramas of, i. 256. 429. Vico, Eneas, on Numismaties, i. 523. ii. 261.

Vietor Vitensis, edition by Chiffet of, iii. 536.

Victoria, Francis à, 'Relectiones theologieæ' of, ii. 77. 82. Opinions of, on public law, 78.

Victorin of Feltre, i. 85. Vietorius, Professor, i. 319.

Vida, of Cremona, Latin poet, i. 423. 464. iii. 481. 'Ars Poetiea' of, ii. 202.

Vidus Vidius, anatomist, i. 456. ii. 246. Vienna, public library at, i. 467. ii. 258. Vieta [Francis Viete] his reputation as an algebraist, i. 447-449. ii. 221. Mathematical works of, iii. 179. gebra of, 180.

Vieussens, discoveries by, in the anatomy of the nerves, iii. 587.

Viger, or Vigerius, 'de Idiotismis,' ii. 275.

Vigilius Tapsensis, iii. 536.

Vigneul-Marville, or M. D'Argonne, iii. 135. 526. 529. n. His Mélanges de Littérature, 541.

Vignola, Perspective of, ii. 230.

Villedieu, or Des Jardins, Madame, novels of, iii. 554.

Villegas, Manuel Estevan de, poems of,

Villon, poems of, i. 207.

Vincent de Beauvais, i. 114, 115.

Vincente introduces regular drama in Europe, i. 256. n.

Vincentius Lirincusis, ii. 325. 333. Vinci, Lionardo da, painter, i. 216, 217.

454. Viner, Abridgment of Law by, iii. 447. Vinnius, Commentaries of, ii. 70. 540.

Virgil, Eclogues of, i. 272. 333. iii. 460. Æneid of, ii. 107. iii. 463. Continuation by Maphæus, i. 191. ii. 202. Caro's Italian translation, ii. 95. Imitation of the Georgics of, iii. 482. Tasso compared with, ii. 95. 98. 151. Camocins compared with, 107. Homer compared with, ii. 200.

–, Polydore, i. 2*2*9.

Visconti, contributor to the 'Biographie Universelle,' iii. 255. n.

Visè, the 'Mercure Galant' of, iii. 536. Vitelli. Cornelio, i.110. 229.

Vitello, treatise on optics of, i. 110, 445. ii. 230.

Vitensis, Victor, the African bishop, works of, iii. 536.

'Vitiis sermonis, de,' treatise by G. Vossius, ii. 287.

Vitruvius on Architecture, i. 215.

Vives, ethical writings of, i. 326. 367. Attack on the Scholastics by, 392. 378.

Viviani, solution of the area of the eyeloid by, iii. 478. 565.

Vlacg, the Duteli bookseller, iii. 174. , preceptor of Princess Mary, 378. Character of his works, 378. n.

Voet, Gisbert, 'Dissertationes theologicæ' of, ii. 356, 357. Controversy of with Descartes, 458.

Voiture, letters of, ii. 430. iii. 136, 137. Poetry of, 21. 524. 530. n. 541.

Volkelius, 'de vera Religione,' ii. 336. 'Volpone' of Ben Jonson, iii. 96.

Voltaire, sareasms of, iii. 137. 282. marks of, ii. 95. 106. iii. 354. 362. 594. Poctry of, i. 194. iii. 459. dramatic works, 490. 520. His style, 524.

Vondel, Dutch writer of tragedy, iii. 26. Voragine, James of, 'Golden Legend' of,

Vossius, Gerard, philological works of, i. 489. n. ii. 287. 289. iii. 244. toria Pelagiana,' by, 333.n.

-, Isaac, 'Catullus' and 'Pompo-' nius Mela' of, iii. 244. 'Aristarelius of, 246.

Voyages, early writers of, i. 260. 461. ii. 251. iii. 227. English voyages of discovery, ii. 253. iii. 593.

Vulgate, translations of, printed at Delft in 1497, i. 374.

Wacc, poems of, i. 35.

Wakefield, Robert, lectures at Cambridge by, i. 333. ii. 249.

Waldenses, poems attributed to the, i.

Waldis, Burcard, a German fabulist, ii. 118.

Waller, versification of, iii. 42. 461. 474. Panegyric on Cromwell by, 462.

Wallis, 'History of Algebra' by, i. 449. ii. 221. iii. 180. His 'Institution of Logic, 302. 564. Walpole, Horace, ii. 216.

Correspondence of, iii. 137. 525.

Walther, Bernard, the mathematician, i. Buys the MSS. of Regiomou-184. tanus, *ib*.

Walton, Isaac, his 'Complete Angler,' Life of Hooker by, ii. 25.n. iii.550. -, Brian, Polyglott of, iii: 590.

War, the Rights of, treatiscs on, by Ayala, ii. 79. By Gentilis, 81. 529. 541.546.565.567.

Warburton, Bishop, ii. 327. Comments on Shakspeare by, iii. 93. Remarks of, 291. 307.

Warner, lus 'Albion's England,' 11

Warton, Dr., on the Prench versions of Latin authors, 1 76 n Criticisms of, 208 260 305 387 438 ii 209 On the Latin poetry of Milton, iii 55

Watson, poems by, 11 125
'Wealth of Nations,' 11 526 nr. 441.
Webbe, his Discourse of English Poetry,
1 511 nr 182 209 His travestic of

the 'Shepherd's Kalendar,' ii 132 Webster, dramas of, ii. 121, 122 Weimar, literary academy established at,

in 1617, 1 467 n ni. 22 Weller's Greek grammar, 11. 275

Wenceslas, entique on Rotrous, ut 76
Werder, German translator of Ariosto
and Tasso, 111 28

Nemer, his ancient geometrical analysis restored, 1 445

Wesley, remark by, on the instinct of animals, it 385

Wessel of Groningen, 1 177
West, Dr W, of Dublin, remarks by,
1 179 390

Westminster school, Greek taught in, 1 336 510

Whateley, Archbishop, Liements of Logic of, ii. 398 427 n 476 489 n Whetstone, plays by, ii 168 nii 77 Whewoll, Mr., remarks of, ni. 232 n 234

Whicheot, tenets of, in 276, 277 Whitaker, 1 555 Translation of Nowell

hy, 510 Winte, Thomas, or Albius, in 301

Whitgift, reply of, to Cartwright, 1 516
The 'Lambeth Articles' by, 11 530
Whittingliam, Bible of, 1 567

Wichiffe, John, 1 170 Wicquefort s' Ambastador,' m 448

Widmandstadt's New Testament in Syriac, ii 248

Wierus 'de præstigns,' 1 565 Wilkins, on the 'Principles of Natural Religion' in 277 On a 'Philoso-

Religion' in 277 On a 'Philosophical language, 361 523 His 'Discovery of a New World in the Moon, 550 See also 565

Willer of Augsburg, the first publisher of catalogues of new books, in 263 William of Champeaux, his school of

logic at Paris, 1 14
______, Duke of Guienne, troubidour,

111, reign of, in 458 442
478
Williams, Dr., library of, ii 77

Willis Dr , his 'Anatomy of the Brain, in 587 Theory of, 589 Willoughby's Natural History, 11 233

Wills, ahenation of property by, 11 553 Wilson's 'Art of Logic,' 1 434 11 209 His 'Art of Rhetoric,' 11 193 209 Winnsching, resultation of, 1 179 347

Wimpfeling, reputation of, 1 179 347
467.
Winehester collegiate school, education of boys at, in 1586, 1 510 n.

Winterton, 'Poetæ Minores' of, 1, 278 Wiston, geological theory of, 11 584 Wit and fancy, Hobbes on, 11 484 Withelieraft, books against the punish-

ments for, 1 511 516 565 Witteli, works of, in 317. Wither, George, poems of, in 43

Wither, George, poems of, in 43 Wittenberg, the university of, 1, 285 291, 292 579 543 Witton School, Cheshire, statutes of

Wifton School, Cheshire, statutes of, 1 511
Wolf s Demosthenes, 1 479 493 n

Wolsey, Cardinal 1 334 11 282 Woman, the Silent, by Ben Jonson, in 97

Women, gallantry towards, its effects, 1 126 Probable origin of, it Wood, Anthony, his enumeration of

great scholars whose names render Oxford illustrious, 1 16 n 236 378 n. Woodward, on the nutrition of plants, m 582 On geology, 585

Worde, Wynkyn de, books printed by, 1

World, physical theory of the, 11 7 9 Wotton, on Modern and Ancient Learning, 311 251 552

Wren, Sir Christopher, in 566 586 Wright, Edward, mathematician, in 227 234 On Navigation, 255

Warstiems, or Urstiems, Christian, in

Wurtzburgh, converts in, 1 535 Wyatt, Sir John, poems of 1 417 421,

Wyatt, Sir John, poems of 1 417 421, 422 ii 119 His epistle to John Poins i 418 n.

Wycherley's 'Plain Dealer, and 'Country Wife, in 504 515

try Wife, in 504 515 Wykeham, William de, founds a college and schools, 1 162

Wytenbogart, controversy of, with Gro tius, n 314 Remarkable letter to, from Erasmus, 317

Naviet, St. Francis, 1 363

Xenophon, editions and versions of, 1 473 479 Aimenes, Cardinal 1 467 ii 259 308

Prints the Greek Testament, 1 284 Aylander, Plutarch by, 1 479 ii 34 York, school of, i. 6.

'Yorkshire Tragedy,' a work of merit, ii. 174.

Ypres, Jansenius, Bishop of, ii. 336.

Zacearias, a Florentine monk, translation of the Scriptures by, in 1542, i. 374.

Zachary (Pope) releases the Franks from allegiance to Childerie, i. 559.

Zainer, a printer at Cracow in 1465, i. 156. At Augsburg, 157.

Zamberti, the first translator of Euclid, i. 445.

Zamoscius, 'de Senatu Romano,' i. 520. Zanchius, i. 562.

Zarot, printer at Milan, i. 158. 166.

Zasius, Ulrie, Professor at Friburg, on Erasmus, i. 283. n. Led the way to more elegant jurisprudence, ib. 404.

Zell, Ulrie, printer at Cologne, i. 156. Zeni, the brothers, voyage of, in 1400, ii. 252.

Zeno, Apostolo, i. 180. 225. 238. n. ii. 399.

Zerbi of Verona, work on 'Anatomy' by, i. 260.

Zerbino, stories of, ii. 205.

Zeunius, ii. 275.

'Zodiacus Vitæ,' moral poem by Man- zolli, i. 359.

Zoology, writers on, i. 457. ii. 235. 238. iii. 206. 572. et seq.

Zopyrus, betrayal of Babylon by, inexcusable, ii. 577.

Zoroaster, i. 200. Religion of, iii. 591. Zoueh's 'Elementa Juris Civilis,' ii. 540.

Zurieh, the reformed religion taught by Zwingle at, i. 293. Anabaptists condemned at, and drowned in the lake of, 549. Gesner's botanical garden at, 241.

Zwingle or Zuinglius, Reformer, i. 293. His tenets differed from Luther's, 346. His variance with Erasmus, 352. Character of his writings, 367. Published in a fictitious name, 357. His death, 355. Foretold by Luther, 494. Charge of religious intolerance against, not proved, i. 549.

Zwoll, college of, i. 177.

*4. For some names and topics unavoidably omitted in the Index, the Readen is requested to refer to the Contents of each Volume.